A three-dimensional model of wave scattering by floating disks in a wave tank.

L. Bennetts

January 10, 2013

1 Preliminaries

Consider a rectangular wave tank, with geometry defined by a Cartesian co-ordinate system x, y, z, where the co-ordinates are parallel to the length, breadth and depth of the tank, respectively. The depth co-ordinate z points upwards and has its origin set to coincide with the surface of the fluid in the tank when at rest. The fluid in the tank occupies the domain

$$(x, y, z) \in \Omega \times [-h, 0]$$
 where $\Omega = \{x, y : 0 < x < l, 0 < y < w, \}.$ (1) {?}

The equilibrium position of the wave maker is the surface x = 0 and the absorbing beach is x = l. The tank floor (z = -h) and the side walls (y = 0, w) are all rigid and impenetrable.

Under the usual assumptions of linear motions, the fluid velocity field $\mathbf{v}(x,y,z,t)$ is described by a complex-valued velocity potential $\phi(x,y,z)$ via $\mathbf{v} = \text{Re}\{(g/\text{i}\omega)(\nabla,\partial_z)\phi e^{-\text{i}\omega t}\}$. Here $\nabla \equiv (\partial_x,\partial_y)$ is the horizontal differential operator, $g\approx 9.81\,\text{m}\,\text{s}^{-2}$ is acceleration due to gravity, and ω is a prescribed angular momentum. The velocity potential satisfies Laplace's equation throughout the fluid domain, i.e.

$$(\nabla^2 + \partial_{zz}^2)\phi = 0$$
 $(x, y, z) \in \Omega \times [-h, 0].$ (2) {?}

The fluid velocity normal to the impermeable walls of the tank vanish, yielding the conditions

$$\partial_y \phi = 0 \quad (y = 0, w), \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_z \phi = 0 \quad (z = -h).$$
 (3) {?}

The free surface condition is

$$\partial_z \phi = \sigma \phi \qquad (z = 0), \tag{4}$$

where $\sigma = \omega^2/g$ is a frequency parameter. The boundary conditions at the wave maker and the beach are as yet unknown, and will be disregarded for the time being.

Separation solutions are sought in which the vertical motion is assumed to be independent of the horizontal motions. The full solution is then a superposition of such solutions, and is expressed as

$$\phi(x,y,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi(\mathbf{x}:k_n)\zeta(z:k_n), \text{ where } \zeta(z:k_n) = \cosh\{k_n(z+h)\} \text{ and } \mathbf{x} = (x,y). \quad (5)$$

The quantities k_n are roots k of the dispersion relation

$$k \tanh(kh) = \sigma. \tag{6} \{?\}$$

The primary root $k_0 = 2\pi/\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is the free-surface wave number, and λ is the corresponding wavelength. The subsequent roots $k_n \in i\mathbb{R}$ (n = 1, 2, ...) are ordered in ascending magnitude.

The horizontal functions φ satisfy Helmholtz equation

$$\nabla^2 \varphi + k_n^2 \varphi = 0, \tag{7} \{?\}$$

with the boundary conditions $\partial_y \varphi = 0$ for y = 0, w. Separable solutions of the form $\varphi = X(x)Y(y)$ exist, with

$$X(x) = ae^{i\alpha_m(k_n)x} + be^{-i\alpha_m(k_n)x}, \quad Y(y) = \cos(\beta y), \tag{8}$$

for $m=0,1,2,\ldots$, where $\beta_m=m\pi/w$ and $\alpha_m(k)=\sqrt{k^2-\beta_m^2}$. The amplitudes a and b are undefined. If $k_n\in i\mathbb{R}$, i.e. $n\geq 1$, then $\alpha_m\in i\mathbb{R}$, which implies that the corresponding motions they support are, as expected, evanescent. For k_0 two types of motions exist. If $k_0\geq \beta_m$, for some m, then $\alpha_m\in \mathbb{R}$. The supported motion is thus a sum of two propagating waves, travelling in directions $\pm \psi = \pm \arccos(\alpha_{0,m}/k_0)$ with respect to the positive x axis. Let P-1 be the largest natural number for which $k_0\geq \beta_P=P\pi/w$. Then the motion will be said to have P propagating wave components. For $m\geq P$ the wave number $k_0<\beta_m$ and $\beta_m\in i\mathbb{R}$, so that the motion is, again, evanescent.

