

# SQG BOUNDARY, April 15, 2019

LOGAN F. STOKOLS

We're gonna consider the equation

$$(1) \quad \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda \theta = 0, u = \nabla^\perp \Lambda^{-1} \theta.$$

Here the operator

$$\Lambda := \sqrt{-\Delta_D}$$

where  $\Delta_D$  is the Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary condition.

We're going to linearize the equation by fixing  $u$  independent of  $\theta$ . What property do we want  $u$  to have? For some constant  $\kappa$ , we'll want

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_j, \\ \|\Lambda^{-1/4} u_j\|_\infty &\leq \kappa 2^{-j/4}, \\ \|\nabla u_j\|_\infty &\leq \kappa 2^j. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence of that sum is in, say, weak  $L^2$ .

## 1. LEMMAS

**Lemma 1.1.** *If  $f$  and  $g$  are non-negative functions with disjoint support (i.e.  $f(x)g(x) = 0$  for all  $x$ ), then*

$$\int \Lambda^s f \Lambda^s g \, dx \leq 0.$$

This proves, in particular, that  $-\int \theta_+ \Lambda \theta_-$  is a positive term (hence dissipational and extraneous) and that  $\int \Lambda^{1/2}(\theta - \psi) \Lambda^{1/2}(\theta - \psi)$  breaks down (bilinearly) into the doubly positive, the doubly negative, and the cross term, all of which are positive and hence each of which is positive.

*Proof.* Use the characterization from Caffarelli-Stinga. There exist non-negative functions  $K(x, y)$  and  $B(x)$ , depending on the parameter  $s$ , such that

$$\int \Lambda^s f \Lambda^s g \, dx = \iint [f(x) - f(y)][g(x) - g(y)] K(x, y) \, dx dy + \int f(x) g(x) B(x) \, dx.$$

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are non-negative and disjoint, the  $B$  term vanishes. Moreover, the product inside the  $K$  term becomes

$$[f(x) - f(y)][g(x) - g(y)] = -f(x)g(y) - f(y)g(x) \leq 0.$$

Since  $K$  is non-negative, the result follows. □

**Lemma 1.2.** *For any function  $f$ , and any  $0 < s < 1$ ,*

$$\int |\Lambda^s f|^2 \simeq \int \left| (-\Delta)^{s/2} \bar{f} \right|^2.$$

Here  $\bar{f}$  is the extension of  $f$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $(-\Delta)^s$  is defined in the fourier sense.

*Proof.* Let  $g$  be any  $L^2$  function defined on all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $f$  be a function in  $H_D^s$ . Define the function

$$\Phi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (-\Delta)^{z/2} g \overline{\Lambda^{s-z} f}.$$

When  $\Re(z) = 0$ , then  $\|(-\Delta)^{z/2} g\|_2 = \|g\|_2$  and  $\|\Lambda^{s-z} f\|_2 = \|\Lambda^s f\|_2$ .

When  $\Re(z) = 1$ , then  $\|(-\Delta)^{(z-1)/2} g\|_2 = \|g\|_2$  and

$$\|(-\Delta)^{1/2} \overline{\Lambda^{s-z} f}\|_2 = \|\nabla \overline{\Lambda^{s-z} f}\|_2 = \|\Lambda \Lambda^{s-z} f\|_2$$

□

## 2. DE GIORGI ESTIMATES

First let us derive an energy inequality.

We know a priori that  $\theta \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_D^{1/2}(\Omega))$ . Let  $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a non-negative function in  $H_D^{1/2}$  non-uniformly, and define  $\theta = \theta_+ + \psi - \theta_-$ . Since  $\theta - \psi$  is in  $H_D^{1/2}$ , by the lemma above, both  $\theta_+$  and  $\theta_-$  are in that space as well. In particular, our weak solution can eat  $\theta_+$ .

We end up with

$$0 = \int \theta_+ \left[ \frac{d}{dt} + u \cdot \nabla + \Lambda \right] (\theta_+ + \psi - \theta_-)$$

which decomposes into three terms, corresponding to  $\theta_+$ ,  $\psi$ , and  $\theta_-$ . We analyze them one at a time.

Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \theta_+ \left[ \frac{d}{dt} + u \cdot \nabla + \Lambda \right] \theta_+ &= (1/2) \frac{d}{dt} \int \theta_+^2 + (1/2) \int \operatorname{div} u \theta_+^2 + \int |\Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+|^2 \\ &= (1/2) \frac{d}{dt} \int \theta_+^2 + \int |\Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The  $\psi$  term produces important error terms:

$$\int \theta_+ \left[ \frac{d}{dt} + u \cdot \nabla + \Lambda \right] \psi = \frac{d}{dt} \int \theta_+ \psi + \int \theta_+ u \cdot \nabla \psi + \int \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+ \Lambda^{1/2} \psi.$$

Since  $\theta_+$  and  $\theta_-$  have disjoint support, the  $\theta_-$  term is nonnegative by lemma [citation]:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \theta_+ \left[ \frac{d}{dt} + u \cdot \nabla + \Lambda \right] \theta_- &= (1/2) \int \theta_+ \partial_t \theta_- + \int \theta_+ u \cdot \nabla \theta_- + \int \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+ \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_- \\ &= \int \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+ \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_- \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Put together, we arrive at

$$(1/2) \frac{d}{dt} \int \theta_+^2 + \int |\Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+|^2 \leq \left| \iint \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+ \Lambda^{1/2} \psi \right| + \left| \int \theta_+ u \cdot \nabla \psi \right|.$$

