From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2012 09:45:53 -0400 (EDT)

I got a little caught up in my other projects that I forgot to send this out.

Robin

Received on Thu Sep 27 2012 - 09:47:01 EDT

This archive was generated by hypermail 2.3.0 : Tue Nov 06 2012 - 15:39:50 EST

C-1

```
From: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>
Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2012 14:54:33 -0400

I plan on having it done for the majority.. If we want to meet 30 minutes or so to go over it we can. Also I need hours from everyone if you have any for this week.

Sent from my iPhone
On Sep 27, 2012, at 2:50 PM, Lee Hall <|hall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
> On Thu, 2012-09-27 at 09:45 -0400, Robin Mays wrote:
>> I got a little caught up in my other projects that I forgot to send this out.
>
> No worries. It seems that the reason none of of noticed the assignment
> is that it wasn't there -- we submitted it on September 5. (Also, it
> didn't get attached to the previous email).
>
> Were we planning on meeting to do the status report before class?
> -Lee
> -Lee
> Received on Thu Sep 27 2012 - 14:54:06 EDT
```

From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>

```
Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2012 15:04:21 -0400

I guess so. I'm still studying but the status report has to get done.

On Sep 27, 2012 2:50 PM, "Lee Hall" <|hall_at_newfields.com> wrote:

> On Thu, 2012-09-27 at 09:45 -0400, Robin Mays wrote:

> I got a little caught up in my other projects that I forgot to send this

> out.

> No worries. It seems that the reason none of of noticed the assignment

> is that it wasn't there -- we submitted it on September 5. (Also, it

> didn't get attached to the previous email).

> Were we planning on meeting to do the status report before class?

> -Lee

> Received on Thu Sep 27 2012 - 15:04:01 EDT
```

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2012 15:38:28 -0400 (EDT)

My Bad!!!

---- Original Message -----

From: "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com>
To: "Robin Mays" < rmays_at_spsu.edu>

Cc: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2012 2:50:43 PM

Subject: Re: updated user stories...

On Thu, 2012-09-27 at 09:45 -0400, Robin Mays wrote:

> I got a little caught up in my other projects that I forgot to send this out.

No worries. It seems that the reason none of of noticed the assignment

is that it wasn't there -- we submitted it on September 5. (Also, it

didn't get attached to the previous email).

Were we planning on meeting to do the status report before class?

-Lee

• application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document attachment: Group4_UpdatedUserStories_Cycle2.docx

Received on Thu Sep 27 2012 - 15:39:40 EDT

```
From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2012 17:18:12 -0400

Hey guys,
No before class meeting today unless there's something that you need to discuss.
Robin
On Thu, Sep 27, 2012 at 5:11 PM, Lee Hall <lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
> On Thu, 2012-09-27 at 16:25 -0400, Thomas Couture wrote:
> Here is the weekly report.
>
> You're a hero.
>
> Thanks,
>
> -Lee
>
Received on Thu Sep 27 2012 - 17:17:42 EDT
```

```
From: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>
Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2012 17:58:18 -0400
Already printed along with meeting minutes.. Nothing to worry about other than the test!
Sent from my iPhone
On Sep 27, 2012, at 5:46 PM, Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
> On Thu, 2012-09-27 at 17:18 -0400, Robin Mays wrote:
>
>> No before class meeting today unless there's something that you need
>> to discuss.
> Fine by me, and summary sheet looks good. Who's printing it? I'm not in
> the office today, but if no one else has time I can run by the lab and
> take care of it.
>
> -Lee
>
Received on Thu Sep 27 2012 - 17:57:51 EDT
```

Re: Tuesday meeting

```
From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Tue, 2 Oct 2012 14:31:57 -0400
Great ideas! I would probably add:
Are any of the stories listed in cycle 2's user stories disposable?
On Oct 2, 2012 2:26 PM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
> Is 7:00 a reasonable time to try to meet before class today?
> We don't have a lot, but we do need to prepare a sanity check for the
> customer, as requested last Tuesday. I was thinking an email along the
> lines of:
>
> ----
> We've been working this cycle so far on getting backend data structures
> together that will speed the implementation of the primary (already
> approved) cycle 2 user stories. As we start working on the front end, we
> would like to know:
> How did the customer like our demo?
> Do the newly rearranged user stories line up with his expectations for
> the finished product?
> ----
>
> Any additions, thoughts?
> -Lee
>
Received on Tue Oct 02 2012 - 14:31:19 EDT
```

I'm going to miss class tonight...

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Tue, 2 Oct 2012 18:37:34 -0400 (EDT)

Sorry guys,

I have to miss class tonight. I already informed the professor. If you meet, send me the notes so that I can type up the minutes. Again, my apologies,

Robin

Received on Tue Oct 02 2012 - 18:38:30 EDT

This archive was generated by hypermail 2.3.0 : Tue Nov 06 2012 - 15:39:50 EST

C-8

[SWE3613] Team 4 Customer Questions

From: Lee Hall <shall4_at_spsu.edu> Date: Wed, 03 Oct 2012 23:18:28 -0400

We've been working this cycle so far on getting back-end data structures together that will speed the implementation of the primary (already approved) cycle 2 user stories. As we start working on the user interface, our last meeting raised some questions:

How did the customer like our demo?

Do the newly rearranged user stories line up with his expectations for the finished product?

Are there any users stories that seem unnecessary?

We respect the customer's aversion to attachments, and will trust that the ScrumMaster has given him the most recent copy of the user stories from last week.

Thanks,

Thomas Couture

Lee Hall

Robin Mays

Mathew Powell

Received on Wed Oct 03 2012 - 23:17:48 EDT

Re: Meeting today

From: swordthane <swordthane_at_gmail.com>

Date: Thu, 4 Oct 2012 17:02:53 -0400

I can be there at 5:30

Received on Thu Oct 04 2012 - 17:02:25 EDT

Re: Meeting today

```
From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Thu, 4 Oct 2012 17:05:26 -0400

I'm running late and I'll have my son in tow. Sorry.
On Oct 4, 2012 4:33 PM, "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu> wrote:
> Hey Guys, I am on campus in the library doing some work. When are you guys
> planning on getting to campus. There isnt enough room where I am at, so we
> will need to meet up somewhere else.
>
> -Thomas
>
Received on Thu Oct 04 2012 - 17:04:37 EDT
```

Re: Meeting today

From: Matthew Powell < mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Thu, 4 Oct 2012 17:41:16 -0400 (EDT)

I know but I had nothing better to do. Where are you in the library I checked there and wondered over to the cs lab.

---- Original Message -----

From: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>

To: "Robin Mays" <rmays36_at_gmail.com>

Cc: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>, "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>, "Lee Hall" <label{lem:chall at newfields.com>}

Sent: Thursday, October 4, 2012 5:11:31 PM

Subject: Re: Meeting today

For Matthew, its not really necessary for us to meet up at 5:30, especially since you and I are not working on the same part, however you are welcome to come join me, I was referring more to getting a general idea for meeting up before class, so that I can get some times and numbers from people to finish up the weekly report.

As for Robin, what is late? And if you are not going to be there before 7ish, can you send me your hours for what you have done this week, so I can throw it into the weekly report. And as far as your son, not a problem, we can use an extra coder.. we are down some hours this week!! =D

-Thomas

---- Original Message -----

From: "Robin Mays" <rmays36_at_gmail.com>

To: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>

Cc: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>, "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>, "Lee Hall" <label{lem:chall} at newfields.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 4, 2012 5:05:26 PM

Subject: Re: Meeting today

I'm running late and I'll have my son in tow. Sorry.

On Oct 4, 2012 4:33 PM, "Thomas Couture" < tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu > wrote:

Hey Guys, I am on campus in the library doing some work. When are you guys planning on getting to campus. There isnt enough room where I am at, so we will need to meet up somewhere else.

-Thomas

Received on Thu Oct 04 2012 - 17:41:31 EDT

[SWE3613] Team 4 work status

From: Lee Hall < shall4_at_spsu.edu> Date: Fri, 05 Oct 2012 17:51:20 -0400

As requested, here is the status after our meeting today.

Team 4 met today at Lee's house at 11:00. Remaining at the start of the cycle were user stories 7-17.

Since the beginning of the cycle:

Lee has finished stories 13 (quotas) and 14 (variable quotas).

Mathew has coded story 8 (password reset), and it is being tested.

Thomas and Robin have coded 7 (list group files), 9 (create groups), and 16 (view groups). They are being tested.

Thomas and Robin have begun work on 11 (view group membership) and 15 (add group members).

Lee has begun work on story 12 (view quotas)

This leaves only story 10 (ToS) and 17 (Pretty group member additions).

Tomorrow Lee will be writing the test plan, and the other group members will be coding. We will meet again on Sunday at Lee's house to vet the test plan and begin applying it to finished features while the remaining features are coded.

- Team 4

Thomas Couture

Lee Hall

Robin Mays

Mathew Powell

Received on Fri Oct 05 2012 - 17:50:27 EDT

Meeting today...

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Sun, 7 Oct 2012 13:08:18 -0400 (EDT)

Hey Guys,

I'll be late today. Still trying to find a babysitter. I'll get there as soon as I can tough.

Sorry, Robin

Received on Sun Oct 07 2012 - 13:09:04 EDT

Re: Meeting today...

```
From: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>
Date: Sun, 7 Oct 2012 13:18:53 -0400

Should we push the meeting back?

Sent from my iPhone
On Oct 7, 2012, at 1:08 PM, Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> wrote:

> Hey Guys,

> I'll be late today. Still trying to find a babysitter. I'll get there as soon as I can tough.

> Sorry, Robin
Received on Sun Oct 07 2012 - 13:17:59 EDT
```

Re: Meeting today...

```
From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Sun, 7 Oct 2012 13:28:58 -0400

I'm hoping I'll only be about 30mins late.
On Oct 7, 2012 1:19 PM, "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com> wrote:
> Should we push the meeting back?
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Oct 7, 2012, at 1:08 PM, Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> wrote:
>
> Hey Guys,
>>
> I'll be late today. Still trying to find a babysitter. I'll get there
> as soon as I can tough.
>>
> Sorry, Robin
>
```

This archive was generated by hypermail 2.3.0: Tue Nov 06 2012 - 15:39:50 EST

Received on Sun Oct 07 2012 - 13:28:00 EDT

Fwd: print

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Sun, 7 Oct 2012 20:55:32 -0400 (EDT)

If anyone needs this before we meet again.

---- Forwarded Message -----

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu>

To: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>

Sent: Thu, 20 Sep 2012 18:46:04 -0400 (EDT)

Subject: print

• application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.spreadsheetml.sheet attachment: 20120920_Group4-FINAL-1.xlsx

• application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document attachment: The_Honeycomb_Project_Analysis_Report.docx

Received on Sun Oct 07 2012 - 20:56:19 EDT

Re: Fwd: print

```
From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Tue, 9 Oct 2012 02:46:00 -0400
Wow!
On Oct 9, 2012 2:32 AM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
> On Sun, 2012-10-07 at 20:55 -0400, Robin Mays wrote:
>> If anyone needs this before we meet again.
> I've revised the final report a good bit. The formatting should all
> match his template, and I've captioned some of the figures and moved
> them to more appropriate places. There's also a Test Plan, a heavily
> revised and expanded Architecture section, Plannded and Actual
> assignments for this cycle, and probably something else I've forgotten.
> I still need to integrate the actual Test Procedures that Matthew put
> together and go read the bloody chapters in the book, but for the
> moment...
> Sleep...
> -Lee
Received on Tue Oct 09 2012 - 02:44:58 EDT
```

Re: Fwd: print

```
From: Robin Mays < rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Tue, 9 Oct 2012 16:22:41 -0400

I can meet. do u guys wanna meet in the lab at 5:30 or 6:00?
On Oct 9, 2012 4:07 PM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
> Are we meeting before class today? It seems that the report itself is
> due tomorrow at 10pm (not Thursday), so we need to make sure that we've
> got everything working so we can turn it in tomorrow.
>
> -Lee
>
Received on Tue Oct 09 2012 - 16:21:38 EDT
```

This archive was generated by hypermail 2.3.0: Tue Nov 06 2012 - 15:39:50 EST

C-19

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Tue, 9 Oct 2012 16:33:46 -0400 (EDT)

I can meet at 5:30 but I also noticed that file deletion does not seem to work

---- Original Message -----

From: "Robin Mays" <rmays36_at_gmail.com>

To: "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com>

Cc: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>, "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>, "Thomas

Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>

Sent: Tuesday, October 9, 2012 4:22:41 PM

Subject: Re: Fwd: print

I can meet. do u guys wanna meet in the lab at 5:30 or 6:00?

On Oct 9, 2012 4:07 PM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com > wrote:

Are we meeting before class today? It seems that the report itself is

due tomorrow at 10pm (not Thursday), so we need to make sure that we've

got everything working so we can turn it in tomorrow.

-Lee

Received on Tue Oct 09 2012 - 16:33:51 EDT

```
From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Tue, 9 Oct 2012 16:42:43 -0400
Delete a member?
On Oct 9, 2012 4:35 PM, "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> wrote:
> I can meet at 5:30 but I also noticed that file deletion does not seem to
> work
> ---- Original Message -----
> From: "Robin Mays" < rmays36_at_gmail.com>
> To: "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com>
> Cc: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>, "Robin Mays" <mays_at_spsu.edu>,
> "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>
> Sent: Tuesday, October 9, 2012 4:22:41 PM
> Subject: Re: Fwd: print
>
>
> I can meet. do u guys wanna meet in the lab at 5:30 or 6:00?
> On Oct 9, 2012 4:07 PM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com > wrote:
>
> Are we meeting before class today? It seems that the report itself is
> due tomorrow at 10pm (not Thursday), so we need to make sure that we've
> got everything working so we can turn it in tomorrow.
> -Lee
>
Received on Tue Oct 09 2012 - 16:41:40 EDT
```

From: Matthew Powell < mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Tue, 9 Oct 2012 16:53:43 -0400 (EDT)

Delete a file

---- Original Message -----

From: "Robin Mays" <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
To: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

Cc: "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>, "Lee Hall" <lhall_at_newfields.com>, "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>

Sent: Tuesday, October 9, 2012 4:42:43 PM

Subject: Re: print Delete a member?

On Oct 9, 2012 4:35 PM, "Matthew Powell" < mpowell2_at_spsu.edu > wrote: I can meet at 5:30 but I also noticed that file deletion does not seem to work

---- Original Message -----

From: "Robin Mays" < rmays36_at_gmail.com >

To: "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com >

Cc: "Matthew Powell" < mpowell2_at_spsu.edu >, "Robin Mays" < rmays_at_spsu.edu >, "Thomas

Couture" < tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu >

Sent: Tuesday, October 9, 2012 4:22:41 PM

Subject: Re: Fwd: print

I can meet. do u guys wanna meet in the lab at 5:30 or 6:00?

On Oct 9, 2012 4:07 PM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com > wrote:

Are we meeting before class today? It seems that the report itself is

due tomorrow at 10pm (not Thursday), so we need to make sure that we've

got everything working so we can turn it in tomorrow.

-Lee

Received on Tue Oct 09 2012 - 16:53:49 EDT

```
From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Tue, 9 Oct 2012 17:12:11 -0400
K.
On Oct 9, 2012 5:11 PM, "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu> wrote:
> Lets make it 6pm in the Lab. See you guys there.
> ---- Original Message ----
> From: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>
> To: "Robin Mays" < rmays36_at_gmail.com>
> Cc: "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>, "Lee Hall" <lhall_at_newfields.com>,
> "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>
> Sent: Tuesday, October 9, 2012 4:53:43 PM
> Subject: Re: print
> Delete a file
> ---- Original Message -----
> From: "Robin Mays" < rmays36_at_gmail.com>
> To: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>
> Cc: "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>, "Lee Hall" <lhall_at_newfields.com>,
> "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>
> Sent: Tuesday, October 9, 2012 4:42:43 PM
> Subject: Re: print
>
> Delete a member?
> On Oct 9, 2012 4:35 PM, "Matthew Powell" < mpowell2_at_spsu.edu > wrote:
>
>
> I can meet at 5:30 but I also noticed that file deletion does not seem to
> work
> ---- Original Message -----
> From: "Robin Mays" < rmays36_at_gmail.com >
> To: "Lee Hall" < lhall at newfields.com >
> Cc: "Matthew Powell" < mpowell2_at_spsu.edu >, "Robin Mays" < rmays_at_spsu.edu>, "Thomas
Couture" <
> tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu >
> Sent: Tuesday, October 9, 2012 4:22:41 PM
> Subject: Re: Fwd: print
>
```

```
> I can meet. do u guys wanna meet in the lab at 5:30 or 6:00?

> On Oct 9, 2012 4:07 PM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com > wrote:

>

> Are we meeting before class today? It seems that the report itself is

> due tomorrow at 10pm (not Thursday), so we need to make sure that we've

> got everything working so we can turn it in tomorrow.

> -Lee

> Received on Tue Oct 09 2012 - 17:11:08 EDT
```

Testing and hours

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Wed, 10 Oct 2012 01:49:54 -0400 (EDT)

OK there are some things I found

-I can delete my self from my own group(does this remove the group?)

I am having problems testing the groups as I don't have 2 accounts

Lee said "Users can remove files that they don't own from groups." do you mean the owner can or any one can? If any one can answer this there is a empty slot in the testing data for it with !!!!

The administrator user story I can test the delete functions and its vision but not the size of space allocation function

Hours: I Don't have the updated user stories so please check to make sure i have the right number

Friday: 5h coding story 6 (password reset)

Sunday: 3h coding story 6, 1h story 11 terms of use, 1.5h testing story 6,11 and 9(registration)

split the testing time evenly(half an hour each)

Monday: 1h testing stories 1(login) 4(add and delete user files)

half hour each

Tuesday: 2h testing stories 2(groups) 15(space user has)

I spent less than 15min doing 15 dont know how to record that

Received on Wed Oct 10 2012 - 01:49:57 EDT

Re: Testing and hours

```
From: Robin Mays < rmays36 at gmail.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Oct 2012 02:17:01 -0400
Most if my time this week has been spent on documentation.
Thomas my hours will match yours over the weekend and...
Monday: 2hr on documentation
Tues: 1hr on documentations
Wed: 3hr UI updates/documentation
On Oct 10, 2012 1:51 AM, "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2 at spsu.edu> wrote:
> OK there are some things I found
> -I can delete my self from my own group(does this remove the group?)
>
> I am having problems testing the groups as I don't have 2 accounts
> Lee said "Users can remove files that they don't own from groups." do you
> mean the owner can or any one can? If any one can answer this there is a
> empty slot in the testing data for it with !!!!
> The administrator user story I can test the delete functions and its
> vision but not the size of space allocation function
>
> Hours: I Don't have the updated user stories so please check to make sure
> i have the right number
> Friday: 5h coding story 6 (password reset)
> Sunday: 3h coding story 6, 1h story 11 terms of use, 1.5h testing story
> 6.11 and 9(registration)
> split the testing time evenly(half an hour each)
> Monday: 1h testing stories 1(login) 4(add and delete user files)
> half hour each
> Tuesday: 2h testing stories 2(groups) 15(space user has)
> I spent less than 15min doing 15 dont know how to record that
>
>
Received on Wed Oct 10 2012 - 02:15:58 EDT
```

From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com> Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2012 00:17:38 -0400

Yeah sorry Lee. I've been having some serious technical difficulties all day. I apologize for not notifying the entire group. I have some UI changes that I am going to have to send to Thomas because I can't push anything from my computer. Also, I have done some work on the documentation. I have to update the UI so that I can update the ppts too. If you decide to add anything tonight,I will add my changes in the morning when I get to school.

On Oct 11, 2012 12:11 AM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:

> As there's not a whole lot of time left, I'm about to try to polish off

> the rest of the project report before collapsing, but I'm missing a few

> things.

> I don't have a copy of the status report from week 2 (last week) or the

> minutes from week 1.

> If anyone's done new burndown charts or done any other work on the

> report since the one I sent out earlier this week, please send that

> along, as well.