The full solution is now expressed as

$$\phi(x,y,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(a_{n,m} e^{i\alpha_m(k_n)x} + b_{n,m} e^{-i\alpha_m(k_n)x} \right) \eta_m(y) \zeta(z:k_n), \tag{9) ?eq:PotOW?}$$

where $\eta_m = \cos(\beta_m y)$. It will be useful to employ the decomposition $\phi = \phi_p + \phi_{ev}$, where

$$\phi_p(x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0}^{P-1} \left(a_{0,m} e^{i\alpha_m(k_0)x} + b_{0,m} e^{-i\alpha_m(k_0)x} \right) \eta_m(y) \zeta(z : k_0), \tag{10}$$

and

$$\phi_{e}(x, y, z) = \sum_{m=P}^{\infty} \left(a_{0,m} e^{i\alpha_{m}(k_{0})x} + b_{0,m} e^{-i\alpha_{m}(k_{0})x} \right) \eta_{m}(y) \zeta(z : k_{0})$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(a_{n,m} e^{i\alpha_{m}(k_{n})x} + b_{n,m} e^{-i\alpha_{m}(k_{n})x} \right) \eta_{m}(y) \zeta(z : k_{n}),$$
(11) {?}

are the propagating and evanescent parts, respectively.

For the proposed experiments, the width of the tank is $16 \,\mathrm{m}$, and the wavelengths with be in the range $0.5 \,\mathrm{m}$ to $6.25 \,\mathrm{m}$. The number of propagating wave components will therefore range from six to 65. For a transmitted wave field, consisting of P propagating wave components, in theory 2P independent measurements must be made to calculate the amplitudes. This assumes no reflected waves.

2 Solution in the open water

2.1 Green's functions

Consider the Green's function $G(\mathbf{x}|\dot{\mathbf{x}}:k)$, where $\dot{\mathbf{x}}=(\check{x},\check{y})$, which satisfies the governing equations

$$\nabla^2 G + k^2 G = \delta(x - \check{x})\delta(y - \check{y}), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in (0, w), \tag{12}$$

the boundary conditions $\partial_y G_n = 0$ on y = 0, w. A radiation condition, which states that the Green's function represents outgoing waves as $x \to \pm \infty$, is also imposed.

The Green's functions can be obtained by analogy to a periodic Green's function, $\hat{G}(x, y | \check{x}, \check{y} : k)$, which satisfies the governing equation

$$\nabla^2 \hat{G} + k^2 \hat{G} = \delta(x - \check{x})\delta(y - \check{y}) + \delta(x - \check{x})\delta(y + \check{y}) \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in (-w, w), \tag{13}$$
?

periodic boundary conditions

$$[\hat{G}]_{y=-w} = [\hat{G}]_{y=w}, \qquad [\hat{G}_y]_{y=-w} = [\hat{G}_y]_{y=w},$$

$$(14) \{?\}$$

and radiation conditions. The solution to this problem is a superposition of regular quasiperiodic Green's functions (e.g. Bennetts & Squire [2]) at the two source points, with the phase-change parameter set to zero. Hence

