Cluster Difference Imaging Photometric Survey. III. Subtitle.

L. G. Bouma, ¹ J. D. Hartman, ¹ G. Zhou, ² R. Brahm, ^{3,4} P. Evans, ⁵ K. A. Collins, ² S. N. Quinn, ² E. Flowers, ¹ K. G. Stassun, ^{6,7} W. Bhatti, ¹ J. N. Winn, ¹ G. Á. Bakos, ¹ J. Teske, ⁸ S. X. Wang, ⁹ R. P. Butler, ⁸ J. D. Crane, ¹⁰ S. A. Shectman, ¹⁰ C. Ziegler, ¹¹ N. Law, ¹² A. W. Mann, ¹² G. R. Ricker, ¹³ R. Vanderspek, ¹³ D. W. Latham, ² S. Seager, ¹⁴ And J. M. Jenkins ¹⁵

¹Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, 4 Ivy Lane, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

²Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden St, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

³Facultad de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez, Av. Diagonal las Torres 2640, Peñalolén, Santiago, Chile

⁴Millennium Institute for Astrophysics, Chile

⁵El Sauce Observatory, Coquimbo Province, Chile

⁶Vanderbilt University, Department of Physics & Astronomy, 6301 Stevenson Center Lane, Nashville, TN 37235, USA

⁷Fisk University, Department of Physics, 1000 17th Avenue N., Nashville, TN 37208, USA

⁸Earth and Planets Laboratory, Carnegie Institution for Science, 5241 Broad Branch Road, NW, Washington, DC 20015, USA

⁹Department of Astronomy, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China

¹⁰Observatories of the Carnegie Institution for Science, 813 Santa Barbara Street, Pasadena, CA 91101, USA

¹¹Dunlap Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Toronto, 50 St. George Street, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3H4, Canada

¹²Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3255, USA

¹³Department of Physics and Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

(Received —; Revised —; Accepted —)
Submitted to TBD.

¹⁵NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA

ABSTRACT

foo,bar.

Keywords: Exoplanets (498), Transits (1711), Exoplanet evolution (491), Stellar ages (1581), Young star clusters (1833)

1. INTRODUCTION

Lorem ipsum.

Section 2 describes the identification of the candidate, and our follow-up observations. Section 3 combines the available data to assess the system's false positive probability, and validates TOI 1937b as a planet. Section 4 presents our knowledge of the cluster (Section 4.1), the star (Section 4.2) and the planet (Section 4.3). We conclude by discussing avenues for confirmation and improved characterization in Section 5.

2. IDENTIFICATION AND FOLLOW-UP OBSERVATIONS

2.1. TESS Photometry

2.2. Gaia Astrometry and Imaging

- 2.3. High-Resolution Imaging
- 2.4. Ground-based Time-Series Photometric Follow-up
 - 2.5. Spectroscopic Follow-up
 - 2.5.1. SMARTS 1.5 m / CHIRON

2.5.2. *FEROS*

2.5.3. *Veloce*

3. ASSESSMENT OF FALSE POSITIVE SCENARIOS

3.1. Constraints on False Positive Scenarios

3.2. False positive probability

4. SYSTEM MODELING

4.1. The Cluster

4.1.1. Physical Characteristics

4.1.2. HR Diagram

4.2. The Star

Corresponding author: L. G. Bouma luke@astro.princeton.edu

4.2.1. Membership of TOI 1937 in NGC 2516

4.2.2. Rotation

4.2.3. *Lithium*

4.2.4. Stellar Parameters

4.3. The Planet

5. DISCUSSION

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank X and Y for fruitful discussions. L.G.B. and J.H. acknowledge support by the TESS GI Program, program NUMBER, through NASA grant NUMBER. This study was based in part on observations at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory at NSF's NOIRLab (NOIRLab Prop. ID 2020A-0146; 2020B-NUMBER PI: L. Bouma), which is managed by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation. ACKNOWLEDGE PFS / CAMPANAS. This paper includes data collected by the TESS mission, which are publicly available from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). Funding for the TESS mission is provided by NASA's Science Mission directorate.