> I also only have the Word document for the printed version of the report

> -- it has neither the meeting minutes from last cycle, nor emails

> attached to it.

> If someone's already got this in progress, let me know what still needs

> to be done.

> -Lee

> -Lee

This archive was generated by hypermail 2.3.0: Tue Nov 06 2012 - 15:39:50 EST

Received on Thu Oct 11 2012 - 00:16:31 EDT

```
From: Robin Mays < rmays36 at gmail.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2012 00:49:59 -0400
So, don't worry about the ui update?
On Oct 11, 2012 12:37 AM, "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu> wrote:
> Here is the final report. Also, attached is the status report from last
> week. I dont think that I have the minutes from the first week though.
> Sorry!! Next cycle.. GitHub!! :D
> ---- Original Message -----
> From: "Robin Mays" < rmays36_at_gmail.com>
> To: "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com>
> Cc: "Matthew Powell" < mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>, "Thomas Couture" <
> tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>
> Sent: Thursday, October 11, 2012 12:17:38 AM
> Subject: Re: Final Report
>
> Yeah sorry Lee. I've been having some serious technical difficulties all
> day. I apologize for not notifying the entire group. I have some UI changes
> that I am going to have to send to Thomas because I can't push anything
> from my computer. Also, I have done some work on the documentation. I have
> to update the UI so that I can update the ppts too. If you decide to add
> anything tonight, I will add my changes in the morning when I get to school.
> On Oct 11, 2012 12:11 AM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com > wrote:
>
> As there's not a whole lot of time left, I'm about to try to polish off
> the rest of the project report before collapsing, but I'm missing a few
> things.
>
> I don't have a copy of the status report from week 2 (last week) or the
> minutes from week 1.
> If anyone's done new burndown charts or done any other work on the
> report since the one I sent out earlier this week, please send that
> along, as well.
> I also only have the Word document for the printed version of the report
> -- it has neither the meeting minutes from last cycle, nor emails
> attached to it.
> If someone's already got this in progress, let me know what still needs
> to be done.
```

```
> -Lee
> -
> Received on Thu Oct 11 2012 - 00:48:51 EDT
```

From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com> Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2012 00:53:17 -0400

```
Sorry, you said report and I thought of the wrong one. I can still only
see one page from the time sheets and nothing else. Don't know what to do
about that.
On Oct 11, 2012 12:37 AM, "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu> wrote:
> Here is the final report. Also, attached is the status report from last
> week. I dont think that I have the minutes from the first week though.
> Sorry!! Next cycle.. GitHub!! :D
> ---- Original Message -----
> From: "Robin Mays" < rmays36_at_gmail.com>
> To: "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com>
> Cc: "Matthew Powell" < mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>, "Thomas Couture" <
> tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>
> Sent: Thursday, October 11, 2012 12:17:38 AM
> Subject: Re: Final Report
>
> Yeah sorry Lee. I've been having some serious technical difficulties all
> day. I apologize for not notifying the entire group. I have some UI changes
> that I am going to have to send to Thomas because I can't push anything
> from my computer. Also, I have done some work on the documentation. I have
> to update the UI so that I can update the ppts too. If you decide to add
> anything tonight, I will add my changes in the morning when I get to school.
> On Oct 11, 2012 12:11 AM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com > wrote:
>
> As there's not a whole lot of time left, I'm about to try to polish off
> the rest of the project report before collapsing, but I'm missing a few
> things.
> I don't have a copy of the status report from week 2 (last week) or the
> minutes from week 1.
> If anyone's done new burndown charts or done any other work on the
> report since the one I sent out earlier this week, please send that
> along, as well.
> I also only have the Word document for the printed version of the report
> -- it has neither the meeting minutes from last cycle, nor emails
> attached to it.
```

```
> If someone's already got this in progress, let me know what still needs
> to be done.
> -Lee
> Received on Thu Oct 11 2012 - 00:52:09 EDT
```

```
From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2012 01:09:45 -0400
```

Well, I know I can be finished with all of that and the ppts by noon. The turn in time is 2:00p m so it would be pushing it a little close since everyone needs to review it. If you wanna grab a couple of thing of the list and you have time tonight I won't argue with you. If not I'll knock the rest out in the lab in the morning.

the rest out in the lab in the morning. On Oct 11, 2012 1:00 AM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote: > On Thu, 2012-10-11 at 00:17 -0400, Robin Mays wrote: >> Yeah sorry Lee. I've been having some serious technical difficulties > > all day. I apologize for not notifying the entire group. I have some >> UI changes that I am going to have to send to Thomas because I can't > > push anything from my computer. Also, I have done some work on the > > documentation. I have to update the UI so that I can update the ppts >> too. If you decide to add anything tonight, I will add my changes in >> the morning when I get to school. > Is there anything you need me to work on, then? I haven't got any > immediate changes, but I can pick up anything left on the todo list that > you need. As far as I'm aware that list is: > Cycle 2 Burndown charts > Caption and move diagrams to relevant positions in the document > Summarize User Stories > Cycle 2 Post-Mortem > Add Matthew's Test Procedures document to the test plan > Generate Appendices. > Proofread. > Let me know when the update's complete and I'll regenerate the pdf with > the source. > *-Lee* >

This archive was generated by hypermail 2.3.0: Tue Nov 06 2012 - 15:39:50 EST

Received on Thu Oct 11 2012 - 01:08:38 EDT

From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>

```
Less.
On Oct 11, 2012 1:17 AM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:

> On Thu, 2012-10-11 at 01:09 -0400, Robin Mays wrote:

> Well, I know I can be finished with all of that and the ppts by noon.

> The turn in time is 2:00p m so it would be pushing it a little close

> since everyone needs to review it. If you wanna grab a couple of

> thing of the list and you have time tonight I won't argue with you.

> If not I'll knock the rest out in the lab in the morning.

>

OK... if I start editing my current copy and send it to you when I'm

> done is that going to make more work for you, or less?

> -Lee

> Received on Thu Oct 11 2012 - 01:23:00 EDT
```

```
From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2012 07:21:51 -0400
Don't forget...business casual.
On Oct 11, 2012 1:24 AM, "Robin Mays" <rmays36_at_gmail.com> wrote:
> Less.
> On Oct 11, 2012 1:17 AM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
>> On Thu, 2012-10-11 at 01:09 -0400, Robin Mays wrote:
>> > Well, I know I can be finished with all of that and the ppts by noon.
>> > The turn in time is 2:00p m so it would be pushing it a little close
>> > since everyone needs to review it. If you wanna grab a couple of
>> > thing of the list and you have time tonight I won't argue with you.
>> > If not I'll knock the rest out in the lab in the morning.
>> OK... if I start editing my current copy and send it to you when I'm
>> done is that going to make more work for you, or less?
>>
>> -Lee
>>
>>
```

This archive was generated by hypermail 2.3.0 : Tue Nov 06 2012 - 15:39:50 EST

Received on Thu Oct 11 2012 - 07:20:48 EDT

```
From: Robin Mays < rmays36 at gmail.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2012 08:37:11 -0400
I'm on my way now.
On Oct 11, 2012 8:13 AM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
> On Thu, 2012-10-11 at 07:21 -0400, Robin Mays wrote:
>> Don't forget...business casual.
> Good call.
> Report is attached. All of the diagrams actually look like they're in
> the correct place, and the remaining ones also have titles, so I didn't
> add new captions. The user stories have more detail in them, the test
> procedures are added, and I've proofed most of it -- but I can't
> guarantee having caught everything, as I'm bloody tired.
> We still need the burndown charts for Cycle 2 and the Post-Mortem. Also,
> if you could all checkover my user stories and make sure I remembered
> who did what.
> I'm attaching the three appendices that I have: Mail, Source Code, and
> Commit Logs. The source can be updated pretty trivially if you guys push
> something else up. All of them are numbered to match the Appendix
> sections.
> Also attached is the timesheet with a documentation addition. Call if
> you need anything, otherwise I'll be asleep until noon or so.
> -Lee
Received on Thu Oct 11 2012 - 08:36:08 EDT
```

```
From: Robin Mays < rmays36 at gmail.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2012 09:55:47 -0400
```

K. I am moving all the charts to the Management Plan section and summarizing all the charts contents above each chart. Also, I have to re-create and update all the charts, with the exception of the product burndown, again for cycle 2. If anyone has anything to add to the executive summary section, which apparently is too short, it would be greatly appreciated. That is also true for the post-mortem. For the sake of version control, I will not send my updates until I finish. Thanks,

Robin

```
On Thu, Oct 11, 2012 at 8:12 AM, Lee Hall <a href="https://example.com">https://example.com</a> wrote:
> On Thu, 2012-10-11 at 07:21 -0400, Robin Mays wrote:
>> Don't forget...business casual.
> Good call.
```

> Report is attached. All of the diagrams actually look like they're in > the correct place, and the remaining ones also have titles, so I didn't > add new captions. The user stories have more detail in them, the test > procedures are added, and I've proofed most of it -- but I can't > guarantee having caught everything, as I'm bloody tired.

> We still need the burndown charts for Cycle 2 and the Post-Mortem. Also, > if you could all checkover my user stories and make sure I remembered > who did what.

> I'm attaching the three appendices that I have: Mail, Source Code, and > Commit Logs. The source can be updated pretty trivially if you guys push > something else up. All of them are numbered to match the Appendix > sections.

> Also attached is the timesheet with a documentation addition. Call if > you need anything, otherwise I'll be asleep until noon or so.

> -Lee

Received on Thu Oct 11 2012 - 09:54:47 EDT

```
From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2012 10:54:50 -0400
No problem. They're in.
On Thu, Oct 11, 2012 at 12:11 AM, Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
> As there's not a whole lot of time left, I'm about to try to polish off
> the rest of the project report before collapsing, but I'm missing a few
> things.
> I don't have a copy of the status report from week 2 (last week) or the
> minutes from week 1.
> If anyone's done new burndown charts or done any other work on the
> report since the one I sent out earlier this week, please send that
> along, as well.
> I also only have the Word document for the printed version of the report
> -- it has neither the meeting minutes from last cycle, nor emails
> attached to it.
> If someone's already got this in progress, let me know what still needs
> to be done.
> -Lee
Received on Thu Oct 11 2012 - 10:53:47 EDT
```

From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com> Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2012 11:59:35 -0400

I am doing the product burndown chart and for whatever reason, when I use the data from the first burndown and try to merge it with the new burn down I am over 4 story points when going into this sprint. I know we changed the user stories, but does anyone have a non-evasive way of correcting this without changing other information?

without changing other information?

On Thu, Oct 11, 2012 at 12:11 AM, Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:

> As there's not a whole lot of time left, I'm about to try to polish off

> the rest of the project report before collapsing, but I'm missing a few
> things.
>

> I don't have a copy of the status report from week 2 (last week) or the
> minutes from week 1.
>

> If anyone's done new burndown charts or done any other work on the
> report since the one I sent out earlier this week, please send that
> along, as well.
>

> I also only have the Word document for the printed version of the report
> -- it has neither the meeting minutes from last cycle, nor emails
> attached to it.
>

> If someone's already got this in progress, let me know what still needs
> to be done.
>
- Lee
> -Lee

This archive was generated by hypermail 2.3.0: Tue Nov 06 2012 - 15:39:50 EST

Received on Thu Oct 11 2012 - 11:58:26 EDT

```
From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2012 12:56:08 -0400

Everyone else here now. We're working on the ppts now.
On Oct 11, 2012 12:42 PM, "Lee Hall" <lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
> On Thu, 2012-10-11 at 11:59 -0400, Robin Mays wrote:
> I am doing the product burndown chart and for whatever reason, when I
> use the data from the first burndown and try to merge it with the new
> burn down I am over 4 story points when going into this sprint. I
> know we changed the user stories, but does anyone have a non-evasive
> way of correcting this without changing other information?
> Not off the top of my head. If you want to send the current versions
> over to me, I'll give it a go, though.
> Are the slides done?
> -Lee
> -Lee
```

This archive was generated by hypermail 2.3.0: Tue Nov 06 2012 - 15:39:50 EST

Received on Thu Oct 11 2012 - 12:55:02 EDT

```
From: Robin Mays < rmays36 at gmail.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2012 13:11:11 -0400
No but I did decide not to make any major ui chances. I'll only delete the
awkward square from thE login page. I don't want to risk breaking anything.
On Oct 11, 2012 1:02 PM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
> On Thu, 2012-10-11 at 12:56 -0400, Robin Mays wrote:
>> Everyone else here now. We're working on the ppts now.
> Cool. You need me to take care of anything else while you do that?
> Here's an expanded executive summary, if you guys haven't already done
> that:
> Over two sprints, Group4 has produced the file sharing web application
> The Honeycomb. The Honeycomb is a portal for students and faculty of
> Southern Polytechnic State University which allows them to easily store
> and share files of any file type via a secure network connection.
> The Honeycomb is user friendly. Users upload and access their data via
> The Honeycombs web user interface. This makes The Honeycomb accessible
> from any PC, laptop, tablet, or mobile device regardless of the client
> OS. Any users can create a group, to which they can add any number of
> other users. These users are then able to select any files they have
> uploaded to share with the group.
> The Honeycomb is portable. It is built on widely used Open Source
> Software which has no licensing costs, is well maintained, and runs on
> almost any operating system. Administrators should be able to install
> and run The Honeycomb on nearly any modern operating system with few, if
> any, changes to the software.
> The Honeycomb is secure. It is engineered from the ground up so that it
> does not have the most common security holes that exist in web
> applications. It enforces all system permissions for both users that are
> part of the system and malicious users outside of the system, and all
> communications to and from the system are encrypted.
> In these two sprints, The Honeycomb has moved from a design to a
> functional website. Group 4 is proud to have been able to design and
> build this user friendly, portable, and secure product to meet the needs
> of Southern Polytechnics student body.
> -Lee
```

>

Received on Thu Oct 11 2012 - 13:10:03 EDT

ppts

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2012 15:14:10 -0400 (EDT)

• application/vnd.ms-powerpoint attachment: Group4_TheHoneycombDemoCycle2.ppt

Received on Thu Oct 11 2012 - 15:14:52 EDT

The meat of what we turned in...

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2012 15:50:49 -0400 (EDT)

- application/pdf attachment: 20121011_Group4-FINAL__1_.pdf
- application/vnd.ms-powerpoint attachment: Group4_TheHoneycombDemoCycle2.ppt
- application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document attachment: The_Honeycomb_Project_Analysis_Report-Cycle2.docx

Received on Thu Oct 11 2012 - 15:51:35 EDT

Running late for class..

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Thu, 11 Oct 2012 18:59:46 -0400 (EDT)

I'm leaving my don't appt. I will be there between 7:45 and 8:00. Sorry guys. This was completely unavoidable.

Received on Thu Oct 11 2012 - 19:00:23 EDT

SWE 3613 - Sprint Retrospective Today

From: Jonathan W. Lartigue <jlartigu_at_spsu.edu> Date: Tue, 16 Oct 2012 12:21:36 -0400 (EDT)

Dear class:

Today we will hold a collective Sprint Retrospective meeting and also discuss requirements for Project 2. Today's class will be long. You can help speed things up by doing the following:

1) Please try to arrive to class EARLY today.

2) Please take the time to print and fill out the attached Sprint Retrospective help sheet (attached). This sheet is to be filled out individually by each student. You will compare your notes with the rest of your team in class today.

Sincerely,

Jonathan W. Lartigue Assistant Professor Office: (678) 915-3426

Email : lartigue_at_spsu.edu Online : educate.spsu.edu/jlartigu

Dept. of Computer Science and Software Engineering

1100 South Marietta Parkway Marietta, Georgia, 30060-2896 www.spsu.edu

• application/pdf attachment: SWE_3613_Sprint_Retrospective_Help_Sheet.pdf

Received on Tue Oct 16 2012 - 12:22:07 EDT

Here we go again...

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Tue, 16 Oct 2012 12:50:51 -0400 (EDT)

Do we want to meet before this thing? *Received on* Tue Oct 16 2012 - 12:51:23 EDT

Re: Here we go again...

From: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>

Date: Tue, 16 Oct 2012 12:58:25 -0400

I will be in the lab working on this sheet he sent.. And I miss you all soooo much.. I would be ok with

meeting :P haha Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 16, 2012, at 12:50 PM, Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> wrote:

> Do we want to meet before this thing?

Received on Tue Oct 16 2012 - 12:57:09 EDT

Re: Here we go again...

```
From: Robin Mays < rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Tue, 16 Oct 2012 13:01:56 -0400

LOL... thanks for that laugh. :)
I'll TRY to get there as close to 5pm as I can.
On Oct 16, 2012 12:58 PM, "Thomas Couture" < tcoutur2_at_gmail.com> wrote:
> I will be in the lab working on this sheet he sent.. And I miss you all
> soooo much.. I would be ok with meeting :P haha
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Oct 16, 2012, at 12:50 PM, Robin Mays < rmays_at_spsu.edu> wrote:
>
> Do we want to meet before this thing?
>
Received on Tue Oct 16 2012 - 13:00:39 EDT
```

User stories

From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com> Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 11:33:10 -0400

ID: 1

As a user, I must be able to start a scan of my website.

Priority: 10, Cost: 10

ID: 2

As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my domain, as well as the pages that were visited and their load times.

Priority: 10, Cost: 10

ID: 3

As a user, I must be able to view a list of scans that have been run against my website.

Priority: 9, Cost 2

ID: 4

As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me. Useful sorting functions would be by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.

Priority: 7, Cost: 5

ID: 5

As a user, I should have to login before initiating a scan or viewing a report.

Priority: 3, Cost: 1

ID: 6

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.

Priority: 6, Cost: 2

ID: 7

As a user, I would like for reports to include pages that are accessible over secured links.

Priority: 5, Cost: 5

ID: 8

As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain would be useful.

Priority: 3, Cost: 7

ID: 9

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.

Priority: 8, Cost: 5

ID: 10

As a user, having reports that were automatically generated at regular

intervals would be nice.

Priority: 4, Cost: 1

ID: 11

As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress

Priority: 1, Cost: 2

ID: 12

As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan based on errors from $\,$

a previous report and compare them.

Priority: 4, Cost: 5

Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 11:33:10 EDT

RE: User stories

From: <rmays36_at_gmail.com>

Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 09:24:19 -0700 (PDT)

I'm going to be lazy and add to yours...

--- Original Message ---

From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com>

Sent: October 17, 2012 10/17/12

To: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>

Cc: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>, Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

Subject: User stories

ID: 1

As a user, I must be able to start a scan of my website.

Priority: 10, Cost: 10

ID: 2

As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my domain, as well as the pages that were visited and their load times.

Priority: 10, Cost: 10

ID: 3

As a user, I must be able to view a list of scans that have been run against my website.

Priority: 9, Cost 2

ID: 4

As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me. Useful sorting functions would be by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.

Priority: 7, Cost: 5

ID: 5

As a user, I should have to login before initiating a scan or viewing a report.

Priority: 3, Cost: 1

ID: 6

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.

Priority: 6, Cost: 2

ID: 7

As a user, I would like for reports to include pages that are accessible over secured links.

Priority: 5, Cost: 5

ID: 8

As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain would be useful.

Priority: 3, Cost: 7

ID: 9

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.

Priority: 8, Cost: 5

ID: 10

As a user, having reports that were automatically generated at regular intervals would be nice.

Priority: 4, Cost: 1

ID: 11

As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress

Priority: 1, Cost: 2

ID: 12

As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan based on errors from a previous report and compare them.

