

C++ Tutorial: A Beginner's Guide to std::vector, Part 1

Posted by Gabriel Fleseriu on February 25th, 2003

Environment: VC6 SP5, STLPort, Windows 2000 SP2

This **C++ tutorial** is meant to help beginning and intermediate **C++ programmers** get a grip on the standard template class. (The article was updated.)

Rationale behind using vectors

The final technical vote of the C++ Standard took place on November 14th, 1997: that was more than five years ago. However, significant parts of the Standard, especially the Standard Library, are still not very popular among many C++ users. A constant reader of CodeGuru's C++ forums will soon notice that many questions and answers still imply hand-crafted solutions that could be very elegantly solved by using the Standard Library.

One issue that comes up very often is the use of C-style arrays, with all their problems and drawbacks. People seem to be scared of the standard *vector* and its brother *deque*. One reason might be that the Standard Library documentations are mostly pretty elliptic and esoteric.

In this article, I will take *vector* and try to explain it in a way that is more accessible and understandable. I do not claim that this article is by any means complete. It is meant to give you a start in using vector and to help you avoid the most common pitfalls. We will start small and will not try to handle the topic very academically.

Introduction to vector

Vector is a template class that is a perfect replacement for the good old C-style arrays. It allows the same natural syntax that is used with plain arrays but offers a series of services that free the **C++ programmer** from taking care of the allocated memory and help operating consistently on the contained objects.

The first step using *vector* is to include the appropriate header:

1. #include <vector>

Note that the header file name does not have any extension; this is true for all of the Standard Library header files. The second thing to know is that all of the Standard Library lives in the namespace std. This means that you have to resolve the names by prepending std: to them:

```
1. std::vector<int> v; // declares a vector of integers
```

For small projects, you can bring the entire namespace *std* into scope by inserting a using directive on top of your **cpp** file:

```
1. #include <vector>
2. using namespace std;
3. //...
4. vector<int> v; // no need to prepend std:: any more
```

This is okay for small projects, as long as you write the using directive in your cpp file. Never write a using directive into a header file! This would bloat the entire namespace std into each and every cpp file that includes that header. For larger projects, it is better to explicitly qualify every name accordingly. I am not a fan of such shortcuts. In this article, I will qualify each name accordingly. I will introduce some typedefs in the examples where appropriate—for better readability.

Now, what is std:vector < T > v? It is a template class that will wrap an array of Ts. In this widely used notation, 'T' stands for any data type, built-in, or user-defined class. The vector will store the Ts in a contiguous memory area that it will handle for you, and let you access the individual Ts simply by writing v[0], v[1], and so on, exactly like you would do for a C-style array.

Note that for bigger projects it can be tedious to repeatedly write out the explicit type of the vectors. You may use a *typedef* if you want:

Do **not** use a macro!

```
1. #define int_vec_t std::vector<int> ;  // very poor style!
```

For the beginning, let's see what a *vector* can do for us. Let's start small and take the example of an array of integers. If you used plain arrays, you had either a static or a dynamic array:

```
1. size_t size = 10;
2. int sarray[10];
3. int *darray = new int[size];
4. // do something with them:
5. for(int i=0; i<10; ++i){
6.    sarray[i] = i;
7.    darray[i] = i;</pre>
```

```
8. }9. // don't forget to delete darray when you're done10. delete [] darray;
```

Let's do the same thing using a *vector*:

As you see, *vector* combines the advantages of both the static and the dynamic array because it takes a non-const size parameter such as the dynamic one and automatically deletes the used memory like the static one.

The standard *vector* defines the *operator* [], to allow a "natural" syntax. For the sake of performance, the *operator* [] does not check whether the index is a valid one. Similar to a C-style array, using an invalid index will mostly buy you an access violation.

In addition to *operator* [], *vector* defines the member function at(). This function does the same thing as the *operator* [], but checks the index. If the index is invalid, it will throw an object of class $std::out\ of\ range$.

```
1. std::vector<int> array;
2. try{
3.    array.at(1000) = 0;
4. }
5. catch(std::out_of_range o){
6.    std::cout<<o.what()<<std::endl;
7. }</pre>
```

Depending on the implementation of the **C++ Standard Library** you use, the above snippet will print a more or less explicit error message. STLPort prints the word "vector", the Dinkumware implementation that comes with Visual C++ prints "invalid vector<T> subscript". Other implementations may print something else.