$$\hat{G}(x, y | \check{x}, \check{y} : k) = \frac{1}{4iw} \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{m}(k)} e^{i\alpha_{m}(k)|x-\check{x}|-i\beta_{m}(y-\check{y})} + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{m}(k)} e^{i\alpha_{m}(k)|x-\check{x}|-i\beta_{m}(y+\check{y})} \right)
= \frac{1}{2iw} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{m}(k)} e^{i\alpha_{m}(k)|x-\check{x}|-i\beta_{m}\check{y}} \eta_{m}(y)
= \frac{1}{2iw} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{m}(k)} (2 - \delta_{m0}) e^{i\alpha_{m}(k)|x-\check{x}|} \eta_{m}(\check{y}) \eta_{m}(y),$$
(15a) {??}

noting that $\alpha_m = \alpha_{-m}$. The required Green's function, G, is therefore

$$G(\mathbf{x}|\check{\mathbf{x}}:k) = \hat{G}(\mathbf{x}|\check{\mathbf{x}}:k). \tag{15b} \{?\}$$

2.2 Integral equations

Applying Green's theorem in the plane to the potential φ and the Green's function G produces the integral expression

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{d=1}^{D} \mathbf{n}_{d} \cdot \int_{\Gamma_{d}} ds_{d} \left\{ \varphi_{n}(\check{\mathbf{x}}_{d}) \nabla G(\check{\mathbf{x}}_{d} | \mathbf{x}) - G(\check{\mathbf{x}}_{d} | \mathbf{x}) \nabla \varphi(\check{x}, \check{y}) \right\}. \tag{16} ? \underline{\mathsf{eqn:intex}}$$

Here D denotes the number of disks in the tank, Γ_d denotes the boundary of disk d, and \mathbf{n}_d and s_d denote the (outward) normal to Γ_d and its arclength, respectively. Coordinate subscripts are used to indicate evaluation on the boundary of a particular disk, i.e. $\mathbf{x}_d \equiv [\mathbf{x}]_{\Gamma_d(s_d)}$. The quantity $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})$ is equal to unity if the field point \mathbf{x} is in the open-water region, one-half if the field point is on a disk edge, and zero if the field point is in the disk-covered region. The function f is the wave forcing, defined by $f(\mathbf{x}:k) = Ie^{ikx}$, where I = I(k) is a prescribed amplitude, which will generally be assumed to be $I(k_n) = \delta_{0,n}$.

For the circular disks considered in the experiments, the radius of disk d is defined to be a_d , and its local polar coordinate system is (r_d, θ_d) , with an origin located at the centre of the disk, denoted $\mathbf{c}_d \equiv (x_d^{(c)}, y_d^{(c)})$, which is related to the horizontal Cartesian coordinates via $x - x_d^{(c)} = r_d \cos \theta_d$ and $y - y_d^{(c)} = r_d \sin \theta_d$. In this case, the integral expression (16) becomes

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{d=1}^{D} a_d \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\check{\theta} \left\{ \varphi(\check{\mathbf{x}}) \partial_{r_d} G(\check{\mathbf{x}}_d | \mathbf{x}) - G(\check{\mathbf{x}}_d | \mathbf{x}) \partial_{r_d} \varphi(\check{\mathbf{x}}_d) \right\}. \tag{17} ? \underline{\mathsf{eqn:intex}}$$

A system of integral equation are obtained from (17) by allowing the field point to tend to each of the D boundaries Γ_d in succession, to give

$$\frac{1}{2}\varphi_{j}(\theta) = f_{j}(\theta) - \sum_{d=1}^{D} a_{d} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\check{\theta} \left\{ \varphi_{d}(\check{\theta}) \partial_{r_{d}} G(\check{\mathbf{x}}_{d}|\mathbf{x}_{j}) - G(\check{\mathbf{x}}_{d}|\mathbf{x}_{j}) \varphi_{d}'(\check{\theta}) \right\}, \tag{18} ?\underline{\mathsf{eqn}} : \mathtt{IEsys}$$

for j = 1, ..., D. In the above the following short-hand notations have been used:

$$\varphi_d(\theta) \equiv \varphi(\mathbf{x}_d(\theta)), \quad \varphi_d'(\theta) \equiv \partial_{r_d}(\mathbf{x}_d(\theta)), \quad \text{and} \quad f_d(\theta) \equiv \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}_d(\theta)).$$
 (19) {?}

