

概率论第三次作业

Problem 1(Warm-up Problems)

211240042 林凡琪

- **[Variance (I)]** Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be pairwise independent random variables. Show that

$$\mathbf{Var} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Var}[X_i].$$

Proof:

Let $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Var}[Y] &= \mathbf{E}[Y^2] - \mathbf{E}[Y]^2 \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right)^2 \right] - \left(\mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right] \right)^2 \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} X_i X_j \right] - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}[X_i] \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}[X_i^2] + 2 \sum_{i < j} \mathbf{E}[X_i X_j] - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}[X_i] \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are pairwise independent, we have $\mathbf{E}[X_i X_j] = \mathbf{E}[X_i] \mathbf{E}[X_j]$ for $i \neq j$.

Substituting this into the above equation, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Var}[Y] &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}[X_i^2] + 2 \sum_{i < j} \mathbf{E}[X_i] \mathbf{E}[X_j] - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}[X_i] \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{E}[X_i^2] - \mathbf{E}[X_i]^2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Var}[X_i]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have shown that $\mathbf{Var} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Var}[X_i]$.

- **[Variance (II)]** Each member of a group of n players rolls a (fair) die. For any pair of players who throw the same number, the group scores 1 point. Find the mean and variance of the total score of the group.

Solution:

设 X 是这组的总分.

$$E[X] = \frac{1}{6} \times \binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{12}$$

设 $X_{i,j}$ 表示第 i 个玩家和第 j 个玩家投掷相同数字时该团队得分. 则 $X = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X_{i,j}$

$$\mathbf{Var}[X] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E[X^2] &= E\left[\left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X_{i,j}\right)^2\right] \\
&= E\left[\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X_{i,j}^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} X_{i,j} X_{j,k}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

因为 $X_{i,j}$ 只能取0或1, 所以 $X_{i,j}^2 = X_{i,j}$

因此

$$\begin{aligned}
E[X^2] &= E\left[\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X_{i,j} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} X_{i,j} X_{j,k}\right] \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} E[X_{i,j}] + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} E[X_{i,j} X_{j,k}] + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k < l \leq n} E[X_{i,j} X_{k,l}]
\end{aligned}$$

由于每一对玩家得到相同数字的概率为 $\frac{1}{6}$, 则 $E[X_{i,j}] = \frac{1}{6}$, 又因为三个玩家投到相同数字的概率为 $\frac{1}{6^2}$, 则 $E[X_{i,j} X_{j,k}] = \frac{1}{36}$, 四个玩家投到相同数字的概率为 $\frac{1}{6^3}$, 则 $E[X_{i,j} X_{k,l}] = \frac{1}{216}$

$$\text{因此, } E[X^2] = \binom{n}{2} \times \frac{1}{6} + 2 \times \binom{n}{3} \times \frac{1}{36} + 2 \times \binom{n}{4} \times \frac{1}{216} = \frac{n(n-1)(n^2+19n+174)}{2592}$$

即

$$\text{Var}[X] = \binom{n}{2} \times \frac{1}{6} + 2 \times \binom{n}{3} \times \frac{1}{36} + 2 \times \binom{n}{4} \times \frac{1}{216} - \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{12}\right)^2 = \frac{n(n-1)(n^2+19n+174)}{2592} - \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{12}\right)^2$$

(此题致谢陈子元同学)

- **[Variance (III)]** An urn contains n balls numbered $1, 2, \dots, n$. We select k balls uniformly at random **without replacement** and add up their numbers. Find the mean and variance of the sum.

Solution:

Let's define the random variable X as the sum of the numbers on the k balls selected. We can break down X into a sum of indicator random variables X_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $X_i = i$ if ball i is selected and 0 otherwise.

