Lendel Deguiq

4.1.5 | 
$$x \mid 1 \mid -a \mid 0$$
  $P(x) = 3 + \lambda(x - 1) + 4(x - 1)(x + \lambda) (5.1)$   
 $P(1) = 3 + \lambda(1 - 1) + 4(1 + 1)(1 + \lambda) = 3 + 0 + 0 = 3$   
 $P(a) = 3 + \lambda(-a - 1) + 4(-a - 1)(-a + \lambda) = 3 - 6 + 0 = -3$   
 $P(a) = 3 + \lambda(0 - 1) + 4(0 - 1)(0 + \lambda) = 3 - \lambda - 8 = -7$   
 $P(x) = 4(1)^{2} + 6(1) - 7 = 4 + 6 - 7 = 3$   
 $P(-a) = 4(-a)^{2} + 6(-a) - 7 = 16 - 1\lambda - 7 = -3$   
 $P(a) = 4(-a)^{2} + 6(-a)^{2} - 3 + 3(-a)^{2} + 6(-a)^{2} + 6(-a)^{2} - 3 + 3(-a)^{2} + 6(-a)^{2} + 6(-a)^{2}$ 

By the existence and uniqueness theorem, this means that P(X) = Q(X); this becomes evident after multiplying out equation (5. d) and combining terms:  $P(X) = 3 + \lambda X - \lambda + 4(X^{\lambda} + X - \lambda) = 1 + 0X + 4X^{\lambda} + 4X^{\lambda}$ 

4.1.7 b) From the given table:

$$(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = (-1, 1, 3, -4)$$
;  $(f(x_0), f(x_1), f(x_2), f(x_3))$ 

and  $f(x_0, x_3) = 53.5$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1) = \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0} = \frac{-4 - \lambda}{1 - (-1)} = -3$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1) = \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_0 - x_0} = \frac{-4 - \lambda}{3 - 1} = 25$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2) - f(x_0, x_1)}{3 - (-1)} = \frac{35 - (3)}{3 - (-1)} = 7$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2) - f(x_0, x_1, x_2)}{x_3 - x_0} = \frac{53.5 - \lambda 5}{4 - (-1)} = \frac{4}{\lambda}$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2) - f(x_0, x_1, x_2)}{x_3 - x_0} = \frac{9.5 - 7}{4 - (-1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(x_0, x_1, x_2)}{x_3 - x_0} = \frac{9.5 - 7}{4 - (-1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(x_0, x_1, x_2)}{x_3 - x_0} = \frac{9.5 - 7}{4 - (-1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(x_0, x_1, x_2)}{x_3 - x_0} = \frac{9.5 - 7}{4 - (-1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(x_0, x_1, x_2)}{x_3 - x_0} = \frac{9.5 - 7}{4 - (-1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(x_0, x_1, x_2)}{x_3 - x_0} = \frac{9.5 - 7}{4 - (-1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(x_0, x_1, x_2)}{x_3 - x_0} = \frac{9.5 - 7}{4 - (-1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(x_0, x_1, x_2)}{x_3 - x_0} = \frac{9.5 - 7}{4 - (-1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(x_0, x_1, x_2)}{x_3 - x_0} = \frac{9.5 - 7}{4 - (-1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{x_3 - x_0} = \frac{9.5 - 7}{4 - (-1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{x_3 - x_0} = \frac{9.5 - 7}{4 - (-1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{x_3 - x_0} = \frac{9.5 - 7}{4 - (-1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ 
 $f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ 

1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 Population (mil) 150.7 179.3 203.3 226.5 249.6 1950 [SO.7] 2.86) 1960 179.3 2.4 +0.003 0.0006333 [1.29160×10<sup>-5</sup>] 1970 203.3 2.32 -0.0004 0.0001166 1980 226.5 2.31 -0.0005 Using divided difference yields:  $\Rightarrow P_4(x) = 150.7 + 2.86(x - 1950) - \frac{23}{1000}(x - 1950)(x - 1960)$  $+\frac{19}{30000}(X-1950)(X-1960)(X-1970)$  $-\frac{31}{2400000}(X-1950)(X-1960)(X-1970)(X-1980)$ Now, using P4(X) to estimate populations in 1920 and 2000: P4 (1920) = -47.2 million Py (2000) = 270,2 million

The estimate for X=2000 seems acceptable since there is an increasing trend in population with year. However, the estimate for X=1920 is nonsensical which indicates that the predictive sepsoblipies (and indicates that the predictive of an interpolating polynomial retrospective) capabilities of an interpolates; beyond that is limited within the data it interpolates; beyond that is limited within the data it interpolates; beyond that 4.1.15 We can construct Newton's interpolation polynomial for the table. By the existence and uniqueness theorem, there is an interpolating polynomial of at most degree 5 that interpolates the table. Whatever polynomial is constructed is the only one possible whatever polynomial is constructed is the only one possible for the provided data.

-2 1 3 -1 4 3 0 11 5 -1 10 0 1 10 5 3 - 4 - 1 3 - 4 - 1 using divided differences, we see that the final two columns are zeros which indicates that the interpolating polynomial is degree 3

 $= \int P(x) = 1 + 3(x+2) + 3(x+2)(x+1) - (x+2)(x+1) \times$ 

Indeed, P(X) is a 3rd degree polynomial