The De Giorgi Method Applications to Degenerate PDE

Logan F. Stokols The University of Texas at Austin

Thesis Defense, 4 May, 2020

Outline

- 1. Overview of the De Girgi Method
- 2. Superquadratic Hamilton-Jacobi Equations
- 3. Hypoelliptic Fokker-Planck Equation
- 4. L^2 -stability of Conservation Laws
- 5. SQG on Bounded Domains

Overview of De Giorgi Method

Consider the toy problem

$$\partial_t u + \operatorname{div}(A\nabla u) = 0$$

Given $\Lambda^{-1} \leq A \leq \Lambda$ (in sense of inner product), parabolic, expect regularity

In fact,
$$\exists \alpha \in (0,1)$$
 s.t. $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists C > 0$

$$||u||_{C^{\alpha}([\varepsilon,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\leq C||u(0,\cdot)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

Energy Inequality

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, [a, b] an interval, $\varepsilon > 0$ Multiply by test function $\phi(t, x)(u - k)_+$, obtain

$$\sup_{[a,b]} \int_A (u-k)_+^2 + \int_a^b \int_A |\nabla (u-k)_+|^2 \lesssim \int_{a-\varepsilon}^b \int_{B_\varepsilon(A)} (u-k)_+^2$$

First De Giorgi Lemma

- ▶ L^2 -to- L^∞ regularization
- local and nonlocal version
- proof by truncation, recursion

Consider $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$[-2,0]\times B_2=:Q_0\supseteq Q_1\supseteq\cdots\supseteq Q_k\supseteq\cdots\supseteq [-1,0]\times B_1$$

and truncations

$$(u-0)_+ =: u_0 \ge u_1 \ge \cdots \ge u_k \ge \cdots \ge (u-1)_+$$

First De Giorgi Lemma

Lemma

Let u solve parabolic equation, there exist δ_0 small so

$$\iint\limits_{Q_0} u_0^2 \le \delta_0$$

implies

$$\iint_{[-1,0]\times B_1} (u-1)_+ = 0 \qquad \equiv \qquad u \le 1 \ on \ [-1,0] \times B_1$$

Proof of First De Giorgi Lemma

Energy inequality says

$$\sup \int\limits_{Q_k} u_k^2 + \iint\limits_{Q_k} |\nabla u_k|^2 \lesssim \iint\limits_{Q_{k-1}} u_k^2$$

Sobolev embedding says, $\exists q > 2$

$$\iint\limits_{Q_k} u_k^q \leq \left(\sup\limits_{Q_k} \int u_k^2 + \iint\limits_{Q_k} |\nabla u_k|^2\right)^{q/2}$$

Common sense says

$$\iint\limits_{\Omega} u_k^2 \le C_k \iint\limits_{\Omega} u_{k-1}^q$$

Proof of First De Giorgi Lemma

Put together,

$$\iint\limits_{Q_{k+1}}u_{k+1}^2\leq C_k\left(\iint\limits_{Q_k}u_k^2\right)^{1+\varepsilon}$$

if u_0 is sufficiently small on Q_0 , then $(u-1)_+$ vanishes on $[-1,0] imes \mathcal{B}_1$

Second De Giorgi Lemma

- also called Isoperimetric Inequality
- quantitative version of "solutions to parabolic eqn have no jump discontinuities"
- often non-constructive (compactness)
- proof varies significantly between applications

Second De Giorgi Lemma

Lemma

 $\exists \mu_0 > 0$ s.t., u sol'n parabolic eqn, following can't all be true:

$$u \leq 2 \qquad \text{on } [-1,4] \times B_3,$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\{u \ge 1\} \cap [2, 4] \times B_1| \ge \delta_0, \\ |\{0 < u < 1\} \cap [0, 4] \times B_2| \le \mu_0, \\ |\{u \le 0\} \cap [0, 4] \times B_2| \ge \frac{1}{2} |[0, 4] \times B_2| \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Second De Giorgi Lemma