This research was based in part on observations obtained at the Southern Astrophysical Research (SOAR) telescope, which is a joint project of the Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia e Inovações (MCTI/LNA) do Brasil, the US National Science Foundation's NOIRLab, the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (UNC), and Michigan State University (MSU).

This research made use of the Exoplanet Follow-up Observation Program website, which is operated by the California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under the Exoplanet Exploration Program.

This research made use of the SVO Filter Profile Service (http://svo2.cab.inta-csic.es/theory/fps/) supported from the Spanish MINECO through grant AYA2017-84089.

Resources supporting this work were provided by the NASA High-End Computing (HEC) Program through the NASA Advanced Supercomputing (NAS) Division at Ames Research Center for the production of the SPOC data products.

Software: arviz (Kumar et al. 2019), astrobase (Bhatti et al. 2018), AstroImageJ (Collins et al. 2017), astropy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2018), astroquery (Ginsburg et al. 2018), ceres (Brahm et al. 2017), cdips-pipeline (Bhatti et al. 2019), corner (Foreman-Mackey 2016), exoplanet (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2020), and its dependencies (Agol et al. 2020; Kipping 2013; Luger et al. 2019; Theano Development Team 2016), IPython (Pérez & Granger 2007), matplotlib (Hunter 2007), numpy (Walt et al. 2011), pandas (McK-

inney 2010), pyGAM (Servén et al. 2018), PyMC3 (Salvatier et al. 2016), radvel (Fulton et al. 2018), scipy (Jones et al. 2001), tesscut (Brasseur et al. 2019), wotan (Hippke et al. 2019).

Facilities: Astrometry: Gaia (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2018). Imaging: Second Generation Digitized Sky Survey, SOAR (HRCam; Tokovinin 2018). Spectroscopy: CTIO1.5 m (CHIRON; Tokovinin et al. 2013), PFS (CITE), AAT (Veloce; Gilbert et al. 2018). Photometry: El Sauce: 0.356 m, TESS (Ricker et al. 2015).

TDB. 3

Table 1. Literature and Measured Properties for TOI 1937A

Other identifiers

TIC 268301217 GAIADR2 5489726768531119616 GAIAEDR3 5489726768531119616

Parameter	Description	Value	Source
$\alpha_{J2016.0}\dots$	Right Ascension (deg)	116.3707 ± 0.0109	1
$\delta_{J2016.0}\dots$	Declination (deg)	$\textbf{-52.3833} \pm 0.0097$	1
$l_{J2016.0} \dots$	Galactic Longitude (deg)	265.3082	1
$b_{J2016.0}\ldots\ldots$	Galactic Latitude (deg)	-13.5487	1
V	Johnson V mag	13.18 ± 0.10	2
G	Gaia G mag	13.005 ± 0.003	1
Bp	Gaia Bp mag	13.417 ± 0.003	1
Rp	Gaia Rp mag	12.421 ± 0.004	1
T	TESS mag	12.493 ± 0.006	2
J	2MASS J mag	11.717 ± 0.020	3
Н	2MASS H mag	11.324 ± 0.026	3
K _S	-	11.226 ± 0.021	3
W1	WISE1 mag	11.135 ± 0.023	4
W2	WISE2 mag.	11.155 ± 0.020	4
W3	WISE3 mag	11.160 ± 0.086	4
$W4\dots\dots\dots$	WISE4 mag.	$9.246 \pm \text{N/A}$	4
π	Gaia EDR3 parallax (mas)	2.411 ± 0.011	1
d	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	414.7 ± 1.9	1
$\mu_{\alpha'}$	Gaia EDR3 proper motion in RA (mas yr ⁻¹)	-5.627 ± 0.013	1
μ_{δ}	Gaia EDR3 proper motion	11.309 ± 0.013	1
RUWE	in DEC (mas yr ⁻¹) Gaia EDR3 renormalized	0.908	1
RV	unit weight error Gaia EDR3 systemic radial velocity (km s ⁻¹)	$17.44\pm0.64^\dagger$	1
RV	Adopted systemic	$17.44\pm0.64^\dagger$	1
$v \sin i_{\star} \dots$	Rotational velocity (km s ⁻¹)	-±-	5
v _{mac}	Macroturbulence velocity (km s ⁻¹)	- ± -	5
[Fe/H]	Metallicity	- ± -	5
$T_{\rm eff}$	Effective Temperature (K)	— ± —	6
$\log g_{\star} \dots$	Surface Gravity (cgs)	$x.xxx \pm 0.049$	6
Li EW	6708Å Equiv. Width (mÅ)	< 30	7
<i>P</i> _{rot}	Rotation period (d)	$6.5 \pm X.X$	8
Age	Adopted stellar age (Myr)	_	9
Spec. Type	Spectral Type	G2V	5
R_{\star}	Stellar radius (R_{\odot})	$X.XXX \pm X.XXX$	6
M_{\star}	Stellar mass (R_{\odot})	$1.XXX \pm X.XXX$	6
Av	Interstellar reddening (mag)	$0.XX\pm0.XX$	10

