Electric Field Mapping

"I hear and I forget. I see and I remember. I do and I understand"

Anonymous

OBJECTIVE

To visualize some electrostatic potentials and fields.

THEORY

Our goal is to explore the electric potential in the region of space around some conductors of specified shape, which are held at specified potentials by batteries or some such device. We assume that there are no charges in the region, except on the conductors, so the potential everywhere is totally determined by the potentials of the conductors. In principle, we could set up the desired arrangement in any convenient non-conducting material and measure the potential at a variety of points. Unfortunately there are severe technical difficulties in measuring the potential in electrostatic systems. If we try to measure the force on a test charge, we must be certain that the charge is very small so it will not disturb the system. Of course, the forces to be measured are then also small and hard to determine. If we consider using some sort of electronic probe we quickly see that the wire connecting it to the instrument will perturb the fields in a complicated way. It is possible to measure electrostatic potentials, but only with considerable care and for special geometries.

Fortunately, we can use a physical analogy to get around the technical difficulties. It can be shown that the equation for the potential in a charge-free region of insulating material is exactly the same as the equation for the voltage in a uniform conducting medium. The procedure, then, is to immerse our original conductors, presumably metal, in some material which does not conduct as well as metal, perhaps salt water. We apply the desired potentials to the metallic conductors, current flows between them, and we measure the voltage at various places in the poorly conducting material using a normal voltmeter.

After doing the voltage measurements we need to display our data in such a way that we can understand it. One useful method is to draw in a surface that connects points at the same potential. This equipotential surface may be closed, or it may trail off toward infinity, depending on the nature of the problem. A whole family of such surfaces, each corresponding to a different value of the voltage, will give one a good idea of what is happening electrostatically around the conductors. (In mapping land surfaces, lines are used to connect points of equal elevation on the

surface of the earth. What we have is also a topographic map, but instead of elevation, the surfaces are at different electrical potential, and the surfaces are three-dimensional.)

We can take the analysis a step further, and derive the electric field from the equipotential surfaces. Recall that the potential is found by integrating $-\vec{E} \cdot dx$ between two points. We can find the electric field by reversing this argument. Consider an arbitrary small displacement $d\vec{x}$ from a point on an equipotential surface. The largest change in potential per unit of displacement will occur when the displacement is perpendicular to the surface. For a displacement in any other direction we would lose some of the change by moving parallel to a surface where the potential does not change, by definition. But the change in potential for this displacement is just $-\vec{E} \cdot d\vec{x}$ and the maximum of the dot product occurs when \vec{E} is parallel to $d\vec{x}$. It must be that \vec{E} is always perpendicular to the equipotential surfaces. The magnitude of \vec{E} is then given by the rate of change of the potential with displacement. (The vector derivative in space is called the gradient.) This result means that we can draw electric field lines by finding a line perpendicular to the equipotential surface, extending the line to the next surface, and repeating. Other lines are found by starting at other points.

So far we have implied that our problem is three dimensional. Drawing three dimensional equipotential surfaces, one inside another, is tricky, so we will simplify the situation by introducing some symmetry. The problems we will actually work on will represent very long conductors with constant cross sections. An example is shown in Fig. 1. Unless we get near the ends, any slice through this type of system will look exactly like any other slice. Furthermore, the electric field must be in the plane of the slice because there is nothing to make it prefer either




Fig. 1. A pair of long rods, each carrying positive charge. Equipotentials (solid lines) and electric field directions (arrows) shown in the plane of observation.

direction out of the plane. This means that all the field lines stay within the plane of observation. The equipotential surfaces must then be perpendicular to the plane of observation, and we only need to plot lines where the equipotential surfaces cut the plane of observation. Figure 1 shows a typical plot. The effect of the symmetry is to make our problem two-dimensional, and much simpler.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The experiment will be done on a sheet of resistance paper, which corresponds to the plane of observation in Fig. 1. Resistance paper consists of heavy paper impregnated with a carbon-containing conducting mixture. When properly manufactured the resistance of the paper is uniform, as we require. Charged objects can be simulated by painting the desired shape onto the resistance paper with a metallic paint. The paint does not conduct as well as a solid piece of metal, but the conductivity is a few orders of magnitude better than that of the resistance paper so it will serve our purposes. **Note that the crosses on the resistance paper are 1 cm apart.**

A typical experimental arrangement is shown in Fig. 2. The power supply is the box on your table that does not have a digital display. A power supply contains circuitry which converts household power to an adjustable DC voltage. Connections are made through the terminals on top, and the desired output is set with a black multi-turn knob. The black terminal on the top is the negative end (or ground) of the power supply. When using the power supply, be sure the power supply is plug into the power outlet and the red switch on the front is in the up position. The digital multimeter (DMM) is the smaller box with the digital display. To use the DMM as a voltmeter, connect the red lead with the metallic tip to the terminal marked $V\Omega$ and the black lead from the power supply to the terminal marked COM, and set the large knob in the center of the DMM to point at the V with the solid and dashed lines over it (the V with a squiggle denotes AC voltage, which we will not use in this lab). The DMM automatically chooses a range and displays the voltage. Before starting, you should set the output of the




Fig. 2 Connections for mapping the capacitor model.

power supply to 10 V. To find the output of the power supply you will have to connect the output of the power supply to the input of the DMM when the DMM is set as a voltmeter.

