

# Modeling the flexural and thermal subsidence of the 1.1 Ga Midcontinent Rift

Luke Fairchild, EPS 108 Term Paper — 12/15/2015

## Abstract

The Midcontinent Rift (MCR) is a failed rift system that formed within the interior craton of Laurentia (Mesoproterozoic North America) and was active from ~1110 to 1084 Ma. The MCR is notable in both its total volcanic output, which qualifies it as a large igneous province ( $\geq 10^5 \text{ km}^3$  by the criterion of Ernst et al., 2013), and its geometry, which qualifies it as a rift system. These two characteristics, while present together in the MCR, are not typically associated with each other as they are thought to derive from separate mechanisms. The MCR is therefore of great interest from a standpoint of geodynamics. No consensus has yet been reached on the geodynamic evolution and tectonic history of this ancient system.

A widely accepted timeline of MCR development has been inferred through seismic, geochemical, geochronologic and structural measurements (Cannon, 1989, 1992; White, 1997; Stein et al., 2015). However, there is no consensus on the syn- and post-rift flexural and thermal subsidence of the MCR. Understanding MCR subsidence is critical for inferring past structure from present observations. This study compiles and reviews the estimates of past investigations of MCR subsidence in the context of the MCR's broader developmental timeline. In doing so, I hope to produce a comprehensive overview of the MCR system similar to that presented by Stein et al. (2015) but with a more detailed treatment of the MCR's subsidence history.

## INTRODUCTION

The Mesoproterozoic Midcontinent Rift (MCR) is the most prominent feature on gravity and magnetic maps of North America. The MCR primarily consists of thick flood basalt successions confined to a narrow zone extending northward from the Midwestern United States and looping back southward through Michigan, U.S. The origin of the MCR is an outstanding question in scientific investigations of this feature. While the volume of volcanic rock in the MCR ( $\sim 1\text{-}2 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^3$ ; Hutchinson et al., 1990) necessitates a mantle plume origin, it is unclear whether the plume had any role in the initiation of the rift itself. Recent studies suggest that the MCR formed in response to far-field tectonic stresses as Amazonia rifted from Laurentia, and ended once oceanic spreading between the two continents was successfully established Stein et al. (2014). This hypothesis (of an already active rift encountering a mantle plume by chance) is consistent with the idea that the small temperature perturbations ( $\sim 100\text{-}150^\circ \text{ C}$  above normal mantle temperatures) of hotspots can dramatically amplify the decompression magmatism of rift zones (White and McKenzie, 1989). Constraining the geodynamic evolution of the MCR is critical for evaluating such hypotheses and understanding the interaction of continental rifting with a mantle plume.

Cannon and Hinze (1992) used seismic data across Lake Superior to map the structure of the MCR and infer discrete stages of faulting and volcanism. The results of this study are generally accepted as the timeline of the MCR's geodynamic evolution, which are outlined here. At ~1110 Ma, rifting and incipient volcanism occurred, followed by an outburst of “main” stage flood basalts confined to the central graben until ~1095

Ma. Continued “late” stage volcanism resulted in flexural subsidence and subsequent sedimentation. While it has been proposed that crustal thickening also occurred during this last stage of rifting (Stein et al., 2015), it is unclear whether any significant thermal subsidence would have been prevented or delayed by the elevated temperatures and forces of an upwelling mantle plume (White, 1997). Rifting ended at ~1085 due to either far-field tectonic stresses (both compressional and extensional events have been proposed; Stein et al. (2014); Cannon (1994)) or the dissipation or relocation of the mantle plume source in the presence of fast plate tectonic rates (Swanson-Hysell et al., 2014). By this time, thermal subsidence would have certainly been underway. The cumulative post-rift subsidence in the MCR would have dramatically altered the surface long after the rifting had actually ceased, a factor that must be considered in interpretations of the rift’s evolution and tectonic setting.

Constraining this post-rift stage of MCR development is paramount to a comprehensive understanding of this unique geologic feature. Additionally, in the absence of coherent age constraints on MCR sediments, quantifying expected subsidence and associated sedimentation in the MCR could allow a better understanding of syn- and post-rift sedimentary sequences and the timeframe and geologic context of their deposition.

## PREVIOUS STUDIES

### Lithospheric Loading and Flexure

Nyquist and Wang (1988) approximated the amount of flexural subsidence in the MCR using seismic data from the southwest limb of the MCR. They were able to satisfactorily describe flexure interpreted from seismic data using a thin-plate model deflected by a line load. Data were matched reasonably well with both broken and unbroken plate models, although each required a slightly different elastic thickness. Surface loading of post-rift sediments and extrusive volcanics were not sufficient to produce the observed flexure, so Nyquist and Wang (1988) hypothesized the presence of a large central volcanic plug emplaced during rifting that provided the most dramatic lithospheric loading.

