Intro to Graphs

Def An <u>undirected graph</u> $G = (V, E)$ is a non-empty set of vertices (nodes) V and a set $E = \{\{u,v\} : u, v \in V\}$ of edges joining pairs of nodes.

ex 

$V = \{A\}$
$E = \emptyset$



$V = \{A, B\}$

$E = \{\{A, B\}\}$

G_3:



$V = \{A, B, C, D\}$

$E = \{\{A,B\}, \{B,D\}, \{B,C\}, \{A,C\}\}$



$V = \{A, B\}$

$E = \emptyset$

non-ex  all edges need 2 endpoints

Q  is this a graph? yes. $V = \{A\}$

$E = \{\{A, A\}\}$
$= \{\{A\}\}$

real-world examples

alice — bob
catherine

- Facebook friends

  nodes: people
  edge: 2 people are Facebook friends

- blood relationships

<u>Q</u> what property (or properties) would a mathematical relation need to have to be represented as an undirected graph?

ideas: symmetric $a \rightleftarrows b$ $\quad a - b$

reflexive a

self loops are equivalent when directed or undirected



<u>Def</u> A <u>directed graph</u> $G = (V, E)$ has a set of vertices V and edges $E \subseteq V \times V = \{(u, v) : u, v \in V\}$ so that edges are directed from one vertex to another.

Note: relations on a single set and directed graphs are the same!

ex 



$V = \{A, B\}$
$E = \{(A, B)\}$

$\neq$

$V = \{A, B\}$
$E = \{(B, A)\}$

ordered pair
tuple
list
array

undirected:



$E = \{\{A, B\}\}$

set

real-world example

Twitter followers

Def A graph is simple if it contains no parallel edges or self-loops.

parallel edges:  

note that  has no parallel edges

$(A, B) \neq (B, A)$

self-loops:   

Example 11.3: Self-loops and parallel edges.

Suppose that we construct a graph to model each of the following phenomena. In which settings do self-loops or parallel edges make sense?

1. A social network: nodes correspond to people; (undirected) edges represent friendships.
2. The web: nodes correspond to web pages; (directed) edges represent links.
3. The flight network for a commercial airline: nodes correspond to airports; (directed) edges denote flights scheduled by the airline in the next month.
4. The email network at a college: nodes correspond to students; there is a (directed) edge $\langle u, v \rangle$ if u has sent at least one email to v within the last year.

	self-loops	parallel edges
social network	no	no
the web	yes	yes
flight network	no	yes
email network	yes	no

Def Let $e = \{u, v\}$ or (u, v)



- nodes u, v are <u>adjacent</u> or <u>neighbors</u>
- in a directed graph, v is an <u>out-neighbor</u> of u and u is an <u>in-neighbor</u> of v
- u, v are <u>endpoints</u> of e
- u, v are <u>incident</u> to e

Let v be a node in a simple undirected graph.

degree(v) = deg(v) = $d(v)$ = # of neighbors of v

$$= \left| \{ u \in V : \underbrace{\{v, u\}}_{\text{or } \{u,v\}} \in E \} \right|$$



$\deg(v) = 4$



for directed graphs, indeg(v) = # of in-neighbs of v

outdeg(v) = # of out-neighbors of v

Proofs about graphs

Discrete Structures (CSCI 246)

in-class activity

Names: ______________________________

1. For each of the two graphs, label each node v with $deg(v)$, and give $\sum_{v \in V} deg(v)$, the total degree of the graph, and $|E|$, the number of edges in the graph.



$$\sum_{v \in V} deg(v) = 2+3+2+3+1+1 = 12$$

$$|E| = 6$$

$$|E| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} deg(v)$$

$$2|E| = \sum_{v \in V} deg(v)$$



9 edges

total degree: 18

2. Can you give a conjecture about the relationship between $\sum_{v \in V} deg(v)$ and and $|E|$?

Theorem 11.8 "Handshaking Lemma"

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple undirected graph. Then

$$\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) = 2|E|.$$

Proof Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph. Notice that every edge is connected to exactly 2 nodes, meaning that it contributes 1 to the degree of 2 nodes.

So $\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) = 2|E|$.

Corollary → fact that follows simply from a previous theorem/lemma

Let n_{odd} denote the number of nodes whose degree is odd. Then n_{odd} is even.

Proof Aiming for a contradiction, suppose n_{odd} is odd.

Note that

$$\underbrace{\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v)}_{\text{this is } 2|E|, \text{ which is even}} = \underbrace{\sum_{v \in V:\ \deg(v) \text{ is odd}} \deg(v)}_{\text{this must be odd, because sum of odd \# of odds is odd}} + \underbrace{\sum_{v \in V:\ \deg(v) \text{ is even}} \deg(v)}_{\text{this must be even, because sum evens is even}}$$

even = odd + even,

a contradiction!

So n_{odd} must be even. $\square$

"Kleek"

Def A complete graph or clique is an undirected graph $G=(V,E)$ s.t.