Priority: 4, Cost: 5

Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 12:23:10 EDT

Re: User stories

```
From: Lee Hall < lhall at newfields.com>
Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 12:29:12 -0400
On Wed, 2012-10-17 at 09:24 -0700, rmays36_at_gmail.com wrote:
> I'm going to be lazy and add to yours...
That's why I sent them in text format -- increased visibility and ease
of editing until we're done and ready to format.
> --- Original Message ---
> From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com>
> Sent: October 17, 2012 10/17/12
> To: Robin Mays < rmays36_at_gmail.com>
> Cc: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>, Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>
> Subject: User stories
> ID: 1
> As a user, I must be able to start a scan of my website.
> Priority: 10, Cost: 10
> ID: 2
> As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report
> should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my
> domain, as well as the pages that were visited and their load times.
> Priority: 10, Cost: 10
> ID: 3
> As a user, I must be able to view a list of scans that have been run
> against my website.
> Priority: 9, Cost 2
> ID: 4
> As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are
> presented to me. Useful sorting functions would be by the order in which
> the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent
> page.
> Priority: 7, Cost: 5
> ID: 5
> As a user, I should have to login before initiating a scan or viewing a
> report.
> Priority: 3, Cost: 1
> ID: 6
```

```
> As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans
> that are in progress.
> Priority: 6, Cost: 2
> ID: 7
> As a user, I would like for reports to include pages that are accessible
> over secured links.
> Priority: 5, Cost: 5
> ID: 8
> As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain would be useful.
> Priority: 3, Cost: 7
> ID: 9
> As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in
> a printer friendly format.
> Priority: 8, Cost: 5
>
> ID: 10
> As a user, having reports that were automatically generated at regular
> intervals would be nice.
> Priority: 4, Cost: 1
> ID: 11
> As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress
> Priority: 1, Cost: 2
> ID: 12
> As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan based on errors from
> a previous report and compare them.
> Priority: 4, Cost: 5
Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 12:29:12 EDT
```

Re: User stories

```
From: <rmays36 at gmail.com>
Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 09:36:56 -0700 (PDT)
UR THE MAN LEE HALL!!
I'll send my update shortly.
--- Original Message ---
From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com>
Sent: October 17, 2012 10/17/12
To: rmays36 at gmail.com
Cc: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>, Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>
Subject: Re: User stories
On Wed, 2012-10-17 at 09:24 -0700, rmays36_at_gmail.com wrote:
> I'm going to be lazy and add to yours...
That's why I sent them in text format -- increased visibility and ease
of editing until we're done and ready to format.
> --- Original Message ---
> From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com>
> Sent: October 17, 2012 10/17/12
> To: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
> Cc: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>, Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>
> Subject: User stories
> ID: 1
> As a user, I must be able to start a scan of my website.
> Priority: 10, Cost: 10
> ID: 2
> As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report
> should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my
> domain, as well as the pages that were visited and their load times.
> Priority: 10, Cost: 10
> ID: 3
> As a user, I must be able to view a list of scans that have been run
> against my website.
> Priority: 9, Cost 2
> ID: 4
> As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are
> presented to me. Useful sorting functions would be by the order in which
> the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent
> page.
> Priority: 7, Cost: 5
```

```
> ID: 5
> As a user, I should have to login before initiating a scan or viewing a
> Priority: 3, Cost: 1
> ID: 6
> As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans
> that are in progress.
> Priority: 6, Cost: 2
> ID: 7
> As a user, I would like for reports to include pages that are accessible
> over secured links.
> Priority: 5, Cost: 5
> ID: 8
> As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain would be useful.
> Priority: 3, Cost: 7
> ID: 9
> As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in
> a printer friendly format.
> Priority: 8, Cost: 5
>
> ID: 10
> As a user, having reports that were automatically generated at regular
> intervals would be nice.
> Priority: 4, Cost: 1
> ID: 11
> As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress
> Priority: 1, Cost: 2
> ID: 12
> As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan based on errors from
> a previous report and compare them.
> Priority: 4, Cost: 5
Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 12:35:49 EDT
```

RE: User Story Additions

From: <*rmays36_at_gmail.com*>

Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 17:33:34 -0700 (PDT)

Good points Thomas.

I didn't want to repeat user stories so this is all I have add:

ID:

As a user, I should be able to start/stop/pause the crawler, change my sorting options, and select reports to print via a user interface. (I thought this was inferred but not asked for directly)

Priority: 5 Cost: 12

--- Original Message ---

From: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>

Sent: October 17, 2012 10/17/12

To: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu>

Cc: Samuel Hall <shall4_at_spsu.edu>, Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

Subject: User Story Additions

ID:

As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan with a set amount of runtime.

Priority: 1, Cost: 3

ID:

As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.

Priority: 4, Cost: 3

ID:

As a user, I would like to be able to search my website to check for errors and dead links.

Priority: 10, Cost: 15

So, I put together a list of things we needed to do, and crossed out everything from Lee's user stories to try and cut down on the repeat and make it easier for Matthew to put it all together. I left out ID's. I noticed that Lee's were not in order or priority. Should we renumber them, adding in mine, Robin's and Matthew's user stories based off of priority, this way its easy to come up with a list of work for the two cycles? Also, I threw the last one together. Looking at Lee's list, we dont have a user story for the main function (unless that was intended to fall under the start?) Its not the best wording, so if you guys have a user story with the same idea but better wording, go with it!! Also, something that I want to look at when we put together the final list, add up all of the cost and see what we get. Realistically we need somewhere in the 160 to 180 range.(240 hours for 2 cycles, leaves 60-80 hours for doc/reqs and maybe slacking during Thanksgiving!!).

-Thomas

Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 20:32:33 EDT

RE: User Story Additions

From: <*rmays36_at_gmail.com*>

Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 17:40:49 -0700 (PDT)

Also Leex can you send out an email with the specifics of what we agreed would be the design we are using to build this project? (i.e.python and how we are using it, json and how it will be applied, and so on) I know thats a lot but I want to make sure everyone is on the same page and has enough time to research if needed.

--- Original Message ---

From: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>

Sent: October 17, 2012 10/17/12 To: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu>

Cc: Samuel Hall <shall4_at_spsu.edu>, Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

Subject: User Story Additions

ID:

As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan with a set amount of runtime.

Priority: 1, Cost: 3

ID:

As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.

Priority: 4, Cost: 3

ID:

As a user, I would like to be able to search my website to check for errors and dead links.

Priority: 10, Cost: 15

So, I put together a list of things we needed to do, and crossed out everything from Lee's user stories to try and cut down on the repeat and make it easier for Matthew to put it all together. I left out ID's. I noticed that Lee's were not in order or priority. Should we renumber them, adding in mine, Robin's and Matthew's user stories based off of priority, this way its easy to come up with a list of work for the two cycles? Also, I threw the last one together. Looking at Lee's list, we dont have a user story for the main function (unless that was intended to fall under the start?) Its not the best wording, so if you guys have a user story with the same idea but better wording, go with it!! Also, something that I want to look at when we put together the final list, add up all of the cost and see what we get. Realistically we need somewhere in the 160 to 180 range.(240 hours for 2 cycles, leaves 60-80 hours for doc/reqs and maybe slacking during Thanksgiving!!).

-Thomas

Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 20:39:42 EDT

Re: User Story Additions

From: Lee Hall <lhall_at_newfields.com> Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 21:07:34 -0400

On Wed, 2012-10-17 at 17:37 -0400, Thomas Couture wrote:

- > I left out ID's. I noticed that Lee's were not in order or priority. Should we renumber them,
- > adding in mine, Robin's and Matthew's user stories based off of priority, this way its easy to
- > come up with a list of work for the two cycles? Also, I threw the last one together.

Yeah, I'd assume that's preferred -- I didn't bother to try to order them, since I assumed that it would change. There's no requirement that hte product backlog be ordered in any way (that's a task for the sprint planning meeting), but it will make things easier.

- > Looking at Lee's list, we dont have a user story for the main function (unless that was
- > intended to fall under the start?)

Yeah, I was just including this in the first one. It's a bit weird, as there's a big chunk of functionality that isn't really driven by user interaction, so I didn't phrase it very well. Yours is better as it just ignores the mechanics of kicking it off and says what needs to be accomplished.

- > Also, something that I want to look at when we put together the final list, add up all of the cost and see what
- > we get. Realistically we need somewhere in the 160 to 180 range.(240 hours for 2 cycles, leaves 60-80 hours
- > for doc/reqs and maybe slacking during Thanksgiving!!).

 Good call. I've added Robin's and yours to the list below and sorted by descending dependencies, descending priority and ascending cost. I reworded Robin's a bit to make it clear that it involved the user interface (Good catch -- we really didn't have any time allotted for that in the last project) and wasn't restating the other user stories. I also reworded Thomas's 'fixed runtime' for the same reason -- now it sounds more like a dependency of initiating a scan rather than a second way to do it. Finally, I split the webcrawler into two pieces -- one that's the crawler and the second that records the results -- and removed the extra time from my 'start the scan' story, since it no

With that, we have 84 hours, which I'm going to approach with engineering's favorite rule of thumb and double everything straight down the board.

ID:

As a user, I would like a program that will visit every page within my domain that is reachable from its front page.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

longer has all of that to worry about.

ID:

As a user, I must be able to start a scan of my website.

Priority: 10, Cost: 2

ID:

As a user, I would like this program to record any resources that are unavailable, including dead links, missing images, scripts or css files.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID:

As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my domain, as well as the pages that were visited and their load times.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID:

As a user, I must be able to view a list of scans that have been run against my website.

Priority: 9, Cost 4

ID:

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.

Priority: 8, Cost: 10

ID:

As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me. Useful things by which to sort would include the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.

Priority: 7, Cost: 10

ID:

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.

Priority: 6, Cost: 4

ID:

As a user, I would like for reports to include pages that are accessible over secured links.

Priority: 5, Cost: 10

ID:

As a user, I should have an interface from which I can start/stop/pause the crawler, change my sorting options, and select reports to print.

Priority: 5, Cost: 24

ID:

As a user, having reports that were automatically generated at regular intervals would be nice.

Priority: 4, Cost: 2

ID:

As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.

Priority: 4, Cost: 6

ID:

As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan with the errors from a previous report and see if they have been corrected.

Priority: 4, Cost: 10

ID:

As a user, I should have to log into the program before initiating a

scan or viewing a report.

Priority: 3, Cost: 2

ID:

As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain would be useful.

Priority: 3, Cost: 14

ID:

As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress

Priority: 1, Cost: 4

ID:

As a user, I would like to be able to limit the run time of a scan when I start it, either by time, or by distance from the start page.

Priority: 1, Cost: 6

-Lee

Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 21:05:56 EDT

Re: User Story Additions

From: <*rmays36_at_gmail.com*>

Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 18:16:38 -0700 (PDT)

Looks good to me. Unless Matthew has something to add, I think we can submit these.

--- Original Message ---

From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com>

Sent: October 17, 2012 10/17/12

To: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>

Cc: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu>, Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>,

lhall_at_newfields.com

Subject: Re: User Story Additions

On Wed, 2012-10-17 at 17:37 -0400, Thomas Couture wrote:

- > I left out ID's. I noticed that Lee's were not in order or priority. Should we renumber them,
- > adding in mine, Robin's and Matthew's user stories based off of priority, this way its easy to
- > come up with a list of work for the two cycles? Also, I threw the last one together.

Yeah, I'd assume that's preferred -- I didn't bother to try to order

them, since I assumed that it would change. There's no requirement that

hte product backlog be ordered in any way (that's a task for the sprint planning meeting), but it will make things easier.

> Looking at Lee's list, we dont have a user story for the main function (unless that was

> intended to fall under the start?)

Yeah, I was just including this in the first one. It's a bit weird, as there's a big chunk of functionality that isn't really driven by user interaction, so I didn't phrase it very well. Yours is better as it just ignores the mechanics of kicking it off and says what needs to be accomplished.

- > Also, something that I want to look at when we put together the final list, add up all of the cost and see what
- > we get. Realistically we need somewhere in the 160 to 180 range.(240 hours for 2 cycles, leaves 60-80 hours
- > for doc/regs and maybe slacking during Thanksgiving!!).

Good call. I've added Robin's and yours to the list below and sorted by descending dependencies, descending priority and ascending cost. I reworded Robin's a bit to make it clear that it involved the user interface (Good catch -- we really didn't have any time allotted for that in the last project) and wasn't restating the other user stories. I also reworded Thomas's 'fixed runtime' for the same reason -- now it sounds more like a dependency of initiating a scan rather than a second way to do it. Finally, I split the webcrawler into two pieces -- one that's the crawler and the second that records the results -- and removed the extra time from my 'start the scan' story, since it no longer has all of that to worry about.

With that, we have 84 hours, which I'm going to approach with engineering's favorite rule of thumb and double everything straight down the board.

ID:

As a user, I would like a program that will visit every page within my domain that is reachable from its front page.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID:

As a user, I must be able to start a scan of my website.

Priority: 10, Cost: 2

ID:

As a user, I would like this program to record any resources that are unavailable, including dead links, missing images, scripts or css files.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID:

As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my domain, as well as the pages that were visited and their load times.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID:

As a user, I must be able to view a list of scans that have been run against my website.

Priority: 9, Cost 4

ID:

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.

Priority: 8, Cost: 10

ID:

As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me. Useful things by which to sort would include the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.

Priority: 7, Cost: 10

ID:

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.

Priority: 6, Cost: 4

ID.

As a user, I would like for reports to include pages that are accessible over secured links.

Priority: 5, Cost: 10

ID.

As a user, I should have an interface from which I can start/stop/pause the crawler, change my sorting options, and select reports to print.

Priority: 5, Cost: 24

ID:

As a user, having reports that were automatically generated at regular intervals would be nice.

Priority: 4, Cost: 2

ID:

As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.

Priority: 4, Cost: 6

ID:

As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan with the errors from a previous report and see if they have been corrected.

Priority: 4, Cost: 10

ID:

As a user, I should have to log into the program before initiating a scan or viewing a report.

Priority: 3, Cost: 2

ID:

As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain would be useful.

Priority: 3, Cost: 14

ID:

As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress

Priority: 1, Cost: 4

ID:

As a user, I would like to be able to limit the run time of a scan when I start it, either by time, or by distance from the start page.

Priority: 1, Cost: 6

-Lee

Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 21:15:25 EDT

Re: User Story Additions

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 21:26:28 -0400 (EDT)

OK you guys are making changes to them as I am working on them. These do not have hours just a cost that is an arbitrary unit.

I will go over what changes you all have made. Also I did not include these for redundincy ro in the case of the first one was the teacher saying this not the product owner.

ID: 7ÂÂ

As a user, I would like for reports to include pages that are accessible

over secured links. Priority: 5, Cost: 5

ID:

As a user, I should be able to start/stop/pause the crawler, change my sorting options, Â and select reports to print via a user interface. Â (I thought this was inferred but not asked for directly)

Cost: 12 ID:Â

As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan with a set amount of runtime.Â

Priority: 1, Cost: 3Priority: 5 ID: 1(had better worded one)

As a user, I must be able to start a scan of my website.Â

Priority: 10, Cost: 10 These are the real ones

ID· 1

As a user, I would like to be able to search my website to check for errors and dead links.Â

Priority: 10, Cost: 10

ID: 2

As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report \hat{A} should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my \hat{A} domain, as well as the pages that were visited and their load times.

Priority: 10, Cost: 10

ID: 2.1

As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me. Useful sorting functions would be by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.

Priority: 7, Cost: 5

ID:2.2

As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.Â

Priority: 6, Cost: 3

ID: 2.3

As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain would be useful.

Priority: 3, Cost: 7

ID: 2.4

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.

Priority: 6, Cost: 2

ID: 2.5

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.

Priority: 6, Cost: 5

ID: 3

As a user I would Like this service to runable from anywhere by use of an website.

Priority:10, Cost 3

ID: 4

As a user, I must be able to view a list of scans that have been run against my website.

Priority: 9, Cost 2

ID: 5

As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress.

Priority: 5, Cost: 2

ID: 6

As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan based on errors from a previous report and check there statues.

Priority: 5, Cost: 5

Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 21:26:13 EDT

Re: User Story Additions

From: <*rmays36_at_gmail.com*>

Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 18:27:55 -0700 (PDT)

Thanks for supplying the links! This go round there will be a bit of a learning curb.

--- Original Message ---

From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com>

Sent: October 17, 2012 10/17/12 To: rmays36_at_gmail.com

Cc: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu>, Matthew Powell

<mpowell2 at spsu.edu>

Subject: Re: User Story Additions

On Wed, 2012-10-17 at 17:40 -0700, rmays36_at_gmail.com wrote:

> Also Leex can you send out an email with the specifics of what we agreed would be the design we are using to build this project? (i.e.python and how we are using it, json and how it will be applied, and so on)

> I know thats a lot but I want to make sure everyone is on the same page and has enough time to research if needed.

Not a lot at all. The architecture we agreed on was a webcrawler written in Common Lisp, backended by a NoSQL data store and published to a webpage generated in distributed Erlang.

If we want to be boring though, we can probably get away with writing the webcrawler in python (http://www.python.org/) utilizing libcurl (http://pycurl.sourceforge.net/) and communicating with a postgres datastore using PsychoPG2 (http://directory.fsf.org/wiki/Psychopg). The front end is probably easiest if we stick close to what we did before and do it in PHP, but to provide user interaction (Sorting lists in sane ways, etc), we're going to have to use rather a lot more javascript, which means using jQuery (http://jquery.com/) if we want to overcome stabbing ourselves in the face with rage.

I was really looking forward to learning Erlang, though -- any language whose motto is "Let it crash" has do be doing something interesting, right? http://blogs.teamb.com/craigstuntz/2008/05/19/37819/

-Lee

Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 21:26:40 EDT

Re: User Story Additions

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 21:32:46 -0400 (EDT)

ok here is the revised list

ID: 1

As a user, I would like to be able to search my website to check for errors and dead links.Â

Priority: 10, Cost: 10

ID: 2

As a user, I would like this program to record any resources that are unavailable, including dead links, missing images, scripts or css files.

Priority: 10, Cost: 10

ID: 3

As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report \hat{A} should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my \hat{A} domain, as well as the pages that were visited and their load times.

Priority: 10, Cost: 10

ID: 31

As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me. Useful sorting functions would be by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.

Priority: 7, Cost: 5

ID:3.2

As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.Â

Priority: 6, Cost: 3

ID: 3.3

As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain would be useful.

Priority: 3, Cost: 7

ID: 3.4

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.

Priority: 6, Cost: 2

ID: 3.5

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.

Priority: 6, Cost: 5

ID: 4

As a user I would Like this service to runable from anywhere by use of an website.

Priority:10, Cost 3

ID: 5

As a user, I must be able to view a list of scans that have been run against my website.

Priority: 9, Cost 2

ID:6

As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress.

Priority: 7, Cost: 2

ID:Â 7

As a user, I would like to be able to limit the run time of a scan when

I start it, either by time, or by distance from the start page.

Priority: 6, Cost: 6

ID: 8

As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan based on errors from a previous report and check there statues.

Priority: 5, Cost: 5

ID: 9

As a user, I should have to login before initiating a scan or viewing a report.

Priority: 3, Cost: 1

ID: 10

As a user, having Scans that were automatically run at regular intervals.

Priority: 3, Cost: 3

Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 21:32:31 EDT

Re: User Story Additions

From: <rmays36_at_gmail.com>

Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 18:39:57 -0700 (PDT)

Very awesome...so do we all agree on lisp and the rest that go with it? Either way we're gonna have to learn something new right? I'm down for it.

--- Original Message ---

From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com>

Sent: October 17, 2012 10/17/12 To: rmays36_at_gmail.com

Cc: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu>, Matthew Powell

<mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

Subject: Re: User Story Additions

On Wed, 2012-10-17 at 18:27 -0700, rmays36_at_gmail.com wrote:

> Thanks for supplying the links!

I actually linked to a really ancient version of psycopg. The current

one is here:

http://www.initd.org/psycopg/

Also, due to library compatability issues, we should probably stick to the most recent version of 2.7 instead of moving to 3.x.

Everything is installed on the server if you guys want to start playing with anything, or you can pull local copies -- all of this stuff will build on Windows and Mac, as well.

-Lee

Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 21:38:47 EDT

Re: User Story Additions

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 21:40:49 -0400 (EDT)

So guys user stories what about the changes should I submit them?

Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 21:40:33 EDT

Re: User Story Additions

From: Matthew Powell < mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 22:17:42 -0400 (EDT)

These were the changes you sent out. The last one was an old user story that had been changed already and I made ID:6 a lower priority. I also doubled all the costs so they will become times and renumbered them.

