Investigating Decision Trees

Lillian Mueller lmuelle1@umd.edu Regina Hong rhong@umd.edu

Abstract— This report explores the effectiveness of performing k-fold cross validation in determining if a logistic regression model or a decision tree model is more effective in classifying Iris species. In k-fold cross validation, the dataset is randomly split into multiple equal partitions called folds, and each fold is tested against the remaining folds. This process is iterated until all the folds have been individually tested. The accuracy of each fold as well as the mean and standard deviation accuracy values can be determined. We found that the logistic regression model consistently performed with a higher accuracy score and observed the effects different k values had on the accuracy of the two models.

I. Introduction

Being able to evaluate the effectiveness of a prediction model is just as important as the development. One method that is conventionally used to determine the skill of a model is cross validation. This method involves breaking the dataset up evenly into a number of random sample groups, or folds, and systematically training a model of all groups but one of the groups and testing the model of the remaining group until all groups have been used as a separate testing set. The accuracy scores for each model are then used to evaluate the effectiveness of the model for that dataset. Cross validation is commonly used to determine the optimal model for a dataset because it is fairly easy to implement and understand [1].

Cross validation is a method that can be used to determine which classification model is a better predictor of the Iris dataset: the decision tree classification model or the logistic regression classification model. These models were evaluated separately in previous investigations where modifications of these methods were examined to optimize accuracy. The model with parameters that yielded the greatest accuracy for this dataset. Specifically, the decision tree model using entropy as a purity measure will be compared to a logistic regression model without any penalties [2], [3]. As in previous reports, these models will be predicting the class of each iris flower based on the following features: sepal length, sepal width, petal length, and petal width.

The Python sklearn library is used to perform cross validation in the Methodology section to conclude the optimal model between decision tree and logistic regression for the Iris dataset. The results are outlined in the following Results section. The importance of this investigation is in the Discussion section.

II. METHODOLOGY

This investigation entails using scikitlearn's cross_validate function from the model_selection → module to compare the accuracy between a decision tree

model and logistic regression model. Several Python packages were utilized including sklearn, pandas, and numpy. Specifically from sklearn, we use the following modules: linear_model, preprocessing, model_selection \hookrightarrow , metrics, and tree.

First, the Iris dataset was loaded as an sklearn.utils

.Bunch object called iris_data via the load_iris

() function. This object is similar to a Python dictionary. To make the data easier to read, it was transformed into the df_iris dataframe, where the data parameter was set as the data attribute of the dataset and the columns parameter as the feature_names attribute. A new column called "class" was added to this dataframe which contains the target variable of the Iris dataset; this is the class of the Iris plant – setosa, versicolor, or virginica. Since the target attribute contains an array with values from 0-2, the .replace function was used to map these numerical values to their corresponding classifications: setosa for 0, versicolor for 1, and virginica for 2.

After loading in and setting up the Iris dataset, cross validation was performed on both a decision tre [2], [3]. The criterion parameter of the decision tree model was set to entropy and the penalty parameter in the logistic regression model was set to None.

The type of cross validation used is called k-fold cross validation. This kind of cross validation involves splitting the data into k groups or folds, using k-1 folds as training data, and using the remaining fold as test data. Some sort of measurement or calculation is done (in this case we chose to use accuracy) to validate the model. These steps are repeated until every fold has become test data and the mean accuracy value is computed. Figure 1 visualizes this concept with k=5 folds.



Fig. 1. Example of k-fold Cross Validation with k=5 folds [4]

In the cross validation process for both the decision tree and logistic regression models, the cv parameter was set to None meaning it uses the default of k=5 folds, and the scoring parameter was set to accuracy since that was the strategy chosen to determine the effectiveness of the models. The mean and standard deviation of the cross validation of both models was determined.

To validate the cross_validate function from sklearn, we also created our own cross validation function called perform_manual_cross_validation that goes through the same algorithm of splitting the Iris data into folds, using one fold as the test data, and iterating through until all the folds have been used as test data. We ran this function on the same decision tree and linear regression models; the accuracy each iteration was printed and the mean and standard deviation of those accuracies were compared to when the cross_validate function was used.