Note that *vector* is a standard container. The controlled sequence also can be accessed using iterators. More on iterators later in this article. For now, let's keep it simple.

Now, what if you don't know how many elements you will have? If you were using a C-style array to store the elements, you'd either need to implement a logic that allows to grow your array from time to time, or you would allocate an array that is "big enough." The latter is a poor man's approach and the former will give you a

headache. Not so vector:

```
1. #include <vector>
2. #include <iostream>
3. //...
4. std::vector<char> array;
5. char c = 0;
6. while(c != 'x'){
7.  std::cin>>c;
8.  array.push_back(c);
9. }
```

In the previous example, <code>push_back()</code> appends one element at a time to the array. This is what we want, but it has a small pitfall. To understand what that is, you have to know that a <code>vector</code> has a so-called 'controlled sequence' and a certain amount of allocated storage for that sequence. The controlled sequence is just another name for the array in the guts of the <code>vector</code>. To hold this array, <code>vector</code> will allocate some memory, mostly more than it needs. You can <code>push_back()</code> elements until the allocated memory is exhausted. Then, <code>vector</code> will trigger a reallocation and will grow the allocated memory block. This can mean that it will have to move (that means: copy) the controlled sequence into a larger block. And copying around a large number of elements can slow down your application dramatically. Note that the reallocation is absolutely transparent for you (barring catastrophic failure—out of memory). You need to do nothing; <code>vector</code> will do all what that takes under the hood. Of course, there is something you can do to avoid having <code>vector</code> reallocate the storage too often. Just read on.

In the previous example, we declared the *vector* using its default constructor. This creates an empty *vector*. Depending on the implementation of the Standard Library being used, the empty *vector* might or might not allocate some memory "just in case." If we want to avoid a too-often reallocation of the *vector*'s storage, we can use its *reserve()* member function:

```
1. #include <vector>
2. #include <iostream>
3. //...
4. std::vector<char> array;
5. array.reserve(10); // make room for 10 elements
6. char c = 0;
7. while(c != 'x'){
8. std::cin>>c;
9. array.push_back(c);
10. }
```

The parameter we pass to *reserve()* depends on the context, of course. The function *reserve()* will ensure that we have room for at least 10 elements in this case. If the *vector* already has room for the required number of elements, *reserve()* does nothing. In other words, *reserve()* will grow the allocated storage of the *vector*, if necessary, but will never shrink it.

As a side note, the following two code snippets are not the same thing:

```
1. // snip 1:
2. std::vector<int> v(10);
3. // snip 2:
4. std::vector<int> v;
5. v.reserve(10);
```

The first snippet defines a *vector* containing 10 integers, and initializes them with their default value (0). If we hadn't integers but some user-defined class, *vector* would call the default ctor 10 times and contain 10 readily constructed objects. The second snippet defines an empty *vector*, and then tells it to make room for 10 integers. The *vector* will allocate enough memory to hold at least 10 integers, but will not initialize this memory. If we had no integers, but some user-defined class, the second snippet wouldn't construct any instance of that class.

To find out how many elements would fit in the currently allocated storage of a *vector*, use the *capacity()* member function. To find out how many elements are currently contained by the *vector*, use the *size()* member function:

This will print

1. 10 2. 1

That means that the number of elements that can be added to a *vector* without triggering a reallocation always is *capacity() - size()*.

Note that, for the previous example, only 0 is a valid index for *array*. Yes, we have made room for at least 10 elements with *reserve()*, but the memory is not initialized. Because *int* is a built-in type, writing all 10 elements with *operator[]* would actually work, but we would have a *vector* that is in an inconsistent state, because *size()* would still return 1. Moreover, if we tried to access the other elements than the first using *array.at()*, a *std::out_of_range* would be thrown. At a first sight, this may seem inconvenient, but a closer look reveals why this is so: If the *vector* contained objects of a user-defined class, *reserve()* wouldn't call any ctor. Accessing a not-yet-constructed object has undefined results and is a no-no in any case. The important thing to remember is that the role of *reserve()* is to minimize the number of potential reallocations and that it will not influence the number of elements in the controled sequence. A call to *reserve()* with a parameter smaller than the current *capacity()* is benign—it simply does nothing.

