

CompSci 206 Final

Xiaoying Li

12/08/2021

1.

(a) True

(b) True

(c) True

(d) False

(e) True

(f) True

(g) False

(h) True

2.

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2) &= x_1^2 - 4x_1 + 2x_2^2 + 7 \\ \rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} &= 2x_1 - 4, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = 4x_2 \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} = 2, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} = 4, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = 0, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} = 0 \\ \rightarrow \nabla^2 f(x) &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } H = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$|H - \lambda I| = \begin{vmatrix} 2 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 4 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = (2 - \lambda)(4 - \lambda)$$

So, H's eigenvalues are 2 and 4, which are both positive. Hence, H is positive semidefinite. Therefore, $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 - 4x_1 + 2x_2^2 + 7$ is convex.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) = \frac{x^2}{y} &\rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{2x}{y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = -\frac{x^2}{y^2} \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = \frac{2}{y}, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = \frac{2x^2}{y^3}, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = -\frac{2x}{y^2}, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} = -\frac{2x}{y^2} \\ \rightarrow \nabla^2 f(x) &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{y} & -\frac{2x}{y^2} \\ -\frac{2x}{y^2} & \frac{2x^2}{y^3} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{y} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{2x}{y} \\ -\frac{2x}{y} & \frac{2x^2}{y^2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{2x}{y} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f(x, y) = \frac{x^2}{y}$ with $y > 0$ is convex.

(c)

$f(x) = e^{-\|x\|^2}$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has positive semi-definite Hessian at some points (far from the origin) and negative semi-definite Hessian at some other points (close to the origin). Therefore, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $f(x)$ is neither convex nor concave.

(d)

$$f(x) = \|Ax - b\|_1$$

f is the composition of a norm, which is convex, and an affine function.

(e)

$$\begin{aligned} f: S_{++}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ with } f(X) = -\log \det(X) \\ \rightarrow -f: S_{++}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ with } f(X) = \log \det(X) \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g(t) = f(X + tV) \text{ with } \text{dom } g = \{t \mid X + tV \succ 0\}, \text{ for any } X \succ 0 \text{ and } V \in S^n.$$

$$g(t) = \log \det(X + tV) = \log \det(X^{\frac{1}{2}}(I + tX^{-\frac{1}{2}}VX^{-\frac{1}{2}})X^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + t\lambda_i) + \log \det X$$

Where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of $X^{-\frac{1}{2}}VX^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence g is concave for any $X \succ 0$ and $V \in S^n$, so is $-f$.

Since $-f$ is concave, therefore, f is convex.

3.

(a)

$$\text{minimize } f(\mathbf{x}) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i$$

$$\text{subject to } A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

with $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, and implicit constraint $\mathbf{x} \succ 0$

Using

$$f^*(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1 - \log(-y_i)) = -n - \sum_{i=1}^n \log(-y_i)$$

$$\text{with } \text{dom } f^* = -\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$$

The dual problem is

$$\text{maximize } g(\mathbf{v}) = -\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{v} + n + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(A^T \mathbf{v})_i$$

$$\text{with implicit constraint } A^T \mathbf{v} \succ 0$$

(b)

From (a) we obtain, the dual feasibility equation can be solved by finding the \mathbf{x} that minimizes

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}): \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) + A^T \mathbf{v} = -\left(\frac{1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{x_n}\right) + A^T \mathbf{v} = 0$$

The KKT conditions are:

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, -\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}} + A^T \mathbf{v} = 0$$

(c)

The Newton's step for solving the primal problem with a feasible start \mathbf{x} is given by solution \mathbf{V} of the following linear equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V} \\ \mathbf{W} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{where } \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}}, \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) = \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right)$$

4.

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathbf{x}) &= f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 + x_2^2)^2 = x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2^2 + x_2^4 \\&\rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = 2x_1 + 2x_2^2, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = 4x_1x_2 + 4x_2^3 \\&\rightarrow \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 + 2x_2^2 \\ 4x_1x_2 + 4x_2^3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2(x_1 + x_2^2) \\ 4x_2(x_1 + 4x_2^2) \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}^{(0)} &= [0, 1]^T, \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) = [2, 4]^T \\&\rightarrow -\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) = [-2, -4]^T \text{ is the steepest decent direction}\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\mathbf{p}(-\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)})) = [1, -1]^T \cdot [-2, -4]^T = 2 > 0$$

Therefore, \mathbf{p} is a descent direction.