$\forall u,v \in V: \; u \neq v \Rightarrow \{u,v\} \in E$

is  a clique?

$V=\{a,b,c\}$

No. Consider nodes a, c. $a \neq c$, but $\{a,c\} \in E$

is  a clique?

yes.

The clique on n nodes is denoted K_n.

examples:

K_1  0

K_2  1

K_3  3

K_4  6

K_5



10

Q What is the relationship between $n = |V|$ and $m = |E|$ for K_n?

Conjectures:

$$m = (n-1)! \quad ? \quad \text{nope.}$$

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (i-1) = 0 + 1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + (n-1)$$

n	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (i-1)$	m	K_n
1	$(1-1) = 0$	0	
2	$(1-1)+(2-1)=1$	1	
3	$(1-1)+(2-1)+(3-1) = 0+1+2 = 3$	3	
5	$0+1+2+3+4 = 10$	10	

recall: $\sum_{i=0}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

so $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (i-1) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = m$, the # edges in K_n.

claim K_n has $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ edges.

Proof #1 We give a way to count the edges and show that it gives $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

Suppose we have a complete graph K_n. Label its nodes $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n$. Starting with v_1, count the uncounted edges adjacent to v_1 and add the count to the total.

v_1 has $n-1$ uncounted edges
v_2 has $n-2$ uncounted edges
$\vdots$
v_{n-1} has 1 uncounted edge
v_n has 0 uncounted edges

$$m = |E| = 0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + n-1 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \qquad \square$$

Proof #2 Let K_n be the complete graph on n nodes.

Note that every node has degree $n-1$.

$$\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) = \sum_{v \in V} (n-1) = n(n-1)$$

But by the handshaking lemma,

$$\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) = 2|E|.$$

$$n(n-1) = 2|E|$$

$$\frac{n(n-1)}{2} = |E| = m$$

$\square$

Proof #3 Let $P(n)$ denote that
K_n has $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ edges.

We prove $\forall n \geq 1 : P(n)$ using induction over n.

Base case: $P(1)$ is true. That is, K_1 has $\frac{1(1-1)}{2} = 0$ edges. Yes, this is true!

Inductive case: We WTS $\forall n \geq 2 : P(n-1) \Rightarrow P(n)$

Assume $P(n-1)$. That is, assume

K_{n-1} has $\frac{(n-1)(n-1-1)}{2} = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$ edges.

Now, consider an arbitrary clique K_n. Let K_n' be the graph created by removing one node and all its edges. Note that $K_n' = K_{n-1}$.

Goal: # edges of $K_n = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

edges of K_n = # of edges K_{n-1} + # of edges we have to add to K_{n-1} to get K_n

$$= \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} + n-1$$

$$= \frac{n^2-3n+2}{2} \quad \frac{2(n-1)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{n^2 - 3n + \cancel{2} + 2n - \cancel{2}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{n^2 - n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

We've proved the inductive case.

H



$V = \{u, v, x\}$

$E = \{\{u,v\}, \{u,x\}\}$

G by removing edge $\{u,v\}$



$\{\{u,v\}, \{u,x\}\} \setminus \{\{u,v\}\}$

$= \{\{u,x\}\}$ ✓

$\{\{u,v\}, \{u,x\}\} \setminus \{u,v\}$

$= \{\{u,v\}, \{u,x\}\}$ ×

Last time: complete graphs / clique



real-world examples?

ex



there is a way to drive

non-ex

direct interstate connections

ex a family, edges = blood relations

Def A bipartite graph is a graph $G = (L \cup R, E)$ s.t. $L \cap R = \emptyset$ and $E \subseteq \{\{l, r\} : l \in L \wedge r \in R\}$.

ex



$V = \{A, B, C, D, E, F\}$

$L = \{A, E, F\}$

$R = \{B, C, D\}$

$L \cap R = \emptyset$ ✓

$E \subseteq \{\{\ell, r\} : \ell \in L \wedge r \in R\}$ ✓

ex

G_1





G_2

non-ex



G_3

Claim Let G be an undirected graph. If G contains a triangle, then it is not bipartite.

ex



$\neg(p \Rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$

Proof Aiming for a contradiction, suppose that G contains a triangle and is bipartite.

Let v_1, v_2, v_3 be the nodes of the triangle. Without loss of generality, since we could relabel the nodes, suppose that $v_1 \in L$ and $v_2 \in R$. Since $v_2 \in R$, $v_3 \in L$. But there is an edge from v_1 to v_3 and both are in L, which contradicts that G is bipartite. $\square$

<u>Def</u> A graph is <u>planar</u> if we can draw it in the plane w/out edge crossings.



note: graphs are equal if verts equal and edges equal

K_n is comple graph on n nodes

Is K_4 planar?



K_5 is not planar





complete bipartite graph

$K_{3,3}$ complete bipartite graph on 3 nodes