# **Homework 1**

- 1. Consider an arbitrary string s made up from the alphabet "a" through "z" (no other symbols and no blanks). Design a Divide and Conquer algorithm to compute MinPal(s). You will write a complete paragraph explaining the principle of your algorithm (provide the recursive formulation you use). Then write a complete pseudo code of your algorithm with enough comments and declarations of the data structures you use.
  - Let  $s_{i...j}$  to be the substring of s starting with index i and ending with index j where  $0 \le i < j < length(s)$ .
  - There are three cases which define our subproblems that can happen on every substring  $s_{i...i}$ :
    - 1. i = j
      - $MinPal(s_{i...i}) = 1$  if i = j since any string with length 1 is also a palindrome.
    - 2. i < j and  $s_{i-j}$  is a palindrome
      - We claim that  $MinPal(s_{i...j}) = 1$ . To prove this claim, we assume that this is not true. Then,  $\exists \ MinPal(s_{i...k}) + MinPal(s_{k+1...j}) < 1$  where k is  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ :  $i \leq k < j$ . This contradicts the assumption because  $MinPal(x) \geq 1$  where x is any non-empty string. Therefore, it is proven that there is no way to get a fewer number of palindromes to construct  $s_{i...j}$  by splitting the word into  $s_{i...k}$  and  $s_{k+1...j}$ .
    - 3. i < j and  $s_{i...j}$  is not a palindrome
      - $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z} : i \leq k < j$  (where k is defines all pairs of substrings  $s_{i...k}$  and  $s_{k+1...j}$  which when concatenated construct  $s_{i...j}$ ) the optimal solution is defined by:

$$MinPal(s_{i...j}) = min_{i \leq k < j} \{ MinPal(s_{i...k}) + MinPal(s_{k+1...j}) \}$$

#### To summarize:

```
MinPal(s_{i...j}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 1 & \text{if } i < j \text{ and } s_{i...j} \text{ is a palindrome} \\ min_{i \leq k < j} \{MinPal(s_{i...k}) + MinPal(s_{k+1...j})\} & \text{if } i < j \text{ and } s_{i...j} \text{ is not a palindrome} \end{cases}
```

#### Pseudocode:

```
// s is a string
 2
   // i and j are indices of string s where 0 <= i < j < length(s)</pre>
   // return: the minimum of palindromes to construct s
 3
   MinPal(s, i, j)
 5
        // Case 1 and case 2
        if i == j or is_palindrome(s, i, j)
 6
 7
            return 1
 8
        // Case 3
 9
10
        m = INFINITY
11
        for k = i to j - 1
            m = min(m, MinPal(s, i, k) + MinPal(s, k + 1, j))
12
13
        return m
```

- 2. Show that the running time of MinPal(s) is exponential in the length *n* of *s*.
  - Let *n* to be the length of *s*
  - When n = 1, there is only one case:
    - 1. s is a palindrome.
      - Therefore, the running time is constant because there is only one palindrome to construct s .
  - When  $n \geq 2$ , there are two cases:
    - 1. s is a palindrome
      - If this is the case, it's not necessary to search further because we are certain that further search will not reveal a smaller number of palindrome. This took O(n) running time because we looked at all of the letters to determine that it is a palindrome.
    - 2. s is not a palindrome
      - $\exists$  two substrings which when concatenated construct s. The two substrings can be found between the  $k^{th}$  and  $(k+1)^{st}$  indices for any  $k=1,2,\ldots,n-1$ . Therefore, we obtain the recurrence:

$$P(n) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 1 & ext{if } n=1 \ n+\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n-1}P(k)P(n-k) & ext{if } n\geq 2 \end{array} 
ight.$$

- $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P(k)P(n-k) \text{ satisfies the recurrence relations of the Catalan numbers. Since Catalan numbers' growth is lower bounded by } \Omega(2^n)^{\underline{1}} \text{ (see also } \underline{^2\,\underline{^3}}\text{), the extra term } n \text{ in } \\ n+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P(k)P(n-k) \text{ is less than the } \Omega(2^n) \text{ so, it is negligible. Therefore, the running time complexity for this algorithm is still lower bounded by an exponential running time, } \Omega(2^n)$
- 3. Design a Dynamic Programming  $O(n^3)$  algorithm to solve the problem (show that your algorithm is  $O(n^3)$ ; write a program to implement and show experimental results.

