

# A SIMPLE PROOF OF THE ERDOS-GALLAI THEOREM ON GRAPH SEQUENCES

S.A. CHOUDUM

A central theorem in the theory of graphic sequences is due to P. Erdos and T. Gallai. Here, we give a simple proof of this theorem by induction on the sum of the sequence.

THEOREM (Erdos and Gallai [2]):

A sequence  $\pi: d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_p$  of non-negative integers, whose sum (say  $s$ ) is even is graphic if and only if

$$(EG): \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \leq k(k-1) + \sum_{i=k+1}^p \min(d_i, k), \text{ for every } k, 1 \leq k \leq p.$$

The known direct proofs are lengthy (see Harary [3]) while short proofs use the theory of flows in networks (see Berge [1]). Here, we give a simple direct proof. Since the necessary part is easy (see Harary [3]) we prove only sufficiency.

Proof. By induction on  $s$ . The theorem holds when  $s = 0$  or  $2$ . Suppose that the theorem is true for sequences whose sum is  $s - 2$  and let  $\pi: d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_p$  be a sequence whose sum  $s$  is even and which

---

Received 2 May, 1985. The author sincerely thanks Dr. T.V.S. Jagannathan for his valuable comments on the proof.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/86 \$A2.00 + 0.00.

satisfies (EG). There is no loss of generality in assuming  $d_p \geq 1$ . Let  $t(\geq 1)$  be the smallest integer such that  $d_t > d_{t+1}$ ; if  $\pi$  is regular then define  $t$  to be  $p-1$ . Consider the sequence

$\pi^*: d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_{t-1} > d_t^{-1} \geq d_{t+1} \geq \dots \geq d_{p-1} > d_p^{-1}$ . We verify that  $\pi^*$  satisfies (EG). So, let  $k$  be an integer such that  $1 \leq k \leq p$ . We split the proof into five cases and prove in each case that  $\pi^*$  satisfies (EG); we use repeatedly the inequality:  $\min(a, b) - 1 \leq \min(a-1, b)$ .

(1)  $k \geq t$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k d_i - 1 &\leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^p \min(d_j, k) - 1 \quad [\text{by (EG)}] \\ &\leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{p-1} \min(d_j, k) + \min(d_p^{-1}, k). \end{aligned}$$

(2)  $1 \leq k \leq t-1$  and  $d_k \leq k-1$ .

$$\text{Clearly, } \sum_{i=1}^k d_i = k d_k \leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^p \min(d_j, k).$$

(3)  $1 \leq k \leq t-1$  and  $d_k = k$ .

We first observe that  $d_{k+2} + \dots + d_p \geq 2$ . This is obvious if  $k+2 \leq p-1$ . If  $k+2 \geq p$ , then  $t = p-1$  and so  $\pi$  is  $(p-2)^{p-1}, d_p$ . But then,  $s = (p-2)(p-1) + d_p$  is even, and hence  $d_p \geq 2$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k d_i &= k^2 - k + d_{k+1} \leq k^2 - k + d_{k+1} + d_{k+2} + \dots + d_{p-2} \\ &\leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1, j \neq t}^{p-1} \min(d_j, k) + \min(d_t^{-1}, k) + \min(d_p^{-1}, k). \end{aligned}$$

(4)  $1 \leq k \leq t-1$ ,  $d_k \geq k+1$ , and  $d_p \geq k+1$ .

$$\sum_{i=1}^k d_i \leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^p \min(d_j, k) \quad [\text{by (EG)}]$$

$$= k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1, \neq t}^{p-1} \min(d_j, k) + \min(d_{t-1}, k) + \min(d_{p-1}, k) .$$

$$(\text{since, } \min(d_j, k) = \min(d_{j-1}, k) = k) .$$

$$(5) \quad 1 \leq k \leq t-1, \quad d_k \geq k+1 \quad \text{and} \quad d_p < k+1 .$$

Let  $r$  be the smallest integer such that  $d_{t+r+1} \leq k$ . If

$$\sum_{i=1}^k d_i = k(k-1) + \sum_{i=k+1}^p \min(d_i, k) , \text{ then we arrive at a contradiction to (EG)}$$

as follows.

We first have,

$$k d_k = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i = k(k-1) + (t+r-k)k + \sum_{j=t+r+1}^p d_j = k(t+r-1) + \sum_{j=t+r+1}^p d_j .$$

So,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d_i = (k+1)d_k = (k+1)(t+r-1) + \frac{k+1}{k} \sum_{j=t+r+1}^p d_j .$$

$$> (k+1)k + (t+r-k-1)(k+1) + \sum_{j=t+r+1}^p d_j , \quad (\text{since } \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=t+r+1}^p d_j > 0)$$

$$= (k+1)k + \sum_{j=k+2}^p \min(d_j, k+1) .$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k d_i \leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^p \min(d_j, k) - 1 \quad [\text{by (EG)}]$$

$$\leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1, \neq t}^{p-1} \min(d_j, k) + \min(d_{t-1}, k) + \min(d_{p-1}, k) .$$

Thus in each case  $\pi^*$  satisfies (EG) and hence by the induction hypothesis it is graphic. Let  $G$  be a realization of  $\pi^*$  on the vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p$ . If  $(v_t, v_p) \notin E(G)$ , then  $G + (v_t, v_p)$  is a realization of  $\pi$ . So, let  $(v_t, v_p) \in E(G)$ . Since

$\deg_G(v_t) = d_t - 1 \leq p - 2$ , there is a  $v_m$  such that  $(v_m, v_t) \notin E(G)$ . Since  $\deg_G(v_m) \geq \deg_G(v_p)$ , there is a  $v_n$  such that  $(v_m, v_n) \in E(G)$  and  $(v_n, v_p) \notin E(G)$ . Deleting the edges  $(v_t, v_p)$ ,  $(v_m, v_n)$  and adding the edges  $(v_t, v_m)$ ,  $(v_n, v_p)$  we get a new realization  $G^*$  of  $\pi^*$  in which  $v_t$  and  $v_p$  are non-adjacent. Then  $G^* + (v_t, v_p)$  is a realization of  $\pi$ . □

### References

- [1] C. Berge, *Graphs and Hypergraphs*, (North Holland Pub. Co., Amsterdam, 1973).
- [2] P. Erdos and T. Gallai, "Graphs with given degrees of vertices", *Mat. Lapok*, 11 (1960), 264-274.
- [3] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, (Addison Wesley, Reading, 1969).

School of Mathematical Sciences,  
 Madurai Kamaraj University,  
 Madurai - 625 021,  
 India.