

**Socio-Legal Research on
Contemporary Child Hostage**

One of social workers' most poisonous tricks is that nearly every removed child is being fed psychiatric drugs. As a registered nurse with 35 years of experience in pediatrics and internal medicine, I know well that when a child is taken away from family, she suffers from psychological trauma, insomnia, tension, depression, disappointment, irritability, loneliness, helplessness, missing parents, emotional instability, sometimes unconditional obedience and tolerance, crying and even self-harm. Social workers abducted so many children and they also know this situation well. However, they collaborate with psychiatrists to prescribe psychiatric drugs to suppress any motivations of these children.

– Qiao Shuiqing

Socio-Legal

Research on

Contemporary

Child Hostage

by

Qiao Shuiqing

BHC, LLB, MA



Trans-Atlantic Publishers Finland

© 2023 Qiao Shuiqing

All rights reserved. No part of these publications may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical reviews and certain other noncommercial uses permitted by copyright law. Specific written permission must be obtained from the author for all copying.

Socio-Legal Research on Contemporary Child Hostage
First printing 2023
ISBN 978-952-65260-4-1 (paperback)
ISBN 978-952-65260-5-8 (PDF)



Trans-Atlantic Publishers Finland

Dedication

To the tens of thousands of resilient souls who have endured the harrowing ordeals orchestrated under the guise of Finnish child protection. Your strength, courage, and unwavering spirit in the face of adversity inspire us all.

Preface

In the world of academia and the complex realms of law and health care, my life has revolved around understanding, analyzing, and, more importantly, advocating for the fundamental principles that underpin a just and equitable society. As a registered nurse and a lawyer, I have dedicated my career to upholding human rights and challenging injustices that transgress the very foundations of our legal and ethical frameworks.

However, this book takes me beyond the walls of academia and the courtroom, into a

deeply personal realm. It ventures into the experience of an innocent family has endured—a journey that led us to confront and question the child protection system in Finland, a system that was intended to safeguard children but, in certain instances, tragically failed to do so. **Child protection perfectly becomes child persecution and child destruction.**

The contents of this book are born from the anguish and tribulations many immigrant families has faced. They have been on a relentless quest for justice, striving to shed light on a deeply troubling chapter in their lives, all while advocating for the reform and accountability that this system desperately needs.

In the chapters that follow, we will meticulously dissect the child protection

legislation, principles, and practices in Finland. Through their lived experience, we endeavor to draw attention to the injustices that have unfolded and to shed light on the practices of certain Finnish social workers that have shaken our faith in the system.

We do this not only to expose their own story but to stimulate public discourse, provoke inquiry, and call for meaningful reform. By sharing their journey, we hope to make a compelling case for change—change that prioritizes the rights and well-being of children and their families and that demands ethical and accountable child protection practices.

Also, as many child protection victims are speaking that, if their own rights and benefits cannot be maintained by any means, justice must be done under a necessity to

appeal to any actions, because the facts demonstrate it very obviously that the private companies and public power in the child protection industry is forming a criminal cartel under the umbrella of child protection Finnish social workers.

The cruelty exhibited by these individuals is examined, drawing comparisons to even criminal gangs that, by comparison, may not frequently target the most vulnerable members of society, particularly the young and defenseless. The instances of the actions of Finnish social workers appear to lack empathy, exhibiting a level of heartlessness that goes beyond what might be expected even from criminal organizations.

To the readers who accompany us on this journey, we extend our heartfelt gratitude. Your willingness to engage with these pages

contributes to our collective efforts in advocating for a more just, compassionate, and equitable child protection system. Together, we aspire to ensure that the rights and welfare of children are upheld and that their future is safeguarded.

Qiao Shuiqing

February 1, 2024

(Day 498 since Finnish social workers abducted Sossudie from Töölö School when she cried in teachers' room on September 22, 2022, two days after her grandmother's funeral. The cry was defined both as the result of mental violence and depression, both were fabricated by Finnish social workers, from Helsinki City, Lännen Lastensuojelu Lassila 1, and illegally administered psychiatric drugs not designed

for underage children according to Pharmaca Fennica, without parents' consent but under control criminal accomplices of child protection.)





Table of Contents

Preface	7
Executive summary.....	16
Introduction.....	28
Chapter 1. Child Protection Legislation	36
Chapter 2. Racial Discrimination	41
Chapter 3. Baseless Family Separation.....	56
Chapter 4. Mercilessness	62
Chapter 5. Barbaric and Inhumane Actions.....	72
Chapter 6. Arbitrary Decision-Making.....	81
Chapter 7. Authoritarian Approach.....	88
Chapter 8. Captives.....	98
Chapter 9. Doubtful “Family Home”	105
Chapter 10. Importance of Family.....	113
Chapter 11. Normal Family Life.....	122
Chapter 12. Parent-Youth Relationships	128
Chapter 13. Family Life Ignored	131
Chapter 14. Destructive Effects.....	135
Chapter 15. Manipulation and Isolation	149
Chapter 16. Manipulated Diagnosis	156
Chapter 17. Educational Impact.....	161

Chapter 18. Brainwashing	164
Chapter 19. Privacy Breach	167
Chapter 20. Social Neglect.....	171
Chapter 21. Information Manipulation	176
Chapter 22. Testimony and Pressure	184
Chapter 23. Selfish Finnish social workers	194
Chapter 24. True Motives	206
Chapter 25. Dishonesty.....	217
Chapter 26. Wrong Cooperation Claim.....	224
Chapter 27. Unlawful Conduct	235
Chapter 28. Criminal Tactics.....	243
Chapter 29. Hypocritical Child Protection	254
Chapter 30. Unjustified Child Removals	260
Chapter 31. Breaching Rights and Benefits ...	268
Chapter 32. Breach of Human Rights.....	274
Chapter 33. Harvesting from Illegal Acts.....	283
Chapter 34. Hostage and Ransom	290
Chapter 35. Social Worker Accountability	297
Chapter 36. Ethics and Legal Responsibility .	306
Chapter 37. International Obligations	313
Chapter 38. Betrayal of Justice	320

Recommended Readings.....327



Executive summary



This is a very normal family. Father obtained double PhDs in law and computer science in Finland. Mother obtained bachelor's degree in law, master's degree in sociology and nursing degree in Finland. Their elder daughter obtained two master's degrees in law.

However, their younger daughter was taken away by child protection Finnish social

workers from her school, Töölön School, because she cried two days after her grandmother's funeral and their family was deeply sorrowful and tired. She was placed urgently into a newly established private foster family operated by Marra Marjoniemi, who said that she had good personal relationship with a social worker, Anitta Jokinen from Helsinki City, Lännen Lastensuojelu Lassilla 1. Their child suffered from severe trauma and insomnia.

After about one month, she was put into a reception centre (Vastaanotto Herttoniemi). After 3-4 months, she was again transferred to a private foster family, Perhekoti Elämän Helmi, where they planned to place her 3.5 years. But just after several months, they again transferred her to a "child home" (Hyvösen Lastenkoti).

Not only did groups and groups of child protection Finnish social workers continuously and repeatedly lie, fabricate stories, and falsify documents to create a wrong basis for her placement, but also, they repeatedly broke their promise to keep her in a stable place and maintain her benefit.

Child benefit has been in fact child nightmare.

Even though she does not have any disease, during her placement, her diagnosis of diseases was manipulated by child protection Finnish social workers, psychologists and psychiatric doctors, and she was fed psychiatric drugs, including Ketipinor which was not permitted to be administered to underage children according to Pharmaca Fennica in Finland as well as in many civilized countries in the world. In Perhekoti

Elämön Helmi, the third place where she stayed, she became a drug tester by workers' cheating, obliging and collaborating with child protection Finnish social workers and psychiatric doctors. Parents' participation and supervision was rejected completely. Until January 31, 2024, parents have been continuously and repeatedly rejected access to any of the doctors and nurses who participated in the medical treatment and drug administration of for the child.

Child protection Finnish social workers continuously and repeatedly isolated and alienated the child from parents and family.
Her family life was completely rejected.

Under their control and maneuver, the child's school performance becomes worse and worse. She was a good student in a good

school. However, nowadays, she was forced to spend a lot of time meeting with Finnish social workers and other irrelevant people, wasting her golden time.

As time passes, she becomes a stranger in front of parents. Because Finnish social workers fabricated and told her that parents are violent and family is not good, she hates parents and does not want to visit home. This also happens whenever Finnish social workers meet her, that she will not like to meet parents after the meeting with Finnish social workers. Finnish social workers brainwashed her that “*y*their family is not safe” and “your parents do not cooperate with Finnish social workers.”

When she was in Perhekoti Elämän Helmi, a limited company established to house

fostered children placed by child protection Finnish social workers, there were many doubtful practices. The Perhekoti was operated by a professional doctor and a professional nurse, who are working at the same time as professionals elsewhere. Whether they operate the Perhekoti legally is an unclear legal problem. **However, their operation has been in a grey zone under the umbrella of child protection Finnish social workers, who helped the companies to conceal their operation and at the same time harvest millions of euros from the business.**

However, Finnish social workers including those from Lännen Lastensuojelu Lassila 1 and those from Kumpulantie 1 know the legal status of the company and their owners (working as professionals and at the same

time operating the business), and for many years placed children into their business.

Another problem is that, in April 2023, Sossudie was given a week's psychiatric medicine in one morning and she took all the week's medicines. She was poisoned and became ill. She had to receive treatment in hospital for two weeks, followed by a weekly visit after that.

Any evaluation of her health status was completely and absolutely concealed from parents by both the Perhekoti, Finnish social workers and doctors and nurses involved in the event. They got no information except the news of her poisoning.