Returning to using the cross_validate function we changed the cv parameter, setting it to the values of 3, 7, and 10 to see how the accuracy of the cross validated decision tree and linear regression models would change. We were especially interested in seeing how setting k=10 would affect accuracy because k=5 and k=10 are the more common fold values.

III. RESULTS

The mean and standard deviation accuracy values from performing 5-fold cross validation on our logistic regression and decision tree models are shown in Table I

Measure	Logistic Regression	Decision Tree
Mean	0.973333	0.960000
Standard Deviation	0.036515	0.036515
'	TABLE I	

5-FOLD CROSS VALIDATION STATISTICS FOR LOGISTIC REGRESSION AND DECISION TREE MODELS

It can be seen that the logistic regression model is slightly more accurate than the decision tree model, at 0.973 compared to 0.960. This lines up with our previous study; when the results of that linear regression model were briefly compared to that decision tree model, the logistic regression model was more accurate. Here, we used a more robust method of evaluating effectiveness of the models and found the same conclusion to be true.

The results of our own k-fold cross validation function perform_manual_cross_validation produced similar results, seen in Table II.

Measure	Logistic Regression	Decision Tree
Mean	0.953333	0.933333
Standard Deviation	0.038006	0.0.062361
	TABLE II	

5-FOLD MANUAL CROSS VALIDATION STATISTICS FOR LOGISTIC REGRESSION AND DECISION TREE MODELS

Although the values are not exactly the same as when the cross_validate function was used, it still confirms that

the logistic regression model is slightly more accurate than the decision tree model. The higher standard deviation values may be attributed to the way the data is being split into the folds; it is just splitting the Iris dataset into fifths without implementing a random order.

The results of performing k-fold cross validation using sklearn and changing the number of folds are shown below. The additional k values of 3, 7, and 10 are used.

Measure	Logistic Regression	Decision Tree		
Mean (k=3)	0.973333	0.96		
Standard Deviation (k=3)	0.023094	0.02		
Mean (k=5, default)	0.973333	0.96		
Standard Deviation (k=5, default)	0.036515	0.036515		
Mean (k=7)	0.959802	0.939703		
Standard Deviation (k=7)	0.074425	0.065443		
Mean (k=10)	0.98	0.953333		
Standard Deviation (k=10)	0.044997	0.044997		
TABLE III				

Cross Validation Statistics for Logistic Regression and Decision Tree Models (κ =3, 5, 7, 10)

For all k-values, the logistic tree models consistently showed to have greater accuracy than the decision tree model. Between k=3 and k=5, the accuracy of both the models did not change. When k=7, the accuracy of both models decreased - this could be because the folds do not have the same amount of data, as 150 data points do not divide evenly between 7 groups, also causing more variance within the accuracy of the folds. When k=10, the accuracy of both models increases slightly compared to k=3 and k=5. This could be a sign of overfitting as each fold has much less data meaning that the training portion is increasingly bigger than the testing portion during each iteration.

IV. DISCUSSION

Through this investigation, we were able to confirm that the logistic regression model was more effective as a predictive model for the Iris dataset as compared with a decision tree model. Here, we used a more robust method of evaluating effectiveness of the models and found the same results. K-fold cross validation allows for a relatively quick confirmation of which model in question is more accurate as compared to other models.

In the case of a larger dataset, using k=10 may be found to work better, The accuracy of both testing and training data could be observed to determine if overfitting is occurring. Also, this study used the entire Iris dataset as the portion used for the k-fold cross-validation. In the future, the Iris dataset could be split into test and train partitions, more similar to what was done in previous studies. Then, k-fold cross validation would only be performed on the training data and the results of that would finally be applied to the testing data.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Brownlee, "A Gentle Introduction to k-fold Cross-Validation," MachineLearningMastery.com. Accessed: Oct. 01, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://machinelearningmastery.com/k-fold-cross-validation/
- [2] L. Mueller and R. Hong, "Investigating Decision Trees," Sep. 18, 2023.
- [3] L. Mueller and R. Hong, "Iris Classification Using Logistic Regression," Sep. 25, 2023.
- [4] R. Patro, "Cross Validation: K Fold vs Monte Carlo," Medium. Accessed: Oct. 05, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://towardsdatascience.com/cross-validation-k-fold-vs-monte-carlo-e54df2fc179b