Assume false for all μ_0 , take sequence u_k of counterexamples $\mu_0=1/k$

By first condition and energy inequality, u_k compact, has $L^2_t(H^1_x)$ limit u_∞

t fixed, $\forall x$ either $u_{\infty} \geq 1$ or $u_{\infty} \leq 0$

 u_{∞} is ≤ 0 on most of [0,4] but ≥ 1 on some of [2,4], so energy increases suddenly in time, impossible

Hölder Continuity

Proof of Hölder continuity uses recursion apply two De Giorgi lemmas to rescaled solutions

Superquadratic Hamilton-Jacobi Equation

$$\partial_t u + |\nabla u|^p - \varepsilon \Delta u = 0, \qquad \varepsilon \in [0, 1, -1]$$

- ► First considered by Lasry and Lions ('89), Schwab ('13) [homogenization]
- ▶ Best known results Cardaliaguet ('09), Cannarsa and Cardaliaguet ('10), Cardaliaguet and Silvestre ('12)
- ho $\varepsilon = 0$ cusps form, but solutions remain continuous
- ▶ For p > 2, continuous even for $\varepsilon = -1$ [first order drives regularization]
- ▶ Chan and Vasseur ('17) use De Giorgi for $\varepsilon = 0$

Superquadratic Hamilton-Jacobi

$$\partial_t u = H\left(t, x, u, \nabla u, D^2 u\right),$$

$$\Lambda^{-1}|\nabla u|^p - \operatorname{div}(A\nabla u) - f \le H(t, x, u, \nabla u, D^2u) \le \Lambda|\nabla u|^p - \Lambda m^-(D^2u) +$$

p>2, A bounded unsigned matrix, $f\in L^q$, m^- returns lowest negative eigenvalue

Theorem

Solutions (in appropriate weak sense) regularize from $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ into $C^{\alpha}([\varepsilon, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$

Proof of Superquadratic Hamilton-Jacobi

- De Giorgi method
- Adapted technique of Chan, Vasseur, overcome second-order term
- ► Combine divergence-form and non-divergence-form techniques
- ▶ Allow unbounded source term f, discontinuous A

Hypoelliptic Fokker-Planck

$$\left[\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x\right] f + \left(-\Delta_v\right)^s f = 0$$

- Rarefied gas, neutral particles in plasma
- Imbert and Silvestre ('16), Golse and Imbert and Mouhot and Vasseur ('16)
- Hypoelliptic: non-elliptic regularization, mixed elliptic/hyperbolic type
- ► Averaging Lemma (Golse et al '88): H^s theory of hypoellipticity, regularity of averages for kinetic equation

Hypoelliptic Fokker-Planck

$$[\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x] f = \int K[f(w) - f(v)] dw + \sigma$$

K symmetric (in $(v, w) \mapsto (w, v)$ and $(v, v + w) \mapsto (v, v - w)$ senses), $K \approx |v - w|^{-(n+2s)}$, $s \in (0,1)$

Theorem

For f solution, $f \in L^{\infty} \cap L^2_{t,x}(H^s_v)$, $\sigma \in L^2 \cap L^r$ for r >> 1, there exists $\alpha \in (0,1)$ depending on kernel, C > 0 depending on domain and kernel s.t.

$$||f||_{C^{\alpha}([\varepsilon,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n\times B_1)}\leq C\left(||f||_{L^{\infty}}+||\sigma||_{L^r}\right).$$

Proof of Hypoelliptic Fokker-Planck

- ▶ De Giorgi method
- ▶ No distinction between $s \ge 1/2$, s < 1/2
- Averaging Lemma

Global SQG

- Quasigeostrophic: perturbation of geostrophic wind, mid-latitude, atmospheric or ocean currents
- ▶ R²: Constantin, Majda, Tabak (93); Kiselev, Nazarov, Volberg (08); Caffarelli, Vasseur (10); Constantin, Vicol (12)
- ▶ Bounded domain: Kriventsov ('15); Novack, Vasseur ('18,19)
- ► New model by Constantin, Ignatova ('16); Constantin, Ignatova, Nguyen (various)

$$\partial_t \theta + \left(\nabla^{\perp} (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \theta \right) \cdot \nabla \theta + \nu (-\Delta)^s \theta = 0$$

Constantin, et al. Model

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \Lambda \theta = 0, \\ u = \nabla^{\perp} \Lambda^{-1} \theta. \end{cases}$$
 (1)

 $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb{R}^2$ smooth bounded open, $\Lambda:=\sqrt{-\Delta_D}$ (defined spectrally), Δ_D the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω

Theorem (S., Vasseur)

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ a bounded set, initial data $\theta_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ There exists a global-in-time solution θ to SQG such that: For any S > 0, there exists $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and a constant C so

$$\|\theta\|_{C^{\alpha}([S,\infty)\times\Omega)} \leq C \|\theta_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$
.