2.3 Numerical evaluation of integral equations

2.3.1 Galerkin technique

The Galerkin technique is applied to solve the system of integral equations (18). Specifically, the unknowns φ_d and φ'_d are expanded in the Fourier series

$$\varphi_d(\theta) = \sum_{q=-Q}^{Q} \varphi_{d,q} e^{iq\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_d'(\theta) = \sum_{q=-Q}^{Q} \varphi_{d,q}' e^{iq\theta} \quad (d=1,\dots,D),$$
 (20) {?}

where the value of Q is chosen to be large enough to achieve the required accuracy. Inner products of each of the integral equations are then taken with respect to each of the test functions $e^{-iq\theta}/2\pi$ ($q=-Q,\ldots,Q$) in turn to generate a system of linear equations. For a particular disk j, the system generated by the Galerkin technique is expressed in array notation as

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}_{j} = \mathbf{f}_{j} - \sum_{d=1}^{D} \left(G'_{j,d}\mathbf{u}_{d} - G_{j,d}\mathbf{u}'_{d} \right). \tag{21} ? \underline{\mathtt{eqn:GalSy}}$$

In this expression, the vectors \mathbf{u}_d , \mathbf{u}_d' and \mathbf{f}_d are defined as

$$\mathbf{u}_d = \text{vec}\{\varphi_{d,q} : q = -Q, \dots, Q\}, \quad \mathbf{u}'_d = \text{vec}\{\varphi'_{d,q} : q = -Q, \dots, Q\},$$
 (22) {?}

and

$$\mathbf{f}_d = \text{vec} \{ \langle f_i \rangle_q : q = -Q, \dots, Q \} =,$$
 (23) {?}

using the inner-product notation

$$\langle f \rangle_q \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{-iq\theta} d\theta.$$
 (24) {?}

The matrices $G_{j,d}$ and $G'_{i,d}$ are defined by

$$G_{j,d} = \operatorname{Mat} \left\{ \left\langle \left\langle G(\check{\mathbf{x}}(\theta)|\mathbf{x}(\check{\theta})) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{q,p} : \operatorname{rows/columns} p, q = -Q, \dots, Q \right\}$$
(25) {?}

and

where

$$\langle \langle G \rangle \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(\check{\theta}|\theta) e^{i(q\check{\theta} - p\theta)} d\check{\theta} d\theta.$$
 (27) {?}

2.3.2 A relationship between G and $\partial_r G$

It can be shown that the Green's function for an unbounded domain, i.e.

$$G_0(\mathbf{x}, \check{\mathbf{x}}: k) = \frac{1}{4\mathbf{i}} H_0(k|\mathbf{x} - \check{\mathbf{x}}|), \tag{28}$$

satisfies the identity

$$J_n(ka)\langle \partial_r G_0 \rangle_q F - k J_q'(ka)\langle G_0 \rangle_q = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4\pi a} J_q(ka) & (r=a), \\ 0 & (r>a). \end{cases}$$
(29) ?eqn:Grn0I

Using the expression for the quasi-periodic Green's function, \hat{G} , as a sum of images, i.e.

$$\hat{G}(\mathbf{x}, \check{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} G_0(\mathbf{x}, \check{\mathbf{x}} + (0, 2jw)), \tag{30}$$

it can be shown that $G(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{x}:k)$ also satisfies identity (29). It follows that

$$G'_{j,d}J_d - G_{j,d}J'_d = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}J_d & (d=j), \\ 0 & (d \neq q). \end{cases}$$
 (31) {?}

where $J_d = \text{diag}\{J_{-Q}(ka_d), \dots, J_Q(ka_d)\}$ and $J'_d = \text{diag}\{kJ'_{-Q}(ka_d), \dots, kJ'_Q(ka_d)\}$. The system of equation (21) therefore simplifies to