The correct way of enlarging the number of contained elements is to call vector's

member function *resize()*. The member function *resize()* has following properties:

- If the new size is larger than the old size of the *vector*, it will preserve all elements already present in the controlled sequence; the rest will be initialized according to the second parameter. If the new size is smaller than the old size, it will preserve only the first new_size elements. The rest is discarded and shouldn't be used any more—consider these elements invalid.
- If the new size is larger than *capacity()*, it will reallocate storage so all new size elements fit. *resize()* will never shrink *capacity()*.

Example:

```
1. std::vector<int> array;
                            // create an empty vector
 2. array.reserve(3);
                             // make room for 3 elements
                             // at this point, capacity() is 3
                             // and size() is 0
 4.
 5. array.push back(999);
                             // append an element
 6. array.resize(5);
                             // resize the vector
                             // at this point, the vector contains
                             // 999, 0, 0, 0, 0
                             // append another element into the vector
 9. array.push back(333);
10.
                             // at this point, the vector contains
                             // 999, 0, 0, 0, 0, 333
11.
12. array.reserve(1);
                             // will do nothing, as capacity() > 1
13. array.resize(3);
                             // at this point, the vector contains
14.
                             // 999, 0, 0
15.
                             // capacity() remains 6
                             // size() is 3
16.
17. array.resize(6, 1);
                             // resize again, fill up with ones
18.
                             // at this point the vector contains
19.
                             // 999, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1
```

Another way to enlarge the number of controlled elements is to use *push_back()*. In certain cases, this might be more efficient than calling *resize()* and then writing the elements. Let's have a closer look under the hood of *vector*, by looking at the following example:

```
1. class X
 2. {
3. public:
 4.
        X():val(0){}
5.
        X(int val):val_(val){}
        int get(){return val_;}
        void set(int val){val_=val;}
7.
8. private:
        int val_;
9.
10. };
11. //....
                               // create an empty vector containing
12. std::vector<X> ax;
                               // objects of type class X
14. // version 1:
15. ax.resize(10);
                               // resize the controlled sequence
16. for(int i=0; i<10; ++i){
17.
        ax[i].set(i);
                               // set each element's value
18. }
19. //...
```

The two versions are equivalent, meaning that they will produce the same result. In both cases, we start with an empty vector. In the first version, we use resize() to grow the size of the controlled sequence to 10 elements. This will not only reallocate the vectors storage, but will also construct a sequence of 10 elements, using the default ctor of X. When resize() is finished, we will have 10 valid objects of type X in our vector, all of them having $val_{-} = 0$, because that's what the default ctor of X does. In a second step, we pick every X in the sequence and use X::set() to change its val_{-} .

In the second version, we call *reserve()* to make room for 10 elements. The *vector* will reallocate its storage and do nothing more than that. No element is constructed yet. In a second step, we create 10 objects of type X using the second ctor, thus giving them directly the correct value, and *push_back()* them into the vector.

Which method is more efficient? That probably also depends on the implementation of the Standard Library, but the second version is likely to be slightly more efficient because it doesn't call *X::set()* for each element.

Now that we have seen how to declare a *vector* and how to fill it up, let's see how we can operate on it. We will start with an analogy to C-style arrays and will progressively discover other possibilities, that are better or safer.

There are two ways of accessing a C-style array: either by using the subscript operator, or by using pointers. Also, passing a C-style array to a function means passing a pointer to the first element. Can we do the same thing with a *vector*? The answer is yes. Let's take a small example:

```
1. #include <iostream>
 3. double mean(double *array, size t n)
 4. {
        double m=0;
 5.
        for(size t i=0; i< n; ++i){
 6.
 7.
            m += array[i];
 8.
 9.
        return m/n;
10.}
11.
12. int main()
13. {
14.
        double a[] = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\};
        std::cout<<mean(a, 5)<<std::endl; // will print 3</pre>
15.
        return 0:
16.
17. }
```

When we say mean(a, 5), the first parameter actually is the address of the first element in the array &a[0]. We know that a vector is required to keep its elements in a contiguous block of memory, in order. That means that we can pass the address of the first element of a vector to the function mean() and it will work:

```
1. int main()
 2. {
 3.
        std::vector<double> a;
 4.
        a.push_back(1);
 5.
        a.push back(2);
        a.push back(3);
 6.
 7.
        a.push_back(4);
 8.
        a.push back(5);
        std::cout<<mean(&a[0], 5)<<std::endl; // will print 3</pre>
 9.
        return 0;
10.
11. }
```

That's nice, but it's still not quite the same. We were able to directly initialize the C-style array, but we had to *push_back()* the elements into the vector. Can we do better? Well, yes. We cannot **directly** use an initializer list for the *vector*, but we can use an intermediary array:

```
1. double p[] = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5};
2. std::vector<double> a(p, p+5);
```

Here we use another constructor provided by *vector*. It takes two parameters: a pointer to the first element of a C-style array and a pointer to one past the last element of that array. It will initialize the *vector* with a copy of each element in the array. Two things are important to note: The array is <u>copied</u> and it does not somehow go into the possession of the newly created *vector*, and the range we supply is from the first element to <u>one past</u> the last element in the array.

