

## Renormalization and scale setting in-class exercises

**Exercise 1:** To extract hadron masses in lattice QCD, we look at the two-point correlation function

$$C_H(t) = \langle \Gamma_H(t) \Gamma_H(0) \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is some local operator which couples to the hadronic state  $H$ . At very large time separation, the behavior of this correlation function is exponential decay, dominated by the lightest state which couples to the operator  $\Gamma_H$ :

$$C_H(t) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} A e^{-M_H t}. \quad (2)$$

However, since we use Monte Carlo methods, it's also important to compute the variance of this correlation function, which by definition takes the form

$$N \sigma_H^2 = \langle \Gamma_H(t)^2 \Gamma_H(0)^2 \rangle - \langle \Gamma_H(t) \Gamma_H(0) \rangle^2, \quad (3)$$

where  $N$  is our statistical sample size. The second term here is just  $C_H(t)^2$ , but the first term is a new two-point correlator with the *square* of the original operator at the source and sink.

- a) For the pion two-point correlator, the local operator  $\Gamma_\pi$  takes the form  $\bar{q}\gamma^5 q$ , so that the state overlapping with  $C_\pi(t)$  at long times is one propagating quark and anti-quark. When we square this operator, we get a propagating state with two quarks and two anti-quarks, so the lowest-energy state is *two* pions, with total energy  $E = 2M_\pi$ .

Compute the signal-to-noise ratio  $S/N = C_\pi(t)/\sigma_\pi(t)$ , and show that it becomes constant in the large- $t$  limit. (This allows us to determine pion masses to arbitrarily good precision by looking at larger  $t$ .)

- b) Now consider the proton two-point correlator, where  $\Gamma_p$  contains three light quarks  $qqq$ . If we square this operator, the propagating state is three quarks with three anti-quarks, so the lowest-energy state is three pions.

Again compute the signal-to-noise ratio  $S/N = C_p(t)/\sigma_p(t)$ . This time, show that it becomes *exponentially* small in the large- $t$  limit, scaling as  $e^{-\alpha t}$ . What is the decay constant  $\alpha$ ?

Look up numbers for the proton and pion mass in the PDG (<https://pdg.lbl.gov>). How large can  $t$  be in femtometers (fm) before the signal-to-noise degrades by a factor of more than  $10^3$ ?

- c) The  $\Omega$  baryon is instead made of three strange quarks, while the squared correlator overlaps with three (fictitious)  $\eta_s$  mesons, which are like pions made from purely strange quarks. Using chiral perturbation theory arguments, the mass of the  $\eta_s$  meson can be approximated as

$$M_{\eta_s}^2 \approx M_{K^+}^2 + M_{K^0}^2 - M_{\pi}^2. \quad (4)$$

Go to the PDG again and re-do your calculation from the end of the last part. How much further out in  $t$  can we go in the  $\Omega$  baryon two-point correlator before we find the same  $10^3$  signal-to-noise degradation?

(For more details here, see <https://inspirehep.net/literature/287173> by G.P. Lepage and <https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.3270> by R. Sommer.)

**Exercise 2:** In lecture, the QCD  $\beta$ -function was given as

$$\beta(\alpha_s) = \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d\alpha_s}{d\mu} = -\beta_0 \alpha_s^2 - \beta_1 \alpha_s^3, \quad (5)$$

where the first two coefficients (“two loops”) are given by

$$\beta_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( 11 - \frac{2}{3} N_f \right), \quad (6)$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left( 102 - \frac{38}{3} N_f \right). \quad (7)$$

Here  $N_f$  is the number of active light quarks, where “active” (roughly) means that the quark is light compared to the renormalization scale,  $m_q \lesssim \mu$ .

- a) Although we don’t include it in lattice calculations since it is too heavy and unstable, we should include the top quark if we try to study the ultraviolet limit  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ . With the top quark included, the Standard Model has  $N_f = 6$ . Is asymptotic freedom maintained? If not, how many quarks would there have to be in nature for QCD to no longer be asymptotically free?
- b) Using your favorite numerical tool, solve for and plot  $\alpha(\mu)$ , first using only the first term in  $\beta(\alpha)$  and setting  $\beta_1 = 0$  (this is the “one-loop” result), and then keeping both terms (the “two-loop” result.) The strong coupling is often given in terms of its value at the Z-boson pole,

$$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1180(9), \quad (8)$$

where  $M_Z = 91.2$  GeV; use this as a common initial condition for your one-loop and two-loop solutions. How close are the two solutions in predicting  $\alpha_s(m_b)$  at the scale of the bottom quark ( $m_b \approx 4.2$  GeV)? What about at the charm mass ( $m_c \approx 1.3$  GeV)? What does this tell you about where in terms of  $\mu$  perturbation theory (i.e. series expansion in  $\alpha_s(\mu)$ ) will be reliable?

(If you’re feeling very ambitious, try to account for changes in  $N_f$  in your numerical solution as you cross the  $\mu$  thresholds corresponding to quark masses; removing one quark from the theoretical calculation as we move below its mass threshold and it is no longer active is known as *decoupling*.)