$$\mathbf{u}_{j} = \mathbf{f}_{j} - \sum_{d=1}^{D} G_{j,d} \left(\hat{J}_{d} \mathbf{u}_{d} - \mathbf{u}_{d}^{\prime} \right), \quad \hat{J}_{d} = J_{d}^{\prime} J_{d}^{-1}.$$

$$(32) ? \underline{\mathsf{eqn}} : \mathsf{GalSy}$$

The advantage of the new expression is that only integrals involving the Green's function itself, and not it's derivative need to be calculated numerically. The method was originally used by Bennetts & Squire [3] for a related problem.

2.3.3 Kummer method

The series expression for the Green's function given in equations (15) is not suitable for numerical calculations due to slow convergence around the logarithmic singularity when the field as source points tend to one another. To improve the convergence a Kummer transformation is applied, in which a second series, with the same asymptotic behaviour as $m \to \infty$, is subtracted from the Green's function and added back on in closed form. Consider then, the Greens function as the sum of two series

$$G(\mathbf{x}|\check{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{1}{4\mathrm{i}w} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{m=\infty}^{\infty} S_{m\pm}, \quad \text{where} \quad S_{m\pm} = \frac{1}{\alpha_m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha_m|x-\check{x}|-\mathrm{i}\beta_m(y\pm\check{y})}. \tag{33}$$

As $m \to \pm \infty$ $\alpha_m \to i \pm \beta_m$, and the appropriate series to subtract are therefore

$$\frac{1}{4iw} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (T_{m\pm} + T_{-m\pm}), \quad \text{where} \quad T_{m\pm} = \frac{1}{i\beta_{|m|}} e^{-\beta_{|m|}|x - \check{x}| - i\beta_{m}(y \pm \check{y})}. \tag{34} \{?\}$$

The closed form for these series are

$$\frac{1}{4\mathrm{i}w} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(T_{m\pm} + T_{-m\pm} \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \left\{ E_{\pm,+} E_{\pm,-} \right\}, \quad \text{where} \quad E_{*,\pm} = 1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\beta_1 |x - \check{x}| \pm \mathrm{i}\beta_1 (y * \check{y})}.$$

The logarithmic singularity is therefore contained in the series containing the difference of the y coordinates, i.e. the $y - \check{y}$ terms. (Higher order Kummer transformation can be used to further accelerate convergence if required.)

The Green's function is now expressed as $G(\mathbf{x}|\check{\mathbf{x}}) =$

$$\hat{G}(\mathbf{x}|\check{\mathbf{x}}) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\pm} \log \{E_{\pm,+} E_{\pm,-}\}, \text{ where } \hat{G} = \frac{1}{4\mathrm{i}w} \sum_{\pm} \left(S_{0\pm} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (S_{\pm m\pm} - T_{\pm m\pm})\right).$$

Discounting the case in which two disks touch, the source and field points will only meet as they traverse the same disk perimeter, i.e. $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_d(\theta)$ and $\check{\mathbf{x}} = \check{\mathbf{x}}_d(\check{\theta})$. In this case the singularity can be transferred to a more convenient form by writing

$$\log \{E_{\pm,+}E_{\pm,-}\} = \log \left\{ \frac{E_{\pm,+}E_{\pm,-}}{\left(\frac{2a\pi}{w}\right)^2 (1 - \cos(\theta \pm \check{\theta}))} \right\} + \log \left\{ \left(\frac{2a\pi}{w}\right)^2 (1 - \cos(\theta \pm \check{\theta})) \right\}.$$

