

## 7.1 The Logic of Hypothesis Testing

Dr. Lauren Perry

# Goals

1. Understand the logic of hypothesis testing.
2. Identify Type I and Type II error from a set of hypotheses.

Broadly, our goal is to make decisions about the value of a parameter.

We have confidence intervals, but we might also want to ask questions like

- ▶ Do cans of soda actually contain 12 oz?
- ▶ Is Medicine A better than Medicine B?

# Hypotheses

A **hypothesis** is a statement that something is true.

A hypothesis test involves two (competing) hypotheses:

1. The **null hypothesis**, denoted  $H_0$ , is the hypothesis to be tested. This is the “default” assumption.
2. The **alternative hypothesis**, denoted  $H_A$  is the alternative to the null.

A **hypothesis test** helps us decide whether the null hypothesis should be rejected in favor of the alternative.

## Example

Cans of soda are labeled with “12 FL OZ”. Is this accurate?

The default, or uninteresting, assumption is that cans of soda contain 12 oz.

- ▶  $H_0$ : the mean volume of soda in a can is 12 oz.
- ▶  $H_A$ : the mean volume of soda in a can is NOT 12 oz.

We can write these hypotheses in words or in statistical notation.

# Statistical Notation

The null specifies a single value of  $\mu$

►  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$

We call  $\mu_0$  the **null value**. When we run a hypothesis test,  $\mu_0$  will be replaced by some number.

The alternative specifies a *range* of possible values for  $\mu$ :

►  $H_A: \mu \neq \mu_0$ . “The true mean is different from the null value.”

## Example

- ▶  $H_0$ : the mean volume of soda in a can is 12 oz.
  - ▶ The null value is 12. In statistical notation,  $H_0 : \mu = 12$ .
- ▶  $H_A$ : the mean volume of soda in a can is NOT 12 oz.
  - ▶ In statistical notation,  $H_A : \mu \neq 12$ .

# The Logic of Hypothesis Testing

- ▶ Take a random sample from the population.
- ▶ If the data are consistent with the null hypothesis, do not reject the null hypothesis.
- ▶ If the data are inconsistent with the null hypothesis *and* supportive of the alternative hypothesis, reject the null in favor of the alternative.



## Example: Jury Trials

In the US court system, jurors are told to assume the defendant is “innocent until proven guilty”.

Innocence is the default assumption, so

- ▶  $H_0$ : the defendant is innocent.
- ▶  $H_A$ : the defendant is guilty.

## Example: Jury Trials

- ▶ It is not the jury's job to decide if the defendant is innocent!
  - ▶ That should be their default assumption.
- ▶ They are *only* there to decide if the defendant is guilty or if there is not enough evidence to override that default assumption.

The *burden of proof* lies on the alternative hypothesis.

Notice the careful language in the logic of hypothesis testing: we either reject, or fail to reject, the null hypothesis.

We never “accept” a null hypothesis.

## Decision Errors

- ▶ A **Type I Error** is rejecting the null when it is true. (Null is true, but we conclude null is false.)
- ▶ A **Type II Error** is not rejecting the null when it is false. (Null is false, but we do not conclude it is false.)

$H_0$  is

True

False

Decision

Do not reject  $H_0$

Correct decision

Type II Error

Reject  $H_0$

Type I Error

Correct decision

## Example

In our jury trial,

- ▶  $H_0$ : the defendant is innocent.
- ▶  $H_A$ : the defendant is guilty.

A Type I error is concluding guilt when the defendant is innocent.

A Type II error is failing to convict when the person is guilty.

## How likely are we to make errors?

$P(\text{Type I Error}) = \alpha$ , the **significance level**.

- ▶ This is the same  $\alpha$  we saw in confidence intervals!

$P(\text{Type II Error}) = \beta$ .

- ▶ This is something we don't have time to cover in detail.

We would like both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to be small but,

- ▶ If we decrease  $\alpha$ , then  $\beta$  will increase.
- ▶ If we increase  $\alpha$ , then  $\beta$  will decrease.

In practice, we set  $\alpha$  (as we did in confidence intervals).

We can improve  $\beta$  by increasing sample size.

## Example

Consider two possible criminal charges:

1. Defendant is accused of stealing a loaf of bread. If found guilty, they may face some jail time and will have a criminal record.
2. Defendant is accused of murder. If found guilty, they will have a felony and may spend decades in prison.

Since these are moral questions, I will let you consider the consequences of each type of error.

However, keep in mind that we do make scientific decisions that have lasting impacts on people's lives.

# Hypothesis Test Conclusions

- ▶ If the null hypothesis is rejected, we say the result is **statistically significant**. We can interpret this result with:
  - ▶ At the  $\alpha$  level of significance, the data provide sufficient evidence to support the alternative hypothesis.
- ▶ If the null hypothesis is *not* rejected, we say the result is **not statistically significant**. We can interpret this result with:
  - ▶ At the  $\alpha$  level of significance, the data do *not* provide sufficient evidence to support the alternative hypothesis.

When we write these types of conclusions, we will write them in the context of the problem.