Proof of SQG on Bounded Domain

- ▶ De Giorgi method, Caffarelli, Vasseur (2010)
- ► Boundary issues: Laplacian & gradient don't commute, Caffarelli-Stinga ('16) kernel representation degenerates

Superquadratic Hamilton Jacobi

Begin with p > 2,

$$\partial_t u + |\nabla u|^p + \Delta u = 0.$$

Using $\varphi(t,x)(u-k)_+$ as test function, obtain energy inequality

$$\sup_{[-1,0]} \int_{B_1} (u-k)_+^2 + \int_{-1}^0 \int_{B_1} (u-k)_+ |\nabla(u-k)_+|^p$$

$$\lesssim \int_{-2}^0 \int_{B_1} (u-k)_+^2 + \int_{-2}^0 \int_{B_1} |\nabla(u-k)_+|^2$$

Want to show, for some q>2, on $Q_1=[-1,0]\times B_1$,

$$\|(u-1)_+\|_{L^q(Q_1)} \lesssim \|(u)_+\|_{L^\infty(L^2)(Q_1)} + \|(u)_+|\nabla(u)_+|^p\|_{L^1(Q_1)}.$$

Works if we have coercivity!

Strategy: consider two regions, u small and u big

- $ightharpoonup u \text{ small} \Rightarrow L^q \text{ norm small}$
- $u \text{ big} \Rightarrow \text{coercivity} \Rightarrow L^q \text{ norm small}$

Implementation:

$$\|\nabla (u-1)_+\|_{L^p}^p \leq \|u_+|\nabla u_+|^p\|_{L^1}$$

$$\|(u-1)_+\|_{L^q} \lesssim \|(u-1)_+\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} + \|\nabla(u-1)_+\|_{L^p}$$

Hypoelliptic Equation

Begin with
$$\Lambda=(-\Delta_{
u})^{1/2}$$
, $s\in(0,1)$,

$$\partial_t f + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \Lambda^{2s} f = 0.$$

Note diffusion in v but not x! Energy inequality will have

$$\|(f-\psi)_+\|_{L^{\infty}_t(L^2_{x,v})} + \|\Lambda^s(f-\psi)_+\|_{L^2_{t,x,v}}$$

on LHS

Averaging Lemma

Lemma (Bezard, 94): for $\alpha=1/(2(1+m))$, $\Omega \Subset \bar{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and $f,g \in L^2(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, f compactly supported, we have

$$\left[\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\right] f = \mathbf{g}$$

implies

$$\left\|\int f\ dv\right\|_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}\lesssim \|f\|_{L^{2}(\bar{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}^{n})}+\left\|(1-\Delta_{v})^{-m/2}g\right\|_{L^{2}(\bar{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

Unfortunately: Can't apply lemma to $(f - \psi)_+$ due to truncation (nonlocal)

Barrier function

$$0 \le \varphi(t,x)(f-\psi)_+ \le F,$$

$$\|F\|_{L^2} + \left\| (1 - \Delta_v)^{-m/2} \left[\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x \right] F \right\|_{L^2} \leq C \left\| \varphi(f - \psi)_+ \right\|_{L^2}.$$

Now:

$$\left\| \int F \, dv \right\|_{L^{2}} \leq \left\| \varphi(f - \psi)_{+} \right\|_{L^{2}},$$

No regularity on f!