Foster families provided her with only a minimum level of food and second-hand clothes. Her hobbies were cancelled, and they

did not permit her to participate in school travel arranged her school. **As a result of for-profit operation, she is only permitted to participate in activities free of charge.**

They sued against Finnish social workers' several decisions about her placement in administrative court. However, the administrative court collaborated with Finnish social workers to press Sossudie to write or speak in support of Finnish social workers and against parents. Because she was under control of Finnish social workers, her testimony should be announced invalid. The court should stop pressuring Sossudie to write in support of Finnish social workers, any mistake must be corrected according to law, not according to the will of Finnish social workers. She herself is a victim of such false

child protection pretending to protect the child's benefit.

Their child, in her adolescent age, has her own ideas and difficulties in following normal family rules. This has been witnessed by many people including workers in these foster places. However, child protection Finnish social workers baselessly blame us for mental violence and physical violence, which are fabricated, partly by the child under their misleading and pressure. Child protection Finnish social workers and other persons involved in the event claim that they abused her, they misled her not to abide by basic rules of family, school, and society. They encourage her to fight against parents, saying that if they have rules about telephone use at night, they will report to Finnish social


workers. They encourage her not to go to high school.

The deceptive tactics employed by those entrusted with the care of the child paint a troubling picture of manipulation and betrayal. Instead of providing a safe and nurturing environment, the child was lured under false pretenses, ostensibly for a hobby, only to find herself engaged in activities unrelated to her well-being. The nature of these activities, such as learning about homosexuality, protest, vegetarianism, suggests an agenda that goes beyond the child's best interests. This exploitation of trust further underscores the need for vigilance in protecting vulnerable individuals from being drawn into situations that do not align with their welfare and development. It raises questions about the motives behind


such actions and the ethical boundaries that should be upheld in any educational or recreational setting.

The discriminatory practices that the child faced in seeking summer employment reveal a distressing pattern of bias and unfair treatment. Despite her application for a job in a daycare, she was rejected, pointing to potential discrimination based on factors unrelated to her qualifications or capabilities. The situation takes an even more troubling turn when considering that she had previously volunteered at the daycare for several weeks. Despite her contributions, the daycare inexplicably refused to provide her with a certificate acknowledging her volunteer work.

This not only raises concerns about unjust practices in the employment process but also suggests a deliberate effort to undermine her opportunities and achievements, warranting a closer examination of the motivations behind such discriminatory actions. **A systematized discrimination belonging to such a systematic persecution continues.**



Introduction



In the tapestry of societal norms and legal frameworks that govern our lives, the threads woven to protect and nurture our most vulnerable members—our children—are of paramount importance. Within these pages, we embark on a journey through the intricate landscape of child protection, examining its legislative roots, societal

consequences, and the multifaceted impact on families.

Comprising 38 distinct chapters, each dedicated to a unique facet of this multifaceted topic, this book offers an intricate look into the various issues surrounding child protection and the crucial role played by the legal system.

Child protection, a matter of utmost significance, seeks to safeguard the rights and welfare of our youngest and most vulnerable citizens. In Finland, as in many other parts of the world, it is governed by a series of legislative acts and principles intended to guide the actions and decisions of child protection authorities. However, as the chapters of this book will reveal, the practical application of these principles has been called

into question. **In the sense of child protection, Finland is a Fake Land.**

The comprehensive exploration begins with a critical examination of Child Protection Legislation, delving into the foundational frameworks that shape policies and guidelines meant to safeguard the well-being of our youth. As we navigate through subsequent chapters, we confront unsettling realities such as Racial Discrimination, Baseless Family Separation, and Mercilessness, unraveling the layers that shroud these issues in the shadows.

The narrative unfolds with a keen focus on the seemingly innocuous yet deeply impactful facets of family life. From the Barbaric and Inhumane Actions to Arbitrary Decision-Making and an Authoritarian Approach, the

reader is compelled to confront the harsh realities faced by individuals caught in the crosshairs of a system that, at times, seems more destructive than protective.

The exploration extends beyond the immediate familial sphere, touching upon the captivity of children, the dubious nature of some designated "Family Homes," and the fundamental Importance of Family. In contrast, chapters like Normal Family Life and Parent-Youth Relationships provide a glimpse into the counterbalance of wholesome connections.

This journey takes a somber turn as we confront instances of Family Life Ignored, Destructive Effects, and the insidious forces of Manipulation and Isolation. The narrative shifts to the realm of healthcare with a

critical analysis of Manipulated Diagnosis, followed by an exploration of the Educational Impact and the pervasive issue of Brainwashing.

Privacy Breach, Social Neglect, and Information Manipulation cast shadows on the protection systems meant to nurture and shield. Testimony and Pressure reveal the complex web of personal and institutional dynamics that can manipulate the course of child protection cases.

The narrative continues to unfold with scrutiny on the conduct of Finnish social workers—those entrusted with the welfare of children. Chapters such as Selfish Finnish social workers, True Motives, Dishonesty, and Wrong Cooperation Claim lay bare the challenges and ethical quandaries faced by

those tasked with safeguarding the vulnerable.

As the pages turn, readers are confronted with the stark realities of Unlawful Conduct, Criminal Tactics, Hypocritical Child Protection, and Unjustified Child Removals. The spotlight then shifts to the broader implications with discussions on Breaching Rights and Benefits, Breach of Human Rights, and the chilling concept of Harvesting from Illegal Acts.


The tapestry of Child Protection Legislation is further examined through the lens of Hostage and Ransom, Social Worker Accountability, and the delicate balance between Ethics and Legal Responsibility. International Obligations, Betrayal of Justice, and the profound exploration of

Recommended Readings cap off this comprehensive journey into the complexities of child protection.


This book is not just an exposé; it is a call to action. It invites readers to engage critically with the systems in place, to question and advocate for change, and, most importantly, to ensure that the protection of children is approached with the gravity, compassion, and responsibility it deserves.

As you navigate through the chapters that follow, we encourage you to keep an open mind and consider the profound implications of the topics discussed. Our exploration is not only an examination of Finnish child protection practices but a reflection of a broader global conversation about the rights, ethics, and laws surrounding this deeply

sensitive issue. We hope this book will serve as a valuable resource for readers seeking insights into the intricate world of child protection in Finland and beyond.



Chapter 1. Child Protection Legislation



In Finland, the Child Protection Act (Lastensuojelulaki, mistakenly translated as Child Welfare Act by local authorities to mislead the world and to conceal the truths and real nature of the problem) places

paramount importance on safeguarding the best interests of the child. Scientifically and legally, the best interest of the child is not completely determined by child protection Finnish social workers. However, in Finland, in their case, the Finnish social workers arbitrarily decide everything, interfering normal eating foods, drinking water, pissing, and shitting. If these are not in child protection Finnish social workers' interest, then they think that the child is abused at home.

One of the best interests of the child is her family life. Even the flawed Child Welfare Act, which posed Finnish social workers as the Godfather of Finnish Child Protection Cartel, recognizes the significance of maintaining family ties and the principle of family reunification when it serves the child's

best interests. However, child protection Finnish social workers regard the child as a captive animal, placing her everywhere where there is a vacancy. Even if she has a very good house, a very good home and a very good family, the child protection Finnish social workers destroyed her family and conspire to destroy her home – by distorting an expensive ransom in the name of living expenses.


The negative aspects of the child protection system, particularly the unjustified removals of children from their biological families, must be fully considered. Placing a child in alternative care is considered a last resort, and international standards emphasize the importance of reunification efforts with the biological family whenever possible. Child protection Finnish social workers have

always overlooked these principles, leading to hasty and unjust removals. The consequences of such actions are broad and long-lasting, causing potential harm to both the child and the family unit.

It is essential to assess the specific circumstances surrounding the removal of their younger daughter, the subsequent placements, and the actions of child protection Finnish social workers and other professionals involved. This assessment should consider whether these actions align with the principles outlined in the Child Welfare Act, including the best interests of the child and family reunification when applicable.

In order to pursue their personal interests from the child protection

industry, operated either by public agencies or private companies, once a child is taken away from family and put into such an institution, just like a lamb caught by a school of hungry wolves, she will never be released until her blood is sucked and her flesh is eaten by these Finnish social workers and their conspiracies.



Chapter 2. Racial Discrimination



Introduction

In the history of human rights abuses, certain groups have faced exploitation, discrimination, and systemic prejudice at the hands of authorities. It is very appropriate to draw direct parallels between the suffering of

minorities during the Second World War, Native Americans in the United States, and the experiences of immigrant families in child protection cases. It is crucial to recognize the vulnerabilities these communities may face. This chapter discusses the vulnerabilities of their family and how child protection Finnish social workers exploit these vulnerabilities in their audacious persecution and destruction of children.

Vulnerabilities Faced by Their Family

Limited Extended Family Support: Their family, like many other immigrant families, has fewer relatives in Finland, which can create a significant vulnerability. Extended family support can be essential in raising children, and the absence of a strong support

network can place additional stress on parents, especially during challenging times. For many times, Finnish social workers maliciously take this vulnerability into account, writing it into meeting transcripts, documents, and decisions. This is not for them to provide any help, but to exploit it to bully and persecute their family. In addition, they also take this as a reason to remove Sossudie from family and put her into foster care.

Demanding Professions: Both her parents, at this moment, work in a demanding profession, as nurse, with tight schedules. Finnish social workers presumed that these schedules could make it difficult for parents to meet their children's needs adequately, particularly in times of emotional distress. However, out of their

imagination, their schedules are arranged so well that they always have sufficient time to take care of Sossudie. Nevertheless, in meeting with them, they still maliciously fabricated two questions: 1. you have both three-shift jobs; and 2. you are not able to take care of Sossudie. This way, they try to discredit their family and trick to removal Sossudie from family and put her into foster care institutions, particularly into those private institutions which have close personal relationship with these Finnish social workers.

