Supplementary material for "On Data-Driven Robust Optimization With Multiple Uncertainty Subsets: Unified Uncertainty Set Representation and Mitigating Conservatism"

Yun Li, Neil Yorke-Smith, Tamas Keviczky

1 Parameters Used for Case Study 1

The basic uncertainty set for the uncertainty \mathbf{v}_t in each time period t consists two subsets $\mathcal{V}_{t,1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{t,2}$ that are defined as

$$\mathcal{V}_{t,1} := \{ \mathbf{v}_t | \mathbf{D}_1 \mathbf{v}_t \le \mathbf{d}_1 \}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{t,2} := \{ \mathbf{v}_t | \mathbf{D}_2 \mathbf{v}_t \le \mathbf{d}_2 \}$$

$$\tag{1}$$

where

$$\mathbf{D}_1 = \mathbf{D}_2 = [1, -1]^{\mathbf{T}}, \quad \mathbf{d}_1 = [2, 0]^{\mathbf{T}}, \quad \mathbf{d}_2 = [0, 2]^{\mathbf{T}}.$$
 (2)

The system matrices defining the building thermal dynamics are

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0167 & 0.0048 & 0.1245 & 0.409 \\ 0.0005 & 0.0002 & 0.0039 & 0.0044 \\ 0.0253 & 0.0073 & 0.3321 & 0.0617 \\ 0.0244 & 0.0070 & 0.0526 & 0.3456 \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_u = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0986 \\ 0.0029 \\ 0.0288 \\ 0.0275 \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_w = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2536 & 0.4596 \\ 0.0070 & 0.9840 \\ 0.4450 & 0.1287 \\ 0.4477 & 0.1225 \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_v = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2536 \\ 0.0070 \\ 0.4450 \\ 0.4477 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2 Parameters Used for Case Study 2

The uncertainty set for the demand uncertainties consists of four uncertainty sets $\mathcal{V}_k := \{\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{D}_k \mathbf{v} \leq \mathbf{d}_k\}$ with parameters $(\mathbf{D}_k, \mathbf{d}_k)$ set as

$$\mathbf{D}_1 = \mathbf{D}_2 = \mathbf{D}_3 = \mathbf{D}_4 = [\mathbf{I}_3, -\mathbf{I}_3]^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(3)

$$\mathbf{d}_1 = [0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0, 0, 0]^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad \mathbf{d}_2 = [1.2, 1.2, 1.2, -1, -1, -1]^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{4}$$

$$\mathbf{d}_3 = [1, 0.3, 0.3, -0.8, 0, 0], \quad \mathbf{d}_4 = [0.3, 1, 0.3, 0, -0.7, 0]^{\mathrm{T}}. \tag{5}$$

3 Parameters Used for Case Study 3

The mass balance coefficient matrix is

$$\kappa = \begin{bmatrix}
0.63 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0.58 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1.25 & -4 & 0 & -1 & -1.5 & 0 \\
0 & 0.1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.8 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.24 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2.3 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.93 & 0.77 & -1
\end{bmatrix}$$
(6)

The uncertainty set consists of four subsets that are defined as

$$\mathbf{D}_{1} = \mathbf{D}_{2} = \mathbf{D}_{3} = \mathbf{D}_{4} = \mathbf{I}_{5} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{\text{in}} & \mathbf{0} \\ -\mathbf{I}_{\text{in}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_{\text{out}} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{I}_{\text{out}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(7)

$$\mathbf{d}_1 = \mathbf{e}_5 \otimes [130, 112, -110, -88, 35, 49, -25, -41]^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{8}$$

$$\mathbf{d}_2 = \mathbf{e}_5 \otimes [76, 68, -64, -56, 40, 37, -30 - 27]^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{9}$$

$$\mathbf{d}_3 = \mathbf{e}_5 \otimes [65, 35, -55, -25, 23, 14, -17, -6]^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{10}$$

$$\mathbf{d}_4 = \mathbf{e}_5 \otimes [52, 52, -48, -48, 27, 27, -23, -23]^{\mathrm{T}}. \tag{11}$$

