



COMP3231/9201/3891/9283 Operating Systems 2020/T1

UNSW

Tutorial Week 3

Questions

System Call Interface

1. The following segment of code is similar (but much simpler) to the main task that the daemon `inetd` performs. It accepts connections on a socket and forks a process to handle the connection.

This is not guaranteed to be compilable. Use the `man` command if you want to investigate what all the system calls are doing.

```
0001 xxx(int socket){
0002
0003     while ((fd = accept(socket, NULL, NULL)) >= 0) {
0004         switch((pid = fork())) {
0005             case -1:
0006                 syslog(LOG_WARN, "%s cannot create process: %s",
0007                     progname, sys_error(errno));
0008                 continue;
0009             case 0:
0010                 close(0);
0011                 close(1);
0012                 dup(fd);
0013                 dup(fd);
0014                 execl("/usr/sbin/handle_connection",
0015                     "handle_connection", NULL);
0016                 syslog(LOG_WARN, "%s cannot exec handle_connection\
0017                     helper : %s", progname, sys_error(errno));
0018                 _exit(0);
0019             default:
0020                 waitpid(pid, &status, 0);
0021                 if (WIFEXITED(status) && WIFEXITSTATUS(status) == 0)
0022                     continue;
0023                 syslog(LOG_WARN, "handle_connection failed:\
0024                     exit status +%d\n", status);
0025             }
0026         }
0027     }
```

- a. Identify which lines of code are executed by the parent process.
- b. Identify which lines of code are invoked by the child process.
- c. Under what circumstances does the child terminate?

Concurrency and Deadlock

2. For each of the following scenarios, one or more dining philosophers are going hungry. What is the condition the philosophers are suffering from?
- Each philosopher at the table has picked up his left fork, and is waiting for his right fork
 - Only one philosopher is allowed to eat at a time. When more than one philosophy is hungry, the youngest one goes first. The oldest philosopher never gets to eat.
 - Each philosopher, after picking up his left fork, puts it back down if he can't immediately pick up the right fork to give others a chance to eat. No philosopher is managing to eat despite lots of left fork activity.
-
3. What is starvation, give an example?
-
4. Two processes are attempting to read independent blocks from a disk, which involves issuing a *seek* command and a *read* command. Each process is interrupted by the other in between its *seek* and *read*. When a process discovers the other process has moved the disk head, it re-issues the original *seek* to re-position the head for itself, which is again interrupted prior to the *read*. This alternate seeking continues indefinitely, with neither process able to read their data from disk. Is this deadlock, starvation, or livelock? How would you change the system to prevent the problem?
-
5. Describe four ways to *prevent* deadlock by attacking the conditions required for deadlock.
-
6. Answer the following questions about the tables.
- Compute what each process still might request and display in the columns labeled "still needs".
 - Is the system in a safe or unsafe state? Why?
 - Is the system deadlocked? Why or why not?
 - Which processes, if any, are or may become deadlocked?
 - Assume a request from p3 arrives for (0,1,0,0)
 - Can the request be safely granted immediately?
 - In what state (deadlocked, safe, unsafe) would immediately granting the request leave the system?
 - Which processes, if any, are or may become deadlocked if the request is granted immediately?

				available											
				r1	r2	r3	r4								
				2	1	0	0								
				current allocation				maximum demand				still needs			
process	r1	r2	r3	r4	r1	r2	r3	r4	r1	r2	r3	r4			
p1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	2							
p2	2	0	0	0	2	7	5	0							
p3	0	0	3	4	6	6	5	6							
p4	2	3	5	4	4	3	5	6							
p5	0	3	3	2	0	6	5	2							

R3000 and assembly

7. What is a *branch delay*?
-

8. The goal of this question is to have you reverse engineer some of the C compiler function calling convention (instead of reading it from a manual). The following code contains 6 functions that take 1 to 6 integer arguments. Each function sums its arguments and returns the sum as a the result.

```
#include <stdio.h>

/* function prototypes, would normally be in header files */
int arg1(int a);
int arg2(int a, int b);
int arg3(int a, int b, int c);
int arg4(int a, int b, int c, int d);
int arg5(int a, int b, int c, int d, int e );
int arg6(int a, int b, int c, int d, int e, int f);

/* implementations */
int arg1(int a)
{
    return a;
}

int arg2(int a, int b)
{
    return a + b;
}

int arg3(int a, int b, int c)
{
    return a + b + c;
}

int arg4(int a, int b, int c, int d)
{
    return a + b + c + d;
}

int arg5(int a, int b, int c, int d, int e )
{
    return a + b + c + d + e;
}

int arg6(int a, int b, int c, int d, int e, int f)
{
    return a + b + c + d + e + f;
}

/* do nothing main, so we can compile it */
int main()
{
}
```

