


7.2k
Shares Tweet Share Share Share

Know Thy Complexities!

Hi there! This webpage covers the space and time Big-O complexities of common algorithms used in Computer Science. When preparing for technical interviews in the past, I found myself spending hours crawling the internet putting together the best, average, and worst case complexities for search and sorting algorithms so that I wouldn't be stumped when asked about them. Over the last few years, I've interviewed at several Silicon Valley startups, and also some bigger companies, like Google, Facebook, Yahoo, LinkedIn, and Uber, and each time that I prepared for an interview, I thought to myself "Why hasn't someone created a nice Big-O cheat sheet?". So, to save all of you fine folks a ton of time, I went ahead and created one. Enjoy! - [Eric](#)

[Check out El Grapho, a graph data visualization library that supports millions of nodes and edges](#)

Big-O Complexity Chart



Common Data Structure Operations

Data Structure	Time Complexity								Space Complexity
	Average				Worst				Worst
	Access	Search	Insertion	Deletion	Access	Search	Insertion	Deletion	
<u>Array</u>	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$
<u>Stack</u>	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(n)$
<u>Queue</u>	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(n)$
<u>Singly-Linked List</u>	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(n)$
<u>Doubly-Linked List</u>	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(n)$
<u>Skip List</u>	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n \log(n))$
<u>Hash Table</u>	N/A	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(1)$	$\theta(1)$	N/A	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$
<u>Binary Search Tree</u>	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$
<u>Cartesian Tree</u>	N/A	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	N/A	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$
<u>B-Tree</u>	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(n)$
<u>Red-Black Tree</u>	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(n)$
<u>Splay Tree</u>	N/A	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	N/A	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(n)$
<u>AVL Tree</u>	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(n)$
<u>KD Tree</u>	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(\log(n))$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$	$\theta(n)$

Array Sorting Algorithms


Algorithm	Time Complexity			Space Complexity
	Best	Average	Worst	Worst
<u>Quicksort</u>	$\Omega(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(\log(n))$
<u>Mergesort</u>	$\Omega(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n)$
<u>Timsort</u>	$\Omega(n)$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n)$
<u>Heapsort</u>	$\Omega(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$\theta(1)$
<u>Bubble Sort</u>	$\Omega(n)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(1)$
<u>Insertion Sort</u>	$\Omega(n)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(1)$
<u>Selection Sort</u>	$\Omega(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(1)$
<u>Tree Sort</u>	$\Omega(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n)$
<u>Shell Sort</u>	$\Omega(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n(\log(n))^2)$	$\theta(n(\log(n))^2)$	$\theta(1)$
<u>Bucket Sort</u>	$\Omega(n+k)$	$\theta(n+k)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n)$
<u>Radix Sort</u>	$\Omega(nk)$	$\theta(nk)$	$\theta(nk)$	$\theta(n+k)$
<u>Counting Sort</u>	$\Omega(n+k)$	$\theta(n+k)$	$\theta(n+k)$	$\theta(k)$
<u>Cubesort</u>	$\Omega(n)$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n)$

Learn More

[Cracking the Coding Interview: 150 Programming Questions and Solutions](#)

[Introduction to Algorithms, 3rd Edition](#)

Get the Official Big-O Cheat Sheet Poster



Contributors

Eric Rowell Quentin Pleple Michael Abed Nick Dizazzo Adam Forsyth Felix Zhu Jay Engineer
Josh Davis Nodir Turakulov Jennifer Hamon David Dorfman Bart Massey Ray Pereda Si Pham
Mike Davis mcverry Max Hoffmann Bahador Saket Damon Davison Alvin Wan Alan Briolat
Drew Hannay Andrew Rasmussen Dennis Tsang Vinnie Magro Adam Arold Alejandro Ramirez
Aneel Nazareth Rahul Chowdhury Jonathan McElroy steven41292 Brandon Amos Joel Friedly
Casper Van Gheluwe Eric Lefevre-Ardant Oleg Renfred Harper Piper Chester Miguel Amigot Apurva K
Matthew Daronco Yun-Cheng Lin Clay Tyler Orhan Can Ozalp Ayman Singh David Morton
Aurelien Ooms Sebastian Paaske Torholm Koushik Krishnan Drew Bailey Robert Burke

Make this Page Better

[Edit these tables!](#)

469 Comments

Big-O Cheat Sheet

Login

Recommend 295

Tweet

Share

Sort by Best



Join the discussion...