Understanding the second point is crucial when working with *vector*s or any other standard containers. The controlled sequence is always expressed in terms of **[first, one-past-last)**—not only for ctors, but also for every function that operates on a range of elements.

When taking the address of elements contained in a *vector*, there is something you have to watch out for: an internal reallocation of the *vector* will invalidate the pointers you hold to its elements.

```
1. std::vector<int> v(5);
2. int *pi = &v[3];
3. v.push_back(999); // <-- may trigger a reallocation
4. *pi = 333; // <-- probably an error, pi isn't valid any more</pre>
```

In the previous example, we take the address of the fourth element of the *vector* and store it in *pi*. Then we *push_back()* another element to the end of the *vector*. Then we try to use *pi*. Boom! The reason is that *push_back()* may trigger a reallocation of *v*'s internal storage if this is not large enough to hold the additional element, too. *pi* will then point to a memory address that has just been deleted, and using it has undefined results. The bad news is that the *vector* might or might

not reallocate the internal storage—you can't tell on the general case. The solution is either not to use pointers that might have been invalidated, or to make sure that the *vector* won't reallocate. The latter means to use *reserve()* wisely in order to have the *vector* handle memory (re)allocation at defined times.

From the member functions we have seen so far, only *push_back()* and *resize()* can invalidate pointers into the *vector*. There are other member functions that invalidate pointers; we will discuss them later in this tutorial.

Note that both the subscript operator and the member function at() never invalidate pointers into the vector.

Speaking of pointers into the *vector*, we can introduce a standard concept at this point: *iterators*. Iterators are the way the Standard Library models a common interface for all containers—*vector*, *list*, *set*, *deque*, and so on. The reason is that operations that are "natural" for one container (like subscripting for *vector*) do not make sense for other containers. The Standard Library needs a common way of applying algorithms like iterating, finding, sorting to all containers—thus the concept of iterators.

An iterator is a handle to a contained element. You can find an exact definition in your favorite textbook, if you want. The internal representation of an iterator is irrelevant at this point. Important is that if you have an iterator, you can dereference it to obtain the element it "points" to (for *vector* the most natural implementation of an iterator is indeed a plain vanilla pointer—but don't count on this). Let's get a grip on iterators with a small example:

```
1. #include <vector>
 2. #include <iostream>
 4. int main()
 5. {
        std::vector<double> a;
 7.
        std::vector<double>::const iterator i;
        a.push back(1);
 8.
        a.push back(2);
 9.
10.
        a.push_back(3);
11.
        a.push back(4);
12.
        a.push back(5);
13.
        for(i=a.begin(); i!=a.end(); ++i){
14.
            std::cout<<(*i)<<std::endl;</pre>
15.
16.
        return 0;
17. }
```

Let's take this small program step by step:

```
1. std::vector<double>::const_iterator i;
```

This declares a const iterator i for a vector < double >. We are using a <u>const</u> iterator because we do not intend to modify the contents of the vector.

```
1. ...i=a.begin();...
```

The member function *begin()* returns an iterator that "points" to the first element in the sequence.

```
1. ...i!=a.end();...
```

The member function *end()* returns an iterator that "points" to one-past-the-last-element in the sequence. Note that dereferencing the iterator returned by *end()* is illegal and has undefined results.

```
1. ...++i
```

You can advance from one element to the next by incrementing the iterator.