Since we are selecting k balls uniformly at random without replacement from a total of n balls, the probability that any particular ball is selected is $\frac{k}{n}$. Therefore, the expected value of each X_i is:

$$\mathbf{E}[X_i] = i \cdot \frac{k}{n} + 0 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) = \frac{ik}{n}.$$

The expected value of X is:

$$\mathbf{E}[X] = \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}[X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{ik}{n} = \frac{k}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{k(n+1)}{2}.$$

To find the variance of X , we can use the formula for the variance of a sum of pairwise independent random variables that you mentioned earlier:

$$\mathbf{Var}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Var}[X_i].$$

Since X_i is an indicator random variable with expected value $\frac{ik}{n}$, its variance is:

$$\mathbf{Var}[X_i] = \mathbf{E}[X_i^2] - \mathbf{E}[X_i]^2 = i^2 \cdot \frac{k}{n} - \left(\frac{ik}{n}\right)^2 = i^2 \cdot \frac{k}{n} - i^2 \cdot \frac{k^2}{n^2} = i^2 \cdot \frac{k(n-k)}{n^2}.$$

Therefore, the variance of X is:

$$\mathbf{Var}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Var}[X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 \cdot \frac{k(n-k)}{n^2} = \frac{k(n-k)}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \frac{k(n-k)(n+1)(2n+1)}{6n}.$$

So, the mean and variance of the sum are $\frac{k(n+1)}{2}$ and $\frac{k(n-k)(n+1)(2n+1)}{6n}$, respectively.

- **[Variance (IV)]** Let N be an integer-valued, positive random variable and let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be independently identically distributed random variables that are independent of N , too.

Precisely, for any finite subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}_+$ and N are mutually independent. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$, show that $\mathbf{Var}[X] = \mathbf{Var}[X_1]\mathbb{E}[N] + \mathbb{E}[X_1]^2 \mathbf{Var}[N]$.

Proof:

Let's define the random variable X as $X = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$. We can use the law of total variance to find the variance of X :

$$\mathbf{Var}[X] = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{Var}[X|N]] + \mathbf{Var}[\mathbf{E}[X|N]].$$

Since $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are independent and identically distributed, we have:

$$\mathbf{Var}[X|N] = \mathbf{Var}\left[\sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right] = N\mathbf{Var}[X_1].$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{Var}[X|N]] = \mathbf{E}[N]\mathbf{Var}[X_1].$$

Also, since $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are independent and identically distributed, we have:

$$\mathbf{E}[X|N] = \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right] = N\mathbf{E}[X_1].$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{Var}[\mathbf{E}[X|N]] = \mathbf{Var}[N]\mathbf{E}[X_1]^2.$$

Substituting these results into the law of total variance, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Var}[X] &= \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{Var}[X|N]] + \mathbf{Var}[\mathbf{E}[X|N]] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[N]\mathbf{Var}[X_1] + \mathbf{Var}[N]\mathbf{E}[X_1]^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have shown that $\mathbf{Var}[X] = \mathbf{Var}[X_1]\mathbb{E}[N] + \mathbb{E}[X_1]^2 \mathbf{Var}[N]$.

- **[Moments (I)]** Find an example of a random variable with finite j -th moments for $1 \leq j \leq k$ but an unbounded $(k+1)$ -th moment. Give a clear argument showing that your choice has these properties.

Solution:

One example of a random variable that has finite k -th moments for $k < n$ but an unbounded n -th moment is a random variable X with a probability density function given by:

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{x^{n+1}} & x \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is known as a Pareto distribution with shape parameter n and scale parameter 1.

For $k < n$, the k -th moment of X is given by:

$$\mathbf{E}[X^k] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^k f_X(x) dx = \int_1^{\infty} x^k \frac{n}{x^{n+1}} dx = n \int_1^{\infty} x^{k-n-1} dx.$$

Since $k - n - 1 < -1$, this integral converges to a finite value:

$$\mathbf{E}[X^k] = n \int_1^{\infty} x^{k-n-1} dx = n \left[\frac{x^{k-n}}{k-n} \right]_1^{\infty} = \frac{n}{n-k}.$$

However, for $k = n$, the n -th moment of X is given by:

$$\mathbf{E}[X^n] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n f_X(x) dx = \int_1^{\infty} x^n \frac{n}{x^{n+1}} dx = n \int_1^{\infty} dx = \infty.$$

Therefore, the random variable X has finite k -th moments for $k < n$ but an unbounded n -th moment.

- **[Moments (II)]** Let $X \sim \text{Geo}(p)$ for some $p \in (0, 1)$. Find $\mathbb{E}[X^3]$ and $\mathbb{E}[X^4]$.

