

Team # 8Presenter Name Linfeng

Poster style, organization, and visuals

	Abysmal	Poor	Average	Good	Awesome
Organization (clear headings, easy to find various information)				X	
Flow (logical layout; natural flow from top to bottom & L to R)				X	
Visual appeal (attractive and balanced; good white space usage)				X	
Legibility (no small font; headings, captions, and text easy to read)					X
Figures (simple, illustrative, and tied directly to open problem)					X
Writing clarity (easy to understand, short and direct statements)					X
Message (easy to identify open problem, proposed approaches)				X	
Volume of material (appropriate amount of information)				X	

Presenter's interaction with poster viewers

Can summarize/explain poster thoroughly and <u>succinctly</u>			X		
Can answer questions briefly but thoroughly			X		

Comments

- missing references / concrete prior work
- very knowledgeable about problem & history
- not as clear an argument for approach / relation between rank & prior work
- explanation of bimatrix games was hard to follow
- great use of example at top; rank-reduction example less useful/helpful.
- easy to ID problem.
- significant improvement from report! 😊

Team # 8Presenter Name Linfeng

Poster style, organization, and visuals

	Abysmal	Poor	Average	Good	Awesome
Organization (clear headings, easy to find various information)					X
Flow (logical layout; natural flow from top to bottom & L to R)				X	
Visual appeal (attractive and balanced; good white space usage)					X
Legibility (no small font; headings, captions, and text easy to read)					X
Figures (simple, illustrative, and tied directly to open problem)				X	
Writing clarity (easy to understand, ^{too} short and direct statements)			X		
Message (easy to identify open problem, proposed approaches)		X			
Volume of material (appropriate amount of information)		X			

Presenter's interaction with poster viewers

Can summarize/explain poster thoroughly and succinctly				X	
Can answer questions briefly but thoroughly					X

Comments

The poster needed to clearly state the open problem, it was mentioned in pieces but not clearly stated. The last section was also unclear. Linfeng explained that previous work relied on a support parameter, and they hope to use matrix rank instead. Seems interesting, but may be hard (WIT-hard).

Team # 8Presenter Name Lintens

Poster style, organization, and visuals

	Abysmal	Poor	Average	Good	Awesome
Organization (clear headings, easy to find various information)				✓	
Flow (logical layout; natural flow from top to bottom & L to R)				✓	
Visual appeal (attractive and balanced; good white space usage)				✓	
Legibility (no small font; headings, captions, and text easy to read)				✓	
Figures (simple, illustrative, and tied directly to open problem)			✓		
Writing clarity (easy to understand, short and direct statements)				✓	
Message (easy to identify open problem, proposed approaches)		✓			
Volume of material (appropriate amount of information)		✓			

Presenter's interaction with poster viewers

Can summarize/explain poster thoroughly and succinctly				✓	
Can answer questions briefly but thoroughly					✓

Comments

- The problem is very difficult to understand. This is partially because I have very little background in this area, but the poster did not define enough terminology for me to understand. However, you did a great job answering my many questions about it.
- It would have been nice to see an example of something that did not work to be clear what you are solving.
- I think it would have been helpful to give smaller figures with more information explaining them.

Team # 8Presenter Name Ling feng

Poster style, organization, and visuals

	Abysmal	Poor	Average	Good	Awesome
Organization (clear headings, easy to find various information)				✓	
Flow (logical layout; natural flow from top to bottom & L to R)				✓	
Visual appeal (attractive and balanced; good white space usage)					✓
Legibility (no small font; headings, captions, and text easy to read)					✓
Figures (simple, illustrative, and tied directly to open problem)					✓
Writing clarity (easy to understand, short and direct statements)				✓	
Message (easy to identify open problem, proposed approaches)		✓			
Volume of material (appropriate amount of information)				✓	

Presenter's interaction with poster viewers

Can summarize/explain poster thoroughly and succinctly					✓
Can answer questions briefly but thoroughly					✓

Comments

- Not entirely clear how you could do FPT ~~on~~ with rank
- Lots of figures are ~~easy~~ easy to follow
- Explaining rank of matrix is probably too simple of a concept for this audience

Team # 8Presenter Name LINFEN

Poster style, organization, and visuals

	Abysmal	Poor	Average	Good	Awesome
Organization (clear headings, easy to find various information)					X
Flow (logical layout; natural flow from top to bottom & L to R)					X
Visual appeal (attractive and balanced; good white space usage)			X		
Legibility (no small font; headings, captions, and text easy to read)			X		X
Figures (simple, illustrative, and tied directly to open problem)			X		X
Writing clarity (easy to understand, short and direct statements)			X		
Message (easy to identify open problem, proposed approaches)				X	
Volume of material (appropriate amount of information)			X		

Presenter's interaction with poster viewers

Can summarize/explain poster thoroughly and succinctly				X	
Can answer questions briefly but thoroughly					X

Comments

I PROBABLY WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN ABLE
 TO FULLY UNDERSTAND THE PROBLEM IF
 IT WASN'T VERBALLY EXPLAINED. STRANGE
 MIX OF FONT SIZES. VERY LARGE HEADINGS
 BLOCKS. GOOD EXPLANATION THOUGH!

Team # 8Presenter Name Linteng Zhou

Poster style, organization, and visuals

	Abysmal	Poor	Average	Good	Awesome
Organization (clear headings, easy to find various information)				X	
Flow (logical layout; natural flow from top to bottom & L to R)					X
Visual appeal (attractive and balanced; good white space usage)			X		
Legibility (no small font; headings, captions, and text easy to read)					X
Figures (simple, illustrative, and tied directly to open problem)			X		
Writing clarity (easy to understand, short and direct statements)					X
Message (easy to identify open problem, proposed approaches)				X	
Volume of material (appropriate amount of information)				X	

Presenter's interaction with poster viewers

Can summarize/explain poster thoroughly and succinctly					X
Can answer questions briefly but thoroughly					X

Comments

Matrices are hard to parse when unfamiliar with problem.

No proposed approaches (that I noticed).

Lots of information on various complexity classes that weren't clearly defined.