LOG IN WITH

OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS ?

Name



Michael Mitchell • 6 years ago

This is great. Maybe you could include some resources (links to khan academy, mooc etc) that would explain each of these concepts for people trying to learn them.

357 ^ | v 1 • Reply • Share ›



Amanda Harlin → **Michael Mitchell** • 6 years ago

Yes! Please & thank you

79 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Asim Ahmad → **Amanda Harlin** • 2 years ago

Can you Explain the Above Algorithm.??

^ | v 3 • Reply • Share ›



Anonymous → **Asim Ahmad** • a year ago

Mr.

you can learn these algorithms easily in

google by searching

Don't always ask or wait for someone to post

things for you go out and search on internet

You will find everything you want to learn

If you are a beginner in Data structures and algorithms then visit mycodeschool youtube channel and learn there

if you want more then email me at

rise.d1105@gmail.com I will help you as much as I can

18 ^ | v 6 • Reply • Share ›



Cam Cecil → **Michael Mitchell** • 6 years ago

This explanation in 'plain English' helps:

<http://stackoverflow.com/qu...>

34 ^ | v 1 • Reply • Share ›



Richard Wheatley → **Cam Cecil** • 4 years ago

this is plain english.

12 ^ | v 2 • Reply • Share ›



Arjan Nieuwenhuizen → **Michael Mitchell** • 6 years ago • edited

Here are the links that I know of.

#1) <http://aduni.org/courses/al...>

#2) <http://ocw.mit.edu/courses/...>

#3) <https://www.udacity.com/cou...>

probably as good or maybe better # 2, but I have not had a chance to look at it.

<http://ocw.mit.edu/courses/...>

Sincerely,
Arjan

p.s.

<https://www.coursera.org/co...>

This course has just begun on coursera (dated 1 July 2013),
and looks very good.

21 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



fireheron → Arjan Nieuwenhuizen • 6 years ago

Thank you Arjan. Espaecially the coursera.org one ;-)

5 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



@hangtwentyy → fireheron • 5 years ago

also this! <http://opendatastructures.org>

7 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



yth → @hangtwentyy • 5 years ago

thank you for sharing this.

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Eduardo Sánchez → Michael Mitchell • 4 years ago

There is an amazing tutorial for Big O form Derek Banas in
Youtube, that guy is amazing explaining!!!

Big O Notations



see more

9 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Sudhanshu Mishra → Eduardo Sánchez • 3 years ago

Cool! This is a more than adequate introduction!
Thanks a ton for sharing!

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Mohammed Hameed → Eduardo Sánchez
• 20 days ago

Thanks...

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Diavendra Batil → Michael Mitchell • 2 years ago



Divyendra Patel → Michael Mitchell • 2 years ago

www.codenza.us

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



CodeMunkey → Michael Mitchell • 3 years ago

Not sure if this helps, but here's a more visual learner for some of these algorithms - if you're interested.

<http://visualgo.net>

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Jeshika Morneau → Michael Mitchell • 7 months ago

Or you could have supplied them in your comment instead.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



nate lipp → Michael Mitchell • 2 years ago

This is a well put together introduction


[https://www.interviewcake.c...](https://www.interviewcake.com)

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Nhập Hàng Ngoại → Michael Mitchell • 2 years ago

<http://fashionfor.life/t-sh...>



see more

^ | v 6 • Reply • Share ›



Blake Jennings • 6 years ago

i'm literally crying

99 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



friend → Blake Jennings • 3 years ago

you give me a big o

5 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Gokce Toykuyu • 7 years ago

Could we add some tree algorithms and complexities? Thanks. I really like the Red-Black trees ;)

85 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



ericdrowell Mod → Gokce Toykuyu • 7 years ago

Excellent idea. I'll add a section that compares insertion, deletion, and search complexities for specific data structures

31 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



yash bedi → ericdrowell • 3 years ago

its been 4 years you haven't added that section :)

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Elliot Géhin → yash bedi • 3 years ago

It's up there Yash, bottom of the first table

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Jonathan Neufeld → Gokce Toykuyu • 2 years ago

Where I come from we use trees on a regular rotation

3 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Valentin Stanciu • 6 years ago

1. Deletion/insertion in a single linked list is implementation dependent. For the question of "Here's a pointer to an element, how much does it take to delete it?", single-linked lists take $O(N)$ since you have to search for the element that points to the element being deleted. Double-linked lists solve this problem.
2. Hashes come in a million varieties. However with a good distribution function they are $O(\log N)$ worst case. Using a double hashing algorithm, you end up with a worst case of $O(\log \log N)$.
3. For trees, the table should probably also contain heaps and the complexities for the operation "Get Minimum".