On Wed, 2012-10-17 at 21:32 -0400, Matthew Powell wrote:

- > *ID*: 31
- > As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me.
- > Useful sorting functions would be: by the order in which the pages were visited,
- > alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.
- > Priority: 7, Cost: 5
- > ID: 4
- > As a user I would like this service to be runnable from anywhere by use of an website.
- > Priority:10, Cost 3
- > *ID*:6
- > As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress.
- > Priority: 7, Cost: 2

This priority should be 1. His final comment was "I can't think of when

I would ever use that".

- > ID: 8
- > As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan based on errors from a previous report and check their statuses
- > Priority: 5, Cost: 5
- > *ID*: 10
- > As a user, having scans that were automatically run at regular intervals would be nice.
- > Priority: 3, Cost: 3

(This is not a complete sentence if you chop the final clause off the

end.)

-Lee

new user stories

ID: 1

As a user, I would like to be able to search my website to check for errors and dead links.Â

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 2

As a user, I would like this program to record any resources that are unavailable, including dead links, missing images, scripts or css files.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 3

As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my domain, as well as the pages that were visited and their load times.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 3.1

As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me. Useful sorting functions

would be: by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.

Priority: 7, Cost: 10

ID:3.2

As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.Â

Priority: 6, Cost: 6

ID: 3.3

As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain would be useful.

Priority: 3, Cost: 14

ID: 3.4

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.

Priority: 6, Cost: 4

ID: 3.5

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.

Priority: 6, Cost: 10

ID: 4

As a user I would like this service to be runnable from anywhere by use of an website.

Priority:10, Cost 6

ID: 5

As a user, I must be able to view a list of scans that have been run against my website.

Priority: 9, Cost 4

ID:Â 6

As a user, I would like to be able to limit the run time of a scan when

I start it, either by time, or by distance from the start page.

Priority: 6, Cost: 12

ID: 7

As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan based on errors from a previous report and check their statuses.Â

Priority: 5, Cost: 10

ID· 9

As a user, I should have to login before initiating a scan or viewing a report.

Priority: 3, Cost: 2

ID: 9

As a user, having Scans that were automatically run at regular intervals.

Priority: 3, Cost: 63

ID:10

As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress.

Priority: 3, Cost: 4

Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 22:17:27 EDT

Re: User Story Additions

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 22:39:22 -0400 (EDT)

ok first of all it should have been 6 hours for 9. Second we had different hours both because I changed some and I used lees original send out which I think had different hours than his second. DO we have any other changes or are we just at time changes right now?

---- Original Message -----

From: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>

To: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

Cc: "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>, "Lee Hall" <lhall_at_newfields.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2012 10:34:13 PM

Subject: Re: User Story Additions

Ok, so the last email I sent was not to all. Oops. First off, user story 9 has 63 hours?? Is that correct? Second, if it is not correct. We are in the 140's.. lee's list said we had 84 (before the double) and your has 72. Where did we less 12 hours? 140 hours went he arough to esticit, what we need for the close II.

72. Where did we lose 12 hours? 140 hours wont be enough to satisfy what we need for the class!!

---- Original Message -----

From: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

To: "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com>

Cc: "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>, "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>

Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2012 10:17:42 PM

Subject: Re: User Story Additions

These were the changes you sent out. The last one was an old user story that had been changed already and I made ID:6 a lower priority. I also doubled all the costs so they will become times and renumbered them.

On Wed, 2012-10-17 at 21:32 -0400, Matthew Powell wrote:

- > ID: 31
- > As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me.
- > Useful sorting functions would be: by the order in which the pages were visited,
- > alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.
- > Priority: 7, Cost: 5
- > *ID*: 4
- > As a user I would like this service to be runnable from anywhere by use of an website.
- > Priority:10, Cost 3
- > ID:6
- > As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress.
- > Priority: 7, Cost: 2

This priority should be 1. His final comment was "I can't think of when

I would ever use that".

- > *ID*: 8
- > As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan based on errors from a previous report and check their statuses.
- > Priority: 5, Cost: 5
- > ID: 10
- > As a user, having scans that were automatically run at regular intervals would be nice.
- > Priority: 3, Cost: 3

(This is not a complete sentence if you chop the final clause off the end.)

-Lee

new user stories

ID: 1

As a user, I would like to be able to search my website to check for errors and dead links.Â

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 2

As a user, I would like this program to record any resources that are unavailable, including dead links, missing images, scripts or css files.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 3

As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my domain, as well as the pages that were visited and their load times.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 3.1

As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me.Useful sorting functions would be: by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.

Priority: 7, Cost: 10

ID:3.2

As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.Â

Priority: 6, Cost: 6

ID: 3.3

As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain would be useful.

Priority: 3, Cost: 14

ID: 3.4

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.

Priority: 6, Cost: 4

ID: 3.5

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.

Priority: 6, Cost: 10

ID: 4

As a user I would like this service to be runnable from anywhere by use of an website.

Priority:10, Cost 6

ID: 5

As a user, I must be able to view a list of scans that have been run against my website.

Priority: 9, Cost 4

ID:Â6

As a user, I would like to be able to limit the run time of a scan when

I start it, either by time, or by distance from the start page.

Priority: 6, Cost: 12

ID: 7

As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan based on errors from a previous report and check their

statuses.Â

Priority: 5, Cost: 10

ID: 9

As a user, I should have to login before initiating a scan or viewing a report.

Priority: 3, Cost: 2

ID: 9

As a user, having Scans that were automatically run at regular intervals.

Priority: 3, Cost: 63

ID:10

As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress.

Priority: 3, Cost: 4

Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 22:39:07 EDT

Re: User Story Additions

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 23:16:57 -0400 (EDT)

OK made the changes and they are below. Thomas where did you get your numbers the first 3 added up to 60h...187 hours is the new total whit the reintroduction of these 2 stories. Also I am going to submit at 11:40.

ID: 1

As a user, I would like to be able to search my website to check for errors and dead links.Â

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 2

As a user, I would like this program to record any resources that are unavailable, including dead links, missing images, scripts or css files.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 3

As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my domain, as well as the pages that were visited and their load times.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 3.1

As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me. Useful sorting functions would be: by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.

Priority: 7, Cost: 10

ID:3.2

As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.Â

Priority: 6, Cost: 6

ID: 3.3

As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain would be useful.

Priority: 3, Cost: 14

ID: 3.4

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.

Priority: 6, Cost: 4

ID: 3.5

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.

Priority: 6, Cost: 10

ID: 4

As a user I would like this service to be runnable from anywhere by use of an website.

Priority:10, Cost 6

ID: 5

As a user, I must be able to view a list of scans that have been run against my website.

Priority: 9, Cost 4

ID:Â6

As a user, I would like to be able to limit the run time of a scan when

I start it, either by time, or by distance from the start page.

Priority: 6, Cost: 12

ID: 7

As a user, I would like to be able to start a scan based on errors from a previous report and check their statuses.Â

Priority: 5, Cost: 10

ID: 8

As a user, I would like for reports to include pages that are accessible

over secured links. Priority: 5, Cost: 8

ID:9

As a user, I like to see an pleasant user interface from which I can interact with the crawler the crawler, change my sorting options, and select reports to print.

Priority: 5, Cost: 20

ID: 10

As a user, I should have to login before initiating a scan or viewing a report.

Priority: 3, Cost: 2

ID: 11

As a user, having Scans that were automatically run at regular intervals.

Priority: 3, Cost: 6

ID:12

As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress.

Priority: 3, Cost: 4

Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 23:16:41 EDT

Re: User Story Additions

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2012 23:41:43 -0400 (EDT)

I thought I changed that.... where did you get that I haven't released that wording after its initial sending.

---- Original Message -----

From: "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com>

To: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

Cc: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>

Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2012 11:40:06 PM

Subject: Re: User Story Additions

On Wed, 2012-10-17 at 23:16 -0400, Matthew Powell wrote:

> OK made the changes and they are below. Thomas where did you get your numbers the first 3 added up to 60h...

I get 176, but regardless, we should be good, with one exception:

> *ID*: 11

> As a user, having scans that were automatically run at regular intervals would be nice.

> Priority: 3, Cost: 6

Without the 'would be nice' at the end, this is not a complete sentence.

-Lee

Received on Wed Oct 17 2012 - 23:41:27 EDT

SWE 3613 - Groups missing paperwork for Sprint 1 - Can't give grades

From: Jonathan Lartigue <jlartigu_at_spsu.edu>

Date: Thu. 18 Oct 2012 13:01:37 -0400

Dear class:

The following groups, for whatever reason, did NOT turn in their Sprint 1 Written Reports on Vista:

Group 3 Group 5

Group 6

I cannot give these groups a grade for project one until this is resolved. Someone from each group should contact me immediately to resolve this.

Jonathan W. Lartigue Assistant Professor Office: (678) 915-3426 Email: lartigue_at_spsu.edu

Online: educate.spsu.edu/jlartigu

Dept. of Computer Science and Software Engineering

1100 South Marietta Parkway Marietta, Georgia, 30060-2896

www.spsu.edu

Received on Thu Oct 18 2012 - 12:59:58 EDT

Re: An Idea

From: <rmays36_at_gmail.com>

Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2012 14:52:33 -0700 (PDT)

Skype is a good idea. I would have some issues skyping once I get home though...mommy work to do. Also, I agree with Lee about the JS headache.

I think we should add something about the learning curb this go 'round.

And also, any issues that may arise from integrating the new system's code with the reusable parts of the honeycomb's code.

--- Original Message ---

From: Lee Hall < lhall at newfields.com>

Sent: October 18, 2012 10/18/12

To: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>

Cc: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu>, Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

Subject: Re: An Idea

On Thu, 2012-10-18 at 17:13 -0400, Lee Hall wrote:

> On Thu, 2012-10-18 at 00:44 -0400, Thomas Couture wrote:

- >> Just as an Idea, maybe in the future we can use something like Skype (or google + which i think you can share a screen for free)
- >> just as a way to get things like these stories done in 30 minutes. As opposed to the 2 to 3 hours it drags over email, as well
- >> as less confusion as I reply to one email, and someone else replies to another, and there gets to be confusion. Plus its easier
- >> to communicate an idea or a point we are trying to make. I through this out loosely as I realize there probably wont be much else
- >> like this that we have to deal with.

>

- > Not a bad idea, though I think you're correct that we're not likely to
- > have to deal with much like this in the future.

Urgg... I sent this off without actually getting to the whole reason.

Weren't we supposed to put together a risk management plan for today, as well? Or at least have a list...

Potential risks that I see:

We could have library problems dealing with SSL pages.

This can be mitigated by research, and is not a huge issue as it's a relatively low priority story.

We could have communications problems between the report viewer and the web crawler.

This would be a huge issue. A backup plan might be to use python to generate JSON directly from pickled object from the webcrawler, or potentially generate oneshot reports directly in the webcrawler, those these options are both less flexible.

Dealing with javascript could make me so angry I stick forks in my eyes. This might make typing difficult, and I should probably keep a bottle of medication uncorked and nearby to prevent such an occurrence.

-Lee

Received on Thu Oct 18 2012 - 17:51:28 EDT

Re: An Idea

```
From: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2 at gmail.com>
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2012 18:18:46 -0400
I don't see anything online anywhere. It sounds like a good idea to have one because he did mention it
thought.
Sent from my iPhone
On Oct 18, 2012, at 5:52 PM, "rmays36_at_gmail.com" <rmays36_at_gmail.com> wrote:
> Skype is a good idea. I would have some issues skyping once I get home though...mommy work to do.
>
> Also, I agree with Lee about the JS headache.
> I think we should add something about the learning curb this go 'round.
> And also, any issues that may arise from integrating the new system's code with the reusable parts of
the honeycomb's code.
> --- Original Message ---
> From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com>
> Sent: October 18, 2012 10/18/12
> To: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>
> Cc: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu>, Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>
> Subject: Re: An Idea
> On Thu, 2012-10-18 at 17:13 -0400, Lee Hall wrote:
>> On Thu, 2012-10-18 at 00:44 -0400, Thomas Couture wrote:
>>> Just as an Idea, maybe in the future we can use something like Skype (or google + which i think you
can share a screen for free)
>>> just as a way to get things like these stories done in 30 minutes. As opposed to the 2 to 3 hours it
drags over email, as well
>>> as less confusion as I reply to one email, and someone else replies to another, and there gets to be
confusion. Plus its easier
>>> to communicate an idea or a point we are trying to make. I through this out loosely as I realize there
probably wont be much else
>>> like this that we have to deal with.
>>
>> Not a bad idea, though I think you're correct that we're not likely to
>> have to deal with much like this in the future.
>
> Urgg... I sent this off without actually getting to the whole reason.
> Weren't we supposed to put together a risk management plan for today, as
> well? Or at least have a list...
> Potential risks that I see:
```

```
>
> We could have library problems dealing with SSL pages.
> This can be mitigated by research, and is not a huge issue as it's a
> relatively low priority story.
> We could have communications problems between the report viewer and the
> web crawler.
> This would be a huge issue. A backup plan might be to use python to
> generate JSON directly from pickled object from the webcrawler, or
> potentially generate oneshot reports directly in the webcrawler, those
> these options are both less flexible.
> Dealing with javascript could make me so angry I stick forks in my eyes.
> This might make typing difficult, and I should probably keep a bottle of
> medication uncorked and nearby to prevent such an occurrence.
> -Lee
>
Received on Thu Oct 18 2012 - 18:17:20 EDT
```

Re: An Idea

From: <rmays36_at_gmail.com>

Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2012 15:52:14 -0700 (PDT)

Hey guys, imma get there right at 7:30. I'm driving and can't send the minuyes...can someone through some together me?

--- Original Message ---

From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com>

Sent: October 18, 2012 10/18/12

To: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>

Cc: rmays36_at_gmail.com, Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, Robin Mays

<rmays_at_spsu.edu>, Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

Subject: Re: An Idea

On Thu, 2012-10-18 at 18:18 -0400, Thomas Couture wrote:

> I don't see anything online anywhere. It sounds like a good idea to have one because he did mention it thought.

Yeah, there wasn't anything online, but he talked about it in class.

-Lee

Received on Thu Oct 18 2012 - 18:50:55 EDT

Stuff

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2012 00:38:54 -0400 (EDT)

OK guys remember that we are having a call today. I suggest skype or google. Google has better sharing or screens. Also I will send out a revised draft for the user stories. If we want to meet before 11 call me at 770-367-0247. Remember that we need to revise the project packet.

Received on Fri Oct 19 2012 - 00:38:35 EDT

Minutes

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2012 12:40:58 -0400 (EDT)

sorry for the delay.

- application/pdf attachment: Minutes090412__2_.pdf
- application/pdf attachment: Minutes090612__2_.pdf
- application/pdf attachment: Minutes091112__2_.pdf
- application/pdf attachment: Minutes091312__1_.pdf
- application/pdf attachment: Minutes091312__2_.pdf
- application/pdf attachment: Minutes091512_2_.pdf
- application/pdf attachment: Minutes091812__1_.pdf

Received on Fri Oct 19 2012 - 12:41:21 EDT

Forager minutes...

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2012 12:59:15 -0400 (EDT)

here are the first minutes for forager. The sprint planning minutes will be sent shortly.

• application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document attachment: Minutes101612__1_.docx

Received on Fri Oct 19 2012 - 12:59:34 EDT

file format issue with the user stories...

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2012 13:08:05 -0400 (EDT)

Matthew,

I just tried to download the user stories that were submitted on tuesday and noticed that they were uploaded as an .odt file. I can't open that without converting it. Maybe we should send .txt files? ~Robin

Received on Fri Oct 19 2012 - 13:08:28 EDT

User Stories v2

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2012 13:17:19 -0400 (EDT)

OK guys here are the revised user stories. Please look over then as I do have comments and user story 7 still needs reworded(previously 3.2). The time is the same I redistributed some hours to account for new stories and removal. They are sorted by priory and then by hours.

ID: 1

As a user, I would like to be able to search my website to check for errors and dead links.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 2

As a user, I would like this program to record any resources that are unavailable, including dead links, missing images, scripts or css files.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 3

As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my domain. These scans would be listed and accessible form a website.

Priority: 10, Cost: 30

^Was split and created user story 16.

ID: 4

As a user I would like to view scans and start new scans form a website.

Priority:10, Cost 20

^Reworded

ID: 5

As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me. Useful sorting functions would be: by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.

Priority: 7, Cost: 10

ID: 6

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.

Priority: 6, Cost: 10

ID:7

As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.

Priority: 6, Cost: 6

^NEEDS REWORDED ^

ID: 8

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.

Priority: 6, Cost: 4

ID:9

As a user, I would like to be able to limit the run time of a scan when

I start it, either by time, or by distance from the start page.

Priority: 6, Cost: 12

ID: 10

As a user I would like to be check the errors in a previous scan buy running a new scan limited only to these previously found errors.

Priority: 5, Cost: 10

^Reworded

ID: 11

As a user, I would like for reports to include pages that are accessible

over secured links. Priority: 5, Cost: 8

ID:12

As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain.

Priority: 3, Cost: 14

ID: 13

As a user, I should have to login before initiating a scan or viewing a report.

Priority: 3, Cost: 2

ID:14

As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress.

Priority: 2, Cost: 4 ^new priority

ID: 15

As a user, having Scans that were automatically run at regular intervals.

Priority: 1, Cost: 6 ^new priority

ID:16

As a user I would like to see page load times.

Priority:1 Cost: 2

^Created out of user story 3

*Removed under direction

ID:*

As a user, I like to see an pleasant user interface from which I can interact with the crawler the crawler, change my sorting options, and select reports to print.

Priority: 5, Cost: 20

Received on Fri Oct 19 2012 - 13:17:01 EDT

Re: User Stories v2

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2012 13:21:12 -0400 (EDT)

Okay, I know I missed the meeting so forgive me if you guys have already discussed this... but,

if the "UI" story is removed, then is it safe to say we are not using one?

---- Original Message -----

From: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

To: "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>

Cc: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, "Lee Hall" <lhall_at_newfields.com>

Sent: Friday, October 19, 2012 1:17:19 PM

Subject: User Stories v2

OK guys here are the revised user stories. Please look over then as I do have comments and user story 7 still needs reworded(previously 3.2). The time is the same I redistributed some hours to account for new stories and removal. They are sorted by priory and then by hours.

ID: 1

As a user, I would like to be able to search my website to check for errors and dead links.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 2

As a user, I would like this program to record any resources that are unavailable, including dead links, missing images, scripts or css files.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 3

As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my domain. These scans would be listed and accessible form a website.

Priority: 10, Cost: 30

^Was split and created user story 16.

ID· 4

As a user I would like to view scans and start new scans form a website.

Priority:10, Cost 20

^Reworded

ID: 5

As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me. Useful sorting functions would be: by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.

Priority: 7, Cost: 10

ID: 6

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.

Priority: 6, Cost: 10

ID:7

As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.

Priority: 6, Cost: 6

^NEEDS REWORDED ^

ID: 8

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.

Priority: 6, Cost: 4

ID:9

As a user, I would like to be able to limit the run time of a scan when

I start it, either by time, or by distance from the start page.

Priority: 6, Cost: 12

ID: 10

As a user I would like to be check the errors in a previous scan buy running a new scan limited only to these previously found errors.

Priority: 5, Cost: 10

^Reworded

ID: 11

As a user, I would like for reports to include pages that are accessible

over secured links. Priority: 5, Cost: 8

ID:12

As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain.

Priority: 3, Cost: 14

ID: 13

As a user, I should have to login before initiating a scan or viewing a report.

Priority: 3, Cost: 2

ID:14

As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress.

Priority: 2, Cost: 4 ^new priority

ID: 15

As a user, having Scans that were automatically run at regular intervals.

Priority: 1, Cost: 6 ^new priority

ID:16

As a user I would like to see page load times.

Priority:1 Cost: 2

^Created out of user story 3 *Removed under direction

ID:*

As a user, I like to see an pleasant user interface from which I can interact with the crawler the crawler, change my sorting options, and select reports to print.