Solution: control of regularity is means to an end, control of integrability is the end From energy inequality:

$$\|(f-\psi)_+\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}(L^2_{x,v})} + \|(f-\psi)_+\|_{L^2_{t,x}(L^{2+\varepsilon}_{v})} \lesssim \|(f-\psi)_+\|_{L^2_{t,x,v}} + \|(f-\psi)_+\|_{L^2_{t,x,v}}$$

From averaging lemma:

$$\|(f-\psi)_+\|_{L^{2+\varepsilon}_{t,x}(L^1_{\nu})} \lesssim \|(f-\psi)_+\|_{L^2_{t,x,\nu}}$$

Improvement in all three variables, for some q > 2

$$\|(f-\psi)\|_{L^q_{t,x,y}} \lesssim \|(f-\psi)_+\|_{L^2_{t,x,y}}$$

Localized Caccioppoli Inequality

 θ solving transport-diffusion equation with velocity u Then θ_+ satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \theta_+^2 + \int_{\Omega} \left| \Lambda^{1/2} \theta_+ \right|^2 \le \int_{\Omega} \theta_+^2 + \int_{\Omega} u \theta_+ \cdot d\Psi + \dots$$

| u bounded in: | L^{∞} | ВМО | $B_{\infty,\infty}^0$ |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| $\theta \in L^{\infty} \Rightarrow u \in _$ | × | works on \mathbb{R}^2 | complicated |
| $\int u	heta_+$ bounded | $\leq \int \theta_+$ | John-Nirenberg | complicated |
| scaling invariant | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |

Control on u: Littlewood Paley theory

Littlewood paley operators P_j , functional calculus for Λ ,

Bernstein Inequalities

$$\|\Lambda^{s} P_{j} f\|_{p} \approx 2^{sj} \|f\|_{p},$$

$$\|\nabla \Lambda P_{j} f\|_{p} \approx 2^{(1+s)j} \|f\|_{p}.$$

Can say nothing about

$$P_j \nabla \Lambda^{-1} \theta$$
,

but can say much about

$$\nabla \Lambda^{-1} P_j \theta$$
.

Iwabuchi, Matsuyama, Taniguchi ("Bilinear estimates in Besov spaces generated by the Dirichlet Laplacian" 2017)

Control on u: high and low frequencies

To bound $u = \nabla \Lambda^{-1} \theta$, decompose as

$$u_{\text{low}} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{0} \nabla \Lambda^{-1} P_{j} \theta$$

which is Lipschitz,

$$u_{\text{high}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \nabla \Lambda^{-1} P_{i} \theta$$

which should be in $W^{-\varepsilon,\infty}$. Enough to control $\int u\theta_+ \cdot d\Psi$

Control on u: high frequencies

How do we control

$$\| \Lambda^{-\varepsilon} \nabla \Lambda^{-1} P_j \theta \|_{\infty}$$
?

Consider $P_{\mu}\nabla\Lambda^{-1}P_{j}f$, j fixed, $\mu\in\mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{split} &\int g P_{\mu} \nabla \Lambda^{-1} P_{j} f = \int \left(P_{\mu} g\right) \left(\nabla \Lambda^{-1} P_{j} f\right) \leq \left\|g\right\|_{1} 2^{(1-1)j} \left\|f\right\|_{\infty}, \\ &\int g P_{\mu} \nabla \Lambda^{-1} P_{j} f = -\int \left(\nabla P_{\mu} g\right) \left(\Lambda^{-1} P_{j} f\right) \leq 2^{\mu} \left\|g\right\|_{1} 2^{-j} \left\|f\right\|_{\infty} \end{split}$$

Control on u: high frequencies

How do we control

$$\| \Lambda^{-\varepsilon} \nabla \Lambda^{-1} P_j \theta \|_{\infty}$$
?

$$\Lambda^{-\varepsilon} \nabla \Lambda^{-1} P_j \theta = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^{-\varepsilon} P_\mu \nabla \Lambda^{-1} P_j \theta$$

- μ large (>j), like $2^{-\varepsilon\mu}$, summable
- μ small (< j), like $2^{-\varepsilon\mu}2^{\mu}$, summable

Control on u

- u is a sum of something Lipschitz and something $W^{-\varepsilon,\infty}$
- ► Either way, $\int u\theta \cdot d\Psi$ controlled
- ► Independent of scale
- ▶ De Giorgi argument gives regularity

Thank you