**Group collaboration assessment form (please fill this form with all members together)**

*Hand in deadline November 10*

*Fill with* ***red*** *if you think an action/suggestion deserves a bonus point (+0.5).*

*Fill with* ***blue*** *if you think that the corresponding action harmed the quality of collaboration (-0.5).*

*\*The* ***red*** *and* ***blue*** *points can be applied to group actions as well as individual actions.*

*\*The main goal of this form is not to punish but to reinforce positive and fair collaboration experience. Therefore points are not easily subtracted (don't be afraid to report blue points). In case teachers consider subtracting points: all group members will be invited for a discussion and a decision on the final points will be made together.*

**1. Process**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tasks** | **Planned Date** | **Action Date** | **Comments** |
| Finalize research plan | 12/09/16 | 12/09/16 | Group |
| Literature Research |  |  | Group |
| Select categories for images | 12/09/16 | 26/09/16 | Group |
| Gathering images from Flickr | 03/10/16 | 03/10/16 | Kai |
| Run images through neural network | 10/10/16 | 03/10/16 | Kai |
| Analyse results of NN | 10/10/16 | 03/10/16 | Group |
| Pick 10 images/category from NN distribution | 03/10/16 | 10/10/16 | Group |
| Design experiment | 10/10/16 | 03/10/16 | Group |
| Implement experiment | 17/10/16 | 11/10/16 | Lisa |
| Pilot study | 17/10/16 | 13/10/16 | Ralitsa |
| Possible redesign | 17/10/16 | 17/10/16 | Group |
| Actual study | 24/10/16 | 24/10/16 | Group |
| Clustering on images and/or statistical classification | 31/10/16 |  | Arianne |
| Analysis | 31/10/16 |  | Germonda |
| Preparing presentation | 07/11/16 |  | Group |
| Writing report | 14/11/16 |  | Group |

*\*Adjust tasks in this table. In case your Action Date was later than the Planned Date, try to analyze the cause of the delay and if possible, propose a solution in the comment.*

**2. Member contribution**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Akif Berber** |
| **Contributions** |  |
| **Strength** |  |
| **Point to improve** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Lisa Goerke** |
| **Contributions** |  |
| **Strength** |  |
| **Point to improve** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Arianne van der Griend** |
| **Contributions** |  |
| **Strength** |  |
| **Point to improve** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Germonda Mooij** |
| **Contributions** |  |
| **Strength** |  |
| **Point to improve** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Kai Standvoß** |
| **Contributions** |  |
| **Strength** |  |
| **Point to improve** |  |

**3. Describe the task load division. Was it successful? If not, what should be improved?**

**4.Describe the difficulties you faced during the collaboration (and solutions if appreciable)**

**5. Describe the positive experiences and things that you will continue in the future project collaboration**

**6. Suggestions/feedback for the format of collaboration assessment**

Additional personal comments can also be submitted to m.sadakata@donders.ru.nl