NOTE—† Systemic RV uncertainty is the standard deviation of single-transit radial velocities, as quoted in Gaia DR2. **FIXME** Provenances are: ¹Gaia Collaboration et al. (2018), ²Stassun et al. (2019), ³Skrutskie et al. (2006), ⁴Wright et al. (2010), ⁵CHIRON spectra, ⁶Method 2 (cluster isochrone, Section 4.2.4), ⁷FEROS spectra, ⁸TESS light curve, ⁹IC 2602 ages from isochrone & lithium depletion analyses (Section 4.1.1), ¹⁰Method 1 (photometric SED fit, Section 4.2.4).

Table 2. Literature and Measured Properties for TOI 1937B

Other identifiers

TIC 766593811 GAIADR2 5489726768531118848 GAIAEDR3 5489726768531118848

GAIAEDR3 5489726768531118848				
Parameter	Description	Value	Source	
$\alpha_{J2016.0}\dots$	Right Ascension (deg)	116.3706 ± 0.0098	1	
$\delta_{J2016.0}\dots$	Declination (deg)	$\textbf{-52.3826} \pm 0.0753$	1	
G	Gaia G mag	17.653 ± 0.003	1	
Bp	Gaia Bp mag	17.950 ± 0.098	1	
Rp	Gaia Rp mag	16.246 ± 0.015	1	
$T\dots\dots\dots$	TESS mag	16.86 ± 0.08	2	
$\Delta I_{\rm C} \dots \dots$	SOAR Cousins-I mag diff	$4.3 \pm 0.X$	2	
π	Gaia EDR3 parallax (mas)	2.351 ± 0.089	1	
d	Distance (pc)	425.3 ± 16.1	1	
$\mu_{\alpha'}$	Gaia EDR3 proper motion	-5.387 ± 0.104	1	
	in RA (mas yr ⁻¹)			
μ_{δ}	Gaia EDR3 proper motion	11.349 ± 0.096	1	
	in DEC (mas yr ⁻¹)			
RUWE	Gaia EDR3 renormalized	1.120	1	
	unit weight error			
[Fe/H]	Metallicity	- ± -	5	
$T_{\rm eff}$	Effective Temperature (K)	— ± —	6	
$\log g_{\star} \dots$	Surface Gravity (cgs)	$x.xxx \pm x.xx$	6	
Li EW	6708Å Equiv. Width (mÅ)	NaN	7	
P_{rot}	Rotation period (d)	NaN	8	
Age	Adopted stellar age (Myr)	_	9	
Spec. Type	Spectral Type	M1VFIX	5	
R_{\star}	Stellar radius (R_{\odot})	$0.XXX \pm X.XXX$	6	
M_{\star}	Stellar mass (R_{\odot})	$0.XXX \pm X.XXX$	6	
$A_{\mathrm{V}}\dots\dots$	Interstellar reddening (mag) .	$0.XX\pm0.XX$	10	

NOTE—**FIXME** Provenances are: ¹Gaia Collaboration et al. (2018), ²Stassun et al. (2019), ³Skrutskie et al. (2006), ⁴Wright et al. (2010), ⁵CHIRON spectra, ⁶Method 2 (cluster isochrone, Section 4.2.4), ⁷FEROS spectra, ⁸TESS light curve, ⁹IC 2602 ages from isochrone & lithium depletion analyses (Section 4.1.1), ¹⁰Method 1 (photometric SED fit, Section 4.2.4).