Priority: 5, Cost: 20

Received on Fri Oct 19 2012 - 13:21:36 EDT

Re: User Stories v2

From: Lee Hall <lhall_at_newfields.com> Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2012 13:50:18 -0400

Time is to be distributed amongst the functional requirements that the ui element controls.

When should we have our call?

3:30 is good for me, but i am ever-flexible...

-Lee

Time is to be distributed amongst the functional requirements that the ui element controls.

When should we have our call?Â

3:30 is good for me, but i am ever-flexible...

-Lee

Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> wrote:

Okay, I know I missed the meeting so forgive me if you guys have already discussed this... but,

if the "UI" story is removed, then is it safe to say we are not using one?

---- Original Message -----

From: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

To: "Robin Mays" <rmays@spsu.edu>

Cc: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2@spsu.edu>, "Lee Hall" <lhall@newfields.com>

Sent: Friday, October 19, 2012 1:17:19 PM

Subject: User Stories v2

OK guys here are the revised user stories. Please look over then as I do have comments and user story 7 still needs reworded(previously 3.2). The time is the same I redistributed some hours to account for new stories and removal. They are sorted by priory and then by hours.

ID: 1

As a user, I would like to be able to search my website to check for errors and dead links.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 2

As a user, I would like this program to record any resources that are unavailable, including dead links, missing images, scripts or css files.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 3

As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my domain. These scans would be listed and accessible form a website.

Priority: 10, Cost: 30

^Was split and created user story 16.

ID: 4

As a user I would like to view scans and start new scans form a website.

Priority:10, Cost 20

^Reworded

ID: 5

As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me. Useful sorting functions would be: by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.

Priority: 7, Cost: 10

ID: 6

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.

Priority: 6, Cost: 10

ID:7

As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.

Priority: 6, Cost: 6
^NEEDS REWORDED ^

ID: 8

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.

Priority: 6, Cost: 4

ID:9

As a user, I would like to be able to limit the run time of a scan when

I start it, either by time, or by distance from the start page.

Priority: 6, Cost: 12

ID: 10

As a user I would like to be check the errors in a previous scan buy running a new scan limited only to these previously found errors.

Priority: 5, Cost: 10

^Reworded

ID: 11

As a user, I would like for reports to include pages that are accessible

over secured links. Priority: 5, Cost: 8

ID:12

As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain.

Priority: 3, Cost: 14

ID: 13

As a user, I should have to login before initiating a scan or viewing a report.

Priority: 3, Cost: 2

ID:14

As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress.

Priority: 2, Cost: 4 ^new priority

ID: 15

As a user, having Scans that were automatically run at regular intervals.

Priority: 1, Cost: 6 ^new priority

ID:16

As a user I would like to see page load times.

Priority:1 Cost: 2

^Created out of user story 3
*Removed under direction

ID:*

As a user, I like to see an pleasant user interface from which I can interact with the crawler the crawler, change my sorting options, and select reports to print.

Priority: 5, Cost: 20

Okay, I know I missed the meeting so forgive me if you guys have already discussed this... but,

if the "UI" story is removed, then is it safe to say we are not using one?

---- Original Message -----

From: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

To: "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>

Cc: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, "Lee Hall" <lhall_at_newfields.com>

Sent: Friday, October 19, 2012 1:17:19 PM

Subject: User Stories v2

OK guys here are the revised user stories. Please look over then as I do have comments and user story 7 still needs reworded(previously 3.2). The time is the same I redistributed some hours to account for new stories and removal. They are sorted by priory and then by hours.

ID: 1

As a user, I would like to be able to search my website to check for errors and dead links.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 2

As a user, I would like this program to record any resources that are unavailable, including dead links, missing images, scripts or css files.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 3

As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my domain. These scans would be listed and accessible form a website.

Priority: 10, Cost: 30

^Was split and created user story 16.

ID: 4

As a user I would like to view scans and start new scans form a website.

Priority:10, Cost 20

^Reworded

ID: 5

As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me. Useful sorting functions would be: by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.

Priority: 7, Cost: 10

ID: 6

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.

Priority: 6, Cost: 10

ID:7

As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.

Priority: 6, Cost: 6

^NEEDS REWORDED ^

ID: 8

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.

Priority: 6, Cost: 4

ID:9

As a user, I would like to be able to limit the run time of a scan when

I start it, either by time, or by distance from the start page.

Priority: 6, Cost: 12

ID: 10

As a user I would like to be check the errors in a previous scan buy running a new scan limited only to

these previously found errors.

Priority: 5, Cost: 10

^Reworded ID:Â 11

As a user, I would like for reports to include pages that are accessible

over secured links. Priority: 5, Cost: 8

ID:12

As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain.

Priority: 3, Cost: 14

ID: 13

As a user, I should have to login before initiating a scan or viewing a report.

Priority: 3, Cost: 2

ID:14

As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress.

Priority: 2, Cost: 4

^new priority

ID: 15

As a user, having Scans that were automatically run at regular intervals.

Priority: 1, Cost: 6 ^new priority

ID:16

As a user I would like to see page load times.

Priority:1 Cost: 2

^Created out of user story 3 *Removed under direction

ID:*

As a user, I like to see an pleasant user interface from which I can interact with the crawler the crawler, change my sorting options, and select reports to print.

Priority: 5, Cost: 20

Received on Fri Oct 19 2012 - 13:50:18 EDT

Re: User Stories v2

> Priority: 10, Cost: 30

```
From: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2 at gmail.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2012 14:05:27 -0400
Any time works for me as I am available today. 3:30 sounds good for me though.
Sent from my iPhone
On Oct 19, 2012, at 1:50 PM, Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
> Time is to be distributed amongst the functional requirements that the ui element controls.
> When should we have our call?
> 3:30 is good for me, but i am ever-flexible...
> -Lee
> Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> wrote:
> Okay, I know I missed the meeting so forgive me if you guys have already discussed this... but,
> if the "UI" story is removed, then is it safe to say we are not using one?
> ---- Original Message -----
> From: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>
> To: "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>
> Cc: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, "Lee Hall" <lhall_at_newfields.com>
> Sent: Friday, October 19, 2012 1:17:19 PM
> Subject: User Stories v2
> OK guys here are the revised user stories. Please look over then as I do have comments and user story 7
still needs reworded(previously 3.2). The time is the same I redistributed some hours to account for new
stories and removal. They are sorted by priory and then by hours.
>
>
>
>
> ID: 1
> As a user, I would like to be able to search my website to check for errors and dead links.
> Priority: 10, Cost: 20
> ID: 2
> As a user, I would like this program to record any resources that are unavailable, including dead links,
missing images, scripts or css files.
> Priority: 10, Cost: 20
> ID: 3
> As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report should show all broken links and
missing images that fall under my domain. These scans would be listed and accessible form a website.
```

```
> "Was split and created user story 16.
>
> ID: 4
> As a user I would like to view scans and start new scans form a website.
> Priority:10, Cost 20
> ^Reworded
> ID: 5
> As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me. Useful sorting functions
would be: by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent
page.
> Priority: 7, Cost: 10
>
> ID: 6
> As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.
> Priority: 6, Cost: 10
> ID:7
> As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.
> Priority: 6, Cost: 6
> ^NEEDS REWORDED ^
> ID: 8
> As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.
> Priority: 6, Cost: 4
>
> ID:9
> As a user, I would like to be able to limit the run time of a scan when
> I start it, either by time, or by distance from the start page.
> Priority: 6, Cost: 12
> ID: 10
> As a user I would like to be check the errors in a previous scan buy running a new scan limited only to
these previously found errors.
> Priority: 5, Cost: 10
> ^Reworded
> ID: 11
> As a user, I would like for reports to include pages that are accessible
> over secured links.
> Priority: 5, Cost: 8
> ID:12
> As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain.
> Priority: 3, Cost: 14
```

```
> ID: 13
> As a user, I should have to login before initiating a scan or viewing a report.
> Priority: 3, Cost: 2
> ID:14
> As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress.
> Priority: 2, Cost: 4
> ^new priority
> ID: 15
> As a user, having Scans that were automatically run at regular intervals.
> Priority: 1, Cost: 6
> \`new priority
> ID:16
> As a user I would like to see page load times.
> Priority:1 Cost: 2
> ^Created out of user story 3
>
>
>
> *Removed under direction
> As a user, I like to see an pleasant user interface from which I can
> interact with the crawler the crawler, change my sorting options, and
> select reports to print.
> Priority: 5, Cost: 20
Received on Fri Oct 19 2012 - 14:04:28 EDT
```

Re: User Stories v2

From: Matthew Powell < mpowell2 at spsu.edu>

```
Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2012 14:07:05 -0400 (EDT)
I am good for 3:30. Robin that user story still needs done but it is not a user story that is testable in some
ways making it not a user story.
---- Original Message -----
From: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>
To: "Lee Hall" < lhall at newfields.com>
Cc: rmays_at_spsu.edu, mpowell2_at_spsu.edu, tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu
Sent: Friday, October 19, 2012 2:05:27 PM
Subject: Re: User Stories v2
Any time works for me as I am available today. 3:30 sounds good for me though.
Sent from my iPhone
On Oct 19, 2012, at 1:50 PM, Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
> Time is to be distributed amongst the functional requirements that the ui element controls.
> When should we have our call?
> 3:30 is good for me, but i am ever-flexible...
> -Lee
> Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> wrote:
> Okay, I know I missed the meeting so forgive me if you guys have already discussed this... but,
> if the "UI" story is removed, then is it safe to say we are not using one?
> ---- Original Message -----
> From: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>
> To: "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>
> Cc: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2 at spsu.edu>, "Lee Hall" <lhall at newfields.com>
> Sent: Friday, October 19, 2012 1:17:19 PM
> Subject: User Stories v2
> OK guys here are the revised user stories. Please look over then as I do have comments and user story 7
still needs reworded(previously 3.2). The time is the same I redistributed some hours to account for new
stories and removal. They are sorted by priory and then by hours.
>
>
> ID: 1
> As a user, I would like to be able to search my website to check for errors and dead links.
> Priority: 10, Cost: 20
> ID: 2
```

```
> As a user, I would like this program to record any resources that are unavailable, including dead links,
missing images, scripts or css files.
> Priority: 10, Cost: 20
> ID: 3
> As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report should show all broken links and
missing images that fall under my domain. These scans would be listed and accessible form a website.
> Priority: 10, Cost: 30
> ^Was split and created user story 16.
>
> ID: 4
> As a user I would like to view scans and start new scans form a website.
> Priority:10, Cost 20
> ^Reworded
> ID: 5
> As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me. Useful sorting functions
would be: by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent
page.
> Priority: 7, Cost: 10
>
> ID: 6
> As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.
> Priority: 6, Cost: 10
> ID:7
> As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.
> Priority: 6, Cost: 6
> ^NEEDS REWORDED ^
>
> ID: 8
> As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.
> Priority: 6, Cost: 4
>
> ID:9
> As a user, I would like to be able to limit the run time of a scan when
> I start it, either by time, or by distance from the start page.
> Priority: 6, Cost: 12
> ID: 10
> As a user I would like to be check the errors in a previous scan buy running a new scan limited only to
these previously found errors.
> Priority: 5, Cost: 10
> ^Reworded
> ID: 11
```

```
> As a user, I would like for reports to include pages that are accessible
> over secured links.
> Priority: 5, Cost: 8
> ID:12
> As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain.
> Priority: 3, Cost: 14
> ID: 13
> As a user, I should have to login before initiating a scan or viewing a report.
> Priority: 3, Cost: 2
> ID:14
> As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress.
> Priority: 2, Cost: 4
> \`new priority
> ID: 15
> As a user, having Scans that were automatically run at regular intervals.
> Priority: 1, Cost: 6
> \`new priority
> ID:16
> As a user I would like to see page load times.
> Priority:1 Cost: 2
> ^Created out of user story 3
>
>
>
> *Removed under direction
> As a user, I like to see an pleasant user interface from which I can
> interact with the crawler the crawler, change my sorting options, and
> select reports to print.
> Priority: 5, Cost: 20
Received on Fri Oct 19 2012 - 14:06:46 EDT
```

Re: User Stories v2

From: <rmays36_at_gmail.com>

Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2012 11:35:54 -0700 (PDT)

That's good for me too.

--- Original Message ---

From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com>

Sent: October 19, 2012 10/19/12

To: rmays_at_spsu.edu, mpowell2_at_spsu.edu

Cc: tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu Subject: Re: User Stories v2

Time is to be distributed amongst the functional requirements that the ui element controls.

When should we have our call?Â

3:30 is good for me, but i am ever-flexible...

-Lee

Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> wrote:

Okay, I know I missed the meeting so forgive me if you guys have already discussed this... but, if the "UI" story is removed, then is it safe to say we are not using one?

---- Original Message ----

From: "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

To: "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>

Cc: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, "Lee Hall" <lhall_at_newfields.com>

Sent: Friday, October 19, 2012 1:17:19 PM

Subject: User Stories v2

OK guys here are the revised user stories. Please look over then as I do have comments and user story 7 still needs reworded(previously 3.2). The time is the same I redistributed some hours to account for new stories and removal. They are sorted by priory and then by hours.

ID: 1

As a user, I would like to be able to search my website to check for errors and dead links.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 2

As a user, I would like this program to record any resources that are unavailable, including dead links, missing images, scripts or css files.

Priority: 10, Cost: 20

ID: 3

As a user I must be able to view a report of a given scan. This report should show all broken links and missing images that fall under my domain. These scans would be listed and accessible form a website.

Priority: 10, Cost: 30

^Was split and created user story 16.

ID: 4

As a user I would like to view scans and start new scans form a website.

Priority:10, Cost 20

^Reworded

ID: 5

As a user, I would like to be able to sort the reports that are presented to me. Useful sorting functions would be: by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent

page.

Priority: 7, Cost: 10

ID: 6

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.

Priority: 6, Cost: 10

ID:7

As a user, I would like to be able to compare two results from previously completed scans.

Priority: 6, Cost: 6

^NEEDS REWORDED ^

ID: 8

As a user viewing a report, I should be able to view reports from scans that are in progress.

Priority: 6, Cost: 4

ID:9

As a user, I would like to be able to limit the run time of a scan when

I start it, either by time, or by distance from the start page.

Priority: 6, Cost: 12

ID: 10

As a user I would like to be check the errors in a previous scan buy running a new scan limited only to these previously found errors.

Priority: 5, Cost: 10

^Reworded ID:Â 11

As a user, I would like for reports to include pages that are accessible

over secured links. Priority: 5, Cost: 8

ID:12

As a user, sorting a report based on the subdomain.

Priority: 3, Cost: 14

ID: 13

As a user, I should have to login before initiating a scan or viewing a report.

Priority: 3, Cost: 2

ID:14

As a user, I might like to pause a scan that was currently in progress.

Priority: 2, Cost: 4 ^new priority

ID: 15

As a user, having Scans that were automatically run at regular intervals.

Priority: 1, Cost: 6 ^new priority

ID:16

As a user I would like to see page load times.

Priority:1 Cost: 2

^Created out of user story 3 *Removed under direction

ID:*

As a user, I like to see an pleasant user interface from which I can interact with the crawler the crawler, change my sorting options, and

select reports to print. Priority: 5, Cost: 20

Received on Fri Oct 19 2012 - 14:34:44 EDT

Re: User Stories v2

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Fri, 19 Oct 2012 16:13:28 -0400 (EDT)

- application/pdf attachment: UserStoriesFinalp2.pdf
- application/vnd.oasis.opendocument.text attachment: UserStories2.2.odt

Received on Fri Oct 19 2012 - 16:13:08 EDT

Re: Minutes

From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com>
Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2012 00:00:55 -0400

On Fri, 2012-10-19 at 12:40 -0400, Robin Mays wrote:

> sorry for the delay.

Here are the links for the PDFs. If anyone has anything I forgot that we were supposed to correct, speak now or forever hold your piece. Emails are split into a second file, all of the minutes have been added, as have all of the reports. Someone else please look it over and make sure I'm not missing something.

https://minerva.gtf.org/docs/

b8e22db0de6aaa7a48a7959fa3d55069 Appendix-C_Email.pdf f9f3e06382ca219079a14ca46f1108b8 Group4_Final_Report.pdf I've setup project2 on minerva, and installed python3 and psycopg2, but pycurl is not getting along well with Python3 at the moment. I'll have to poke at that a bit more...

-Lee

Received on Sun Oct 21 2012 - 00:00:55 EDT

Database setup.

From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com>
Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2012 00:07:29 -0400

I've also checked in a database schema. It should let us get to what we need pretty easily, but if anyone has thoughts, suggestions, etc... We need to get it nailed down and make sure that everyone working on story 2 and story 3 have everything they need, as this could potentially be difficult to change later, and a graph's not the most natural structure to deal with in SQL. I don't think we'll ever need to trace the entire graph, just neighbors, so this will not be a problem, but I may have missed something...

-Lee

Received on Sun Oct 21 2012 - 00:07:29 EDT

Re: Minutes

From: Robin Mays < rmays at spsu.edu>

```
Date: Tue, 23 Oct 2012 11:49:23 -0400 (EDT)
Hey guys. I have to admit it's a little weird not exchanging a million emails back in forth.
Where are we on the project? My computers at the apple store so I haven't checked got yet.
---- Original Message -----
From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com>
To: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu>
Cc: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>
Sent: Sun, 21 Oct 2012 16:44:21 -0400 (EDT)
Subject: Re: Minutes
On Sun, 2012-10-21 at 00:00 -0400, Lee Hall wrote:
> On Fri, 2012-10-19 at 12:40 -0400, Robin Mays wrote:
>> sorry for the delay.
> Here are the links for the PDFs. If anyone has anything I forgot that we
> were supposed to correct, speak now or forever hold your piece.
> Emails are split into a second file, all of the minutes have been added,
> as have all of the reports. Someone else please look it over and make
> sure I'm not missing something.
> https://minerva.gtf.org/docs/
> b8e22db0de6aaa7a48a7959fa3d55069 Appendix-C_Email.pdf
> f9f3e06382ca219079a14ca46f1108b8 Group4_Final_Report.pdf
He wanted these before Monday morning, so I'm going to send them out
tonight around 11 unless I hear back before then.
Let me know if you get a chance to double check that things are kosher.
-Lee
Received on Tue Oct 23 2012 - 11:49:34 EDT
```

Re: Minutes

From: Robin Mays < rmays36 at gmail.com>

```
Date: Tue, 23 Oct 2012 15:28:34 -0400
I'll be on campus at 6:30. If you need any help with the nutbrown charts
let me know. I can create copies to turn in with our weekly report today.
All be prepared for a quiz.
On Oct 23, 2012 3:24 PM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
> On Tue, 2012-10-23 at 14:50 -0400, Thomas Couture wrote:
>> Robin, you jumped the gun. That question is for the daily scrum.. that
> is today!!!
>> What are we going to talk about during the meeting?!?!?! haha.. I have
> the status report ready,
> > minus the hours for you guys. Lets meet up say at least 45 minutes
> before class and get that
>> finished up. Should not take long at all (15 minutes maybe). Also I want
> you guys to look over
>> the info I put into it. Reword things or change them as you feel
> necessary. As far as my work,
> > most of it has been looking at Java Script and playing around a little
> bit. As usual, I will be
>> here from 4 until class starts so if anyone is around early let me know
> and we can get things done!
> This just went to me, so...
> Thomas, could you send me the current status report? I've been diddling
> with Excel today and am going to try to have it automatically generate
> the weekly burndowns that he spent so much time talking about at our
> meeting (Also, in general, more automation).
>
> -Lee
>
>
Received on Tue Oct 23 2012 - 15:26:53 EDT
```

Minutes

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Tue, 23 Oct 2012 19:05:44 -0400 (EDT)

- $\bullet \ application/vnd.openxml formats-office document.word processing ml.document\ attachment: \\ Minutes 101812_1_.docx$
- application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document attachment: Minutes101612__1_.docx

Received on Tue Oct 23 2012 - 19:05:54 EDT

correct minutes

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Tue, 23 Oct 2012 19:12:05 -0400 (EDT)

- application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document attachment: Minutes101812__1_.docx
- application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document attachment: Minutes101612__1_.docx

Received on Tue Oct 23 2012 - 19:12:15 EDT

From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com> Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 12:26:49 -0400

I've PDF'd the minutes and added them to the git repository. I also think that I've got my little burndown thing working. Thomas, if you could send me the current version of the Status report, or push it up to the git repo, I can transfer the numbers and verify that everything works.