High-Stress Work Environments:

During the COVID-19 pandemic, healthcare workers, including nurses, have faced immense stress and risk while caring for infected patients. The high-stress work atmosphere can adversely affect a family's

ability to provide a stable and nurturing environment for their children, especially when dealing with grief. Finnish social workers also exploited this point because both of us are nurses working in hospitals taking care of COVID-19 patients. In many other countries, nurses working in COVID-19 pandemic have been praised as heroes. However, in Finland, this becomes a potential vulnerability to be exploited by social worker officials to persecute and destroy these families.

Cultural and Language Differences:

Families from different cultural backgrounds often struggle with language barriers, making it challenging to communicate effectively with Finnish social workers or navigate the Finnish child protection system. These differences can lead to

misunderstandings that may result in child removal decisions. Finnish social workers also exploit their weakness in local language and capacity to defend for themselves. This way, they feel they are safe to persecute us. In giving us some information about how to seek help, they provided with list of persons with the background of having close collaboration with them. As many child protection victims witnessed, this is a way that they can manipulate both the victim and the lawyer that potentially provides service to the victim.

Discrimination and Prejudice:

Immigrant families, particularly those of color, often face discrimination and prejudice in predominantly white societies. This discrimination can influence the judgments of child protection Finnish social workers,

leading to biases and unwarranted actions. In their case, exactly the same happened. We are one hundred percent sure that if they are whites, Sossudie will never be taken away from their family.

Adolescent Challenges: Adolescence, universally recognized as a challenging phase, introduces a myriad of struggles for children as they grapple with conforming to family rules while navigating societal norms. This developmental period is characterized by the pursuit of identity, independence, and a growing sense of self. For immigrant children, the challenges are often compounded by the need to reconcile cultural differences, making the navigation of adolescence uniquely complex. The interplay between familial expectations and external cultural pressures can create a delicate balance that demands

understanding and support from both family and society.

However, the nuances of these challenges are not always fully grasped by Finnish social workers, and immigrant children may find their experiences misinterpreted. Cultural misunderstandings, language barriers, and unfamiliarity with the unique pressures faced by immigrant families can contribute to the misjudgment of a child's behavior. It is crucial to recognize and address these disparities in understanding to ensure that Finnish social workers can provide culturally sensitive support during the pivotal adolescent years, fostering an environment where immigrant children can thrive despite the added complexities they face.

Educational Disparities: Immigrant families may be highly educated but find themselves in situations where Finnish social workers lack cultural competence or have limited understanding of complicated cultural communication. This can lead to misunderstandings and misinterpretations of family dynamics.

Exploitation of Vulnerabilities

In their cases, the vulnerabilities mentioned above are inadvertently exploited by child protection Finnish social workers. The reasons for this exploitation can vary and often stem from systemic issues, biases, and a lack of cultural sensitivity within the child protection system. For example:

Misunderstandings due to Cultural and Language Differences: Language and cultural differences can create misunderstandings between Finnish social workers and immigrant families, potentially leading to child removal decisions based on incomplete or misinterpreted information.

Implicit Biases and Stereotypes: Unconscious biases, rooted in societal stereotypes and prejudices, pose a significant risk of influencing Finnish social workers' judgments and actions, potentially leading to biased decisions in child protection cases. One prevailing bias is the assumption that Finnish families inherently embody positive qualities while foreign families are unfairly stigmatized. This biased lens can cloud Finnish social workers' perceptions,

impacting their ability to make impartial and culturally sensitive assessments.

The inherent biases may stem from societal stereotypes that categorize certain ethnic or cultural groups as problematic or inferior. These preconceived notions can unconsciously seep into decision-making processes, affecting the way Finnish social workers interpret behaviors, assess family dynamics, and ultimately determine the best course of action for a child's welfare. It is essential to address these biases systematically, providing Finnish social workers with training and tools to recognize and counteract their own prejudices, fostering a child protection system that is fair, just, and free from ingrained stereotypes.

Pressure to Act: Child protection workers may sometimes feel compelled to take immediate action when they suspect neglect or abuse. However, in their case, it leads to hasty judgments in a very simple situation based on their discriminatory attitude toward their racial, family and personal background. By translating into human language, it means that these Finnish social workers do not regard people from other races as human beings, but as wild animals only. They have the liberty to act as dominators, subjecting individuals of different races to bondage by confining them in cages or behind bars, effectively making them slaves, all without facing any accountability or repercussions.

Lack of Cultural Competency: Child protection agencies must prioritize cultural

competence, ensuring that Finnish social workers understand and respect the diverse backgrounds of the families they serve. A lack of cultural competence can result in decisions that do not account for unique cultural nuances.

Lack of Accountability and Oversight:

The absence of effective supervision and monitoring mechanisms within child protection agencies can allow Finnish social workers to act arbitrarily without factual and legal basis, sometimes failing to follow due procedures. This lack of accountability can have serious consequences for immigrant families.


Discrimination and Racial Bias: The pervasive discrimination faced by immigrant families of color in predominantly white

societies can influence Finnish social workers' perceptions and judgments. In cases where fellow professionals do not hold Finnish social workers accountable, there is a risk of racial bias playing a role in child removal decisions.

Conclusion

In conclusion, it is essential to recognize the vulnerabilities faced by immigrant families and the potential exploitation of these vulnerabilities by child protection Finnish social workers. Systemic challenges, biases, and a lack of cultural sensitivity within the child protection system can inadvertently lead to unjust actions.

Addressing these issues requires a commitment to cultural competence, rigorous oversight, and the promotion of fair, equitable, and sensitive child protection procedures. Only by striving for a more inclusive and understanding system can we ensure that child protection measures are applied with the best interests of the child at heart, irrespective of their family's cultural, linguistic, or racial background.



Chapter 3. Baseless Family Separation



The circumstances surrounding the removal of their younger daughter by child protection Finnish social workers warrant close examination to determine whether it adhered to the provisions of the Child Welfare Act in Finland. Several key considerations should be taken into account:

They are a very normal family. Father obtained double PhDs in law and computer science. Mother obtained a master's degree in sociology. Their eldest daughter obtained two master's degrees in law.

However, their younger daughter was taken away by child protection Finnish social workers from her school because she cried on 22 September 2022 morning because her grandmother died and their family was deeply sorrowful and tired. The child repeatedly told Finnish social workers that their family did not change better nor change worse. It meant that their family has been still lived a normal life.

The aftermath of the abduction orchestrated by Finnish social workers inflicted severe trauma upon her, leaving

indelible marks on her emotional well-being. Plagued by insomnia and recurring bouts of tears, she grappled with the distressing consequences of being forcibly separated from her family. Rather than addressing the root cause of her anguish, the response from Finnish social workers and their collaborators took a troubling turn.

In an attempt to manage her emotions and suppress the manifestation of her trauma, the child became a recipient of psychiatric drugs. This decision, ostensibly made with the intention of calming her down, raises ethical concerns about the overreliance on medication to address the profound emotional turmoil caused by the separation. The administration of psychiatric drugs without thorough consideration of alternative therapeutic approaches not only

fails to address the core issues but also raises questions about the appropriateness and long-term consequences of such interventions. The child's distress, initially triggered by the traumatic separation, is now further complicated by the potential side effects and implications of the prescribed medications.


In order to gain a clearer understanding of the circumstances surrounding the removal and the subsequent actions of child protection Finnish social workers, they require detailed independent and objective investigation into the happenings and claims made by the involved Finnish social workers. Such investigation must exclude the interference of Finnish social workers themselves. They cannot prove their behavior and acts simply by claiming that they are legal and justified. They cannot cheat, force and bribe the child

to make any beneficial testimonies for them. These are completely invalid.

Such investigation must be performed by independent institutions with a record of good behavior, not those Finnish social workers' collaborators in putting children into foster care institutions established for making monetary profits through obtaining state subsidies and distorting parents' payments.

The practice of "cry once, seize indefinitely" within the Finnish child protection sector has become an infamous human rights violation, capturing global attention across nearly two hundred countries. This phenomenon reflects a deeply concerning pattern where children,

once separated from their families, face indefinite periods of institutional care. This practice not only raises ethical concerns but also questions the commitment to preserving the fundamental rights of children within the child protection system. The international notoriety surrounding this issue underscores the urgency for reforms and a reevaluation of policies to ensure the protection and well-being of children are prioritized over arbitrary and prolonged family separations.



Chapter 4. Mercilessness



Introduction

In the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic, when countless healthcare workers tirelessly dedicated themselves to the care of infected patients, a heart-wrenching incident emerged that exposed the shortcomings in our social welfare system. The story revolves

around a family of registered nurses who, for nearly three years, selflessly attended to the needs of COVID-19 patients. The incident occurred just after the death of the child's grandmother, when the child was in her critical adolescent stage of development. The abrupt removal of the child from her family by child protection Finnish social workers has left indelible psychological scars on both the child and her parents. This incident sheds light on a lack of empathy, a lack of a scientific approach to social work, and a deficiency in following legal procedures.

The Context

The COVID-19 pandemic brought unprecedented challenges to healthcare professionals, and registered nurses around

the world were at the forefront of the battle against this relentless virus. For three years, these parents dedicated themselves to caring for COVID-19 patients, often at great personal risk. Their commitment was commendable and underscored their unwavering devotion to their profession and duty to society.

The Tragedy

During this challenging time, the family suffered another heartbreak when the child's grandmother passed away. The funeral (held on September 20, 2022) was a solemn event, marked by grief and a shared sense of loss. However, just after the funeral, child protection Finnish social workers made a decision that shook the family to its core: they

removed the child from her parents' care on September 22, 2022, when she cried in teachers' room.