TDB. 5

REFERENCES

- Agol, E., Luger, R., & Foreman-Mackey, D. 2020, AJ, 159, 123 Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Sipőcz, B. M., et al. 2018, AJ, 156, 123
- Bhatti, W., Bouma, L., & Yee, S. 2019, cdips-pipeline v0.1.0, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3370324
- Bhatti, W., Bouma, L. G., & Wallace, J. 2018, astrobase, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1469822
- Brahm, R., Jordán, A., & Espinoza, N. 2017, PASP, 129, 034002Brasseur, C. E., Phillip, C., Fleming, S. W., Mullally, S. E., & White, R. L. 2019, Astrophysics Source Code Library, ascl:1905.007
- Collins, K. A., Kielkopf, J. F., Stassun, K. G., & Hessman, F. V. 2017, AJ, 153, 77
- Foreman-Mackey, D. 2016, Journal of Open Source Software, 1, 24 Foreman-Mackey, D., Czekala, I., Luger, R., et al. 2020, exoplanet-dev/exoplanet v0.2.6
- Fulton, B. J., Petigura, E. A., Blunt, S., & Sinukoff, E. 2018, PASP, 130, 044504
- Gaia Collaboration, Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., et al. 2016, A&A, 595, A1
- Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., et al. 2018, A&A, 616, A1
- Gilbert, J., Bergmann, C., Bloxham, G., et al. 2018, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 10702, Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy VII, ed. C. J. Evans, L. Simard, & H. Takami, 107020Y
- Ginsburg, A., Sipocz, B., Madhura Parikh, et al. 2018, Astropy/Astroquery: V0.3.7 Release
- Hippke, M., David, T. J., Mulders, G. D., & Heller, R. 2019, AJ, 158, 143

Hunter, J. D. 2007, Computing in Science & Engineering, 9, 90Jones, E., Oliphant, T., Peterson, P., et al. 2001, Open source scientific tools for Python

- Kipping, D. M. 2013, MNRAS, 435, 2152
- Kumar, R., Carroll, C., Hartikainen, A., & Martin, O. A. 2019, The Journal of Open Source Software
- Luger, R., Agol, E., Foreman-Mackey, D., et al. 2019, AJ, 157, 64McKinney, W. 2010, in Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference, ed. S. van der Walt & J. Millman, 51
- Pérez, F., & Granger, B. E. 2007, Computing in Science and Engineering, 9, 21
- Ricker, G. R., Winn, J. N., Vanderspek, R., et al. 2015, Journal of Astronomical Telescopes, Instruments, and Systems, 1, 014003
- Salvatier, J., Wieckiâ, T. V., & Fonnesbeck, C. 2016, PyMC3: Python probabilistic programming framework
- Servén, D., Brummitt, C., & Abedi, H. 2018, dswah/pyGAM: v0.8.0
- Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163
- Stassun, K. G., Oelkers, R. J., Paegert, M., et al. 2019, AJ, 158, 138 Theano Development Team. 2016, arXiv e-prints, abs/1605.02688 Tokovinin, A. 2018, PASP, 130, 035002
- Tokovinin, A., Fischer, D. A., Bonati, M., et al. 2013, PASP, 125, 1336
- Walt, S. v. d., Colbert, S. C., & Varoquaux, G. 2011, Computing in Science & Engineering, 13, 22
- Wright, E. L., Eisenhardt, P. R. M., Mainzer, A. K., et al. 2010, AJ, 140, 1868