Robin, did you finish putting the risk mitigation stuff in order? Has everyone done their use cases? Mine are below.

-Lee

====

D: Crawler-1

Title: Basic Web Crawler

Description: The system should be able to visit all of the pages on the

website.

Precondition: A scan has been initiated (Crawler-4)

Primary flow:

- 1) The web crawler will initialize a visited list and a pending queue.
- 2) The web crawler will retrieve the root page of the domain.
- 3) The web crawler will parse the retrieved page for resource URLs.
- 4) The web crawler will create a page object with the URL, a null parent URL, and a list of the child resource URLS that were retrieved.
- 5) The web crawler will place this page object in the pending queue.
- 6) If there is a page object in the pending queue, it will be popped, and become the current page. If there is not, the scan is finished, and the crawler exits.
- 7) The scanner will iterate over all of the child resources in the current page object.
- 8) If the current child resource is a page object in the visited list or the pending queue, continue with 7.
- 9) The scanner will create a page object using the current page as the parent.
- 10) The current child resource will be retrieved.
- 11) If a page is retrieved, resources will be parsed from the page and appended to the child resource list of the new page object.
- 12) The new page object will be added to the pending queue.
- 13) Return to 6)

Alternate flow:

- 1) The web crawler will initialize a visited list and a pending queue.
- 2) The web crawler will retrieve the root page of the domain.
- 3) If the root page cannot be retrieved, the scan exits.

Postconditions: Every URL referenced in any page reachable from the root page will be visited. This does NOT guarantee that it will be retrieved, as it may not exist.

ID: Crawler-2

Title: Record Crawler Results

Description: The results of crawling the website will be recorded in a database so that they can be used later.

Preconditions: The webcrawler must be running. The webcrawler must have generated a record in the "scans" table and retrieved a scan ID. Primary flow:

- 1) When a page object is created, a matching entry in the database will be created, including the scan id, a link to the parent page (this can be null for the root of the scan), the current URL, the retrieval time, and the HTTP response code.
- 2) The resource_children table will be updated to create a link between the parent and the new child object.
- 3) The resource id of the newly created record will then be stored in the page object.

Alternate flow: 1) When a child resource is found to already have an associated page object in the pending or visited queue, a link will be created in the resource_children table between the current page object and the one that was found.

Postconditions: The resources table will contain a complete list of all reachable nodes on a graph of the website. The resource_children table will contain a complete list of the reachable edges of a graph of the website. The resources table will also contain results codes, retrieval times and a spanning tree of the graph of the website. As the response codes will be recorded and records will be created even for pages that are not accessible, this will be a record of broken links as well as working ones.

ID: Report-1

Title: Crawler Interaction

Description: The user must be able to start new scans and list the completed scans.

Preconditions: ID-13 -- Login

Primary flow:

- 1) The system will display a button to start a new scan.
- 2) The system will retrieve a list of scans that have been completed.
- 3) The system will display the list of completed scans.
- 4) The user may click on the "Start Scan" button.
- 5) When the button is clicked, a scan will be dispatched via a call to exec();

Alternate flow:

- 1) The system will display a button to start a new scan.
- 2) If there are no scans completed, none will be listed.
- 3) The user may click on the "Start Scan" button.
- 4) When the button is clicked, a scan will be dispatched via a call to exec();

Postconditions: The user will know what scans have been completed. If the button was depressed, a scan will have been started. ID: Report-2

Title: Show Scan Results

Description: As a user, I must be able to view reports of the scans,

showing all irretrievable resources under my domain. I should be able to

do this from a website.

Preconditions: Crawler-1, Crawler-2 -- A scan must have been recorded.

Report-1 -- the user must have a list of scans.

Primary flow:

- 1) The user will select a scan that has been run.
- 2) The scan container will open.
- 3) The scan container will request the scan data from the webserver.
- 4) The webserver will retrieve all page resources that match this scan
- id, format them as JSON, and return them to the scan container.
- 5) The scan container will then display the JSON results.

Alternate flow: None

Postconditions: The scan will be displayed. *Received on* Thu Oct 25 2012 - 12:26:49 EDT

From: Robin Mays < rmays36 at gmail.com>

Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 12:31:05 -0400 I do have the risk mitigation done. I'll do stores 4-8 and send them out when I get to campus. On Oct 25, 2012 12:26 PM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote: > I've PDF'd the minutes and added them to the git repository. > I also think that I've got my little burndown thing working. > Thomas, if you could send me the current version of the Status report, > or push it up to the git repo, I can transfer the numbers and verify > that everything works. > Robin, did you finish putting the risk mitigation stuff in order? > Has everyone done their use cases? Mine are below. > *-Lee* > > ==== > D: Crawler-1 > Title: Basic Web Crawler > Description: The system should be able to visit all of the pages on the > website. > Precondition: A scan has been initiated (Crawler-4) > Primary flow: > 1) The web crawler will initialize a visited list and a pending queue. > 2) The web crawler will retrieve the root page of the domain. > 3) The web crawler will parse the retrieved page for resource URLs. > 4) The web crawler will create a page object with the URL, a null parent > URL, and a list of the child resource URLS that were retrieved. > 5) The web crawler will place this page object in the pending queue. > 6) If there is a page object in the pending queue, it will be popped, > and become the current page. If there is not, the scan is finished, and > the crawler exits. > 7) The scanner will iterate over all of the child resources in the > current page object. > 8) If the current child resource is a page object in the visited list or > the pending queue, continue with 7.

> 9) The scanner will create a page object using the current page as the

```
> parent.
> 10) The current child resource will be retrieved.
> 11) If a page is retrieved, resources will be parsed from the page and
> appended to the child resource list of the new page object.
> 12) The new page object will be added to the pending queue.
> 13) Return to 6)
> Alternate flow:
> 1) The web crawler will initialize a visited list and a pending queue.
> 2) The web crawler will retrieve the root page of the domain.
> 3) If the root page cannot be retrieved, the scan exits.
> Postconditions: Every URL referenced in any page reachable from the root
> page will be visited. This does NOT guarantee that it will be retrieved,
> as it may not exist.
>
>
> ID: Crawler-2
> Title: Record Crawler Results
> Description: The results of crawling the website will be recorded in a
> database so that they can be used later.
> Preconditions: The webcrawler must be running. The webcrawler must have
> generated a record in the "scans" table and retrieved a scan ID.
> Primary flow:
> 1) When a page object is created, a matching entry in the
> database will be created, including the scan id, a link to the parent
> page (this can be null for the root of the scan), the current URL, the
> retrieval time, and the HTTP response code.
> 2) The resource_children table will be updated to create a link between
> the parent and the new child object.
> 3) The resource id of the newly created record will then be stored in
> the page object.
> Alternate flow: 1) When a child resource is found to already have an
> associated page object in the pending or visited queue, a link will be
> created in the resource_children table between the current page object
> and the one that was found.
>
> Postconditions: The resources table will contain a complete list of all
> reachable nodes on a graph of the website. The resource_children table
> will contain a complete list of the reachable edges of a graph of the
> website. The resources table will also contain results codes, retrieval
> times and a spanning tree of the graph of the website. As the response
```

```
> codes will be recorded and records will be created even for pages that
> are not accessible, this will be a record of broken links as well as
> working ones.
> ID: Report-1
> Title: Crawler Interaction
> Description: The user must be able to start new scans and list the
> completed scans.
> Preconditions: ID-13 -- Login
> Primary flow:
> 1) The system will display a button to start a new scan.
> 2) The system will retrieve a list of scans that have been completed.
> 3) The system will display the list of completed scans.
> 4) The user may click on the "Start Scan" button.
> 5) When the button is clicked, a scan will be dispatched via a call to
> exec();
> Alternate flow:
> 1) The system will display a button to start a new scan.
> 2) If there are no scans completed, none will be listed.
> 3) The user may click on the "Start Scan" button.
> 4) When the button is clicked, a scan will be dispatched via a call to
> exec():
> Postconditions: The user will know what scans have been completed. If
> the button was depressed, a scan will have been started.
>
> ID: Report-2
> Title: Show Scan Results
> Description: As a user, I must be able to view reports of the scans,
> showing all irretrievable resources under my domain. I should be able to
> do this from a website.
> Preconditions: Crawler-1, Crawler-2 -- A scan must have been recorded.
> Report-1 -- the user must have a list of scans.
> Primary flow:
> 1) The user will select a scan that has been run.
> 2) The scan container will open.
```

```
> 3) The scan container will request the scan data from the webserver.
> 4) The webserver will retrieve all page resources that match this scan
> id, format them as JSON, and return them to the scan container.
> 5) The scan container will then display the JSON results.
>
> Alternate flow: None
>
> Postconditions: The scan will be displayed.
>
> Received on Thu Oct 25 2012 - 12:29:23 EDT
```

>> current page object.

```
From: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2 at gmail.com>
Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 12:47:23 -0400
9-12 for me then. Have them out soon.
Sent from my iPhone
On Oct 25, 2012, at 12:31 PM, Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com> wrote:
> I do have the risk mitigation done. I'll do stores 4-8 and send them out when I get to campus.
> On Oct 25, 2012 12:26 PM, "Lee Hall" < lhall at newfields.com> wrote:
>> I've PDF'd the minutes and added them to the git repository.
>> I also think that I've got my little burndown thing working.
>>
>> Thomas, if you could send me the current version of the Status report,
>> or push it up to the git repo, I can transfer the numbers and verify
>> that everything works.
>>
>> Robin, did you finish putting the risk mitigation stuff in order?
>> Has everyone done their use cases? Mine are below.
>>
>> -Lee
>>
>> ====
>> D: Crawler-1
>>
>> Title: Basic Web Crawler
>> Description: The system should be able to visit all of the pages on the
>> website.
>>
>> Precondition: A scan has been initiated (Crawler-4)
>> Primary flow:
>> 1) The web crawler will initialize a visited list and a pending queue.
>> 2) The web crawler will retrieve the root page of the domain.
>> 3) The web crawler will parse the retrieved page for resource URLs.
>> 4) The web crawler will create a page object with the URL, a null parent
>> URL, and a list of the child resource URLS that were retrieved.
>> 5) The web crawler will place this page object in the pending queue.
>> 6) If there is a page object in the pending queue, it will be popped,
>> and become the current page. If there is not, the scan is finished, and
>> the crawler exits.
>> 7) The scanner will iterate over all of the child resources in the
```

```
>> 8) If the current child resource is a page object in the visited list or
>> the pending queue, continue with 7.
>> 9) The scanner will create a page object using the current page as the
>> parent.
>> 10) The current child resource will be retrieved.
>> 11) If a page is retrieved, resources will be parsed from the page and
>> appended to the child resource list of the new page object.
>> 12) The new page object will be added to the pending queue.
>> 13) Return to 6)
>>
>> Alternate flow:
>> 1) The web crawler will initialize a visited list and a pending queue.
>> 2) The web crawler will retrieve the root page of the domain.
>> 3) If the root page cannot be retrieved, the scan exits.
>>
>> Postconditions: Every URL referenced in any page reachable from the root
>> page will be visited. This does NOT guarantee that it will be retrieved,
>> as it may not exist.
>>
>>
>> ID: Crawler-2
>>
>> Title: Record Crawler Results
>> Description: The results of crawling the website will be recorded in a
>> database so that they can be used later.
>> Preconditions: The webcrawler must be running. The webcrawler must have
>> generated a record in the "scans" table and retrieved a scan ID.
>>
>> Primary flow:
>> 1) When a page object is created, a matching entry in the
>> database will be created, including the scan id, a link to the parent
>> page (this can be null for the root of the scan), the current URL, the
>> retrieval time, and the HTTP response code.
>> 2) The resource_children table will be updated to create a link between
>> the parent and the new child object.
>> 3) The resource id of the newly created record will then be stored in
>> the page object.
>> Alternate flow: 1) When a child resource is found to already have an
>> associated page object in the pending or visited queue, a link will be
>> created in the resource_children table between the current page object
>> and the one that was found.
>>
>> Postconditions: The resources table will contain a complete list of all
```

>> reachable nodes on a graph of the website. The resource_children table

```
>> will contain a complete list of the reachable edges of a graph of the
>> website. The resources table will also contain results codes, retrieval
>> times and a spanning tree of the graph of the website. As the response
>> codes will be recorded and records will be created even for pages that
>> are not accessible, this will be a record of broken links as well as
>> working ones.
>>
>>
>> ID: Report-1
>>
>> Title: Crawler Interaction
>> Description: The user must be able to start new scans and list the
>> completed scans.
>>
>> Preconditions: ID-13 -- Login
>> Primary flow:
>> 1) The system will display a button to start a new scan.
>> 2) The system will retrieve a list of scans that have been completed.
>> 3) The system will display the list of completed scans.
>> 4) The user may click on the "Start Scan" button.
>> 5) When the button is clicked, a scan will be dispatched via a call to
>> exec();
>>
>> Alternate flow:
>> 1) The system will display a button to start a new scan.
>> 2) If there are no scans completed, none will be listed.
>> 3) The user may click on the "Start Scan" button.
>> 4) When the button is clicked, a scan will be dispatched via a call to
>> exec():
>> Postconditions: The user will know what scans have been completed. If
>> the button was depressed, a scan will have been started.
>>
>>
>> ID: Report-2
>>
>> Title: Show Scan Results
>> Description: As a user, I must be able to view reports of the scans,
>> showing all irretrievable resources under my domain. I should be able to
>> do this from a website.
>> Preconditions: Crawler-1, Crawler-2 -- A scan must have been recorded.
>> Report-1 -- the user must have a list of scans.
>>
```

```
>> Primary flow:
```

- >> 1) The user will select a scan that has been run.
- >> 2) The scan container will open.
- >> 3) The scan container will request the scan data from the webserver.
- >> 4) The webserver will retrieve all page resources that match this scan
- >> id, format them as JSON, and return them to the scan container.
- >> 5) The scan container will then display the JSON results.

>>

>> Alternate flow: None

>>

>> Postconditions: The scan will be displayed.

Received on Thu Oct 25 2012 - 12:45:38 EDT

From: Lee Hall <lhall_at_newfields.com> Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 13:37:35 -0400

On Thu, 2012-10-25 at 12:47 -0400, Thomas Couture wrote:

> 9-12 for me then. Have them out soon.

Cool... can you send me the weekly report? I don't have the one with the numbers on it. I can add the notes about why there's no dev time, as well.

-Lee

Received on Thu Oct 25 2012 - 13:37:35 EDT

Weekly Status Report

From: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 13:50:46 -0400 (EDT)

Hey, So here is the weekly report. I already updated it some last night. Feel free to go through and add more detail to your personal section or reword anything I have in there. Numbers should all be good as well.

- application/pdf attachment: 20121023_Group4-FINAL.pdf
- application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.spreadsheetml.sheet attachment: 20121023_Group4-FINAL.xlsx

Received on Thu Oct 25 2012 - 13:50:52 EDT

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 14:24:45 -0400 (EDT)

OK here are user stories 13-16

ID:13

Name: Login Priority: 3 Cost: 2

Description: This is a security feature that prevents unauthorized users form making the product into a Denial of Service attack platform or find information about the target website that might be exploitable. This login will be set on creation of the system. The login will require a user name and simple password. The login information will be stored in the data base.

Preconditions: ID 4

Standard flow: User will be prompted for user name and password upon arrival to the website. The user will then type in a user name and password into there appropriate blanks and press the login button or enter.

Alternative flow: IF the user does not enter a field such as password or user name the login will fail. If user enters a wrong user name or password the login will throw a invalid login error. Should the user try and bypass this step web pages beyond this will not be displayed.

Post Conditions: After a successful login the user will be able to go beyond the login page and view or start scans.

Considerations or issues: This is a low priory by the customer however for security reasons needs to be implemented. There also is no registration now and requires the system admin to add new login entries.

ID:14

Name: Pause Scan

Priority: 2 Cost: 4

Description: This will temporally pause the web crawler aspect of the scan. This will not stop processes such as report builders. This option is only available after a scan has started. Once the scan has been stopped the user can continue scan at the press of a button.

Precondition: ID: 1

Standard Flow: User has started a scan and then presses the pause scan. The scan stops and can be restart by pressing continue scan.

Alternative Flow: Scan is unable to restart so error is thrown. User is able to access stop scan before scan has started do nothings.

Post Conditions: Scan continues.

Considerations/ Issues: This might include pausing threads.

ID: 15 Name: Timer Priority: 1

Cost: 6

Description: This allows a user to run a scan at a user set time. This involves the server knowing what time it is and starting a process based on that. The scan will not display a live report unless a user has logged in during its run. This can be set up to run once, weekly or monthly.

Precondition: ID 1,2,3,4,8

Standard Flow: A user has logged on and imputed a time and date in the required box and check the required boxes dealing with now often this reoccurs. Should no options be checked and no date and only a time the default is run once.

Alternative Flow: Should the user input nothing no scans will be scheduled. Should an impossible time be imputed no scans will run and a message will display to the user that this is an invalid time.

Post Conditions A scan will run

Considerations/ Issues: This can be used to make a Denial of service attack if abused. However this scan could be slowed down should this become an issue.

ID: 16

Name:Stopwatch

Priority: 1 Cost: 2

Description: This will add into the report the time it took to load a page.

Precondition: ID 1

Standard Flow: During the web crawler's execution times will be recoded of the load times of web pages.

Alternative Flow:

Post Conditions: There is now a field in the data base that holds web load times

Considerations/ Issues: Adds a new field to the data base which could potential slow down the scan.

---- Original Message -----

From: "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com>

To: "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>

Cc: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 12:26:49 PM

Subject: Turnin for 6pm today.

I've PDF'd the minutes and added them to the git repository.

I also think that I've got my little burndown thing working.

Thomas, if you could send me the current version of the Status report,

or push it up to the git repo, I can transfer the numbers and verify that everything works.

Robin, did you finish putting the risk mitigation stuff in order?

Has everyone done their use cases? Mine are below.

-Lee

====

D: Crawler-1

Title: Basic Web Crawler

Description: The system should be able to visit all of the pages on the

website.

Precondition: A scan has been initiated (Crawler-4)

Primary flow:

- 1) The web crawler will initialize a visited list and a pending queue.
- 2) The web crawler will retrieve the root page of the domain.
- 3) The web crawler will parse the retrieved page for resource URLs.
- 4) The web crawler will create a page object with the URL, a null parent

URL, and a list of the child resource URLS that were retrieved.

- 5) The web crawler will place this page object in the pending queue.
- 6) If there is a page object in the pending queue, it will be popped, and become the current page. If there is not, the scan is finished, and

the crawler exits.

- 7) The scanner will iterate over all of the child resources in the current page object.
- 8) If the current child resource is a page object in the visited list or the pending queue, continue with 7.
- 9) The scanner will create a page object using the current page as the parent.
- 10) The current child resource will be retrieved.
- 11) If a page is retrieved, resources will be parsed from the page and appended to the child resource list of the new page object.
- 12) The new page object will be added to the pending queue.
- 13) Return to 6)

Alternate flow:

- 1) The web crawler will initialize a visited list and a pending queue.
- 2) The web crawler will retrieve the root page of the domain.
- 3) If the root page cannot be retrieved, the scan exits.

Postconditions: Every URL referenced in any page reachable from the root page will be visited. This does NOT guarantee that it will be retrieved, as it may not exist.

ID: Crawler-2

Title: Record Crawler Results

Description: The results of crawling the website will be recorded in a database so that they can be used later.

Preconditions: The webcrawler must be running. The webcrawler must have generated a record in the "scans" table and retrieved a scan ID.