---


$$(1/2) \frac{d}{dt} \int \theta_+^2 + \int u \cdot \nabla \frac{\theta_+^2}{2} + \int \theta_+ u \cdot \nabla \psi - \int \theta_+ u \cdot \nabla \theta_- + \int \theta_+ \Lambda \theta = 0.$$

We break up the  $\theta_+ \Lambda \theta$  term into

$$\begin{aligned} \int \theta_+ \Lambda \theta &= \int |\Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+|^2 + \int \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+ \Lambda^{1/2} \psi - \int \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+ \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_- \\ &= \int |\Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+|^2 + \iint [\theta_+(x) - \theta_+(y)][\psi(x) - \psi(y)] K(x, y) + \int \theta_+ \psi B - \int \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+ \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_-. \end{aligned}$$

The  $\theta_-$  term is non-negative by lemma [citation], and the  $B$  term is non-negative since  $B \geq 0$ , so we have the inequality

$$(1/2) \frac{d}{dt} \int \theta_+^2 + \int \left| \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+ \right|^2 \leq \left| \iint [\theta_+(x) - \theta_+(y)] [\psi(x) - \psi(y)] K(x, y) \right| + \left| \int \theta_+ u \cdot \nabla \psi \right|.$$

This integral is symmetric in  $x$  and  $y$ , and the integrand is only nonzero if one of  $\theta_+(x)$  and  $\theta_+(y)$  is nonzero. Hence

$$\iint [\theta_+(x) - \theta_+(y)] [\psi(x) - \psi(y)] K(x, y) \leq 2 \chi_{\{\theta_+ > 0\}}(x) |[\theta_+(x) - \theta_+(y)] [\psi(x) - \psi(y)]| K(x, y).$$

Now we can break up this integral using the Peter-Paul variant of Hölder's inequality.

$$\iint [\theta_+(x) - \theta_+(y)] [\psi(x) - \psi(y)] K(x, y) \leq \varepsilon \int \left| \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+ \right|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \iint \chi_{\{\theta_+ > 0\}}(x) [\psi(x) - \psi(y)]^2 K(x, y).$$

It remains to bound the quantity  $[\psi(x) - \psi(y)]^2 K(x, y)$ . By Caffarelli-Stinga theorem 2.4 [citation], there is a universal constant  $C$  such that

$$K(x, y) \leq \frac{C}{|x - y|^3}.$$

The cutoff  $\psi$  is Lipschitz, and it grows at a rate  $|x|^\gamma$ . Therefore

$$[\psi(x) - \psi(y)]^2 K(x, y) \leq |x - y|^{-1} \wedge |x - y|^{2\gamma-3}.$$

If  $3 - 2\gamma > 2$  then this quantity is integrable. Thus

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \theta_+^2 + \int \left| \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+ \right|^2 \lesssim \int \theta_+ u \cdot \nabla \psi + \int \chi_{\{\theta_+ > 0\}}.$$

For the drift term, let's say that  $u$  is broken down into  $u_l$  and  $u_h$  (standing for high-pass and low-pass) and that they have the desired properties.

For the high-pass term, for each  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\int (u_l)_i \theta_+ \partial_i \psi = \int \Lambda^{-1/4} u_l \Lambda^{1/4} (\theta_+ \nabla \psi) \leq \left( \int \left| \Lambda^{1/4} \theta_+ \nabla \psi \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq$$

We're looking at  $\int g \Lambda^{1/4} \theta_+$  where  $\Lambda^{1/4} g = u_h$  and  $g \in L^\infty$ . This breaks down as

$$\iint [g - g^*] [\theta_+ - \theta_+^*] K_{1/4} + \int g \theta_+ B_{1/4}.$$

For a given parameter  $\lambda$ , break up into the region where  $|x - y|$  is bigger and smaller than  $\lambda$ . Considering the bigger part,

$$\iint_{\geq \lambda} [g - g^*] [\theta_+ - \theta_+^*] K_{1/4} \leq 2(2 \|g\|_\infty) \iint_{\geq \lambda} |\theta_+ - \theta_+^*| \frac{dx dx^*}{|x - y|^{2+1/4}} \leq 8\lambda^{-2.25} \|g\|_\infty \|\theta_+\|_1.$$

The  $B$  part may be a real problem. The  $g$  means it doesn't have a sign, so we actually have to bound it. Consider this.

$$\begin{aligned} \int g \theta_k B_{1/4} &\leq \|g\|_\infty \int \theta_{k-1} \theta_k B_{1/4} \\ &\leq \|g\|_\infty \int \theta_{k-1}^2 B_{1/4} \\ &\leq \|g\|_\infty \int \left| \Lambda^{1/8} \theta_{k-1} \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we have the near part.

$$\begin{aligned}
\iint_{<\lambda} [g - g^*][\theta_+ - \theta_+^*] K_{1/4} &\leq 2 \iint_{<\lambda} \chi_+ [g - g^*]^2 |x - y|^{3/4} K_{1/4} + \iint_{<\lambda} [\theta_+ - \theta_+^*]^2 |x - y|^{-3/4} K_{1/4} \\
&\leq 4 \|g\|_\infty^2 \int \chi_+ \int_{<\lambda} |x - y|^{-1.5} + \iint_{<\lambda} [\theta_+ - \theta_+^*]^2 K_1 \\
&\leq \|g\|_\infty^2 \lambda^{1/2} |\chi_+| + \int \left| \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+ \right|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Taken together,

$$\int \Lambda^{-1/4} \theta_+ u_h \cdot \nabla \psi \leq \frac{1}{2} \int \left| \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+ \nabla \psi \right| + \int \chi_+ + \int \theta_+ + \int \theta_+ \nabla \psi B_{1/4}.$$

(L. F. Stokols)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TX 78712, USA

*E-mail address:* `lstokols@math.utexas.edu`