4 CCG algorithm for solving Wasserstein metric-based DRO problem

For the DRO problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x} + \max_{f(\mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{P}} \min_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{y}$$
 (12a)

s.t.
$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{q}$$
, (12b)

$$Tx + Wy + Mv \le h \tag{12c}$$

where the ambiguity set is defined as

$$D_W = \{ \mathbb{Q} \in M(\mathcal{V}) : d_W(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_0) \le \varepsilon \}$$
(13)

$$d_{W}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_{0}) = \inf_{\Pi} \left(\int_{\mathcal{V}^{2}} ||\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{0}|| \Pi(d\mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{v}^{0}) \right| \begin{array}{c} \Pi \text{ is a joint distribution of} \\ \mathbf{v} \text{ and } \mathbf{v}^{0} \text{ with marginals } \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \mathbb{Q}_{0} \end{array} \right)$$
(14)

with ε as the Wasserstein distance. According to the results in [1], the above optimization can be reformulated as

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}, \lambda, \mathbf{z}_i, s_i} \mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \varepsilon + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i$$
 (15a)

s.t.
$$\sup_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{U}} \left[\min_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{v} \right] + \mathbf{z}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{i} \le s_{i}, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$
 (15b)

$$\mathbf{Tx} + \mathbf{Wy} + \mathbf{Mv} \le \mathbf{h},\tag{15c}$$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{q},\tag{15d}$$

$$||\mathbf{z}_i||_* \le \lambda, \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, N. \tag{15e}$$

where N is the number of uncertainty samples $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_i$. Then, based on the above formulation and applying a *column-and-constraint generation* approach, the DRO problem can be solved via Algorithm 1.

MP:
$$\min_{\mathbf{x}, \lambda, s_i, \mathbf{z}_{t,i}, \mathbf{y}_{t,i}} \mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \varepsilon + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i$$
 (16a)

s.t.
$$\mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{y}_{t,i} - \mathbf{z}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{v}_{t,i}^{*} + \mathbf{z}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{i} \le s_{i},$$
 (16b)

$$\mathbf{Tx} + \mathbf{Wy}_{t,i} + \mathbf{Mv}_{t,i} \le \mathbf{h}, \tag{16c}$$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{q},\tag{16d}$$

$$i = 1, \dots, N, \quad t = 1, \dots, r.$$
 (16e)

SP:
$$C(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{z}_i^*) := \max_{\mathbf{v}_i} \left[\min_{\mathbf{y}_i} \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{v}_i^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{z}_i^* \right]$$
 (17a)

s.t.
$$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{W}\mathbf{y}_i + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{v}_i \le \mathbf{h}$$
. (17b)

References

[1] Mohajerin Esfahani, P., Kuhn, D. (2018). Data-driven distributionally robust optimization using the Wasserstein metric: Performance guarantees and tractable reformulations. Mathematical Programming, 171(1), 115-166.

Algorithm 1 column-and-constraint generation algorithm for DRO problem (15).

Input: suboptimality gap ϵ

Output: the optimal decision variable \mathbf{x}^* and objective function value $\mathbf{c}^T\mathbf{x}^* + \lambda^*\varepsilon + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N s_i^*$

- 1: Set $LB = -\infty$, $UB = \infty$, r = 0
- 2: while $|UB LB| > \epsilon$ do
- 3: Solve **MP** in (16) to derive solutions $\{\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*, s_i^*, \mathbf{z}_i^*\}$ and update $LB = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}^* + \lambda^* \varepsilon + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i^*$
- 4: **for** $i = 1, \dots, N$ **do**
- 5: Solve **SP** $C(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{z}_i^*)$ in (17) to derive solutions $\{\mathbf{v}_i^*, \mathbf{y}_i^*\}$
- 6: end for
- 7: Update UB as

$$UB = \min \left\{ UB, \mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x}^{*} + \lambda^{*}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{*} - \mathbf{z}_{i}^{*\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{v}_{i}^{*} + \mathbf{z}_{i}^{*\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{\hat{v}}_{i} \right) \right\}$$

8: Create decision variables $\{\mathbf{y}_{r,i} \mid i=1,\cdots,N\}$, set parameters $\mathbf{v}_{r,i} = \mathbf{v}_i^* \ (i=1,\cdots,N)$, and add the following constraints to \mathbf{MP} in (16)

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{y}_{r,i} - \mathbf{z}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{v}_{r,i} + \mathbf{z}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{i} \leq s_{i}, \\ \mathbf{T} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{W} \mathbf{y}_{r,i} + \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v}_{r,i}^{*} \leq \mathbf{h}. \end{cases}$$

- 9: $r \leftarrow r + 1$
- 10: end while
- 11: Return: \mathbf{x}^* and $\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x}^* + \lambda^* \varepsilon + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N s_i^*$