The Developmental Stage

A 14-year-old adolescent girl is at a pivotal stage of development, experiencing significant biological, physiological, physical, psychological, and emotional changes. Biologically, she is typically in the midst of puberty, marked by the growth spurt, breast development, and the onset of her menstrual cycle.

Physiologically, her brain continues to undergo development, enhancing her cognitive abilities, including abstract thinking and reasoning. Physically, she may

experience rapid changes in body shape and size, which can influence her self-image and body confidence. Psychologically, this age marks the exploration of personal identity, with the girl delving into questions of self-concept, values, and interests, often forming a sense of independence and self-awareness.

Emotionally, she might grapple with a range of feelings, from mood swings and increased sensitivity to the desire for autonomy, all of which are typical aspects of the adolescent journey. These multifaceted changes are an integral part of her transition from childhood to young adulthood.

Adolescence is a crucial stage in a child's development, marked by physical, emotional, and psychological changes. It is a period of identity formation, exploration, and building

a sense of self. The abrupt separation from her family during this critical phase has had a profound impact on the child's emotional well-being, as it disrupted the nurturing environment she had known for her entire life.

The Psychological Effects

The removal of the child from her family has left deep emotional scars. The parents, who had been frontline workers, were left heartbroken and distraught, unable to comprehend why their sacrifices for society had led to such an unjust outcome. The child, too, suffered immensely, facing a loss of trust in the system, anxiety, and depression. The incident has taken a toll on the mental health of all involved.

Lack of Empathy

This incident reflects a disturbing lack of empathy on the part of the child protection Finnish social workers involved. Instead of considering the family's exceptional circumstances, their devotion to patient care, and the child's developmental needs, they acted in a rigid, heartless manner. The failure to empathize with the family's situation has had far-reaching consequences.

Lack of a Scientific Approach

Social work is a discipline that should be guided by scientific principles, aiming to protect the well-being of individuals and families. In this case, it appears that the

decision was made arbitrarily, without a thorough assessment of the child's best interests. A scientific approach involves gathering data, conducting a comprehensive evaluation, and considering the long-term consequences of any intervention.

Lack of Legal Basis and Due Procedure


The removal of a child from her family should be governed by clear legal guidelines and due process. It appears that in this case, these essential legal safeguards were not adequately followed. Finnish social workers used ready-made formalities to frame the family and the child into their documents. Their decisions are not made under precise consideration but leaving them time to fill the blanks. They usually claim that their

decisions are in effect several days before the formal decisions are made. It means that the child will be under their compulsory control without any formal decisions, even if these are fabricated later.

Conclusion

The incident involving the removal of a child from her family, who were dedicated registered nurses caring for COVID-19 patients, has revealed significant shortcomings in the social welfare system. It highlights a lack of empathy, a failure to employ a scientific approach, and a deficit in adhering to legal procedures. This tragic incident calls for a comprehensive reevaluation of how we safeguard the rights and well-being of children and families in

times of crisis, ensuring that empathy, scientific rigor, and legal due process are at the core of every decision.



Chapter 5. Barbaric and Inhumane Actions



Introduction

The role of child protection Finnish social workers in safeguarding the welfare of children is a crucial one, designed to ensure that youngsters grow up in safe, nurturing environments. In some cases, the removal of

a child from their family is seen as a necessary intervention when parents engage in substance abuse or exhibit an inability to provide a suitable living environment.

In certain cases, child protection Finnish social workers exhibit a disconcerting tendency to unjustly dismantle families without any valid justification, appearing to adhere to a mechanistic approach that neglects the paramount importance of the child's well-being. This approach seems to prioritize quantity over quality, raising concerns about the genuine motivations behind such actions and the potential consequences for the affected families. Instances of unwarranted family separation highlight a need for a more compassionate and nuanced approach within the child protection system, one that genuinely

considers the best interests of the child and values the quality of care provided over mere procedural expediency.

This chapter delves into the troubling practices of Finnish social workers who indiscriminately remove children from prestigious families and examines the potential motives behind their actions.

The Prestigious Family Dilemma

Prestigious families are typically seen as affluent, educated, and well-connected, which often leads to the presumption that their children are in safe and nurturing environments. However, this assumption can sometimes work against these families, as their social status may lead to increased

scrutiny by Finnish social workers. Primary reasons are that they exploited the fact that they are immigrants and do not have many relatives in Finland and thus are vulnerable and lack the power of resistance and fight against their bullying and persecution. There have been many chances that they try to confirm that they do not have other relatives in Finland, and write this into their meeting transcripts and documents.

The Mechanistic Approach

In various cases, child protection Finnish social workers have faced allegations of adopting a mechanistic approach to their responsibilities, treating each case merely as a statistic rather than as a unique and intricate situation. This mechanical approach

often leads to decisions on removing children from their families without the thoughtful consideration required to discern the child's best interests. It is crucial to acknowledge that every family and child presents a distinctive narrative, and the ramifications of hastily made, thoughtless decisions can be profoundly distressing. This issue highlights the imperative for child protection systems to emphasize a more nuanced, individualized understanding of each family's circumstances to ensure that interventions genuinely serve the well-being of the child.

Fabricated Stories and Unjustified Removals

One of the most alarming aspects of this issue is the fabrication of stories or justification for

removing children from prestigious families. Such actions erode trust in the child welfare system and further damage the lives of innocent children and their families. It is essential that child protection Finnish social workers adhere to the highest ethical standards and ensure that their decisions are based on objective assessments rather than unfounded accusations.

Quantity Over Quality

The alarming trend observed among these Finnish social workers reveals a concerning emphasis on the quantity of children placed in foster care institutions, overshadowing the importance of ensuring the quality of care and support provided. This hints at a systemic problem within the child welfare

system, where the primary focus appears to be on filling vacancies in foster care institutions, potentially driven by financial incentives, rather than actively working towards aiding children and families in genuine need. Urgent attention is required to rectify this imbalance and prevent additional unjust separations, emphasizing the necessity of reevaluating the underlying motivations and practices within the child welfare framework.

Collaboration with Foster Care Institutions


The suspicion of collusion between child protection Finnish social workers and foster care institutions, perpetuating a cycle of unwarranted removals, raises significant

concerns. This potential collaboration introduces the risk of conflicts of interest, where the well-being of children may be compromised in favor of financial gain. It is crucial for the child welfare system to operate independently, free from external pressures, and solely dedicated to safeguarding the best interests of the children involved. The need for a thorough examination and, if necessary, restructuring of the relationship dynamics between Finnish social workers and foster care institutions is apparent to ensure the integrity of the child protection process.

Conclusion

The unjust removal of a child from a prestigious family by child protection Finnish social workers is a disturbing occurrence that

highlights serious flaws within the child welfare system. It is imperative that Finnish social workers approach their work with empathy, fairness, and a thorough understanding of each family's unique circumstances. Accusations must be based on evidence rather than fabricated stories, and the best interests of the child should always be at the forefront of any decision.



Chapter 6. Arbitrary Decision-Making



Introduction

Child welfare services play a crucial role in safeguarding the well-being and rights of children and their families. However, when Finnish social workers make arbitrary decisions without transparency,

communication, or factual basis, it can have a profound impact on families. In this chapter, we examine a deeply distressing incident where a child was removed from school solely due to emotional distress caused by a family loss. The lack of transparency, communication, and the unilateral nature of the decision-making process are reminiscent of authoritarian rule and undermine the principles of fairness, compassion, and due process in child welfare services.

The Incident: Lack of Transparency and Sensitivity

The incident in question revolves around the removal of a child from school following the emotional distress she experienced due to the loss of her grandmother. The decision to

remove the child was not only insensitive but also carried out with a complete lack of transparency and communication. Child protection Finnish social workers failed to engage in any meaningful dialogue with the parents, depriving them of the opportunity to discuss and understand the reasons behind the decision.

Unilateral Decision-Making

What makes this incident particularly distressing is the arbitrary and unilateral decision-making process employed by the child protection Finnish social workers. Instead of fostering collaboration and open communication, they issued an arrogant notice of removal, devoid of any factual basis but referencing vague provisions of law. This

authoritarian approach bypassed the need for due process, objectivity, and fairness.

Violation of Fairness and Due Process

The violation of fairness and due process within child welfare services is a serious concern. The principles of fairness, compassion, and due process are foundational to a just system. However, when child protection Finnish social workers make arbitrary decisions without adequate communication and justification, it not only undermines the credibility of the entire system but also inflicts unwarranted distress on families. Moreover, such actions unjustly impact the education and overall well-being of the child, emphasizing the urgent need for a thorough review and reform of these

practices to ensure a more just and compassionate child welfare system.

The Necessity of Transparency and Dialogue


Transparency and open communication are fundamental principles that should guide child welfare services. Parents have the right to understand the reasons behind decisions impacting their children and should be given the opportunity to address any concerns or misunderstandings. In the present case, the lack of dialogue and transparency has resulted in confusion, distress, and the unwarranted disruption of the child's education. Re-establishing a commitment to transparent communication is imperative for rebuilding trust between child protection

Finnish social workers and the families they serve. It ensures that decisions are not only just but are also understood and accepted by all parties involved.

Conclusion

The incident involving the arbitrary actions of Finnish social workers highlights the importance of transparency, communication, and fairness in child welfare services. The lack of sensitivity, unilateral decision-making, and absence of due process undermine the principles of compassion and justice that should guide child welfare. It is imperative that such incidents are thoroughly investigated to ensure that the principles of fairness, compassion, and due process are upheld and that families are not

subjected to arbitrary decisions that unjustly affect their children's education and well-being.