Note that the same program, but using pointers instead of iterators, leads to a very similar construct:

```
1. #include <vector>
 2. #include <iostream>
 4. int main()
 5. {
        std::vector<double> a:
 6.
 7.
        const double *p;
        a.push back(1);
 8.
        a.push_back(2);
 9.
        a.push back(3);
10.
11.
        a.push back(4);
        a.push back(5);
12.
        for(p=&a[0]; p!=&a[0]+5; ++p){
13.
14.
            std::cout<<(*p)<<std::endl;</pre>
15.
        return 0;
16.
17. }
```

So, if we can use pointers to basically achieve the same thing in the same way, why bother with iterators at all? The answer is that we have to use iterators if we want to apply some standard algorithm, like sorting, to the *vector*. The Standard Library does not implement the algorithms as member functions of the various containers, but as free template functions that can operate on many containers.

The combination of standard containers in general (and *vector* in particular) and standard algorithms, is a very powerful tool; unfortunately, much too often neglected by programmers. By using it you can avoid large portions of hand crafted, error-prone code, and it enables you to write compact, portable, and maintainable programs.

Let's have a look at the member functions *vector* provides:

Constructors

A complete set of <u>C++ constructors</u>, <u>C++ destructor</u>, and copy operator is provided. Let's have a look at them on the example of a vector of standard strings:

```
1. typedef std::vector<std::string> str_vec_t;
 2. str_vec_t v1;
3. str_vec_t v2(10);
                                         // create an empty vector
                                         // 10 copies of empty strings
 4. str_vec_t v3(10, "hello");
                                        // 10 copies of the string
                                         // "hello"
 6. str_vec_t v4(v3);
                                         // copy ctor
 7.
        std::list<std::string> sl;
 8.
                                        // create a list of strings
 9.
                                         // and populate it
10.
        sl.push back("cat");
        sl.push back("dog");
11.
        sl.push_back("mouse");
12.
13.
14. str_vec_t v5(sl.begin(), sl.end()); // a copy of the range in
                                         // another container
15.
16.
                                         // (here, a list)
17.
18. v1 = v5;
                                         // will copy all elements
                                         // from v5 to v1
19.
```

The assign() function

The *assign()* function will reinitialize the vector. We can pass either a valid element range using the [first, last) iterators or we can specify the number of elements to be created and the element value.

The assignment completely changes the elements of the *vector*. The old elements (if any) are discarded and the size of the *vector* is set to the number of elements assigned. Of course, *assign()* may trigger an internal reallocation.

Stack operations

We have seen the function $push_back()$. It appends an element to the end of the controlled sequence. There is a counterpart function, $pop_back()$, that removes the last element in the controlled sequence. The removed element becomes invalid, and size() is decremented. Note that $pop_back()$ does not return the value of the popped element. You have to peek it before you pop it. The reason why this is so is exception safe. Popping on an empty vector is an error and has undefined results.

```
1. std::vector<int> v;
2. v.push_back(999);
3. v.pop back();
```

Note that pop_back() does not shrink the capacity().

Predefined iterators

We have seen the iterators <code>begin()</code> and <code>end()</code>. They point to the first, respectively, to one-past-the-last element in the controlled sequence. There also are <code>rbegin()</code> and <code>rend()</code> which point to the first, respectively, to the one-past-the-last element of the reverse sequence. Note that both <code>rbegin()</code> and <code>rend()</code> return the type <code>reverse_iterator</code> (or <code>const_reverse_iterator</code> for their const versions)—which is not the same as <code>iterator</code>, (respectively <code>const_iterator</code>). To obtain a "normal" iterator from a reverse iterator, use <code>reverse_iterator</code>'s <code>base()</code> member function:

Element access

We have seen the subscript *operator* [] that provides unchecked access and the member function at(), which will throw an object of type $std::out_of_range$ if the index passed is invalid. Two other member functions exist, front() and back(), which return a reference to the first, respectively the last element in the controlled sequence. Note that they do not return iterators!

```
1. std::vector<int> v;
2. v.push_back(999);
3. // fill up the vector
4. //...
5. // following statements are equivalent:
6. int i = v.front();
7. int i = v[0];
8. int i = v.at(0);
9. int i = *(v.begin());
10. // following statements are equivalent:
11. int j = v.back();
12. int j = v[v.size()-1];
13. int j = v.at(v.size()-1);
14. int j = *(v.end()-1);
```

Note that we cannot write *(--v.end()) because v.end() is not a l-value.