- Primary flow:
- 1) When a page object is created, a matching entry in the database will be created, including the scan id, a link to the parent page (this can be null for the root of the scan), the current URL, the retrieval time, and the HTTP response code.
- 2) The resource_children table will be updated to create a link between the parent and the new child object.
- 3) The resource id of the newly created record will then be stored in the page object.

Alternate flow: 1) When a child resource is found to already have an associated page object in the pending or visited queue, a link will be created in the resource_children table between the current page object and the one that was found.

Postconditions: The resources table will contain a complete list of all reachable nodes on a graph of the website. The resource_children table will contain a complete list of the reachable edges of a graph of the website. The resources table will also contain results codes, retrieval times and a spanning tree of the graph of the website. As the response codes will be recorded and records will be created even for pages that are not accessible, this will be a record of broken links as well as working ones.

ID: Report-1

Title: Crawler Interaction

Description: The user must be able to start new scans and list the

completed scans.

Preconditions: ID-13 -- Login

Primary flow:

- 1) The system will display a button to start a new scan.
- 2) The system will retrieve a list of scans that have been completed.
- 3) The system will display the list of completed scans.
- 4) The user may click on the "Start Scan" button.
- 5) When the button is clicked, a scan will be dispatched via a call to exec():

Alternate flow:

- 1) The system will display a button to start a new scan.
- 2) If there are no scans completed, none will be listed.
- 3) The user may click on the "Start Scan" button.
- 4) When the button is clicked, a scan will be dispatched via a call to exec();

Postconditions: The user will know what scans have been completed. If the button was depressed, a scan will have been started.

ID: Report-2

Title: Show Scan Results

Description: As a user, I must be able to view reports of the scans, showing all irretrievable resources under my domain. I should be able to do this from a website.

Preconditions: Crawler-1, Crawler-2 -- A scan must have been recorded.

Report-1 -- the user must have a list of scans.

Primary flow:

- 1) The user will select a scan that has been run.
- 2) The scan container will open.
- 3) The scan container will request the scan data from the webserver.
- 4) The webserver will retrieve all page resources that match this scan
- id, format them as JSON, and return them to the scan container.
- 5) The scan container will then display the JSON results.

Alternate flow: None

Postconditions: The scan will be displayed. *Received on* Thu Oct 25 2012 - 14:24:12 EDT

From: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>

Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 15:02:09 -0400

I am off to class but almost done, ill send mine out around 4:30. My question for you guys is to take a look at user story 12. It says that we are able to sort based on sub domain. Two things with that, first should it not be added to number 5 which has all the other ways of sorting? Second, should we break up 5 like he was saying in class and have each sorting function be a part of 5?? If I don't hear back I will go ahead and make the use case and we can sort it out before class in our meeting.

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 25, 2012, at 2:24 PM, Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> wrote:

- > OK here are user stories 13-16
- > *ID:13*
- > Name: Login
- > Priority: 3
- > *Cost:* 2
- > Description: This is a security feature that prevents unauthorized users form making the product into a Denial of Service attack platform or find information about the target website that might be exploitable. This login will be set on creation of the system. The login will require a user name and simple password. The login information will be stored in the data base.
- >
- > Preconditions: ID 4
- > Standard flow: User will be prompted for user name and password upon arrival to the website. The user will then type in a user name and password into there appropriate blanks and press the login button or enter.

_

> Alternative flow: IF the user does not enter a field such as password or user name the login will fail. If user enters a wrong user name or password the login will throw a invalid login error. Should the user try and bypass this step web pages beyond this will not be displayed.

>

> Post Conditions: After a successful login the user will be able to go beyond the login page and view or start scans.

>

> Considerations or issues: This is a low priory by the customer however for security reasons needs to be implemented. There also is no registration now and requires the system admin to add new login entries.

>

> ID:14

- > Name: Pause Scan
- > Priority: 2
- > *Cost: 4*
- > Description: This will temporally pause the web crawler aspect of the scan. This will not stop processes such as report builders. This option is only available after a scan has started. Once the scan has been stopped the user can continue scan at the press of a button.
- > Precondition: ID: 1

- > Standard Flow: User has started a scan and then presses the pause scan. The scan stops and can be restart by pressing continue scan.
- > Alternative Flow: Scan is unable to restart so error is thrown. User is able to access stop scan before scan has started do nothings.
- > Post Conditions: Scan continues.
- > Considerations/Issues: This might include pausing threads.

> > ID: 15

> Name: Timer > Priority: 1 > Cost: 6

- > Description: This allows a user to run a scan at a user set time. This involves the server knowing what time it is and starting a process based on that. The scan will not display a live report unless a user has logged in during its run. This can be set up to run once, weekly or monthly.
- > *Precondition: ID 1,2,3,4,8*
- > Standard Flow: A user has logged on and imputed a time and date in the required box and check the required boxes dealing with now often this reoccurs. Should no options be checked and no date and only a time the default is run once.
- > Alternative Flow: Should the user input nothing no scans will be scheduled. Should an impossible time be imputed no scans will run and a message will display to the user that this is an invalid time.
- > Post Conditions A scan will run
- > Considerations/ Issues: This can be used to make a Denial of service attack if abused. However this scan could be slowed down should this become an issue.

> > *ID*: 16

>

- > Name:Stopwatch
- > Priority: 1
- > *Cost: 2*
- > Description: This will add into the report the time it took to load a page.
- > Precondition: ID 1
- > Standard Flow: During the web crawler's execution times will be recoded of the load times of web pages.
- > Alternative Flow:
- > Post Conditions: There is now a field in the data base that holds web load times
- > Considerations/Issues: Adds a new field to the data base which could potential slow down the scan.

> >

> > >

> > >

> >

```
>
>
>
> ---- Original Message -----
> From: "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com>
> To: "Robin Mays" < rmays_at_spsu.edu>
> Cc: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>
> Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 12:26:49 PM
> Subject: Turnin for 6pm today.
> I've PDF'd the minutes and added them to the git repository.
> I also think that I've got my little burndown thing working.
> Thomas, if you could send me the current version of the Status report,
> or push it up to the git repo, I can transfer the numbers and verify
> that everything works.
> Robin, did you finish putting the risk mitigation stuff in order?
> Has everyone done their use cases? Mine are below.
>
> -Lee
>
> ====
> D: Crawler-1
> Title: Basic Web Crawler
> Description: The system should be able to visit all of the pages on the
> website.
>
> Precondition: A scan has been initiated (Crawler-4)
> Primary flow:
> 1) The web crawler will initialize a visited list and a pending queue.
> 2) The web crawler will retrieve the root page of the domain.
> 3) The web crawler will parse the retrieved page for resource URLs.
> 4) The web crawler will create a page object with the URL, a null parent
> URL, and a list of the child resource URLS that were retrieved.
> 5) The web crawler will place this page object in the pending queue.
> 6) If there is a page object in the pending queue, it will be popped,
> and become the current page. If there is not, the scan is finished, and
> the crawler exits.
> 7) The scanner will iterate over all of the child resources in the
```

```
> 8) If the current child resource is a page object in the visited list or
> the pending queue, continue with 7.
> 9) The scanner will create a page object using the current page as the
> parent.
> 10) The current child resource will be retrieved.
> 11) If a page is retrieved, resources will be parsed from the page and
> appended to the child resource list of the new page object.
> 12) The new page object will be added to the pending queue.
> 13) Return to 6)
> Alternate flow:
> 1) The web crawler will initialize a visited list and a pending queue.
> 2) The web crawler will retrieve the root page of the domain.
> 3) If the root page cannot be retrieved, the scan exits.
> Postconditions: Every URL referenced in any page reachable from the root
> page will be visited. This does NOT guarantee that it will be retrieved,
> as it may not exist.
>
> ID: Crawler-2
> Title: Record Crawler Results
> Description: The results of crawling the website will be recorded in a
> database so that they can be used later.
> Preconditions: The webcrawler must be running. The webcrawler must have
> generated a record in the "scans" table and retrieved a scan ID.
> Primary flow:
> 1) When a page object is created, a matching entry in the
> database will be created, including the scan id, a link to the parent
> page (this can be null for the root of the scan), the current URL, the
> retrieval time, and the HTTP response code.
> 2) The resource_children table will be updated to create a link between
> the parent and the new child object.
> 3) The resource id of the newly created record will then be stored in
> the page object.
> Alternate flow: 1) When a child resource is found to already have an
> associated page object in the pending or visited queue, a link will be
> created in the resource_children table between the current page object
> and the one that was found.
> Postconditions: The resources table will contain a complete list of all
```

> current page object.

```
> reachable nodes on a graph of the website. The resource_children table
> will contain a complete list of the reachable edges of a graph of the
> website. The resources table will also contain results codes, retrieval
> times and a spanning tree of the graph of the website. As the response
> codes will be recorded and records will be created even for pages that
> are not accessible, this will be a record of broken links as well as
> working ones.
>
>
> ID: Report-1
> Title: Crawler Interaction
> Description: The user must be able to start new scans and list the
> completed scans.
> Preconditions: ID-13 -- Login
> Primary flow:
> 1) The system will display a button to start a new scan.
> 2) The system will retrieve a list of scans that have been completed.
> 3) The system will display the list of completed scans.
> 4) The user may click on the "Start Scan" button.
> 5) When the button is clicked, a scan will be dispatched via a call to
> exec();
>
> Alternate flow:
> 1) The system will display a button to start a new scan.
> 2) If there are no scans completed, none will be listed.
> 3) The user may click on the "Start Scan" button.
> 4) When the button is clicked, a scan will be dispatched via a call to
> exec();
> Postconditions: The user will know what scans have been completed. If
> the button was depressed, a scan will have been started.
> ID: Report-2
> Title: Show Scan Results
> Description: As a user, I must be able to view reports of the scans,
> showing all irretrievable resources under my domain. I should be able to
> do this from a website.
>
> Preconditions: Crawler-1, Crawler-2 -- A scan must have been recorded.
> Report-1 -- the user must have a list of scans.
```

```
> Primary flow:
> 1) The user will select a scan that has been run.
> 2) The scan container will open.
> 3) The scan container will request the scan data from the webserver.
> 4) The webserver will retrieve all page resources that match this scan
> id, format them as JSON, and return them to the scan container.
> 5) The scan container will then display the JSON results.
>
> Alternate flow: None
>
> Postconditions: The scan will be displayed.
>
Received on Thu Oct 25 2012 - 15:00:22 EDT
```

From: Lee Hall <lhall_at_newfields.com> Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 15:46:14 -0400

On Thu, 2012-10-25 at 15:02 -0400, Thomas Couture wrote:

- > I am off to class but almost done, ill send mine out around 4:30. My question for you guys is to take a look at user story 12.
- > It says that we are able to sort based on sub domain. Two things with that, first should it not be added to number 5 which has
- > all the other ways of sorting? Second, should we break up 5 like he was saying in class and have each sorting function be a
- > part of 5?? If I don't hear back I will go ahead and make the use case and we can sort it out before class in our meeting.

If we break them all up, then sure -- I liked the split we had because the ones in the primary use case are easier than sorting by subdomain (all the others are free -- numerical and alphabetical sort, whereas subdomain sorting involves pattern matching, since we're sorting from the end of the string rather than the beginning).

If you want to split them up, have at, though I'd think that would entail a lot of duplication in the use case. (I thought when he was complaining about the giant thing it wasn't aimed specifically at ours, but at another use case that included starting the scanner as well as sorting and listing the scans, but my memory is notoriously terrible). Regardless, we need to turn something in before the meeting, so do as you will, and if anyone cares enough to want to change it... Attached is the revised weekly report. I realized that I'd completely forgotten to put down the login stuff on the report, and I split the DB time between story 2 and story 3. I also filled out the numbers for planned cycle work based on the user stories (I split 3,4 between Thomas and Robin, gave myself 1 and Matthew 2).

-Lee

• application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.spreadsheetml.sheet attachment: 20121023 Group4-FINAL.xlsx

Received on Thu Oct 25 2012 - 15:46:14 EDT

use cases: I don't think I'm getting this concept to well....

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 16:21:10 -0400 (EDT)

Okay guys, here's my use cases for stories 4-8:

ID: Report 3

Description: The system will be able retrieve the data to view scans and start new scans from a website.

Precondition: Crawler 1, Crawler 2, Report 1, Report 2

Primary Flow:

1) The system will initiate a scan by when the scan button pressed in the website's UI.

2) When the scan is complete, the system will retrieve the results from the scan display results in the UI. Post condition:

All the data from the scan will be pulled from the system's database and displayed in the website's UI.

ID: Report 4

Description: The system will be able to sort the reports that are presented to me and sort them by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.

Precondition: Crawler 1, Crawler 2, Report 1, Report 2, Report 3

Primary Flow:

- 1) The system will retrieve data from the database.
- 2) The system will sort data based on the sorting order selected by the user.
- 3) The system will display the results of the sort in the website's UI.

Post condition:

The system will display reports sorted in the manner selected by the user.

ID: Report 5

Description: As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly format.

Precondition: Crawler 1, Crawler 2, Report 1, Report 2, Report 3, Report 4

Primary Flow:

- 1) The system will retrieve data from the database.
- 2) The system will sort retrieved data.
- 3) The system will display sorted or unsorted data in the website UI.
- 4) The system will parse the data generated in the web UI.
- 5) The system will create a printable text based format of the data displayed in the website's UI.
- 6) The system will display the printable version of the scan results in a new window.

Postcondition:

The system will generate a new window displaying a printable text based format of the results of the scan.

ID:Report 6

Descripton: The system will be able to select two scans and show only the items that have changed.

Precondition: Crawler 1, Crawler 2, Report 1, Report 2, Report 3, Report 4

Primary Flow:

- 1) The system will be able to retrieve the results of two scans.
- 2) The system will be able to compare the results of the two scans.
- 3) The system will be able to display the results of the two selected scans that do not match.

Postcondition:

The system will be able to display the differences between two scans.

ID: Report 7

Description: The system will be able to display reports from scans that are in progress. Primary Flow:

- 1) A scan will be started by the system.
- 2) The system will be able to retrieve data from the database while a scan is in progress.
- 3) The system will be able to display data from the database in the web UI while a scan is in progress. Postcondition:

The system will be able to retrieve data from the database while a scan is in progress. *Received on* Thu Oct 25 2012 - 16:21:16 EDT

Re: use cases: I don't think I'm getting this concept to well....

From: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>

Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 16:30:54 -0400 In general they look fine to me. This is our first draft.. He will give us feedback and we will make changes based on what he says. Also most of the alternate flow comes from user interaction. Yours doesn't have any so they should also be simple like yours are. -Thomas Couture Sent from my iPhone On Oct 25, 2012, at 4:21 PM, Robin Mays < mays at spsu.edu> wrote: > Okay guys, here's my use cases for stories 4-8: > > > ID: Report 3 > Description: The system will be able retrieve the data to view scans and start new scans from a website. > Precondition: Crawler 1, Crawler 2, Report 1, Report 2 > Primary Flow: > 1) The system will initiate a scan by when the scan button pressed in the website's UI. > 2) When the scan is complete, the system will retrieve the results from the scan display results in the UI. > Post condition: > All the data from the scan will be pulled from the system's database and displayed in the website's UI. > > > > > ID: Report 4 > Description: The system will be able to sort the reports that are presented to me and sort them by the order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page. > Precondition: Crawler 1, Crawler 2, Report 1, Report 2, Report 3 > Primary Flow: > 1) The system will retrieve data from the database. > 2) The system will sort data based on the sorting order selected by the user. > 3) The system will display the results of the sort in the website's UI. > > Post condition: > The system will display reports sorted in the manner selected by the user.

```
>
>
>
>
> ID: Report 5
> Description: As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly
format.
> Precondition: Crawler 1, Crawler 2, Report 1, Report 2, Report 3, Report 4
> Primary Flow:
> 1) The system will retrieve data from the database.
> 2) The system will sort retrieved data.
> 3) The system will display sorted or unsorted data in the website UI.
> 4) The system will parse the data generated in the web UI.
> 5) The system will create a printable text based format of the data displayed in the website's UI.
> 6) The system will display the printable version of the scan results in a new window.
> Postcondition:
> The system will generate a new window displaying a printable text based format of the results of the
scan.
>
>
>
>
> ID:Report 6
> Descripton: The system will be able to select two scans and show only the items that have changed.
> Precondition: Crawler 1, Crawler 2, Report 1, Report 2, Report 3, Report 4
> Primary Flow:
> 1) The system will be able to retrieve the results of two scans.
> 2) The system will be able to compare the results of the two scans.
> 3) The system will be able to display the results of the two selected scans that do not match.
> Postcondition:
> The system will be able to display the differences between two scans.
>
>
>
> ID: Report 7
```

Received on Thu Oct 25 2012 - 16:29:07 EDT

Re: use cases: I don't think I'm getting this concept to well....

```
From: Robin Mays < rmays at spsu.edu>
Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 16:43:37 -0400 (EDT)
I need help with this too...
I'm on my way to campus now but if anyone has an idea how to make this work I'd sure appreciate it!
Robin
---- Original Message -----
From: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>
To: "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>
Cc: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, "Lee Hall" <lhall_at_newfields.com>, "Matthew Powell"
<mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 4:30:54 PM
Subject: Re: use cases: I don't think I'm getting this concept to well....
In general they look fine to me. This is our first draft.. He will give us feedback and we will make changes
based on what he says. Also most of the alternate flow comes from user interaction. Yours doesn't have
any so they should also be simple like yours are.
-Thomas Couture
Sent from my iPhone
On Oct 25, 2012, at 4:21 PM, Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> wrote:
> Okay guys, here's my use cases for stories 4-8:
>
> ID: Report 3
> Description: The system will be able retrieve the data to view scans and start new scans from a website.
> Precondition: Crawler 1, Crawler 2, Report 1, Report 2
> Primary Flow:
> 1) The system will initiate a scan by when the scan button pressed in the website's UI.
> 2) When the scan is complete, the system will retrieve the results from the scan display results in the UI.
> Post condition:
> All the data from the scan will be pulled from the system's database and displayed in the website's UI.
>
>
> ID: Report 4
> Description: The system will be able to sort the reports that are presented to me and sort them by the
order in which the pages were visited, alphabetically, and alphabetically by the parent page.
```

```
> Precondition: Crawler 1, Crawler 2, Report 1, Report 2, Report 3
>
> Primary Flow:
> 1) The system will retrieve data from the database.
> 2) The system will sort data based on the sorting order selected by the user.
> 3) The system will display the results of the sort in the website's UI.
> Post condition:
> The system will display reports sorted in the manner selected by the user.
>
>
>
>
> ID: Report 5
> Description: As a user viewing a report, I should be able to generate that report in a printer friendly
format.
> Precondition: Crawler 1, Crawler 2, Report 1, Report 2, Report 3, Report 4
> Primary Flow:
> 1) The system will retrieve data from the database.
> 2) The system will sort retrieved data.
> 3) The system will display sorted or unsorted data in the website UI.
> 4) The system will parse the data generated in the web UI.
> 5) The system will create a printable text based format of the data displayed in the website's UI.
> 6) The system will display the printable version of the scan results in a new window.
>
> Postcondition:
> The system will generate a new window displaying a printable text based format of the results of the
scan.
>
>
>
>
> ID:Report 6
> Descripton: The system will be able to select two scans and show only the items that have changed.
> Precondition: Crawler 1, Crawler 2, Report 1, Report 2, Report 3, Report 4
> Primary Flow:
> 1) The system will be able to retrieve the results of two scans.
> 2) The system will be able to compare the results of the two scans.
```

> 3) The system will be able to display the results of the two selected scans that do not match.