Chapter 7. Authoritarian Approach



Introduction

Child protection and family law systems are designed to ensure that the rights of individuals and families are respected and that decisions are made based on evidence, fairness, and due process. However, there are

instances where child protection Finnish social workers adopt an authoritarian approach, leading them to act based on their own beliefs, make unsupported assertions, and disregard legal standards, regulations, and due process. This chapter explores the erosion of due process and legal standards in child protection, emphasizing the importance of maintaining a commitment to evidence-based decision-making and respecting individuals' rights.

The Authoritarian Approach

The removal of Sossudie from their family was executed without due consideration of factual evidence and adherence to proper procedures by the Finnish social workers. Instead of conducting a thorough analysis of

the situation and evaluating the unique circumstances of their family, the Finnish social workers relied on a mere citation of legal provisions. This simplistic approach could be likened to anyone capable of reading the text, including a daycare child who has been taught to read. The lack of nuanced understanding and depth in their decision-making process highlights a concerning disregard for the complexities involved in child protection cases. It raises questions about the competency and professionalism of the Finnish social workers entrusted with such critical responsibilities.

An authoritarian approach by child protection Finnish social workers leads to decisions and actions that are based on personal beliefs rather than evidence. This approach results in Finnish social workers

asserting the truth of their claims without providing substantive evidence to support their assertions. It undermines the principles of fairness, objectivity, and transparency that should guide the child protection system.

Ignoring Legal Standards and Due Process

One of the key foundations of any legal system, including child protection and family law, is adherence to legal standards and due process. These standards are in place to ensure that decisions are made fairly, transparently, and in accordance with the law. When child protection Finnish social workers ignore legal standards and due process, they breach the rights of individuals

and families, disregarding the protections and safeguards that should be in place.

The Burden of Proof

In the realm of child protection and family law, it is a fundamental principle that the burden of proof rests on the authorities, especially Finnish social workers. Their responsibility is to furnish compelling evidence substantiating their decisions and assertions, thereby guaranteeing that their actions are rooted in a thorough and objective evaluation of the circumstances. This principle is designed to uphold the principles of justice and fairness, requiring those responsible for making crucial decisions about a child's welfare to adhere to a rigorous standard of proof.

By placing the burden of proof on the authorities, it establishes a safeguard against arbitrary actions and decisions that lack a solid foundation. It reinforces the idea that the well-being and future of a child should not be subject to whims or baseless claims but should instead be grounded in a comprehensive and substantiated assessment of the facts. This principle becomes even more crucial when considering the potential life-altering consequences of decisions related to child removals and family separations.

In their case, the child protection Finnish social workers appear to rely heavily on their own conjectures, fabrications, and falsifications, often without any substantive basis. Rather than grounding their decisions in verifiable facts and evidence, they resort to

imaginative narratives that may not accurately reflect the reality of their family situation. This approach not only lacks the necessary objectivity and thorough investigation required in child protection cases but also raises serious concerns about the reliability of their claims.

Furthermore, there are instances where these Finnish social workers engage in manipulating the child, coercing testimonies that align with their predetermined narratives. This manipulation not only compromises the integrity of the information gathered but also infringes upon the child's right to express their genuine feelings and experiences. It underscores a systemic issue within the child protection system, where the pursuit of truth takes a backseat to preconceived notions and biased perspectives,

further jeopardizing the well-being of both the child and the family.

The Importance of Evidence-Based Decision-Making

The cornerstone of a fair and effective child protection and family law system lies in evidence-based decision-making. It is imperative that child protection Finnish social workers adhere to a standard where they are obligated to present tangible evidence substantiating their decisions. These decisions should, in turn, be founded on a comprehensive and unbiased evaluation of the circumstances at hand.

An authoritarian approach that dismisses the importance of evidence not only


undermines the credibility of the entire child protection system but also creates a fertile ground for unjust and potentially harmful decisions. Without a robust reliance on evidence, there is a risk of arbitrary actions that lack the necessary justification, potentially leading to severe consequences for the children and families involved. This approach contradicts the principles of justice, fairness, and due process that should guide child protection practices, highlighting the critical need for a commitment to evidence-based decision-making in safeguarding the welfare of children.

Conclusion

The erosion of due process and legal standards in child protection and family law

is a cause for concern. The authoritarian approach adopted by some Finnish social workers leads to decisions that lack a factual basis, ignore legal standards, and breach the rights of individuals and families.

It is essential to uphold evidence-based decision-making, respect the burden of proof, and ensure that the child protection system operates with fairness, objectivity, and adherence to the law.



Chapter 8. Captives



The act of forcibly separating children from their families in the child protection system can be likened to capturing animals in captivity. This distressing parallel involves depriving children of their natural environment and familial bonds, confining them within a system that may not prioritize their well-being. Much like captive animals,

these children may experience emotional trauma, isolation, and a sense of loss. The comparison highlights the gravity of such actions, emphasizing the need for ethical and humane treatment within child protection practices.

Based on their wild animal thinking, Finnish social workers change the placement places based on their own preferences, needs and interests. The child's benefit, emotional need, and will have been completely ignored.
Finnish social workers do not regard children as human beings.

Frequent changes in a child's living arrangements can have profound and adverse effects on their overall well-being. The disruption of stability, continuity, and a sense of belonging can lead to emotional and

psychological distress, hindering the child's healthy development. Finnish law rightly emphasizes the best interests of the child as a guiding principle in child protection matters. Therefore, any decisions involving changes in placements should be rigorously evaluated to ensure strict adherence to legal principles.

It is crucial to scrutinize the reasons behind these frequent changes and assess their compliance with established legal standards. If such changes are found to be inconsistent with the best interests of the child, it raises serious concerns about the decision-making processes within the child protection system. The law seeks to provide a framework that prioritizes the child's welfare, and deviations from this principle warrant careful

examination and correction to prevent further harm to the child.

The change of the places is completely based on Finnish social workers' preferences. During the process, they take such means as brainwashing, cheating, lies, threats, bribery in the name of weekly allowance, fabricating good aspects of the new foster place, and so on. They are completely unreliable. Any change only serves their own interest but not the child's interest. The only good interest for the child is for her to come home.

Child protection Finnish social workers' criminal actions were realized through lies, falsification and fabrication.

The egregious conduct of child protection Finnish social workers, characterized by a


web of lies, falsifications, and fabrications, has transformed this case into a narrative of criminal actions. The foundation of their actions rests on a continuous stream of deceit, where the truth has been obscured and manipulated to suit their agenda. This pattern of behavior not only violates the trust placed in these Finnish social workers but also inflicts significant harm on the lives they are meant to protect. The extent of their deceit paints a disturbing picture of an agency entrusted with safeguarding children engaging in criminal conduct at the expense of vulnerable families.

As the layers of lies begin to unravel, it becomes evident that the Finnish social workers involved in this case have engaged in a sustained and intentional effort to deceive. Lies, often perpetuated through falsifications

and fabrications, undermine the very essence of justice and the integrity of the child protection system. The consequences of such deceit are far-reaching, affecting not only the specific individuals involved but also tarnishing the reputation of the entire child protection apparatus. The realization of these criminal actions serves as a stark reminder of the urgent need for accountability and reform within the child protection sector.

This case stands as a testament to the resilience of truth, and the world will eventually bear witness to the criminal actions perpetrated by these child protection Finnish social workers. The unveiling of their lies and manipulations will not only expose the extent of their wrongdoing but will also prompt a critical examination of the child protection system to prevent such abuses

from occurring in the future. The quest for justice demands a reckoning with the criminality that underpins these actions and a commitment to reforming a system that has been exploited for deceptive and harmful purposes.



Chapter 9. Doubtful “Family Home”



The legal status of Perhekoti Elämän Helmi, especially regarding the involvement of professionals in its operation, is an important matter that should be clarified to ensure transparency and compliance with ethical and legal standards.

When she was in Perhekoti Elämän Helmi, a company established to house fostered children placed by child protection Finnish social workers, there were many doubtful practices. According to Finnish social workers Anitta Jokinen and Katariina Takkinen, the Perhekoti was operated by a professional doctor and a professional nurse, who are working at the same time as professionals elsewhere. Whether they operate the Perhekoti is an unclear legal problem. However, Finnish social workers including those from Lännen Lastensuojelu Lassila 1 and those from Kumpulantie 1 know their legal status (working as professionals and at the same time operating the business), and for many years placed children into their business.

Another problem is that, in April 2023, Sossudie was given a week's psychiatric medicine in one morning and she took all the week's medicines. She was poisoned and became ill. She had to receive treatment in hospital for two weeks, followed by a weekly visit after that. Any evaluation of her health status was concealed from parents by both the Perhekoti, Finnish social workers and doctors and nurses involved in the event. They got no information except the news of her poisoning.

Foster families provided her with only a minimum level of food and second-hand clothes. They sued against child protection Finnish social workers' several decisions about her placement in administrative court. However, the administrative court collaborated with Finnish social workers to

press Sossudie to write in support of Finnish social workers and against parents. Because she was under control of Finnish social workers, her testimony should be announced invalid. The court should stop pressuring Sossudie to write in support of Finnish social workers, any mistake must be corrected according to law, not according to the will of Finnish social workers. She herself is a victim of such fake child protection pretending to protect the child's benefit.

Here are key points to consider:

Licensing and Regulation: Perhekoti Elämän Helmi, as a facility providing care for fostered children, should adhere to relevant licensing and regulatory requirements established by Finnish authorities. This includes ensuring that it operates in

accordance with child welfare laws and standards.