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{minimizes } f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)} + \alpha \mathbf{p}) \\&\mathbf{x}^{(0)} + \alpha \mathbf{p} = [0, 1]^T + \alpha[-1, 1]^T = [\alpha, 1 - \alpha]^T \\&\rightarrow f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)} + \alpha \mathbf{p}) = (\alpha^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2)^2 = \alpha^2 + (1 - \alpha)^4 + 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)^2 \\&\rightarrow \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)} + \alpha \mathbf{p})}{\partial \alpha} = 4\alpha^3 - 6\alpha^2 + 6\alpha - 2 = 0 \rightarrow \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \\&\rightarrow f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)} + \alpha \mathbf{p}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^4 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{9}{16}\end{aligned}$$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} &= 2x_1 + 2x_2^2, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = 4x_1x_2 + 4x_2^3 \\&\rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} = 2, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} = 4x_2 + 12x_2^2, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = 4x_2, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} = 4x_2\end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \nabla^2 f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4x_2 \\ 4x_2 & 4x_2 + 12x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(e)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathbf{x}^{(0)} &= -\nabla^2 f(x)^{-1} \nabla f(x) = -\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 12 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{(1)} &= \mathbf{x}^{(0)} + t \Delta \mathbf{x}^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \rightarrow f(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}) &= (-1 + 1^2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

5.

(a)

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2, \text{ subject to } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1 \quad (1)$$

The objective is a convex quadratic function, and all constraints are affine. The Lagrangian of this problem is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \mu) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} + \mu(\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} - 1)$$

with optimality conditions found by taking partial derivatives with respect to \mathbf{x} .

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \mu) &= 2\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{1}\mu = 0 \\ \rightarrow \mathbf{x} &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}\mu \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

By combining (2) with the constraint in (1)

$$1 = \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1} \mu$$

such that $\mu^* = -\frac{2}{n}$ and $\mathbf{x}^* = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}$

(b)

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{x}, \text{ subject to } \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{x} = 1$$

Before solving this problem, we will first introduce an equivalent optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in R^n} x^T Q_1 x, \text{ subject to } y^T y = 1, y = Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}} x \quad (3)$$

where we exploit the fact that Q_2 is positive definite and choose $Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ such that $Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = Q_2^{T\frac{1}{2}}$. The Lagrangian of this new problem is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y, \mu, v) = x^T Q_1 x + v(y^T y - 1) + \mu^T (y - Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}} x)$$

With optimality condition found by taking partial derivative with respect to X and Y.

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x, y, \mu, v) = 2Q_1 x - Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu = 0$$

$$\nabla_y \mathcal{L}(x, y, \mu, v) = \mu + 2vy = 0$$

$$\rightarrow x = \frac{1}{2} Q_1^{-1} Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu$$

$$Y = -\frac{1}{2v} \mu$$

Substituting (4) into the constraints in (3), we obtain,

$$y = \frac{1}{2} Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}} Q_1^{-1} Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu = -\frac{1}{2v} \mu \quad (4)$$

Thus $-\frac{1}{2v}$ and μ form an eigenpair of the matrix $Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}} Q_1^{-1} Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By then substituting (4) into the objective of (3) it can be shown that

$$x^T Q_1 x = \frac{1}{4} \mu^T Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}} Q_1^{-1} Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu$$

Such that the objective is minimized when μ is the eigenvector of $Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}} Q_1^{-1} Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue. We will denote this vector by $\mu^* = \alpha_{min}$. From the final constraint

$$y^T y = \frac{\mu^T \mu}{4v^2} = 1 \text{ we can determine the value of } v^* = \mp 2.$$

Finally, $x^* = \mp \frac{1}{2} Q_1^{-1} Q_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{min}$ and $y^* = \mp \alpha_{min}$.

6.

(a)

Since $\mathbf{1}$ is the vector of all ones, $\mathbf{x} \in R^n$ is also a vector, we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = \max(|x_i|) \leq 1 \rightarrow \text{all } |x_i| \leq 1 \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{1}$$

Therefore, problem P is equivalent to problem \hat{P} .

(b)

The Langrangian function for \hat{P} is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + \lambda_1 (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{1}) + \lambda_2 (-\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{1}), \text{ with } \lambda_1 \geq 0 \text{ and } \lambda_2 \geq 0$$

(c)

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c} + \lambda_1 \mathbf{1} - \lambda_2 \mathbf{1} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{Q}^{-1}(-\lambda_1 \mathbf{1} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{c}), \text{ define } \mathbf{y} = -\lambda_1 \mathbf{1} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{1}$$

Substitution into \mathcal{L} , we obtain,

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{y}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c})]^T \mathbf{Q} [\mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c})] + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c}) - (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \mathbf{x} - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \mathbf{1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c})]^T \mathbf{Q} [\mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c})] + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c}) - \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c}) - \|\mathbf{y}\|_1$$

$$\mathbf{y} = -\lambda_1 \mathbf{1} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{1} = [-\lambda_1, \lambda_2]^T \mathbf{1}$$

$$\rightarrow g(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c})^T \mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c}) + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{c} - \|\mathbf{y}\|_1$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c})^T \mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c}) - (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c})^T \mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c}) - \|\mathbf{y}\|_1$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c})^T \mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{c}) - \|\mathbf{y}\|_1$$

Hence, the Lagrangian dual for \hat{P} is the problem D, and this is also the Langrangian dual for P .