Nyquist and Wang (1988) remain the most direct treatment of flexural subsidence in the MCR. However, their data is isolated to a small arm of the rift that has poor surface exposure and is (arguably) not entirely characteristic of the rift as a whole. It would be preferable to conduct a similar study using developed seismic data (Behrendt et al., 1990) from what is considered to be the main rift basin across Lake Superior, also where MCR volcanics are best exposed.

### Thermal Subsidence

The thermal subsidence of the MCR is highly dependent on mantle temperature since it is essentially a feature of conductive cooling. As significant conductive cooling and subsidence in the region would likely have required the shutoff of tensional stresses or the relocation of the underlying mantle plume, thermal subsidence in the MCR is considered to be largely confined to the later stages of the rift. Using REE inversion

techniques to estimate the changing melting depths of distinct volcanic sequences in the MCR, White (1997) estimated the total lithospheric thinning that occurred during. In accordance with the thermal subsidence model of McKenzie (1978), White (1997) estimates a  $\beta$  stretching factor of 6 in the MCR and elevated mantle temperatures of 1550°C.

## References

- Behrendt, J. C., Hutchinson, D. R., Lee, M., Thornber, C. R., Tr  hu, A., Cannon, W., and Green, A., 1990, Glimpce seismic reflection evidence of deep-crustal and upper-mantle intrusions and magmatic underplating associated with the midcontinent rift system of north america: *Tectonophysics*, vol. 173, pp. 595–615.
- Cannon, W. F., 1989, The North American midcontinent rift beneath Lake Superior from GLIMPCE seismic reflection profiling: *Tectonics*, vol. 8, pp. 305–332.
- Cannon, W. F., 1992, The Midcontinent rift in the Lake Superior region with emphasis on its geodynamic evolution: *Tectonophysics*, vol. 213, pp. 41–48, doi:10.1016/0040-1951(92)90250-A.
- Cannon, W. F., 1994, Closing of the midcontinent rift—a far—field effect of grenvillian compression: *Geology*, vol. 22, pp. 155–158, URL <http://geology.gsapubs.org/content/22/2/155.abstract>.
- Cannon, W. F. and Hinze, W. J., 1992, Speculations on the origin of the north american midcontinent rift: *Tectonophysics*, vol. 213, pp. 49–55.
- Ernst, R. E., Bleeker, W., S  derlund, U., and Kerr, A. C., 2013, Large igneous provinces and supercontinents: Toward completing the plate tectonic revolution: *Lithos*, vol. 174, pp. 1–14, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2013.02.017>, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0024493713000571>.
- Hutchinson, D., White, R., Cannon, W., and Schulz, K., 1990, Keweenaw hot spot: Geophysical evidence for a 1.1 ga mantle plume beneath the midcontinent rift system: *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* (1978–2012), vol. 95, pp. 10,869–10,884.
- McKenzie, D., 1978, Some remarks on the development of sedimentary basins: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, vol. 40, pp. 25–32.
- Nyquist, J. E. and Wang, H. F., 1988, Flexural modeling of the midcontinent rift: *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, vol. 93, pp. 8852–8868, doi:10.1029/JB093iB08p08852, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/JB093iB08p08852>.
- Stein, C. A., Kley, J., Stein, S., Hindle, D., and Keller, G. R., 2015, North america’s midcontinent rift: When rift met lip: *Geosphere*, URL <http://geosphere.gsapubs.org/content/early/2015/08/13/GES01183.1.abstract>.
- Stein, C. A., Stein, S., Merino, M., Randy Keller, G., Flesch, L. M., and Jurdy, D. M., 2014, Was the midcontinent rift part of a successful seafloor-spreading episode?: *Geophysical Research Letters*, p. 2013GL059176, doi:10.1002/2013GL059176, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2013GL059176>.
- Swanson-Hysell, N. L., Burgess, S. D., Maloof, A. C., and Bowring, S. A., 2014, Magmatic activity and plate motion during the latent stage of Midcontinent Rift development: *Geology*, vol. 42, pp. 475–478, doi:10.1130/G35271.1.
- White, R. and McKenzie, D., 1989, Magmatism at rift zones: the generation of volcanic continental margins and flood basalts: *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* (1978–2012), vol. 94, pp. 7685–7729.
- White, R. S., 1997, Mantle temperature and lithospheric thinning beneath the midcontinent rift system: evidence from magmatism and subsidence: *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, vol. 34, pp. 464–475, doi:10.1139/e17-038, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e17-038>.