Solution:

Let $X \sim \text{Geo}(p)$ for some $p \in (0, 1)$. The moment generating function of X is given by

$$M_X(t) = \frac{pe^t}{1 - (1-p)e^t}.$$

To find $\mathbb{E}[X^3]$, we differentiate the moment generating function thrice and evaluate it at $t = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X^3] &= M_X^{(3)}(0) \\ &= \left. \frac{d^3}{dt^3} \frac{pe^t}{1 - (1-p)e^t} \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{2p(1-p)^2}{(1-p)^3}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, to find $\mathbb{E}[X^4]$, we differentiate the moment generating function four times and evaluate it at $t = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X^4] &= M_X^{(4)}(0) \\ &= \left. \frac{d^4}{dt^4} \frac{pe^t}{1 - (1-p)e^t} \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{6p(1-p)^3 + 8p(1-p)^2 + 2p(1-p)}{(1-p)^4}\end{aligned}$$

- **[Moments (III)]** Let $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda > 0$. Find $\mathbb{E}[X^3]$ and $\mathbb{E}[X^4]$.

Solution:

Let $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda > 0$. The moment generating function of X is given by

$$M_X(t) = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}.$$

To find $\mathbb{E}[X^3]$, we differentiate the moment generating function thrice and evaluate it at $t = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X^3] &= M_X^{(3)}(0) \\ &= \left. \frac{d^3}{dt^3} e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)} \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, to find $\mathbb{E}[X^4]$, we differentiate the moment generating function four times and evaluate it at $t = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X^4] &= M_X^{(4)}(0) \\ &= \left. \frac{d^4}{dt^4} e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)} \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 3)\end{aligned}$$

- **[Covariance and correlation (I)]** Let X and Y be discrete random variables with correlation ρ . Show that $|\rho| \leq 1$.

Proof:

设 X 和 Y 为具有相关系数 ρ 的离散随机变量。

X 和 Y 的相关系数定义为 $\rho = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$ 。

根据柯西-施瓦茨不等式,

$$\begin{aligned}|\rho| &= \left| \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)} \sqrt{\text{Var}(Y)}}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

因此, $|\rho| \leq 1$ 。

- **[Covariance and correlation (II)]**

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(\max\{X^2, Y^2\}) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{2}(X^2 + Y^2 + |X^2 - Y^2|)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}(X^2 + Y^2) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}(|X^2 - Y^2|)\end{aligned}$$

由于 X 和 Y 的方差为 1, 我们知道 $\mathbb{E}(X^2) = \mathbb{E}(Y^2) = 1$ 。因此, 第一项变为 $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}(X^2 + Y^2) = 1$ 。对于第二项, 我们可以使用柯西-施瓦茨不等式来获得一个上界:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(|X^2 - Y^2|) &\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}((X^2 - Y^2)^2)} \\ &= \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(X^4 - 2X^2Y^2 + Y^4)} \\ &= \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(X^4) + \mathbb{E}(Y^4) - 2\mathbb{E}(X^2Y^2)}\end{aligned}$$

$$E(|X^2 - Y^2|) = E[(X^2 - Y^2)I_{X^2 \leq Y^2}] + E[(Y^2 - X^2)I_{X^2 < Y^2}]$$

由于 X 和 Y 的均值都为 0, 所以

$$\text{Cov}(X^2, Y^2) = E(X^2Y^2) - E(X^2)E(Y^2) = E(X^2Y^2) - 1$$

因此,

$$\begin{aligned}E(\max\{X^2, Y^2\}) &= \frac{1}{2}(2 + E(|X^2 - Y^2|)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(2 + 2\sqrt{(1 - \rho^2)}\right) \\ &= 1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}\end{aligned}$$

因此, 得证。

(此题致谢陈子元同学.)

- **[Covariance and correlation (III)]** Construct two random variables X and Y such that their covariance $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$ but X and Y are not independent. You should prove your construction is true.