Professional Conduct: If professionals, such as doctors and nurses, are involved in the operation of Perhekoti Elämän Helmi, it is crucial to determine whether their dual roles as professionals and facility operators are in compliance with ethical and legal standards. Conflicts of interest should be carefully evaluated.

Confidentiality and Privacy: Any involvement of professionals in the facility should uphold the principles of confidentiality and privacy, particularly concerning the personal information and medical records of the children under their care.

Quality of Care: The quality of care provided by the facility should meet or exceed established standards for the well-being and development of fostered children.

Transparency: Transparency regarding the ownership, management, and staffing of the facility is essential to ensure accountability and compliance with legal requirements.

Ethical Concerns: Any potential ethical concerns arising from the involvement of professionals should be addressed, including whether their roles in the facility align with their professional obligations and ethical codes of conduct.

Review and Oversight: Finnish authorities responsible for child welfare and licensing should conduct regular reviews and oversight to ensure that facilities like Perhekoti


Elämän Helmi are operating in accordance with applicable laws and ethical standards.

Parental Rights: Parents should have the right to be informed about the conditions and operations of the facility where their child is placed, including any involvement of professionals.

In Spring of 2023, Sossudie was placed into the Perhekoti, supposed to be placed until September 2026. However, in Summer of 2023, the child was suddenly notified that she would be moved to Hyvösen Lastenkoti. They gave the excuse that the Perhekoti will be closed. However, the child became the first to be moved out and no further information about the moving of other children given. The Perhekoti is operating normally until today.

It can be well compared that the private child protection industry to a criminal cartel, while the Finnish social workers are their official umbrellas.

The role of child protection Finnish social workers in the operation of the Perhekoti must be investigated by independent institutions not involved in the removal and placement of Sossudie.



Chapter 10. Importance of Family



Introduction

The fundamental importance of family life, parents' love, and family bonds in a child's development and well-being cannot be overstated. While foster care can provide a safe and supportive environment for children

in need, it cannot fully substitute the unique and irreplaceable role that a biological family plays in a child's life. This chapter explores the critical role of family in a child's development, emphasizing the significance of family as the foundation for a child's emotional, psychological, and social growth. It also underlines the importance of prioritizing family reunification when it is safe and in the child's best interest.

Family as the Foundation

Family serves as the cornerstone of a child's emotional, psychological, and social development. It is within the family that children first experience love, care, and attachment. These foundational experiences shape a child's understanding of the world,

their self-esteem, and their capacity to form healthy relationships.

Parental Love and Guidance

Parents offer a depth of love, guidance, and support that is unparalleled. They provide emotional nourishment and a safe haven for children to explore, learn, and grow. Parents play a pivotal role in nurturing a child's emotional intelligence, self-esteem, and sense of belonging, all of which are essential for healthy development.

Family Bonds

Family bonds provide a sense of identity, belonging, and cultural connection that is

deeply ingrained in a child's sense of self. The traditions, values, and shared experiences within a family help children develop a strong sense of identity and cultural belonging that shape their worldview.

Developmental Milestones

The family unit plays a pivotal role in a child's developmental journey, influencing their early learning, education, and socialization. Within the family, children absorb essential life skills and values that become the bedrock for their future success.

The bond between parents and children is irreplaceable, providing a nurturing environment where children can grow, learn, and thrive. Any disruption to this fundamental relationship can have profound

and lasting effects on a child's well-being and development. It is crucial to recognize and prioritize the significance of the family in shaping a child's journey toward a healthy and fulfilling life.

Safety and Stability

The constancy and familiarity inherent in family life are integral to a child's sense of safety and stability, forming the cornerstone of healthy development. A secure and stable family environment lays the groundwork for children to thrive and grow. When children are surrounded by the love, care, and support of their family, it fosters a sense of belonging and security that positively influences their emotional, social, and cognitive development. Recognizing the vital role that family plays in

providing a stable foundation for children is crucial for promoting their overall well-being and ensuring a positive trajectory for their future.

Social Network

Families serve as a natural social network, encompassing not only immediate family members but also extended family and close friends. This expansive network plays a pivotal role in enriching a child's social experiences and support system. Interactions with relatives and family friends provide children with diverse perspectives, cultural influences, and a sense of community. These relationships contribute significantly to a child's social and emotional development by fostering a strong sense of belonging,

empathy, and interpersonal skills. The interconnected web of familial bonds creates a nurturing environment that enhances a child's ability to navigate and thrive in various social settings.

Prioritizing Family Reunification


While foster care can provide a nurturing and stable environment for children who may be temporarily or permanently separated from their biological families due to extenuating circumstances, it is essential to recognize that it cannot replicate the bonds and connections that come from a child's own family. Efforts should always be made to prioritize family reunification when it is safe and in the child's best interest. The importance of a loving and supportive family

as a cornerstone of a child's development should guide decisions in the child protection system to ensure the child's overall well-being and happiness.

Conclusion

The value of family life in a child's development cannot be overstated. The love, guidance, and support provided by parents, along with the sense of identity and belonging fostered by family bonds, are irreplaceable. While foster care can be a necessary and temporary solution in certain situations, the importance of prioritizing family reunification when it is safe and in the child's best interest should be a guiding principle in the child protection system. Child protection Finnish social workers should

recognize and honor the fundamental importance of a normal family life, ensuring the well-being and happiness of the child remain the foremost concern.



Chapter 11. Normal Family Life



Introduction

Normal family life is marked by a rich tapestry of interactions, occasionally punctuated by conflicts, between parents and children. These interactions are an integral part of the family dynamic, providing

opportunities for growth, learning, and the development of essential life skills. In this chapter, we will explore the intricate nature of family relationships, the potential for conflicts, and how these moments can be transformative opportunities for communication, problem-solving, and ultimately strengthening the bonds of trust and love within the family.

The Natural Occurrence of Conflicts

Family interactions are a microcosm of the larger world, as they involve individuals with differing perspectives, needs, and expectations. These differences can naturally lead to conflicts within the family unit. Conflicts in families can vary widely, from minor disagreements about everyday matters

like chores or curfews to more significant issues related to values, boundaries, and life choices.

Conflict as an Opportunity for Growth

Conflicts, although often viewed negatively, present invaluable opportunities for growth, particularly within the family dynamic. In the context of family life, conflicts can act as catalysts for improved communication, enhanced understanding, and the development of essential problem-solving skills. When family members confront and address conflicts in a constructive manner, they not only foster a deeper understanding of one another but also cultivate the ability to compromise and identify common ground. These conflict resolution skills, honed within

the family unit, become vital life skills that individuals carry into their broader social interactions and future endeavors.

Strengthening Family Bonds

When approached with a constructive mindset, conflicts within a family can pave the way for a deeper understanding and stronger connections among its members. Families that navigate conflicts together often emerge with fortified bonds of trust and love. The process of addressing disagreements and tensions becomes a shared opportunity for growth and mutual learning, fostering an environment of support and unity within the family.


Navigating the Wider World

The skills developed in navigating conflicts within the family unit are invaluable in the broader context of life. They equip individuals with the ability to manage differences and disputes in their interactions with the wider world. The family, as a training ground for these essential life skills, prepares its members for the challenges they will face beyond the home.

Conclusion

Normal family life is a complex tapestry of interactions and conflicts. While conflicts may initially appear as disruptions, they are, in reality, opportunities for growth, learning, and the development of vital life skills.

Family members should view conflicts as chances to improve communication, enhance understanding, and reinforce the bonds of trust and love that underpin family relationships. The ebb and flow of interactions and conflicts within a family contribute to its growth and resilience, equipping each member with the skills they need to navigate the wider world with confidence and empathy.



Chapter 12. Parent-Youth Relationships




During adolescence, youths often undergo significant physical, emotional, and psychological changes as they transition into adulthood. One of the natural and common aspects of this transformation is a growing need for independence and autonomy. It's

normal for teenagers to pursue greater independence and sometimes assert their autonomy by saying "no" to their parents. This desire for greater independence can sometimes lead to a reluctance to meet or contact parents as frequently as before.

However, it's important to emphasize that this typical behavior should not be a reason for child protection Finnish social workers to remove a teenager from their family without a factual and legal basis and due procedure. This phase is driven by hormonal changes, increased social interactions, and the exploration of personal identity.

While it may be challenging for parents, understanding and respecting the need for space and self-expression during this phase is crucial for maintaining a healthy parent-

child relationship. Open communication, patience, and offering support when needed are essential in navigating this period of growth and change in a way that fosters a strong and positive connection between parents and their adolescents.



Chapter 13. Family Life Ignored



As time passes, she becomes a stranger in front of parents. Because child protection Finnish social workers told her that parents are violent and family is not good, she hates parents and does not want to visit home. This also happens whenever Finnish social

workers meet her, that she will not like to meet parents after the meeting with Finnish social workers. Child protection Finnish social workers brainwashed her that “ytheir family is not safe” and “your parents do not cooperate with Finnish social workers.”

The resemblance is striking when kidnappers issue threats to hostages, asserting that their family members are unwilling or unable to meet ransom demands.


Child protection Finnish social workers continuously and repeatedly isolated and alienated the child from her parents. Whenever the child meets with Finnish social workers, she has to comply with the Finnish social workers’ arbitrary requirements and reduce contact with parents. Whenever the

Finnish social workers do not contact her for a long time, she becomes very close to parents. Child protection Finnish social workers tell her only about the negative aspects of the family and parents, even if these aspects are a part of normal family life.

They also threaten her that if she comes home, their family will be violent and she will commit suicide. They tell her that in such circumstances, they will not be responsible for the result. Apparently, child protection Finnish social workers instigate her to commit suicide if parents ask her to come home. This kind of instigation repeated many times. This way, the child becomes always anxious and nervous when they mention the term “going home”.