>
> Postcondition:
> The system will be able to display the differences between two scans.
>
>
>
>
>
> ID: Report 7
>
> Description: The system will be able to display reports from scans that are in progress.
>
>
> Primary Flow:
> 1) A scan will be started by the system.
> 2) The system will be able to retrieve data from the database while a scan is in progress.
> 3) The system will be able to display data from the database in the web UI while a scan is in progres
>
> Postcondition:
> The system will be able to retrieve data from the database while a scan is in progress.
>
>
>
>

• application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document attachment: Group_4_Risk_Mitigation.docx

Received on Thu Oct 25 2012 - 16:43:43 EDT

Re: User stories 9-12

From: Lee Hall <lhall_at_newfields.com> Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 16:50:31 -0400

On Thu, 2012-10-25 at 16:22 -0400, Thomas Couture wrote: > Also Lee, take a look at 11 and make sure I got the idea right. Yeah, that looks fine. The goal is to make sure that we can sort things so that a list of domains would be sorted with the top level domain, and then by stepping up the hierarchy. A sample ordering would be:

spsu.edu cse.spsu.edu www.cse.spsu.edu math.spsu.edu www.spsu.edu

> Lee are you putting all the PDF's together and sending them out? Yeah, doing that now. I'll send it out in a minute.

-Lee

Received on Thu Oct 25 2012 - 16:50:31 EDT

Re: use cases: I don't think I'm getting this concept to well....

From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com> Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 17:24:54 -0400

On Thu, 2012-10-25 at 16:30 -0400, Thomas Couture wrote: > *In general they look fine to me. This is our first draft..* Yeah, the main thing that seems to be an issue here (and either everyone is missing this, or I am mistaken about what he intends), is that the preconditions are not "what needs to be developed before I can write this", but "What the user needs to do before this can run". In other words, for viewing scans, the precondition is not that we have a crawler capable of generating scans, but that the user has logged in. Attached are all of the use cases with mostly normalized formatting. I am going to submit this full Use-cases document, the first 4 +login as the cycle commitment, and the revised status report + Minutes. Are you guys still working on the risk assessment chart, or is that the final version? -Lee

• application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document attachment: Use Cases-v1.docx

Received on Thu Oct 25 2012 - 17:24:54 EDT

Re: use cases: I don't think I'm getting this concept to well....

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 17:30:49 -0400 (EDT)

OK I just checked over the use cases I did not seem much amiss except that I thought we needed more in depth descriptions or can they be short but have a long flow section? Also I am in the lab now but something just came up and I wont be able to be back until close to 7. Call me if something is wrong.(7703670247)

---- Original Message -----

From: "Lee Hall" < lhall at newfields.com>

To: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>

Cc: "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>, "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 5:24:54 PM

Subject: Re: use cases: I don't think I'm getting this concept to well....

On Thu, 2012-10-25 at 16:30 -0400, Thomas Couture wrote:

> *In general they look fine to me. This is our first draft..*

Yeah, the main thing that seems to be an issue here (and either everyone is missing this, or I am mistaken about what he intends), is that the preconditions are not "what needs to be developed before I can write this", but "What the user needs to do before this can run".

In other words, for viewing scans, the precondition is not that we have a crawler capable of generating scans, but that the user has logged in. Attached are all of the use cases with mostly normalized formatting. I am going to submit this full Use-cases document, the first 4 +login as the cycle commitment, and the revised status report + Minutes. Are you guys still working on the risk assessment chart, or is that the final version?

-Lee

Received on Thu Oct 25 2012 - 17:30:15 EDT

Re: use cases: I don't think I'm getting this concept to well....

From: Lee Hall <lhall_at_newfields.com> Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 17:53:34 -0400

On Thu, 2012-10-25 at 16:43 -0400, Robin Mays wrote:

> I need help with this too...

>

> I'm on my way to campus now but if anyone has an idea how to make this work I'd sure appreciate it! This is fixed (The axes on the graph were the only thing that wasn't quite right). The new version is attached. I'm going to submit it all in about 5m if no one tells me otherwise.

-Lee

• application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document attachment: Group_4_Risk_Mitigation.docx

Received on Thu Oct 25 2012 - 17:53:34 EDT

Re: use cases: I don't think I'm getting this concept to well....

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 18:08:44 -0400 (EDT)

Did the risk mitigation chart get done.

I had to try to recreate it at the last minute.

Do I need to fix it?

---- Original Message -----

From: "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com>

To: "Robin Mays" <rmays_at_spsu.edu>

Cc: "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>, "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, "Matthew

Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 6:00:34 PM

Subject: Re: use cases: I don't think I'm getting this concept to well....

On Thu, 2012-10-25 at 17:53 -0400, Lee Hall wrote:

> On Thu, 2012-10-25 at 16:43 -0400, Robin Mays wrote:

>> I need help with this too...

> :

>> I'm on my way to campus now but if anyone has an idea how to make this work I'd sure appreciate it!

- > This is fixed (The axes on the graph were the only thing that wasn't
- > quite right). The new version is attached. I'm going to submit it all in
- > about 5m if no one tells me otherwise.

Submitted. The documentation has also all been pushed up to github.

-Lee

Received on Thu Oct 25 2012 - 18:08:51 EDT

SWE 3613 - Groups 2 and 5 DID NOT TURN IN Use cases, risk mitigation, sprint 1 backlog

From: Jonathan Lartigue < jlartigu_at_spsu.edu>

Date: Thu, 25 Oct 2012 18:30:27 -0400

Class:

Groups 2 & 5 HAVE NOT TURNED IN their use cases, risk mitigation, sprint planning meeting minutes, and sprint 1 backlog.

The deadline has passed, but Blackboard will allow you to submit it LATE for a little while longer. I urge these groups to get their material submitted!

Jonathan W. Lartigue Assistant Professor Office: (678) 915-3426

Email: lartigue_at_spsu.edu
Online: educate.spsu.edu/jlartigu

Dept. of Computer Science and Software Engineering

1100 South Marietta Parkway Marietta, Georgia, 30060-2896

www.spsu.edu

Received on Thu Oct 25 2012 - 18:28:28 EDT

Re: Crawler is functional

From: Robin Mays < rmays36 at gmail.com>

```
Date: Mon, 29 Oct 2012 12:03:04 -0400
K. I'll send out an update of what I was able to complete today. Expect
that this evening.
On Oct 29, 2012 10:50 AM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
> On Sat, 2012-10-27 at 17:19 -0400, Lee Hall wrote:
>> The webcrawler is functional and on github. It's currently pointed at a
> > small test site on the test server. It correctly parses html files and
>> retrieves all images, scripts, anchors and links that it encounters.
> After leaving it running over the weekend, I've found and fixed a few
> bugs. We got SSL support for free when doing that, and I've also
> refactored the way the parser is called a bit -- it helped make sure we
> don't try to parse non-html data, and should also have the side effect
> of making logging the data much easier.
> Updates are on github.
> -Lee
>
```

This archive was generated by hypermail 2.3.0: Tue Nov 06 2012 - 15:39:50 EST

Received on Mon Oct 29 2012 - 12:01:11 EDT

I'm attempting an overhaul...

From: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu> Date: Thu, 1 Nov 2012 13:23:00 -0400 (EDT)

hey guys,


I am attempting to overhaul our Sprint reports but I need your help.

take a look at the attached document and tell me if you think it is going the right direction.

This is based on use case Crawler 1 (Lee). There are some extra artifacts that belong to the template that can be ignored.

I have overhauled/updated other documents that can probably wait till Saturday to discuss. This seems more time consuming so I wanted to start the discussion now.

Also, did we get any feed back on the use cases yet?



(image/jpeg attachment: sample_use_cases.jpg)

Received on Thu Nov 01 2012 - 13:22:51 EDT

Re: I'm attempting an overhaul...

From: <rmays36_at_gmail.com>

Date: Thu, 1 Nov 2012 21:07:44 -0700 (PDT)

You're da man!

--- Original Message ---

From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com>

Sent: November 1, 2012 11/1/12

To: Robin Mays <rmays_at_spsu.edu>

Cc: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

Subject: Re: I'm attempting an overhaul...

Website should be visible and the database works. The index page now forwards to the main page, and I've integrated the old login stuff and pushed all of the changes.

-Lee

Received on Fri Nov 02 2012 - 00:05:46 EDT

Time

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Sat, 3 Nov 2012 10:40:20 -0400 (EDT)

OK what did you guys agree on for the time. I think you guys said 2 as I was running out the door but I am not sure.

Received on Sat Nov 03 2012 - 10:39:26 EDT

UI

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Sun, 4 Nov 2012 15:11:11 -0500 (EST)

OK guys I am very limited to what I can test right now but I noticed some things. We need to run a real test of the crawler that finishes, we need to then remove the fake data, also scan id's are not sorting correctly. We also need to fix the links and making sure they are there in the first place and turn on the start button. And last but and probably least we still have the blank data in the contact field. I can fix some of these things but due to some craziness I will only be able to use my laptop to push the changes to the server much later tonight. For now all I can do is push stuff to git hub from my desktop. Please Email me back on this and by the time any one reads this I will have pushed the changes to the contact fields to git hub but I probably wont go further until I hear back from you guys.

Received on Sun Nov 04 2012 - 15:10:14 EST

RE: UI

From: <rmays36_at_gmail.com>

Date: Sun, 4 Nov 2012 12:18:19 -0800 (PST)

Thanks Matt! We are working on the sorting issue and I think lee is working on the start button. I do need help with the use cases and ppt presentation.

--- Original Message ---

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>

Sent: November 4, 2012 11/4/12

To: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com>, Thomas Couture < tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>, Robin Mays

<rmays_at_spsu.edu>

Subject: UI

OK guys I am very limited to what I can test right now but I noticed some things. We need to run a real test of the crawler that finishes, we need to then remove the fake data, also scan id's are not sorting correctly. We also need to fix the links and making sure they are there in the first place and turn on the start button. And last but and probably least we still have the blank data in the contact field. I can fix some of these things but due to some craziness I will only be able to use my laptop to push the changes to the server much later tonight. For now all I can do is push stuff to git hub from my desktop. Please Email me back on this and by the time any one reads this I will have pushed the changes to the contact fields to git hub but I probably wont go further until I hear back from you guys.

Received on Sun Nov 04 2012 - 15:16:12 EST

From: Lee Hall < lhall_at_newfields.com> Date: Mon, 05 Nov 2012 03:33:24 -0500

On Sun, 2012-11-04 at 12:18 -0800, rmays36_at_gmail.com wrote:

> Thanks Matt! We are working on the sorting issue and I think lee is working on the start button. Indeed. The start button should work correctly. There's currently a scan running (and we don't have an interface to stop it), so it will refuse to run until that one's done....

> *I do need help with the use cases and ppt presentation.*My changes to the use cases are attached (and in git). I've given them

all names and fixed the pre-conditions to refer to the correct names.

I've also edited mine.

Something that everyone seems to be missing is that 'Preconditions' are about what the USER needs to do before this can occur, not what needs to be developed to make it work.

-Lee

 application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document attachment: Use_Cases-v1.docx

Received on Mon Nov 05 2012 - 03:30:55 EST

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Mon, 5 Nov 2012 11:46:13 -0500 (EST)

OK did you change the Password for the login I am unable to get in now

---- Original Message -----

From: "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com>

To: rmays36_at_gmail.com, "Matthew Powell" < mpowell2_at_spsu.edu>, "Lee Hall"

<lhall_at_newfields.com>, "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_spsu.edu>

Sent: Monday, November 5, 2012 3:33:24 AM

Subject: Re: UI

On Sun, 2012-11-04 at 12:18 -0800, rmays36_at_gmail.com wrote:

> Thanks Matt! We are working on the sorting issue and I think lee is working on the start button.

Indeed. The start button should work correctly. There's currently a scan running (and we don't have an interface to stop it), so it will refuse to run until that one's done....

> I do need help with the use cases and ppt presentation.

My changes to the use cases are attached (and in git). I've given them all names and fixed the pre-conditions to refer to the correct names.

I've also edited mine.

Something that everyone seems to be missing is that 'Preconditions' are about what the USER needs to do before this can occur, not what needs to be developed to make it work.

-Lee

Received on Mon Nov 05 2012 - 11:45:22 EST

```
From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Mon, 5 Nov 2012 16:40:48 -0500
```

No problem. I'm wiping and rewriting all the sections anyway. I'll add your sections and rebuild the others. Thomas you know I'll need help interpreting the hours for the burndown charts and I'll have the minutes ready in the morning. Thanks.

```
ready in the morning. . Thanks.

On Nov 5, 2012 4:27 PM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:

> On Mon, 2012-11-05 at 11:46 -0500, Matthew Powell wrote:

> OK did you change the Password for the login I am unable to get in now

> I've added the Final_Report document to the repository. It's in

> docs/Other_Documentation/

> It's also attached, in case anyone's having trouble.

> I've done the executive summary and project goals, as well as the System

> Architecture and Project environment sections. Please be aware that

> there may still be some references to Honeycomb, so remove any in

> sections that you work on.

> -Lee

> -Lee
```

Received on Mon Nov 05 2012 - 16:38:30 EST

From: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>

Date: Mon, 5 Nov 2012 18:13:17 -0500

Ok, so I will push up my UI updates that I have been finishing up later tonight as well as my use case updates. What I need from everyone is dates you worked, amount of work, and what you did so I can finish the last status report. As for the burndowns, robin do you have an excel sheet made from before? If you can send it to me I can translate the hours into the doc. Once I complete those four things, what do we have left?

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 5, 2012, at 4:40 PM, Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com> wrote:

> No problem. I'm wiping and rewriting all the sections anyway. I'll add your sections and rebuild the others. Thomas you know I'll need help interpreting the hours for the burndown charts and I'll have the minutes ready in the morning. Thanks.

```
> On Nov 5, 2012 4:27 PM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
>> On Mon, 2012-11-05 at 11:46 -0500, Matthew Powell wrote:
>> OK did you change the Password for the login I am unable to get in now
>>
>> I've added the Final_Report document to the repository. It's in
>> docs/Other_Documentation/
>>
>> It's also attached, in case anyone's having trouble.
>>
>> I've done the executive summary and project goals, as well as the System
>> Architecture and Project environment sections. Please be aware that
>> there may still be some references to Honeycomb, so remove any in
>> sections that you work on.
>>
>> -Lee
Received on Mon Nov 05 2012 - 18:11:00 EST
```

>>

Received on Mon Nov 05 2012 - 18:17:42 EST

From: Robin Mays < rmays36 at gmail.com>

```
Date: Mon, 5 Nov 2012 18:20:01 -0500
I'll find the excel file and forward it to you. To be complete we need to
complete our post mortem, copy our correspondence, change and add a couple
of graphs, include our updated use cases, minutes, management plan, and our
test plans.
On Nov 5, 2012 6:13 PM, "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com> wrote:
> Ok, so I will push up my UI updates that I have been finishing up later
> tonight as well as my use case updates. What I need from everyone is dates
> you worked, amount of work, and what you did so I can finish the last
> status report. As for the burndowns, robin do you have an excel sheet made
> from before? If you can send it to me I can translate the hours into the
> doc. Once I complete those four things, what do we have left?
> Sent from my iPhone
> On Nov 5, 2012, at 4:40 PM, Robin Mays < rmays36_at_gmail.com> wrote:
> No problem. I'm wiping and rewriting all the sections anyway. I'll add
> your sections and rebuild the others. Thomas you know I'll need help
> interpreting the hours for the burndown charts and I'll have the minutes
> ready in the morning. . Thanks.
> On Nov 5, 2012 4:27 PM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
>> On Mon, 2012-11-05 at 11:46 -0500, Matthew Powell wrote:
>> > OK did you change the Password for the login I am unable to get in now
>> I've added the Final_Report document to the repository. It's in
>> docs/Other_Documentation/
>> It's also attached, in case anyone's having trouble.
>> I've done the executive summary and project goals, as well as the System
>> Architecture and Project environment sections. Please be aware that
>> there may still be some references to Honeycomb, so remove any in
>> sections that you work on.
>>
>> -Lee
>>
```

```
From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Mon, 5 Nov 2012 20:56:42 -0500
Hi guys,
my hours for the past week r:
Thursday coding and design with Thomas/3hrs
Saturday coding and design/5hrs
Sunday documentation/1hr
Tuesday documentation/2hrs
*note:I'm speculating that it will take longer than 2 hrs for tues.
On Nov 5, 2012 4:27 PM, "Lee Hall" < lhall_at_newfields.com> wrote:
> On Mon, 2012-11-05 at 11:46 -0500, Matthew Powell wrote:
>> OK did you change the Password for the login I am unable to get in now
> I've added the Final_Report document to the repository. It's in
> docs/Other_Documentation/
> It's also attached, in case anyone's having trouble.
> I've done the executive summary and project goals, as well as the System
> Architecture and Project environment sections. Please be aware that
> there may still be some references to Honeycomb, so remove any in
> sections that you work on.
> -Lee
Received on Mon Nov 05 2012 - 20:54:23 EST
```

From: Matthew Powell <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Mon, 5 Nov 2012 22:01:46 -0500 (EST)

Ok Sturday was just use case 2

Sunday 2h Test plan

Monday 1h remediation plan(what we did right and wrong having trouble rembering the name at the moment)

I will have these both done and sent out by noon the 6th Also we still don't have the next page bar on reports.(using chrome)

Received on Mon Nov 05 2012 - 22:00:45 EST

```
From: Robin Mays < rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Mon, 5 Nov 2012 22:08:49 -0500

What's the "next page bar"?
On Nov 5, 2012 10:03 PM, "Matthew Powell" < mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> wrote:
> Ok Sturday was just use case 2
> Sunday 2h Test plan
> Monday 1h remediation plan(what we did right and wrong having trouble
> rembering the name at the moment)
> I will have these both done and sent out by noon the 6th
> Also we still don't have the next page bar on reports.(using chrome)
>
Received on Mon Nov 05 2012 - 22:06:30 EST
```

From: Thomas Couture <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com>

Date: Mon, 5 Nov 2012 22:16:07 -0500

He is referring to the next page on the table displaying results. It's a minor thing and not top priority at the moment. If we have time ill look into it tomorrow.. Otherwise.. Next cycle. Don't get held up on that with the test plan stuff!

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 5, 2012, at 10:08 PM, Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com> wrote:

- > What's the "next page bar"?
- >
- > On Nov 5, 2012 10:03 PM, "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> wrote:
- >> Ok Sturday was just use case 2
- >> Sunday 2h Test plan
- >> Monday 1h remediation plan(what we did right and wrong having trouble rembering the name at the moment)
- >> I will have these both done and sent out by noon the 6th
- >> Also we still don't have the next page bar on reports.(using chrome)

Received on Mon Nov 05 2012 - 22:13:55 EST

```
From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>
Date: Mon, 5 Nov 2012 22:18:20 -0500
K. I'll email everyone when I get to the lab in the morning.
On Nov 5, 2012 10:16 PM, "Thomas Couture" <tcoutur2_at_gmail.com> wrote:
> He is referring to the next page on the table displaying results. It's a
> minor thing and not top priority at the moment. If we have time ill look
> into it tomorrow.. Otherwise.. Next cycle. Don't get held up on that with
> the test plan stuff!
> Sent from my iPhone
> On Nov 5, 2012, at 10:08 PM, Robin Mays < rmays36_at_gmail.com > wrote:
> What's the "next page bar"?
> On Nov 5, 2012 10:03 PM, "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> wrote:
>> Ok Sturday was just use case 2
>> Sunday 2h Test plan
>> Monday 1h remediation plan(what we did right and wrong having trouble
>> rembering the name at the moment)
>> I will have these both done and sent out by noon the 6th
>> Also we still don't have the next page bar on reports.(using chrome)
>>
>>
Received on Mon Nov 05 2012 - 22:16:01 EST
```

report

From: Matthew Powell < mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> Date: Tue, 6 Nov 2012 13:38:18 -0500 (EST)

Ok I don't have access to git right now so here is the changes I have made to the final report that lee sent out earlier.

• application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document attachment: Forager_Sprint1_Final_Reportv2.docx

Received on Tue Nov 06 2012 - 13:37:17 EST

Re: report

From: Robin Mays <rmays36_at_gmail.com>

Date: Tue, 6 Nov 2012 13:58:28 -0500

I'll send out the final version as soon I finish putting the pieces

together. Just got to school.

On Nov 6, 2012 1:39 PM, "Matthew Powell" <mpowell2_at_spsu.edu> wrote:

> Ok I don't have access to git right now so here is the changes I have made

> to the final report that lee sent out earlier.

Received on Tue Nov 06 2012 - 13:56:10 EST