
Individual Contribution Report

for

TTrack – Degree Tracker

SDM404 - Assessment 4 – Individual Contribution Report	
Project Name	TTrack – Degree Tracker
Group #	#1
Group Members Names	Luis Guilherme de Barros Andrade Faria - A00187785
Version	1.0.0
Date Created	19, August, 2025

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Tasks Worked On	2
3.	Problems Faced and Reflections	3
4.	Solutions Implemented	4
5.	Outcome	4
6.	Personal Reflection	4

1. Introduction

This project was my first opportunity to carry dual responsibility as both Software Engineer and Project Manager. In my professional background, I have played these roles separately, but never simultaneously. Acting in both capacities changed my perspective: I had to constantly balance engineering trade-offs (e.g., which library or database to adopt) against management imperatives (timelines, risk, stakeholder validation).

Looking back, my workflow consistently followed the loop of *plan* → *build* → *validate* → *adjust*. Each iteration forced me to face technical unknowns while maintaining delivery discipline.

The project became a mirror of the Software Development Management principles studied in class. I'd like to point out that not abstract theories, but living practices that made or broke our progress!

2. Tasks Worked On

A quick summary of the progress on the application development can be found [here](#) and you'll also be able to see the complete changelog within the directory */docs* of the TTrack's source code.

Date	Description
27/06/2025	First version of TTrack GUI with pandas integration
04/07/2025	Integrated sample data and file upload module
12/07/2025	Built macOS and Windows distributions
30/07/2025	Integrated Supabase database for persistent storage
05/08/2025	Layered Architecture rollout (Core, Services, Controllers)
07/08/2025	Added Student Records tab to show processed history
16/08/2025	Engine Matching 2.0 (MSIT curriculum)

18/08/2025	Engine Matching for ADIT21 (multi-curriculum support)
19/08/2025	Course selection feature (switch between MSIT/ADIT)

3. Problems Faced and Reflections

As this was my first time building an offline app using PyQt5, many challenges appeared during the progress, below you'll be able to find a few highlights/lowlights:

1. CSV parsing errors with dirty headers

- *Challenge:* Early runs failed due to trailing spaces and inconsistent column names.
- *Deeper lesson:* A system is only as strong as its assumptions. I had to harden the pipeline against messy, real-world data and created the feature of giving final users a sample data to be used as default input content.

2. UI discrepancies between macOS and Windows

- *Challenge:* The same PyQt layouts rendered misaligned on different platforms.
- *Deeper lesson:* Cross-platform engineering is never "free." Anticipating variability should be built into the schedule.

3. Light/Dark mode toggle bug

- *Challenge:* State logic broke when switching themes mid-session.
- *Deeper lesson:* Small UX issues reveal architectural weaknesses. This pushed me to centralize theme state in a *ThemeManager* class.

4. Database decision (MongoDB vs Supabase)

- *Challenge:* Choosing between a flexible but heavy stack (Mongo) and a lean but managed solution (Supabase).
- *Deeper lesson:* Good management is often *saying no*. I learned to prioritize simplicity and integration over "what looks powerful." Also first time using Authentication from Supabase.

5. Encryption of .env in distributed builds

- *Challenge:* Security vs usability: distributing credentials safely while keeping builds functional offline.
- *Deeper lesson:* Security isn't an add-on; it must be engineered into the release pipeline from day one.

6. Late-breaking elective rules (ADIT)

- *Challenge:* ADIT introduced slightly different elective/credit logic.
- *Deeper lesson:* A well-designed engine can adapt. The dict-based loader I created made this pivot possible without rewriting everything. You'll be able to see that in class *DataProcessor*.

4. Solutions Implemented

In summary, the approach to the previous issues were:

- **Robust preprocessing:** Stripping whitespace, normalizing case → eliminated fragile CSV parsing.
- **Responsive layouts:** Dynamic PyQt grids replaced static positioning → stable cross-platform UX.
- **Centralized theming:** ThemeManager handled state → light/dark mode became predictable.
- **Database integration:** Chose Supabase → avoided over-engineering, but gained reliable cloud sync.
- **Security-conscious builds:** Automated .env encryption → shipped binaries safely to end users.
- **Multi-curriculum engine:** Modular data loaders for MSIT & ADIT → extensibility proven.

5. Outcome

TTrack evolved from a simple matching script into a full-fledged academic progress tracker that can:

- Upload student transcripts and compare against different curricula
- Validate prerequisites and fill elective slots dynamically
- Save sessions locally or to the cloud (Supabase), with retrieval by student ID
- Run cross-platform on macOS/Windows with consistent UI/UX
- Export progress reports for offline or administrative review

The engine is no longer bound to a single course. It now supports both MSIT and ADIT structures, positioning TTrack as a scalable solution for Torrens University.

6. Personal Reflection

Dr. Atif, thank you for the invaluable guidance and for shaping the TTrack project into both a technical and personal learning journey. Working on this blurred the boundaries between engineering execution and management responsibility. Through the process, I grew as a professional by:

- Building functional and scalable code
- Balancing trade-offs between scope, schedule, and complexity
- Translating technical decisions for non-technical stakeholders
- Experiencing the cost of poor assumptions and the relief of resilient design

- Leading myself through iterative cycles of challenge, adjustment, and delivery

The most meaningful outcome was not just the software itself, but a shift in mindset: I now view projects less as “code to be written” and more as **systems of decisions, risks, and people**.

To extend this journey, I have documented the entire process in an open-source repository, my personal website, and the dev.to platform, sharing not only the code, but also reflections, documentation and insights from the project. If you have the time to check:

- **Repository:** github.com/lfariabr/masters-swe-ai
- **Dev.to:** dev.to/lfariaus
- **Portfolio:** luisfaria.dev

Looking forward to when our paths cross again in the future. Thank you!