62 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Alexis Mas → Valentin Stanciu • 5 years ago

If you a list: A B C D, When you want to delete B, you can delete a node without iterating over the list.

1. B.data = C.data
2. B.next = C.next
3. delete C

If you can't copy data between nodes because its too expensive then yes, it's $O(N)$

6 ^ | v 1 • Reply • Share ›



Miguel → Alexis Mas • 5 years ago

You still have to find the position in the list, which can only be done linearly.

7 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Guest → Miguel • 5 years ago • edited

You still have to find the position in the list, which can only be done linearly.

3 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Alexis Mas → Miguel • 5 years ago

Yes of course, If you need to search the node its $O(n)$ otherwise you can delete it as I

it's $O(n)$, otherwise you can delete it as I stated before.

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Guest → Alexis Mas • 5 years ago

No need to find the position if you can delete it as Alexis mentioned

2 ^ | v 1 • Reply • Share ›



OmegaNemesis28 → Alexis Mas • 5 years ago • edited

To get to B - you HAVE to iterate over the list though. You can't just manipulate B without a pointer. So unless you do book-keeping and have pointers to specific nodes you intend to delete/manipulate, LinkLists are $O(n)$ insert and delete.

3 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Alexis Mas → OmegaNemesis28 • 5 years ago

Strictly speaking no, you don't. let's say you have this function.

```
public void delete(Node node)
```

That function doesn't care how did you got that node.

Did you got my point?

When you have a pointer to a node, and that node needs to be deleted you don't need to iterate over the list.

1 ^ | v 2 • Reply • Share ›



Sam Lehman → Alexis Mas • 5 years ago

But in order to get to that pointer, you probably need to iterate through the list

2 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



OmegaNemesis28 → Alexis Mas • 5 years ago • edited

But that is MY point :p

You have to have the node FIRST. You have to iterate through the list before you can do that, unless you do book-keeping and happen to have said node. Reread what I said. "have pointers to specific nodes" Most of the time, you do not with LinkedLists. If you have a Linked List and want to delete index 5, you have to iterate to 5 and such. Your example was ABCD, our points are that you typically don't have the pointer to B just offhand. You have to obtain it first which will be $O(n)$

2 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Chris B → OmegaNemesis28 • 4 years ago

Search and insert/delete are different

Search and insert/delete are different operations. Insert/delete on an unsorted linked list is $O(1)$. The fact that you might have to first search for the element that you want to delete is not considered relevant, as that functionality is covered by the $O(n)$ search operation, not the $O(1)$ insert/delete operations. A real world example of linked list insert/delete can be found in `list_del` and `list_add` of the Linux kernel source, those functions are only 2 and 4 lines of code, so should be easy to understand: <http://lxr.free-electrons.c...>

2 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Pingu App → Alexis Mas • 5 years ago

What if B is the last element in the list?
How would B's predecessor know that its next field should point to NULL and not to a futurely invalid memory address?

2 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Alexis Mas → Pingu App • 5 years ago

In that case you can't delete that way, you're forced to have a pointer to the previous item.

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



pvlbzn → Alexis Mas • 3 years ago • edited

And you will introduce the side effect which will be hell to debug. Consider:
Singly linked list { A:1, B:2, C:3, D:4 } where is X:Y, y is a value, function `delete` which works as you described, function `get` which returns pointer to the node by index.

'''

```
// Take needed node C
node_t* node = get(list, 2)
print(node->value) // prints 3
```

```
// Delete B
delete(list, 1)
```

```
// Try to access C again
print(node->value) // well, enjoy your O(1)
```

'''

Don't.

^ | v 1 • Reply • Share ›



Adam Heinermann • 6 years ago

Is there a printer-friendly version?

55 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Thomas Feichtinger → Adam Heinermann • 5 years ago

Actually copying the contents to a google doc worked pretty well!

I have made it public, have a look:

<https://docs.google.com/spr...>

31 ^ | v 2 • Reply • Share ›



Sudhanshu Mishra → Thomas Feichtinger

• 3 years ago • edited

Thank you! I sometimes wish entire humanity was as benevolent as us programmers when it comes to sharing the fruits of our labour! The world would be so much better :-)

16 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›