In the singular case (-), the first term on the right-hand side of the above vanishes as the field and source points tend towards one another, i.e. $\theta - \check{\theta} \to 0$, and the singularity is contained in the second term alone. The double integral over the disk perimeter of the second term can be evaluated explicitly to be

$$\left\langle \left\langle \log \left\{ \left(\frac{2a\pi}{w} \right)^{2} (1 - \cos(\theta \pm \check{\theta}) \right\} \right\rangle \right\rangle_{p,q} = \begin{cases} \log(\sqrt{2}a\pi/w) - 8\pi^{2}\log(2) & (p = q = 0), \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \log(1 - \cos\psi)(1 - \cos(p\psi)) \, d\psi - 8\pi^{2}\log(2) & (p = q \neq 0), \\ 0 & (p \neq q), \end{cases}$$
(35) {?}

where the integral appearing on the right-hand side requires numerical evaluation.

2.3.4 Irregular frequencies

2.3.5 Resonances

If $\alpha_m = 0$ for some $m \neq 0$ ($|\beta_m| = 1$) the Green's function (15) is singular, and the solution method described is invalid. Therefore, define the modified Green's function, G_M , as

$$G_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{x}|\check{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}w} \sum_{m=0/\mathcal{M}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_m(k)} (2 - \delta_{m0}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha_m(k)|x-\check{x}|} \eta_m(\check{y}) \eta_m(y). \tag{36}$$

At the resonant points the Green's function may therefore be written

$$G(\mathbf{x}|\check{\mathbf{x}}) = G_m(\mathbf{x}|\check{\mathbf{x}}) + \lim_{\alpha_m \to 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}w\alpha_m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha_m(k)|x-\check{x}|} \eta_m(\check{y}) \eta_m(y) \right\}. \tag{37}$$

It is assumed that all physical variables remain bounded in this limit, and thus, from equation (18), the following condition must hold (Linton & Thompson [4], Bennetts [1])

$$\lim_{\alpha_m \to 0} \sum_{d=1}^{D} a_d \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\check{\theta} \left\{ \varphi_d(\check{\theta}) \partial_{r_d} \eta_m(\check{y}_d) - \eta_m(\check{y}_d) \varphi_d'(\check{\theta}) \right\} = \lim_{\alpha_m \to 0} c\alpha_m = 0, \tag{38a} ? \underline{\texttt{eqn:Reson}}$$

where $\check{y}_d(\check{\theta}) = y_d + a_d \sin \check{\theta}$, and for some constant c. Condition (38a) must be solved, for all φ_d and c, in combination with the modified integral equation system

$$\frac{1}{2}\varphi_j(\theta) = f_j(\theta) - \sum_{d=1}^{D} a_d \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\check{\theta} \left\{ \varphi_d(\check{\theta}) \partial_{r_d} G_m(\check{\mathbf{x}}_d | \mathbf{x}_j) - G_m(\check{\mathbf{x}}_d | \mathbf{x}_j) \varphi_d'(\check{\theta}) \right\} - \frac{c}{\mathrm{i}w} \eta_m(y_j), \quad (38b) \{?\}$$

for j = 1, ..., D. The system (38) is then solved via the Galerkin technique as before. When doing so, it is useful to note that for $|\beta_m| = 1$

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \eta_m(\check{y}_d) e^{-n\check{\theta}} d\check{\theta} = \pi \{ e^{iy_d} J_n(ka_d) + e^{-iy_d} J_n(-ka_d) \},$$
(39) {?}

and

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \partial_{r_d} \eta_m(\check{y}_d) e^{-n\check{\theta}} d\check{\theta} = \pi k \{ e^{iy_d} J'_n(ka_d) + e^{-iy_d} J'_n(-ka_d) \}.$$
(40) {?}

3 Wave tank

To integrate the wave maker and the beach into the model, we separate the horizontal domain into three rectangular subdomains. These correspond to two exterior disk-free regions, denoted by $\Omega^1 = \{x, y : 0 < x < x_1, 0 < y < w\}$ and $\Omega^2 = \{x, y : x_2 < x < l, 0 < y < w\}$, and an interior region containing all the disks, denoted by $\Omega^d = \Omega \setminus (\Omega^1 \cup \Omega^2)$. In the open-water region Ω^i , i = 1, 2, the velocity potential, denoted by $\phi^{(i)}$, can be expressed as in (9), i.e.