#### C Code:

```
#include <string.h>
   #include <limits.h>
   #include <stdlib.h>
   #define min(a, b) a < b ? a : b
4
5
    int is_palindrome(char *s, int 1, int r)
6
 7
8
        while (1 < r)
           if (s[l++] != s[r--])
9
10
               return 0;
        return 1;
11
12
   }
```

```
13
14
    int min_pal(char *s)
15
16
         // Initialization
        int len = strlen(s);
17
18
         int **mem = calloc(sizeof(int *), len);
         for (int i = 0; i < len; i++)
19
             mem[i] = calloc(sizeof(int), len);
20
21
22
         // Set the base case for all substrings with length 1
         for (int i = 0; i < len; i++)
23
24
             mem[i][i] = 1;
25
         for (int 1 = 2; 1 \le len; 1++)
26
27
             for (int i = 0; i \le len - 1; i++)
28
29
             {
30
                 int j = i + 1 - 1;
                 mem[i][j] = INT_MAX;
31
32
                 if (is_palindrome(s, i, j))
                     mem[i][j] = 1;
33
34
                 else
35
                     for (int k = i; k < j; k++)
36
                         mem[i][j] = min(mem[i][j], mem[i][k] + mem[k + 1][j]);
37
             }
38
         }
39
         // Free all used memories
40
41
         int ans = mem[0][len - 1];
         for (int i = 0; i < len; i++)
42
             free(mem[i]);
43
         free(mem);
44
45
46
         return ans;
47
```

#### **Running Time Complexity:**

- Let n to be the length of s
- Initialization and set base case (assume calloc's running time is O(n)):  $O(n^2)$ 
  - o In this case, it doesn't affect the overall running time whether calloc is O(1) or O(n) because the core algorithm is  $O(n^3)$ .
- The core algorithm:  $O(n^3)$ 
  - The first for-loop (line 26): O(n)
    - The second for-loop (line 28): O(n)
      - The call "is\_palindrome" (line 32): O(n)
      - The third for-loop (line 35): O(n)

Total running time of min\_pal(s) is  $O(n^3)$ 

#### **Experiment 1:**

lukas@lukas-laptop:~/MEGA/classes/cs3120/hw1\$ make test
Give a word: bob
The minimum of number of palindromes is 1

#### **Experiment 2:**

lukas@lukas-laptop:~/MEGA/classes/cs3120/hw1\$ make test
Give a word: bobseesanna
The minimum of number of palindromes is 3

## **Experiment 3:**

lukas@lukas-laptop:~/MEGA/classes/cs3120/hw1\$ make test
Give a word: alcohol
The minimum of number of palindromes is 5

### **Experiment 4:**

lukas@lukas-laptop:~/MEGA/classes/cs3120/hw1\$ make test
Give a word: bottle
The minimum of number of palindromes is 5

#### **Experiment 5:**

lukas@lukas-laptop:~/MEGA/classes/cs3120/hw1\$ make test
Give a word: bobseesannaintheroom
The minimum of number of palindromes is 11

<sup>1.</sup> Srimani 3120 Spring 2018 DP\_3120\_4\_F18.pdf page 7<u>←</u>

<sup>2. &</sup>lt;u>http://mathworld.wolfram.com/CatalanNumber.html</u> Catalan Number Overview

<sup>3. &</sup>lt;u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catalan\_number#Properties</u> Catalan Number Running time<u>←</u>