

*Xiaoying Li*  
*CS 325 -Winter 2020*  
*Homework #6*

● Problem 1

a.

The distance of the shortest path from G to C is 16.

The copies of the LP code and output are shown below:

(the objective function and constraints are shown in the LP code in the left picture)

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 6		
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE		
1)	16.000000	
VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
C	16.000000	0.000000
G	0.000000	0.000000
A	4.000000	0.000000
F	13.000000	0.000000
H	3.000000	0.000000
B	12.000000	0.000000
D	0.000000	0.000000
E	0.000000	0.000000

  

max c	ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
st	2)	0.000000	1.000000
g=0	3)	14.000000	0.000000
a-f<=5	4)	3.000000	0.000000
a-h<=4	5)	0.000000	0.000000
b-a<=8	6)	8.000000	0.000000
b-f<=7	7)	0.000000	1.000000
b-h<=9	8)	0.000000	1.000000
c-b<=4	9)	0.000000	0.000000
c-f<=3	10)	19.000000	0.000000
d-c<=3	11)	9.000000	0.000000
d-e<=9	12)	2.000000	0.000000
d-g<=2	13)	22.000000	0.000000
e-b<=10	14)	25.000000	0.000000
e-d<=25	15)	15.000000	0.000000
e-f<=2	16)	1.000000	0.000000
f-a<=10	17)	5.000000	0.000000
f-d<=18	18)	7.000000	0.000000
g-e<=7	19)	0.000000	1.000000
h-g<=3	NO. ITERATIONS= 6		

b.

The distances of the shortest paths from G to all other vertices:

A: 7  
 B: 12  
 C: 16  
 D: 2  
 E: 19  
 F: 17  
 H: 3

The copies of the LP code and output are shown below:

(the objective function and constraints are shown in the LP code in the left picture)

<pre> max a+b+c+d+e+f+h st     g=0     a-f&lt;=5     a-h&lt;=4     b-a&lt;=8     b-f&lt;=7     b-h&lt;=9     c-b&lt;=4     c-f&lt;=3     d-c&lt;=3     d-e&lt;=9     d-g&lt;=2     e-b&lt;=10     e-d&lt;=25     e-f&lt;=2     f-a&lt;=10     f-d&lt;=18     g-e&lt;=7     h-g&lt;=3           </pre>	LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 9		
	OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE		
	1)	76.000000	
	VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
	A	7.000000	0.000000
	B	12.000000	0.000000
	C	16.000000	0.000000
	D	2.000000	0.000000
	E	19.000000	0.000000
	F	17.000000	0.000000
	H	3.000000	0.000000
	G	0.000000	0.000000
	ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
	2)	0.000000	7.000000
	3)	15.000000	0.000000
	4)	0.000000	3.000000
	5)	3.000000	0.000000
	6)	12.000000	0.000000
	7)	0.000000	2.000000
	8)	0.000000	1.000000
	9)	4.000000	0.000000
	10)	17.000000	0.000000
	11)	26.000000	0.000000
	12)	0.000000	1.000000
	13)	3.000000	0.000000
	14)	8.000000	0.000000
	15)	0.000000	1.000000
	16)	0.000000	2.000000
	17)	3.000000	0.000000
	18)	26.000000	0.000000
	19)	0.000000	6.000000
NO. ITERATIONS=		9	

## ● Problem 2

The four types of men's ties' profit per tie can be calculated as below:

$$\text{Silk Tie's Profit/ Tie} = 6.7 - 0.75 - 20 \times 0.125 = 3.45$$

$$\text{Polyester Tie's Profit/ Tie} = 3.55 - 0.75 - 6 \times 0.08 = 2.32$$

$$\text{Blend1 Tie's Profit/ Tie} = 4.31 - 0.75 - 6 \times 0.05 - 9 \times 0.05 = 2.81$$

$$\text{Blend2 Tie's Profit/ Tie} = 4.81 - 0.75 - 6 \times 0.03 - 9 \times 0.07 = 3.25$$

So, the objective function and all constraints can be formulated as below:

$$\text{maximize } 3.45s + 2.32p + 2.81b + 3.25c$$

subject to

$$0.125s \leq 1000$$

$$0.08p + 0.05b + 0.03c \leq 2000$$

$$0.05b + 0.07c \leq 1250$$

$$s \geq 6000$$

$$s \leq 7000$$

$$p \geq 10000$$

$$p \leq 14000$$

$$b \geq 13000$$

$$b \leq 16000$$

$$c \geq 6000$$

$$c \leq 8500$$

Using LINDO to determine the optimal solution, the code and output's copies are shown below:

