

COMS10015 quick(ish) reference hand-out: Boolean algebra

Truth tables

Standard

x	y	$\neg x$	$x \wedge y$	$x \vee y$	$x \oplus y$	$x \Rightarrow y$	$x \equiv y$
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1

Negative

x	y	$x \bar{\wedge} y$	$x \bar{\vee} y$	$x \bar{\oplus} y$
0	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	0	1

Axioms

Axioms in AND-form

commutativity	$x \wedge y$	\equiv	$y \wedge x$
association	$(x \wedge y) \wedge z$	\equiv	$x \wedge (y \wedge z)$
distribution	$x \wedge (y \vee z)$	\equiv	$(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$
identity	$x \wedge 1$	\equiv	x
null	$x \wedge 0$	\equiv	0
idempotency	$x \wedge x$	\equiv	x
inverse	$x \wedge \neg x$	\equiv	0
absorption	$x \wedge (x \vee y)$	\equiv	x
de Morgan	$\neg(x \wedge y)$	\equiv	$\neg x \vee \neg y$

Axioms in OR-form

commutativity	$x \vee y$	\equiv	$y \vee x$
association	$(x \vee y) \vee z$	\equiv	$x \vee (y \vee z)$
distribution	$x \vee (y \wedge z)$	\equiv	$(x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z)$
identity	$x \vee 0$	\equiv	x
null	$x \vee 1$	\equiv	1
idempotency	$x \vee x$	\equiv	x
inverse	$x \vee \neg x$	\equiv	1
absorption	$x \vee (x \wedge y)$	\equiv	x
de Morgan	$\neg(x \vee y)$	\equiv	$\neg x \wedge \neg y$

Misc

equivalence	$x \equiv y$	\equiv	$(x \Rightarrow y) \wedge (y \Rightarrow x)$
implication	$x \Rightarrow y$	\equiv	$\neg x \vee y$
involution	$\neg \neg x$	\equiv	x

Universality in NAND-form

$\neg x$	\equiv	$x \bar{\wedge} x$
$x \wedge y$	\equiv	$(x \bar{\wedge} y) \bar{\wedge} (x \bar{\wedge} y)$
$x \vee y$	$\equiv \neg x \bar{\wedge} \neg y$	$\equiv (x \bar{\wedge} x) \bar{\wedge} (y \bar{\wedge} y)$

Universality in NOR-form

$$\begin{aligned} \neg x &\equiv x \bar{\vee} x \\ x \wedge y &\equiv \neg x \bar{\vee} \neg y \equiv (x \bar{\vee} x) \bar{\vee} (y \bar{\vee} y) \\ x \vee y &\equiv (x \bar{\vee} y) \bar{\vee} (x \bar{\vee} y) \end{aligned}$$

Transformations and standard forms

Definition 1. The fact there are AND and OR forms of most axioms hints at a more general underlying **principle of duality**. Consider a Boolean expression e : the **dual expression** e^D is formed by

1. leaving each variable as is,
2. swapping each \wedge with \vee and vice versa, and
3. swapping each 0 with 1 and vice versa.

Definition 2. The de Morgan axiom can be generalised into a **principle of complements**. Consider a Boolean expression e : the **complement expression** $\neg e$ is formed by

1. swapping each variable x with the complement $\neg x$,
2. swapping each \wedge with \vee and vice versa, and
3. swapping each 0 with 1 and vice versa.

Definition 3. Consider a Boolean function f with n inputs. When the expression for f is written as a sum (i.e., OR) of terms which each comprise the product (i.e., AND) of a number of inputs, it is said to be in **disjunctive normal form** or **Sum of Products (SoP)** form; the terms in this expression are called the **minterms**. For example,

$$\underbrace{(a \wedge b \wedge c)}_{\text{minterm}} \vee (d \wedge e \wedge f),$$

is in SoP form. Note that each variable can exist as-is or complemented using NOT, meaning

$$\underbrace{(\neg a \wedge b \wedge c)}_{\text{minterm}} \vee (d \wedge \neg e \wedge f),$$

is also a valid SoP expression.

Conversely, when the expression for f is written as a product (i.e., AND) of terms which each comprise the sum (i.e., OR) of a number of inputs, it is said to be in **conjunctive normal form** or **Product of Sums (PoS)** form; the terms in this expression are called the **maxterms**. For example,

$$\underbrace{(a \vee b \vee c)}_{\text{maxterm}} \wedge (d \vee e \vee f),$$

is in PoS form. As above, each variable can exist as-is or complemented using NOT.