Child protection Finnish social workers' psychological tricks play a critical role in isolating and alienating the child from parents.

This should be thoroughly investigated within the context of Finnish law, which generally prioritizes the preservation of family connections.



Chapter 14. Destructive Effects



Introduction

Child protection issues that lead to contentious legal battles can have far-reaching and devastating effects on families, particularly mothers who often bear the brunt of the emotional and practical

consequences. The traumatic experience of having a child removed from the family or ongoing legal battles can have a profound impact on the well-being and stability of the family unit. This chapter will delve into the destructive effects these situations can have on families, acknowledging that the effects extend to all family members, and not limited to the mother alone.

Trauma

The experience of having a child forcibly removed from the family or engaging in prolonged legal battles is inherently traumatic. These distressing experiences can leave emotional scars that linger, impacting every member of the family, including the mother. The psychological toll of navigating

through the complexities of legal proceedings and the uncertainty surrounding the child's well-being can be profound, leading to heightened stress, anxiety, and emotional distress for the mother. The effects of such trauma extend beyond the legal realm, permeating various aspects of the family's life and challenging their emotional resilience.

Depression and Distress

The emotional toll inflicted by contentious child protection issues frequently manifests in conditions such as depression, chronic distress, and an overarching sense of hopelessness. Mothers, in particular, often bear the brunt of the emotional weight associated with these situations. The constant anxiety about the well-being of their

child, coupled with the strain of legal battles and uncertain outcomes, can exacerbate mental health challenges. The pervasive nature of these difficulties can have a profound impact on a mother's overall well-being, affecting her ability to cope with the complex and emotionally draining circumstances surrounding child protection issues.

Strained Family Bonds

The family unit can become fractured as it grapples with the stress and grief associated with contentious child protection issues. Relationships between parents, siblings, and extended family members can become strained, further complicating the family's dynamics.

Cut Social Network

The fallout from the removal of a child from the family often extends beyond legal battles and emotional turmoil, infiltrating the very social fabric that families rely on for support. Faced with the stigma associated with such situations or overwhelmed by the demands of their circumstances, families may find themselves withdrawing from friends and community networks. The choice to isolate themselves is often a protective measure, shielding them from judgment or intrusive inquiries, but it comes at a cost.

Social isolation becomes a double-edged sword, exacerbating the family's distress while simultaneously serving as a coping mechanism. The severed connections to

friends and community support mean that families must navigate their challenges largely in isolation. The absence of a robust social network deprives them of the understanding, empathy, and shared experiences that could provide solace during such trying times. The stigma attached to the removal of a child further compounds the isolation, as families grapple not only with legal battles and emotional upheaval but also with the weight of societal judgment.

Lost Friendships

Long-standing friendships may deteriorate or be lost entirely as the emotional strain makes it difficult to maintain social connections. The mother, in particular, may find it challenging

to engage with her support network as she copes with the situation.

Work Passion and Productivity

The distressing situation, stemming from prolonged child protection issues, can have profound repercussions on a mother's professional life. The emotional strain and mental anguish associated with the legal battles and uncertainties about the child's welfare may infiltrate the workplace, impacting her passion for the job and overall productivity. As the weight of personal challenges intensifies, the ability to focus on professional responsibilities may diminish, leading to a decline in job satisfaction and performance.

This spillover effect from personal to professional life not only hampers the mother's career but also adds an additional layer of hardship for the entire family. Professional setbacks, whether in the form of diminished career prospects or strained work relationships, contribute to the overall challenges faced by the family unit. The intertwined nature of personal and professional well-being underscores the holistic impact that prolonged child protection issues can have on the lives of individuals and their families.

Destruction of Family Life

The removal of a child from the family strikes at the very core of family life, unleashing a cascade of disruptions that reverberate

through every aspect of daily existence. Routines meticulously built over time are suddenly upended, and cherished family traditions lose their luster. The once-familiar landscape of family life transforms into a battleground of legal complexities and emotional upheaval.

The disruption extends beyond the child alone; it permeates the lives of every family member. Siblings grapple with the absence of a cherished companion, parents endure the weight of uncertainty and grief, and the family unit as a whole is thrust into an unfamiliar and distressing reality. The void left by the child's removal casts a long shadow, affecting the foundational elements that constitute a family's sense of identity and togetherness.

In the absence of the removed child, attempts to find stability and recreate a semblance of normalcy become arduous tasks. The family must navigate the intricate legal intricacies while grappling with the emotional toll of separation. The disruption of routines and traditions, once taken for granted, becomes a poignant reminder of the profound impact that unjust removals can have on the intricate fabric of family life.

Legal and Financial Burdens

The prolonged legal battles coupled with the financial strain, including mounting legal fees, further compound the distress experienced by the family, pushing them to the brink of financial instability. The parents, already grappling with the emotional toll of

the situation, now find themselves burdened with the additional responsibility of managing the complex financial aspects associated with legal proceedings. The financial strain, in addition to the emotional upheaval, paints a dire picture of the multifaceted challenges faced by families ensnared in prolonged child protection issues.

The financial burdens, coupled with the emotional toll, can create a sense of helplessness and despair among parents as they navigate the intricate web of legal complexities. The stark reality of the financial strain becomes an additional layer of adversity, further complicating the already challenging process of fighting for their child's rights and attempting to restore normalcy to their disrupted lives.

Health Consequences

The prolonged and arduous child protection issues have far-reaching consequences, taking a toll on both the mental well-being and physical health of mothers. As they confront the unrelenting challenges inherent in these circumstances, mothers may experience a decline in their overall health. Navigating complex legal battles, enduring the emotional strain of the situation, and facing the perpetual uncertainty surrounding the fate of their child can translate into tangible physical manifestations.


This underscores the intricate connection between mental and physical well-being, illustrating that the repercussions of prolonged stress and adversity extend beyond

emotional distress to impact the overall health of individuals grappling with such challenges.

Conclusion

The effects of contentious child protection issues on families, particularly mothers, are profound and wide-ranging. It is crucial to recognize the significant challenges that families face in such situations and to provide the necessary support and resources to help them navigate these difficult times. The emotional and practical consequences of such situations can have a lasting impact, and it is imperative that society acknowledges and addresses these effects, working to minimize the trauma and burdens placed on families as

they grapple with the complexities of child protection issues.



Chapter 15. Manipulation and Isolation



Introduction

The deliberate infliction of psychological, physical, and social harm upon a child is a deeply troubling and ethically reprehensible phenomenon. Children, as vulnerable individuals, rely on the trust and care of

those in positions of authority, such as parents, caregivers, and child protection Finnish social workers, to ensure their well-being. It is imperative that the welfare of children always remains the foremost concern, and that any actions causing harm to them are unequivocally condemned and addressed.

Psychological Harm

Psychological harm inflicted upon a child through deliberate actions is a deeply insidious form of abuse, leaving enduring scars on their mental health. The intentional undermining of a child's emotional development and self-worth can have profound and lasting consequences, extending far beyond the immediate

circumstances. The psychological trauma inflicted upon the child can manifest in various ways, including the development of mental health issues, impaired self-esteem, and hindered emotional growth.

The consequences of psychological harm may not be immediately apparent, but they can significantly impact the child's overall well-being throughout their life. It creates a foundation of emotional vulnerability that can influence their relationships, decision-making abilities, and overall mental health. Recognizing the gravity of psychological harm is crucial in understanding the depth of the impact that abusive actions can have on a child's psychological and emotional development. Efforts to address and prevent such harm must be a paramount

consideration in child protection and welfare initiatives.

Physical Harm

Physical harm inflicted upon a child poses immediate and severe risks to their physical well-being and safety. Not only is it morally unacceptable, but it is also strictly prohibited by law to subject a child to physical abuse. Acts of physical abuse endanger a child's health and can result in a range of physical injuries and trauma that may have enduring and sometimes lifelong effects.

The long-term effects can extend beyond the physical realm, impacting the child's mental health and overall development. Experiencing physical abuse can lead to

emotional trauma, heightened anxiety, and difficulties in forming healthy relationships. Recognizing the gravity of physical harm is essential in both legal and ethical contexts, emphasizing the need for stringent measures to prevent and address any form of physical abuse against children.

Social Harm

Social harm, which includes actions like isolation and alienation, disrupts a child's ability to form healthy relationships and inhibits their social development. Social connections and support systems are essential for a child's growth, and deliberate actions aimed at severing these ties have detrimental consequences for their social and emotional well-being.


Accountability and Protection

Harming a child in any form should never be tolerated, and those responsible must be held accountable under the law. Society has a moral obligation to prioritize the protection and well-being of children, ensuring that their rights and safety are upheld. It is paramount to identify situations in which children are subjected to harm and to provide them with the support and care they need to heal and thrive.

Conclusion

The deliberate infliction of psychological, physical, and social harm upon a child is a grave violation of their rights and welfare.

Children are particularly vulnerable to harm, and their well-being should always be paramount. It is our collective responsibility to protect children from harm, hold those responsible accountable, and provide them with the support and care necessary to heal and thrive. By doing so, we can ensure that all children grow up in environments that nurture their physical, emotional, and social health, allowing them to reach their full potential.



Chapter 16. Manipulated Diagnosis



One of Finnish social workers' most poisonous tricks is that nearly every removed child is being fed psychiatric drugs. As a registered nurse with 35 years of experience in pediatrics and internal medicine, I know well that when a child is taken away from

family, she suffers from psychological trauma, insomnia, tension, depression, disappointment, irritability, loneliness, helplessness, missing parents, emotional instability, sometimes unconditional obedience and tolerance, crying and even self-harm. Finnish social workers abducted so many children and they also know this situation well. However, they seek psychiatrists to prescribe psychiatric drugs to suppress these children.