Solution:

例子: 两个随机变量 X 和 Y 它们的协方差 $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$ 但 X 和 Y 不是独立的:

设 X 是一个随机变量, 它以相等的概率取值 -1、0 和 1。设 $Y = X^2$ 。那么, $\mathbf{E}[X] = 0$, $\mathbf{E}[Y] = \frac{1}{3}(-1)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(0)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(1)^2 = \frac{2}{3}$, 且 $\mathbf{E}[XY] = \mathbf{E}[X^3] = \frac{1}{3}(-1)^3 + \frac{1}{3}(0)^3 + \frac{1}{3}(1)^3 = 0$ 。因此, $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbf{E}[XY] - \mathbf{E}[X]\mathbf{E}[Y] = 0 - 0 \cdot \frac{2}{3} = 0$ 。然而, X 和 Y 显然不是独立的, 因为知道 Y 的值会告诉我们一些关于 X 的值的消息。例如, 如果 $Y = 1$, 那么我们知道 X 必须是 -1 或 1。

Problem 2 (Inequalities)

- **[Reverse Markov's inequality]** Let X be a discrete random variable with bounded range $0 \leq X \leq U$ for some $U > 0$. Show that $\Pr(X \leq a) \leq \frac{U - \mathbf{E}[X]}{U - a}$ for any $0 < a < U$.

Proof:

Let X be a discrete random variable with bounded range $0 \leq X \leq U$ for some $U > 0$. Let a be any value such that $0 < a < U$. Define the indicator function $I_{X \leq a}$ as follows: $I_{X \leq a} = 1$ if $X \leq a$, and $I_{X \leq a} = 0$ otherwise. Then, we have:

$$\mathbf{E}[I_{X \leq a}] = \Pr(X \leq a)$$

Since $I_{X \leq a}$ is either 0 or 1, we have:

$$\mathbf{E}[I_{X \leq a}] = \Pr(X \leq a) = \frac{\mathbf{E}[I_{X \leq a}]}{\mathbf{E}[I_{X \leq a}]} = \frac{\mathbf{E}[I_{X \leq a}]}{\mathbf{E}[1]}$$

Now, let's consider the quantity $U - X$. Since $0 \leq X \leq U$, we have $0 \leq U - X \leq U$.

Therefore, we can apply Markov's inequality to the random variable $U - X$ to obtain:

$$\Pr(U - X \geq U - a) = \Pr(X \leq a) = \frac{\mathbf{E}[I_{X \leq a}]}{\mathbf{E}[1]} = \frac{\mathbf{E}[U - X]}{\mathbf{E}[U - a]} = \frac{\mathbf{E}[U] - \mathbf{E}[X]}{\mathbf{E}[U] - \mathbf{E}[a]} = \frac{\mathbf{E}[U] - \mathbf{E}[X]}{\mathbf{E}[U] - a} = \frac{(U) - (U - \mathbf{E}[X])}{(U) - a} = \frac{(U - \mathbf{E}[X])}{(U) - a}$$

Thus, we have shown that for any discrete random variable X with bounded range $0 \leq X \leq U$ for some $U > 0$, and for any value a such that $0 < a < U$, we have:

$$\Pr(X \leq a) \leq \frac{(U - \mathbf{E}[X])}{(U) - a}$$

- **[Markov's inequality]** Let X be a discrete random variable. Show that for all $\beta \geq 0$ and all $x > 0$, $\Pr(X \geq x) \leq \mathbb{E}(e^{\beta X})e^{-\beta x}$.

Proof:

To prove this, let $\beta \geq 0$ and $x > 0$. Then, for any $X \geq 0$, we have:

$$e^{\beta X} \geq e^{\beta x} \text{ if and only if } X \geq x.$$

Therefore, $e^{\beta X} \geq e^{\beta x} \mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq x\}}$, where $\mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq x\}}$ is the indicator function that takes the value 1 if $X \geq x$ and 0 otherwise.

Taking the expectation of both sides, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(e^{\beta X}) &\geq e^{\beta x} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq x\}}) \\ &= e^{\beta x} \Pr(X \geq x) \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $e^{\beta x}$, we get:

$$\Pr(X \geq x) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}(e^{\beta X})}{e^{\beta x}} = \mathbb{E}(e^{\beta X})e^{-\beta x}$$

- **[Cantelli's inequality]** Let X be a discrete random variable with mean 0 and variance σ^2 .