$$\phi^{(i)}(x,y,z) \approx \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{m=0}^{M} \left(a_{n,m}^{(i)} e^{i\alpha_m(k_n)(x-x_+^{(i)})} + b_{n,m}^{(i)} e^{-i\alpha_m(k_n)(x-x_-^{(i)})} \right) \eta_m(y) \zeta(z:k_n), \tag{41}$$

where $a_{n,m}^{(i)}$ and $b_{n,m}^{(i)}$ are unknown amplitudes, and $x_{+}^{(1)} = 0$, $x_{-}^{(1)} = x_{1}$, $x_{+}^{(2)} = x_{2}$ and $x_{-}^{(2)} = l$.

The solution in Ω^d has been devised in §2. Let us denote by **S** the scattering matrix associated with Ω^d . It maps the incident waves amplitudes to the scattered waves amplitudes as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{a}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}^{11} & \mathbf{S}^{12} \\ \mathbf{S}^{21} & \mathbf{S}^{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}^{(1)} \\ \mathbf{b}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{42} ? \underline{\mathbf{eq:disk_s}}$$

where

$$\mathbf{a}^{(i)} = \left(a_{0,0}^{(i)}, \dots, a_{N,0}^{(i)}, a_{0,1}^{(i)}, \dots, a_{N,M-1}^{(i)}, a_{0,M}^{(i)}, \dots, a_{N,M}^{(i)}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$$

and

$$\mathbf{b}^{(i)} = \left(b_{0,0}^{(i)}, \dots, b_{N,0}^{(i)}, b_{0,1}^{(i)}, \dots, b_{N,M-1}^{(i)}, b_{0,M}^{(i)}, \dots, b_{N,M}^{(i)}\right)^{\mathrm{T}},$$

i = 1, 2, have length (N + 1)(M + 1).

Using matrix and vector notations, the velocity potential in Ω^i , i = 1, 2, can be expressed as

$$\phi^{(i)}(x, y, z) \approx \mathbf{C}(y, z) \left(\mathbf{E}(x - x_{+}^{(i)}) \mathbf{a}^{(i)} + \mathbf{E}(x_{-}^{(i)} - x) \mathbf{b}^{(i)} \right), \tag{43} ?eq:MatPot$$

where

$$\mathbf{C}(y,z) = (C_{0,0}, \dots, C_{N,0}, C_{0,1}, \dots, C_{N,M-1}, C_{0,M}, \dots, C_{N,M})$$

has length (N+1)(M+1), with

$$C_{n,m}(y,z) = \eta_m(y)\zeta(z:k_n).$$

We have also introduced the diagonal matrix $\mathbf{E}(x)$ of size (N+1)(M+1) defined by

$$\mathbf{E}(x) = \operatorname{diag}\left\{e^{\mathrm{i}\alpha_0(k_0)x}, \dots, e^{\mathrm{i}\alpha_0(k_N)x}, e^{\mathrm{i}\alpha_1(k_0)x}, \dots, e^{\mathrm{i}\alpha_{M-1}(k_N)x}, e^{\mathrm{i}\alpha_M(k_0)x}, \dots, e^{\mathrm{i}\alpha_M(k_N)x}\right\}.$$

3.1 Wave maker

At x = 0, a unidirectional wave maker of cross-section $\Lambda(z)$ sets the system in motion. The horizontal displacement of the paddle is described by $X_w(z,t) = \Lambda(z)X_0(t)$, where $X_0(t)$ is the time-dependent evolution of the paddle amplitude at z = 0. Assuming time-harmonic motion (i.e. $X_0(t) = \text{Re}\{A_0 \exp(i\omega t)\}$), the linearised boundary condition is given by

$$\mathrm{i}\omega A_0\Lambda(z)=\partial_x\phi^{(1)}\quad (x=0).$$

Using (43), we multiply (44) by $\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{T}}(y,z)$ and integrate over the vertical and transversal domains. This yields