List operations

A few operations provided by *vector* are actually native for *list*. They are provided by the most containers and deal with inserting and erasing elements in the middle of the controlled sequence. Let's demonstrate them by some examples:

```
1. #include <vector>
```

```
2. #include <iostream>
 3. int main()
 4. {
 5.
        std::vector<int> q;
 6.
        q.push_back(10); q.push_back(11); q.push_back(12);
 7.
        std::vector<int> v;
 8.
        for(int i=0; i<5; ++i){
 9.
10.
            v.push back(i);
11.
        // v contains 0 1 2 3 4
12.
13.
14.
        std::vector<int>::iterator it = v.begin() + 1;
15.
        // insert 33 before the second element:
16.
        it = v.insert(it, 33);
17.
        // v contains 0 33 1 2 3 4
18.
        // it points to the inserted element
19.
20.
        //insert the contents of q before the second element:
21.
        v.insert(it, q.begin(), q.end());
22.
        // v contains 0 10 11 12 33 1 2 3 4
        // iterator 'it' is invalid
23.
24.
25.
        it = v.begin() + 3;
26.
        // it points to the fourth element of v
27.
        // insert three time -1 before the fourth element:
28.
        v.insert(it, 3, -1);
29.
        // v contains 0 10 11 -1 -1 -1 12 33 1 2 3 4
30.
        // iterator 'it' is invalid
31.
        // erase the fifth element of v
32.
33.
        it = v.begin() + 4;
34.
        v.erase(it);
35.
        // v contains 0 10 11 -1 -1 12 33 1 2 3 4
36.
        // iterator 'it' is invalid
37.
38.
        // erase the second to the fifth element:
39.
        it = v.begin() + 1;
40.
        v.erase(it, it + 4);
41.
        // v contains 0 12 33 1 2 3 4
        // iterator 'it' is invalid
42.
43.
44.
        // clear all of v's elements
45.
        v.clear();
46.
47.
        return 0;
```

Note that both *insert()* and *erase()* may invalidate any iterators you might hold. The first version of *insert()* returns an iterator that points to the inserted element. The other two versions return *void*. Inserting elements may trigger a reallocation. In this case, all iterators in the container become invalid. If no reallocation occurs (for example, by a call to *reserve()* prior to inserting), only iterators printing between the insertion point and the end of the sequence become invalid.

Erasing elements never triggers a reallocation, nor does it influence the *capacity()*. However, all iterators that point between the first element erased and

the end of the sequence become invalid.

Calling *clear()* removes all elements from the controlled sequence. The memory allocated is not freed, however. All iterators become invalid, of course.

Note that both insert() and erase() are not very efficient for vectors. They are expected to perform in amortized linear time, O(n)+. If your application often uses insertion and erasure, vector probably isn't the best choice of a container for you.

Comparison operations

You can compare the contents of two vectors on an element-by-element basis using the operators ==, != and <. Two vectors are equal if both have the same size() and the elements are correspondingly equal. Note that the capacity() of two equal vectors need not to be the same. The operator < orders the vector's lexicographically.

```
1. std::vector<int> v1, v2;
2. //...
3. if(v1 == v2) ...
```

Swapping contents

Sometimes, it is practical to be able to swap() the contents of two vectors. A common application is forcing a vector to release the memory it holds. We have seen that erasing the elements or clearing the vector doesn't influence its capacity() (in other words, the memory allocated). We need to do a small trick:

```
1. std::vector<int> v;
2. //...
3. v.clear();
4. v.swap(std::vector<int>(v));
```

Normally (see below), vectors simply swap their guts. In the previous example, we create a temporary vector by using the copy ctor and swap() its contents with v. The temporary object will receive the entire memory held by v and v will receive the memory held by the temporary object—which is likely to allocate nothing on creation. The temporarily created vector is destroyed at the end of the above statement, and all the memory formally held by v is freed.

The *vector* class template has a second, default template parameter:

The *allocator* is a class that supplies the functions used by the container to allocate and deallocate memory for its elements. In this tutorial, we assumed that

we have a default *allocator* and we will continue to assume this. For the sake of completeness, note that swap() will perform in constant time (simply swap the guts of the two vectors) if both allocators are the same. This is in most cases so.

In this first tutorial, we have scratched the surface of the Standard Library and met std::vector. In the next tutorial, we will have a look at more advanced topics related to vector, respectively applying standard algorithms to it, and will discuss design decisions, such as the question of when to store objects and when to store pointers to objects in the vector. We also will introduce a close relative of the vector, that is, std::deque.

Related Articles

- Allocators (STL)
- Function Objects (STL)



Copyright 2017 QuinStreet Inc. All Rights Reserved.