<pre> max 3.45s+2.32p+2.81b+3.25c st     0.125s&lt;=1000     0.08p+0.05b+0.03c&lt;=2000     0.05b+0.07c&lt;=1250     s&gt;=6000     s&lt;=7000     p&gt;=10000     p&lt;=14000     b&gt;=13000     b&lt;=16000     c&gt;=6000     c&lt;=8500 </pre>			
<pre> LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP      4        OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE     1)      120196.0        VARIABLE            VALUE            REDUCED COST       S              7000.000000            0.000000       P             13625.000000            0.000000       B             13100.000000            0.000000       C              8500.000000            0.000000        ROW    SLACK OR SURPLUS    DUAL PRICES     2)         125.000000            0.000000     3)          0.000000            29.000000     4)          0.000000            27.200001     5)        1000.000000            0.000000     6)          0.000000            3.450000     7)        3625.000000            0.000000     8)         375.000000            0.000000     9)         100.000000            0.000000    10)        2900.000000            0.000000    11)        2500.000000            0.000000    12)          0.000000            0.476000  NO. ITERATIONS=         4 </pre>			

Therefore, the optimal solution of maximize profit is 120196, and the optimal numbers of ties of each type to maximize profit are 7000 silk ties, 13625 polyester ties, 13100 blend1 ties and 8500 blend2 ties.

● **Problem 3**

a.

Formulation of the problem as an integer program with an objective function and constraints is shown below:

a, b, c and d are numbers of coins of denominations 1, 5, 10 and 25.

minimize  $a+b+c+d$

subject to

$$a+5b+10c+25d=202$$

$$a \geq 0$$

$$b \geq 0$$

$$c \geq 0$$

$$d \geq 0$$

a, b, c, d are integers

Using LINDO to determine the optimal solution, the code and output's copies are shown below:

```

min a+b+c+d
st
    a+5b+10c+25d=202
    a>=0
    b>=0
    c>=0
    d>=0
end
GIN a
GIN b
GIN c
GIN d

```

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE		
1)	10.00000	
VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
A	2.000000	1.000000
B	0.000000	1.000000
C	0.000000	1.000000
D	8.000000	1.000000

  

ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
2)	0.000000	0.000000
3)	2.000000	0.000000
4)	0.000000	0.000000
5)	0.000000	0.000000
6)	8.000000	0.000000

  

NO. ITERATIONS=
32

BRANCHES=
6 DETERM.= 1.000E 0

Therefore, the optimal solution of the minimum number of coins used is 10, including 2 coins of denomination 1, 0 coin of denomination 5 and 10, and 8 coins of denomination 25.

b.

Formulation of the problem as an integer program with an objective function and constraints is shown below:

a, b, c, d and e are numbers of coins of denominations 1, 3, 7, 12 and 27.

minimize  $a+b+c+d+e$

subject to

$a+3b+7c+12d+27e=293$

$a \geq 0$

$b \geq 0$

$c \geq 0$

$d \geq 0$

$e \geq 0$

a, b, c, d, e are integers

Using LINDO to determine the optimal solution, the code and output's copies are shown below:

		OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE		
		1)	14.00000	
		VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
		A	0.000000	1.000000
		B	0.000000	1.000000
		C	2.000000	1.000000
		D	3.000000	1.000000
		E	9.000000	1.000000
min a+b+c+d+e		ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
st		2)	0.000000	0.000000
a+3b+7c+12d+27e=293		3)	0.000000	0.000000
a>=0		4)	0.000000	0.000000
b>=0		5)	2.000000	0.000000
c>=0		6)	3.000000	0.000000
d>=0		7)	9.000000	0.000000
e>=0				
end				
GIN a				
GIN b				
GIN c				
GIN d				
GIN e				
		NO. ITERATIONS= 98		
		BRANCHES= 34 DETERM.= 1.000E 0		

Therefore, the optimal solution of the minimum number of coins used is 14, including 0 coin of denomination 1 and 3, 2 coins of denomination 7, 3 coins of denomination 12, and 9 coins of denomination 27.

● **Problem 4**

a.

maximize  $2x_1 - 6x_3$

$$x_4 = 7 - x_1 - x_2 + x_3$$

$$x_5 = 3x_1 - x_2 - 8$$

$$x_6 = -x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 \geq 0$$

b.

basic variables:  $x_4, x_5, x_6$

non-basic variables:  $x_1, x_2, x_3$