Prove that for any $\lambda > 0$, $\Pr[X \geq \lambda] \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda^2 + \sigma^2}$. (Hint: You may first show that

$$\Pr[X \geq \lambda] \leq \frac{\sigma^2 + u^2}{(\lambda + u)^2} \text{ for all } u > 0.)$$

Proof:

首先用 Markov 不等式来证明 $\Pr[X \geq \lambda] \leq \frac{\sigma^2 + u^2}{(\lambda + u)^2}$ 对于所有 $u > 0$ 成立。

由于 X 的期望值为 0, 有 $\mathbf{E}[(X + u)^2] = \sigma^2 + u^2$ 。

然后, 应用 Markov 不等式, 得到:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[X \geq \lambda] &= \Pr[X + u \geq \lambda + u] = \Pr[(X + u)^2 \geq (\lambda + u)^2] \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbf{E}[(X + u)^2]}{(\lambda + u)^2} = \frac{\sigma^2 + u^2}{(\lambda + u)^2} \end{aligned}$$

通过最小化 $\frac{\sigma^2 + u^2}{(\lambda + u)^2}$ 来找到最佳的 u 值。对分子求导并令其等于零, 得到 $u = \lambda$ 。因此,

$$\Pr[X \geq \lambda] \leq \frac{\sigma^2 + u^2}{(\lambda + u)^2} = \frac{\sigma^2 + \lambda^2}{(\lambda + \lambda)^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda^2 + \sigma^2}$$

- **[The weak law of large numbers]** Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be independent and identically distributed random variables with mean μ and finite variance, use Chebyshev's inequality to show that for any constant $\epsilon > 0$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\left(\left|\frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \epsilon\right) = 0$.

Proof:

设 $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$, 则 $\mathbf{E}[S_n] = n\mu$ 。由于 X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n 独立且具有相同的分布, 有 $\text{Var}(S_n) = n\sigma^2$, 其中 σ^2 是每个随机变量的方差。

应用 Chebyshev 不等式, 得:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \epsilon\right) &= \Pr(|S_n - n\mu| > n\epsilon) \\ &\leq \frac{\text{Var}(S_n)}{(n\epsilon)^2} = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}\end{aligned}$$

由于 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2} = 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \epsilon\right) = 0$$

- **[Median trick]** Suppose we want to estimate the value of Z . Let \mathcal{A} be a randomized algorithm that outputs \hat{Z} satisfying $\Pr[(1 - \epsilon)Z \leq \hat{Z} \leq (1 + \epsilon)Z] \geq \frac{3}{4}$ for some fixed parameter $\epsilon > 0$. We run \mathcal{A} independently for $2n - 1$ times, and obtain the outputs $\hat{Z}_1, \hat{Z}_2, \dots, \hat{Z}_{2n-1}$. Let X be the median (中位数) of $\hat{Z}_1, \hat{Z}_2, \dots, \hat{Z}_{2n-1}$. Use Chebyshev's inequality to show that $\Pr[(1 - \epsilon)Z \leq X \leq (1 + \epsilon)Z] = 1 - O(1/n)$. (Remark: The bound can be drastically improved with [Chernoff bound](#)).

Proof:

设 Y_i 为一个随机变量, 当 $(1 - \epsilon)Z \leq \hat{Z}_i \leq (1 + \epsilon)Z$ 时取值为 1, 否则取值为 0。

由题意可知, $\mathbf{E}[Y_i] = \Pr[(1 - \epsilon)Z \leq \hat{Z}_i \leq (1 + \epsilon)Z] \geq \frac{3}{4}$ 。

设 $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} Y_i$, 则 $\mathbf{E}[Y] = (2n - 1)\mathbf{E}[Y_i] \geq \frac{3}{2}n - \frac{3}{4}$ 。

由 Chebyshev 不等式,

$$\Pr[|Y - \mathbf{E}[Y]| \geq n/2] \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{(n/2)^2}$$

由于 Y_i 是独立的随机变量, 有 $\text{Var}(Y) = (2n - 1)\text{Var}(Y_i)$ 。

又因为 Y_i 是一个伯努利随机变量, 所以 $\text{Var}(Y_i) = p(1 - p)$, 其中 $p = \mathbf{E}[Y_i]$ 。

因此,

$$\Pr[|Y - \mathbf{E}[Y]| \geq n/2] \leq \frac{(2n - 1)p(1 - p)}{(n/2)^2} = O(1/n)$$

