For brands, Below showing the percentage of missing data:

ID 0.000000

BARCODE 0.000000
BRAND_CODE 6.157635
CPG_ID 0.000000
CATEGORY 6.650246
CATEGORY CODE 7.635468

CATEGORY_CODE 7.63546 NAME 0.000000

ROMANCE_TEXT 25.369458 RELATED BRAND IDS 59.852217

For users, Below showing the percentage of missing data:

CREATED_DATE 0.000000
BIRTH_DATE 0.000000
GENDER 0.000000

LAST REWARDS LOGIN 0.000000

STATE 0.000000

SIGN_UP_PLATFORM 27.439024 SIGN_UP_SOURCE 0.000000

ID 0.000000

On the other hand, for receipt_items, some information is missing. With below showing the percentage of missing data:

REWARDS RECEIPT ID 0.000000

ITEM_INDEX 0.000000

REWARDS RECEIPT ITEM ID 0.000000

DESCRIPTION 0.302739 BARCODE 37.563163 BRAND CODE 57.020842 QUANTITY PURCHASED 2.152191 TOTAL FINAL PRICE 0.192021 POINTS EARNED 94.741063 REWARDS GROUP 82.813276 ORIGINAL RECEIPT ITEM TEXT 0.466456

MODIFY_DATE 0.000000

For receipts, some fields are missing. Below shows the percentage of missing data:

ID 0.000000

 STORE_NAME
 2.600530

 PURCHASE_DATE
 2.926304

 PURCHASE_TIME
 7.006983

 DATE_SCANNED
 0.000000

TOTAL_SPENT 2.113285

REWARDS_RECEIPT_STATUS 0.000000

USER_ID 0.000000 USER_VIEWED 9.157094

PURCHASED_ITEM_COUNT 2.056628

CREATE DATE 0.000000 PENDING DATE 2.058045 MODIFY DATE 0.002833 FLAGGED DATE 94.298948 PROCESSED DATE 100.000000 FINISHED DATE 8.855399 REJECTED DATE 93.790456 NEEDS FETCH REVIEW 99.539667 DIGITAL RECEIPT 0.000000

DIGITAL_RECEIPT 0.000000

DELETED 98.770556

NON POINT EARNING RECEIPT 12.727865

Overall, while some of the fields aren't essential, BRAND_CODE from brand data set is the only available option to connect between items and brand, there are 25 out of 406 brands have missing BRAND_CODE; as a result, we won't be able to connect it with items. On the other hand, 57.021% of brand_code is missing from item side, so we will not be able to know the brand of many items.

For item which I created a table for is only implicitly mentioned in the provided data. The REWARDS_RECEIPT_ITEM_ID seems like to be a possible candidate for primary key of item table; however, all of them turned out to be unique while ORIGINAL_RECEIPT_ITEM_TEXT gives 174085 out of 358696 total values which is might be better candidate for primary key for item table if we believe item with the same text are the same.

For some of the field such as PURCHASED_ITEM_COUNT, we have most of the data, so we can use it for potential customer behavior analysis. Such as the chart below shows the count of each item the customer buys. As a result, in most of purchases, customer will only purchase one of each item, so improving variety might be a better strategy and keeping multiple items.

