Information Theory

Problem Set 03 - The Source Coding Theorem

Luís Felipe Ramos Ferreira

lframos_ferreira@outlook.com

1. (a) The Shannon information content h(x) of the outcome x of a random experiment is defined as:

$$h(x) = log_2 \frac{1}{p_x}$$

, where p_x represents the probability of observing the outcome x. The value h(x) means, in a general sense of the word, the amount of information we gain about the state of the world after running the experiment and obtaining the result x. We can also say it measures the amount of uncertainty of that outcome. If it's probability p_x is big, there is no "surprise" when the result x is obtained, but if p_x is small, you get very "surprised" with that information.

(b) The entropy H(X) of an ensemble X is defined as:

$$H(X) = \sum_{x \in X} p_x log_2 \frac{1}{p_x}$$

The value H(X) is a measure of the average information content of the ensemble X. As the formula states, it is a weighted average of the Shannon information content of each outcome x of the emsemble, where the weight of each outcome is it's probability.

(c) A convex function is a function where, for any pair of points in the graph of the function, the line between those points lies above the graph between the points. In more formal therms, as stated by MacKay in [1], a function f is convex if for all $x_1, x_2 \in (a, b)$ and $0 \le \lambda \le 1$,

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \le \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2)$$

For example:

- i. $f(x) = x^2$ is convex over $(-\infty, \infty)$;
- ii. $f(x) = e^x$ is convex over $(-\infty, \infty)$;
- iii. f(x) = sin(x) is not convex over $(-\infty, \infty)$;

iv. $f(x) = x^3$ is not convex over $(-\infty, \infty)$;

(d) Jensen's inequality states that if f is a convex function and x is a random variable then:

$$\mathcal{E}[f(x)] \ge f(\mathcal{E}[x])$$

, where $\mathcal E$ denotes expectation. DISCORRER MAIS SOBRE PARA APRENDER

(e) The formula for the raw bit content of an ensemble X is

$$H_0(X) = log_2 \mid \mathcal{A}_X \mid$$

, where \mathcal{A}_X is the set of possible outcomes of the ensemble X. It represents, in general, the number of binary questions that are needed to identify an outcome x from X for sure. It can also be seen as the smallest length necessary to map each outcome of X to a binary string.

(f)

2. As stated before, the entropy H(X) of an ensemble can be defined as:

$$H(X) = \sum_{x \in X} p_x log_2 \frac{1}{p_x}$$

, where p_x represents the probability of observing the outcome x. Note that, for each outcome x, we have $p_x \geq 0$, which implies that $p_x log_2 \frac{1}{p_x} \geq 0$ (For convention, we assume $0log_2 \frac{1}{0}$ to be 0, since it's where the limit goes. Therefore, for each outcome, there is a contribution to H(X) that greater or equal to zero. At last, we have the sum over the contribution of every outcome, values that are greater or equal to zero, which is also greater or equal to zero, so H(X) > 0.

3. (a)

$$\mathcal{E}(f(x)) = p_a f_a + p_b f_b + p_c f_c = 0.1 * 10 + 0.2 * 5 + 0.7 * \frac{10}{7}$$
$$\mathcal{E}(f(x)) = 1 + 1 + 1 = 3$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\frac{1}{P(x)}) = p_a \frac{1}{p_a} + p_b \frac{1}{p_b} + p_c \frac{1}{p_c} = 1 + 1 + 1 = 3$$

(b) For an arbitrary ensemble X, $\mathcal{E}(\frac{1}{P(x)})$ is exactly the number of possible outcomes of X.

$$\mathcal{E}(\frac{1}{P(x)}) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{A}_X} P(x) \frac{1}{P(x)} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{A}_X} 1 = |\mathcal{A}_X|$$

- (c) jensen
- 4. The problem clearly states a geometric distribution, i. e., the probability distirbution of the number of Bernoulli trials needed to get one success. In this scenario, getting heads is considered success. Let's consider $P_{heads} = P_{tails} = \frac{1}{2}$. For a geometric distribution, we have that the probability of the number of flips required until the first head occurs to be:

$$P(X = k) = (1 - P_{tails})^{k-1} P_{heads} = (\frac{1}{2})^k$$

Therefore, we have:

$$H(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(X=k) \log_2 \frac{1}{P(X=k)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{2})^k \log_2 \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{2})^k}$$
$$H(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{2})^k k = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{(1-\frac{1}{2})^2} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{4}} = 2$$

- 5. Handmande exercise.
- 6. No, it couldn't. It's easy to see that based on the pidgeonhle principle.
- 7. dfd

References

[1] David J. C. MacKay. Information Theory, Inference and Learning Algorithms. 7th edition, 2005.