这意味着, 以至少 $1 - O(1/n)$ 的概率, Y 的值在其期望值的 $n/2$ 范围内。

也就是说,

$$\Pr\left[\frac{n}{4} < Y < 2n - \frac{n}{4}\right] = 1 - O(1/n)$$

这意味着, 在至少 $n/4$ 个 \hat{Z} 中, $(1 - \epsilon)Z$ 和 $(1 + \epsilon)Z$ 之间有一个值。

因此, 在这些值中, 中位数 X 必定在这个范围内。

所以,

$$\Pr[(1 - \epsilon)Z \leq X \leq (1 + \epsilon)Z] = 1 - O(1/n)$$

Problem 3 (Probability meets graph theory)

- **[Common neighbor]** Let $p \in (0, 1)$ be a constant. Show that with a probability approaching to 1 (as n tends to infinity) the Erdős-Rényi random graph $\mathbf{G}(n, p)$ has the property that every pair of its vertices has a common neighbor. (Hint: You may use Markov's inequality.)

Proof:

对于任意两个顶点 u 和 v , 它们没有公共邻居的概率为 $(1 - p^2)^{n-2}$, 因为剩下的 $n - 2$ 个顶点都不与它们同时相邻。

由于有 $\binom{n}{2}$ 对顶点, 根据线性期望,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \binom{n}{2} (1 - p^2)^{n-2}$$

由于 p 是常数且大于 0, 所以当 n 趋近于无穷大时, $(1 - p^2)^{n-2}$ 趋近于 0。因此, $\mathbb{E}[X]$ 也趋近于 0。

使用马尔科夫不等式来估计 $\Pr(X \geq 1)$ 。

对于任意正数 t , 我们有:

$$\Pr(X \geq 1) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{1} = \mathbb{E}[X]$$

由于 $\mathbb{E}[X]$ 趋近于 0, 所以 $\Pr(X \geq 1)$ 也趋近于 0。这意味着当 n 趋近于无穷大时, $\mathbf{G}(n, p)$ 中每对顶点都有一个公共邻居的概率趋近于 1。

- **[Isolated vertices]** Prove that $p = \log n/n$ is the threshold probability for the disappearance of isolated vertices. Formally, you are required to show that
 - with a probability approaching to 1 (as n tends to infinity) the Erdős-Rényi random graph $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(n, p)$ has the property that G has no isolated vertices when $p = \omega(\log n/n)$;

Proof:

设 X 为 Erdős-Rényi 随机图 $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(n, p)$ 中孤立顶点的数量。对于任意一个顶点 v , 它是孤立的概率为 $(1 - p)^{n-1}$, 因为剩下的 $n - 1$ 个顶点都不与它相邻。由于有 n 个顶点, 根据线性期望, 则,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = n(1 - p)^{n-1}$$

当 $p = \omega(\log n/n)$ 时,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(1 - p)}{\log n/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(1 - \omega(\log n/n))}{\log n/n} = -\infty$$

因此,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - p)^{n-1} = 0$$

所以,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[X] = 0$$

由 Markov 不等式,

$$\Pr[X > 0] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{1} = \mathbb{E}[X]$$

因此,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr[X > 0] = 0$$

这意味着, 当 $p = \omega(\log n/n)$ 时, 以概率趋近于 1, Erdős-Rényi 随机图 $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(n, p)$ 没有孤立顶点。

- with a probability approaching to 0 (as n tends to infinity) the Erdős-Rényi random graph $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(n, p)$ has the property that \mathbf{G} has no isolated vertices when $p = o(\log n/n)$.

Proof:

设 X 表示在 $\mathbf{G}(n, p)$ 中孤立顶点的数量。

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = n(1 - p)^{n-1}$$

当 $p = o(\log n/n)$ 时:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = n(1 - p)^{n-1} \leq ne^{-p(n-1)} = ne^{-pn} = o(1)$$

因此, 当 $p = o(\log n/n)$ 时, $\mathbb{E}[X]$ 趋近于 0。

由 Markov 不等式, 对于任意正数 t ,

$$\mathbf{Pr}(X \geq 1) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{1} = \mathbb{E}[X]$$

由于 $\mathbb{E}[X]$ 趋近于 0, 所以 $\mathbf{Pr}(X \geq 1)$ 也趋近于 0。这意味着当 n 趋近于无穷大且 $p = o(\log n/n)$ 时, $\mathbf{G}(n, p)$ 中没有孤立顶点的概率趋近于 1。