The following code is the disassembled code that is generated by the C compiler (with certain optimisations turned of for the sake of clarity).

```
004000f0 <arg1>:
    4000f0:    03e00008    jr      ra
    4000f4:    00801021    move   v0,a0

004000f8 <arg2>:
    4000f8:    03e00008    jr      ra
    4000fc:    00851021    addu   v0,a0,a1
```

```

00400100 <arg3>:
    400100:      00851021      addu    v0,a0,a1
    400104:      03e00008      jr      ra
    400108:      00461021      addu    v0,v0,a2

0040010c <arg4>:
    40010c:      00852021      addu    a0,a0,a1
    400110:      00861021      addu    v0,a0,a2
    400114:      03e00008      jr      ra
    400118:      00471021      addu    v0,v0,a3

0040011c <arg5>:
    40011c:      00852021      addu    a0,a0,a1
    400120:      00863021      addu    a2,a0,a2
    400124:      00c73821      addu    a3,a2,a3
    400128:      8fa20010      lw      v0,16(sp)
    40012c:      03e00008      jr      ra
    400130:      00e21021      addu    v0,a3,v0

00400134 <arg6>:
    400134:      00852021      addu    a0,a0,a1
    400138:      00863021      addu    a2,a0,a2
    40013c:      00c73821      addu    a3,a2,a3
    400140:      8fa20010      lw      v0,16(sp)
    400144:      00000000      nop
    400148:      00e22021      addu    a0,a3,v0
    40014c:      8fa20014      lw      v0,20(sp)
    400150:      03e00008      jr      ra
    400154:      00821021      addu    v0,a0,v0

00400158 <main>:
    400158:      03e00008      jr      ra
    40015c:      00001021      move    v0,zero

```

- arg1 (and functions in general) returns its return value in what register?
- Why is there no stack references in arg2?
- What does `jr ra` do?
- Which register contains the first argument to the function?
- Why is the `move` instruction in arg1 after the `jr` instruction.
- Why does arg5 and arg6 reference the stack?

9. The following code provides an example to illustrate stack management by the C compiler. Firstly, examine the C code in the provided example to understand how the recursive function works.

```

#include <stdio.h>
#include <unistd.h>

char teststr[] = "\nThe quick brown fox jumps of the lazy dog.\n";

void reverse_print(char *s)
{
    if (*s != '\0') {
        reverse_print(s+1);
        write(STDOUT_FILENO,s,1);
    }
}

int main()
{
    reverse_print(teststr);
}

```

}

The following code is the disassembled code that is generated by the C compiler (with certain optimisations turned off for the sake of clarity).

- Describe what each line in the code is doing.
- What is the maximum depth the stack can grow to when this function is called?

```
004000f0 <reverse_print>:
4000f0: 27bdf0e8      addiu    sp,sp,-24
4000f4: afbf0014      sw      ra,20(sp)
4000f8: afb00010      sw      s0,16(sp)
4000fc: 80820000      lb      v0,0(a0)
400100: 00000000      nop
400104: 10400007      beqz    v0,400124 <reverse_print+0x34>
400108: 00808021      move    s0,a0
40010c: 0c10003c      jal     4000f0 <reverse_print>
400110: 24840001      addiu   a0,a0,1
400114: 24040001      li      a0,1
400118: 02002821      move    a1,s0
40011c: 0c1000af      jal     4002bc <write>
400120: 24060001      li      a2,1
400124: 8fbf0014      lw      ra,20(sp)
400128: 8fb00010      lw      s0,16(sp)
40012c: 03e00008      jr      ra
400130: 27bd0018      addiu   sp,sp,24
```

-
10. Why is recursion or large arrays of local variables avoided by kernel programmers?
-

Threads

- Compare cooperative versus preemptive multithreading?
- Describe *user-level threads* and *kernel-level threads*. What are the advantages or disadvantages of each approach?
- A web server is constructed such that it is multithreaded. If the only way to read from a file is a normal blocking read system call, do you think user-level threads or kernel-level threads are being used for the web server? Why?
- Assume a multi-process operating system with single-threaded applications. The OS manages the concurrent application requests by having a *thread* of control within the kernel for each process. Such a OS would have an in-kernel stack associated with each process.

Switching between each process (in-kernel thread) is performed by the function `switch_thread(cur_tcb,dst_tcb)`. What does this function do?

Page last modified: 10:01pm on Monday, 11th of March, 2019

[Screen Version](#)

CRICOS Provider Number: 00098G