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{B} \left(\mathbf{E}'(0)\mathbf{a}^{(1)} + \mathbf{E}'(x_1)\mathbf{b}^{(1)} \right), \tag{45) ?eq:WM_pro}$$

where

$$\mathbf{F} = i\omega A_0 \int_{-H}^0 \int_0^w \Lambda(z) \mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{T}}(y, z) \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}z$$

has length (N+1)(M+1) and entries given by

$$\left[\mathbf{F}\right]_{n,m} = \mathrm{i}\omega A_0 w \delta_{0,m} \int_{-H}^0 \Lambda(z) \zeta(z:k_n) \, \mathrm{d}z.$$

We have also introduced the (N+1)(M+1)-size matrix

$$\mathbf{B} = \int_{-H}^{0} \int_{0}^{w} \mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{T}}(y, z) \mathbf{C}(y, z) \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}z,$$

which is diagonal with entries w/2, from the orthogonality properties of $C_{n,m}(y,z)$.

Re-arranging (45) yields

$$\mathbf{a}^{(1)} = \mathbf{S}_w \mathbf{b}^{(1)} + \mathbf{F}_w, \tag{46} ? \underline{\mathsf{eq:WM_sca}}$$

where the scattering matrix \mathbf{S}_w and the forcing vector \mathbf{F}_w are obtained straightforwardly.

3.2 Beach

At x = l, the beach partly attenuates waves, depending on their frequency. It is difficult to model a beach properly within the framework of the linearised theory of water waves, as the attenuation process is non-linear. Instead, its effect on the system is considered assuming the beach is sufficiently far from other scatterers, so that it only influences propagating waves. This can be achieved by modelling the beach as a piston-like wave absorber. A kinematic condition is then prescribed as

$$i\omega A_b = \partial_x \phi^{(2)} \quad (x=l),$$
 (47) eq:beach?

where A_b is the horizontal displacement of the paddle. The control law of the wave absorber is defined such that a single travelling vertical mode is generated upon reflection at x = l, i.e.

$$\mathbf{b}^{(2)} = \mathbf{R}_b \mathbf{E}(l - x_2) \mathbf{a}^{(2)} = \mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{a}^{(2)},$$
 (48) ?eq:beach_

where \mathbf{R}_b is the reflection (N+1)(M+1)-size diagonal matrix with entries \mathcal{R}_b if n=0 and 0 otherwise. The reflection coefficient \mathcal{R}_b is governed by the beach spectrum (Montiel *et al.*[?])

$$\mathcal{R}_b = 0.9 \left(e^{-5\omega} - 1 \right) + 1.$$
 (49) {?}

The flow in the wave tank is then governed by the matrix equations (42), (46) and (48). The forcing is input by vector \mathbf{F}_w in (46). Calculation of the unknown amplitude vectors $\mathbf{a}^{(i)}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{(i)}$, i = 1, 2, follows straightforwardly.

References

- [Ben11] [1] L. G. Bennetts. Wave attenuation through multiple rows of scatterers with differing periodicities. SIAM J. Appl. Maths., 71(2):540–558, 2011.
- Een&Squ09a [2] L. G. Bennetts and V. A. Squire. Linear wave forcing of an array of axisymmetric ice floes. IMA J. Appl. Math., 75(1):108–138, 2009.
- Ben&Squ09b [3] L. G. Bennetts and V. A. Squire. Wave scattering by multiple rows of circular ice floes. J. Fluid Mech., 639:213–238, 2009.
- Lin&Tho07a [4] C. M. Linton and I. Thompson. Resonant effects in scattering by periodic arrays. Wave Motion, 44(3):165–175, 2007.