To find lines of equipotentials you start by choosing a convenient voltage, and then locate points on the resistance paper at the chosen voltage. This means if your chosen voltage is 3.5 V, then you will find a collection of points on the resistance paper that read 3.5 V on the DMM digital display when you lightly touch the resistance paper with the metallic tip of the red lead while the black lead is connected to the black terminal of the power supply and one of the metallic objects on the resistance paper. The reference grid on the resistance paper will help you transfer the locations of the equal-voltage points to a drawing of the electrode pattern on ordinary paper. When you have located enough points, connect the points and sketch in the equipotential line. Label each equipotential line by the voltage value. When you are finding the equipotential lines be sure to keep your hands from touching the silver lines on the resistance paper, and you should only lightly touch the resistance paper with the metallic tip of the red lead when making measurements. Failure to follow these instructions could lead to inconsistent voltage measurements. By repeating this procedure for several voltages, you can map out the potential surfaces rather completely. NOTE: due to the existence of a conductive boundary on the resistance papers, DO NOT use the range from 4.7 V to 5.3 V as your chosen voltage for any of the experiments in this lab.

The voltmeter can also be used to approximate the magnitude and direction of the electric field directly by measuring the spatial rate of change of the voltage. Hold the two probes of the voltmeter a fixed distance apart. The displacement from one probe tip to the other is your $d\vec{x}$. Be sure to keep the distance between the probe tips as small as possible, but still far enough that you can easily reproduce the same distance consistently. If you now touch the pair of probes to the surface, the voltmeter will read ΔV . By orienting the probes to find the maximum ΔV , you will find (approximately) the direction and magnitude of \vec{E} . Recall that the electric field always points in the direction of the steepest descent of voltage. Your results with this method should be qualitatively consistent with those from the equipotentials you have drawn.

Parallel plates

Start your measurements with the pattern of two parallel lines. This represents a section through a parallel-plate capacitor, a configuration that is often used to produce a region of uniform \vec{E} field. Since the pattern of equipotentials and field lines should be familiar, it is a good case to test your understanding of the measurement procedures.

Connect the apparatus as shown in Fig. 2, and set the power supply for about 10 V between the "plates". An easy way to get an equipotential line is to locate a number of points at

around the plates, not just between the plates. Several (at least five) curves between 1 V and 9.5 V will produce a clear picture of the potential. NOTE: due to the existence of a conductive boundary on the resistance papers, DO NOT use the range from 4.7 V to 5.3 V as your chosen voltage for any of the experiments in this lab. The plotting goes fairly quickly if you mark the points directly on the paper diagram corresponding to your electrode arrangement. (Do not make marks on the resistance paper, and do not press down too hard on the resistance paper, doing so would distort the field patterns.) Note that you can also exploit symmetry to avoid tracing equipotentials for the whole pattern. When your plot is complete, sketch in a few field lines, using the procedure explained in the theory section. Where is the field most nearly uniform?

Check your construction of the field lines by measuring the rate of change of the potential directly, as explained above. Do your results with this method agree with your results from the equipotentials? How far from the center of the plates along the line that is equidistant from the two conducting plates do you have to go before the magnitude of \vec{E} is about 10% smaller than at the center of the plates?

In electrostatic problems, the electric field lines must be normal to the conductors. If the metallic paint is a good enough conductor, as we claim, the same must be true here. Is it? This provides a check on the consistency of our assumptions.




Fig. 3 Connections for the "pointed object".

Pointed object

Having gained some confidence in the experimental methods, you can proceed to the more interesting case of the "pointed object" shown in Fig. 3. Connect the power supply between the two circles and set its output 10.0 V. <u>Trace a few equipotential curves (at least three around each circle), concentrating on the regions between the dots and the pointed object.</u>

Be sure to include equipotentials that are close to the sharp points on the pointed object.

Draw some of the electric field lines that connect the circles to each other and to the pointed object, **being sure to get the directions correct.** Knowing that field lines point from positive to negative charges, what can you infer about the distribution of charge on the pointed object? Can an unconnected conductor, at uniform potential, carry a positive charge at one end and negative one at the other? Why wouldn't the charges neutralize by conduction?

Ridge and tree pattern

As a last example, measure some equipotentials (at least five) near the "ground" for the "ridge and tree" pattern, using about 10.0 V between the "ground" and the "storm cloud". (Because our model forces 2D symmetry it is really a row of "trees".) Before you begin, it would be a good exercise for your intuition to try to guess what the lines will look like. Using the equipotential plot, explain where the electric fields will be largest, and verify your result by directly measuring the field gradient at appropriate places as explained above. You might also sketch in a few field lines to reinforce your discussion. The locations of large electric fields are of interest because the air will break down electrically (spark) when the field is sufficiently large, leading to a lightning discharge in that vicinity. Would it be advisable to stand on a hill under a thundercloud?