Even though Sossudie does not have any disease, during her placement, her diagnosis of diseases was manipulated by child protection Finnish social workers, psychologists, and doctors, and she was fed psychiatric drugs, including Ketipinor which was not permitted to be administered to underage children in Finland. She became a


drug tester by cheating and forcing workers in the third place where she stayed to collaborate with child protection Finnish social workers and doctors. Parents' participation and supervision was rejected completely. Finnish social workers continuously and repeatedly isolated and alienated child from parents and family. Her family life was completely rejected.

Ketipinor is a brand name for the medication quetiapine. Quetiapine is an antipsychotic medication that is primarily used to treat conditions such as schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder in adults. It is not typically prescribed for children unless the benefits clearly outweigh the potential risks, and its usage in pediatric populations is often carefully monitored.

The administration of psychiatric drugs to a child, particularly those not permitted for underage children, raises serious ethical and legal concerns. Additionally, the manipulation of the child's medical diagnosis is a matter that warrants thorough investigation and review. Here are some critical factors in their case for investigation:

A group of qualified independent attorneys who specialize in medical ethics, child protection, and family law should be consulted. They can help investigate these issues, advocate for Sossudie's rights, and ensure that any medical treatment decisions comply with legal and ethical standards in Finland. Additionally, they can assist in seeking a comprehensive review of the child's

medical history and treatment to safeguard her well-being. The original persons should be absolutely avoided, including those child protection Finnish social workers, psychologists, and doctors who collaborated in the removal and placement of the child into foster care institutions established as a business chain for making profit through obtaining state subsidies and distorting parents' payments.



Chapter 17. Educational Impact




The impact of the child's involvement with child protection Finnish social workers on her education is a crucial aspect that should be thoroughly evaluated, taking into account the significance of education and the child's overall well-being.


Their child in her adolescent age, has her own ideas and difficulties in following normal family rules. This has been witnessed by many people including workers in these foster places. However, child protection Finnish social workers baselessly blame us for mental violence and physical violence, which are fabricated, partly by the child under their misleading and pressure.

Child protection Finnish social workers and other persons involved in the event claim that they abused her, misled her not to abide by basic rules of family, school and society. They encourage her to fight against parents, saying that if they have rules about telephone use at night, they will report to Finnish social workers. They encourage her not to go to high school. They lured her only to go on a hobby,

where she learned only homosexuality,
protest, and so on.




Chapter 18. Brainwashing



The influence of external institutions and individuals who misguide teenagers and pressure them to adopt narrow ideas, such as those related to overemphasis on homosexuality, prostitution as a profession, diet choices like vegetarianism, and other beliefs, while rejecting alternative perspectives, is a serious concern. This

external influence can have a detrimental effect on the normal growth and development of teenagers, especially when it leads to their unjust removal from parents and placement into foster care by child protection Finnish social workers. Adolescence is a critical phase for identity formation, the acquisition of life skills, and the establishment of trust within the family unit. When misleading information shapes decisions that result in the separation of teenagers from their parents, it can disrupt the vital parent-child bonds crucial for emotional and psychological development. Such misjudgments may inadvertently lead to feelings of mistrust, confusion, and emotional distress for the affected teenagers. It is imperative for Finnish social workers and decision-makers to base their judgments on accurate, verified

information, placing the well-being and development of teenagers as the paramount concern throughout the decision-making process.



Chapter 19. Privacy Breach



The breach of a child's privacy during foster care is a matter of utmost concern and a violation of the child's rights. The situation of a child in foster care should be kept strictly confidential to protect their well-being and ensure their safety. It is the responsibility of

child protection Finnish social workers and other professionals involved to maintain the child's privacy as a top priority.

Unfortunately, when private individuals and institutions are informed about the child's situation without proper authorization or need-to-know, it not only jeopardizes the child's privacy but also undermines the trust and security that should exist within the foster care system.


Such breaches can lead to adverse consequences, including stigmatization, embarrassment, and potential harm to the child's emotional and psychological well-being. It is imperative that the confidentiality and privacy of children in foster care are rigorously upheld by those entrusted with

their care, to protect their rights and ensure their safe and healthy development.

For example, her situation was revealed to other private persons and institutions by child protection Finnish social workers, other workers in foster care, etc:

1. R-Kiosk in Kaari Kauppakeskus (the shop keeper told them when they went to pick up a letter)
2. Nuorten Ääni Toimitus (worker Ismo etc.)
3. Luoteis-Helsingin musiikkiopisto (a laulu opettaja and a pianon opettaja)
4. A daycare in Pasila (the contact information was not provided to us)

5. Two other shops (workers in Perhekoti Elämän Helmi accompanied Sossudie to visit the shops in summer of 2023 and revealed her private situation)
6. Many other cases.



Chapter 20. Social Neglect



Introduction

Institutionalized foster care often carries with it an unfair stigma and bias, perpetuating negative connotations and unwarranted discrimination against fostered children. The term "fostered child" is at times associated with being from a "youth prison,"

unfairly limiting opportunities and perpetuating unjust stereotypes. In this chapter, we will explore the need to challenge these biases and promote inclusivity and fairness in employment and volunteer opportunities, allowing all individuals to be evaluated based on their abilities and qualifications rather than preconceived notions.

The Unfair Stigma of Foster Care

The association of fostered children with negative stereotypes and stigma is unjust and unfounded. It is essential to recognize that being in foster care does not define a child's worth or potential. Fostered children are, like all individuals, unique and possess their own talents, abilities, and potential.

Unjust Discrimination in Employment and Volunteer Opportunities

Their child's experience of discrimination while seeking a summer job is a stark example of the unjust biases that fostered youth face. Despite volunteering at a daycare for several weeks, her application for a job was rejected. This rejection not only perpetuates the negative stereotypes associated with fostered children but also deprives her of opportunities to develop valuable skills and gain experience.


(Their child experienced serious discrimination in the pursuit of summer job. In the case of Sossudie, her application for a job in daycare was met with rejection, despite her having volunteered at the daycare for

several weeks. This situation is made even more frustrating by the daycare's refusal to provide her with a certificate as a volunteer.)

Conclusion

Challenging the prejudices and biases associated with fostered children is not only a matter of justice but also a fundamental aspect of promoting inclusivity and fairness in our society. Their child's experience of discrimination in the pursuit of a summer job is a stark reminder that they have work to do in addressing these issues. It is essential that institutions and society as a whole recognize the potential and worth of all individuals, enabling them to be evaluated based on their abilities and qualifications rather than preconceived notions. By doing so, we can

foster a more inclusive and just society that values the talents and potential of all its members, including fostered youth.



Chapter 21. Information Manipulation



Introduction

Transparency and legal oversight are fundamental principles in child welfare, ensuring that the rights and interests of children and their families are protected. However, in the case of the removal and

placement of Sossudie, a troubling lack of transparency and clear communication has left us in the dark about crucial information regarding Sossudie's health and well-being. This chapter delves into the importance of transparency and legal oversight in child welfare and the necessity of investigating issues that compromise these principles to safeguard the rights of children and parents.

The Role of Transparency

Transparency is a cornerstone of ethical and effective child welfare practices. Parents have a fundamental right to be fully informed about the decisions and interventions that impact their child's life. It not only upholds the principles of fairness and accountability but also fosters a relationship of trust

between parents and child protection Finnish social workers. When information is withheld or decisions are shrouded in secrecy, it creates an environment of uncertainty and anxiety for parents, hindering their ability to actively participate in the care and well-being of their child.

Moreover, transparency is crucial for safeguarding the best interests of the child. Open communication ensures that parents are aware of the rationale behind child protection decisions, allowing them to contribute valuable insights and perspectives. A transparent process encourages collaboration between Finnish social workers and parents, promoting a shared commitment to the child's welfare. It also serves as a check against arbitrary or unjust

actions, as decisions made in the open are subject to scrutiny and accountability.

In the absence of transparency, the child protection system risks perpetuating misunderstandings, exacerbating parental distress, and compromising the overall effectiveness of interventions. Therefore, fostering a culture of openness, clear communication, and information sharing is imperative for a child welfare system that genuinely prioritizes the well-being of both children and their families.

Legal Oversight in Child Welfare

Legal oversight is crucial in child welfare cases to ensure that decisions and actions are made in accordance with the law and in the

best interests of the child. It provides a system of checks and balances that prevents arbitrary or unjust actions and safeguards the rights of parents and children. The absence of legal oversight can result in decisions that are not based on due process and can compromise the rights of all parties involved.

Breach of Parental Rights

The lack of transparency and clear communication in their case has resulted in a significant breach of their parental rights. Parents have the right to be informed about the well-being and circumstances of their child, particularly when that child is under the care of child welfare authorities. This breach of parental rights can lead to

confusion, distress, and a sense of helplessness.

Detriment to the Best Interests of the Child

Transparency and legal oversight are not just matters of parental rights but also of the best interests of the child. When parents are uninformed or excluded from important decisions, it can affect the child's emotional and psychological well-being. The child's right to maintain a relationship with their parents is also at stake, and the absence of transparency can lead to an unnecessary disruption in their lives.


The Necessity of Investigation

Given the absence of transparency and legal oversight in their case, it is imperative that this court conducts a thorough investigation to rectify the situation. Transparency and legal oversight must be maintained to protect the rights and well-being of children and parents and to ensure that decisions are made in the best interests of the child.

Conclusion

Transparency and legal oversight are cornerstones of child welfare, safeguarding the rights and interests of children and parents. The lack of transparency and clear communication in their case has resulted in a significant breach of their parental rights and is detrimental to the best interests of Sossudie. An investigation is essential to

rectify the situation and ensure that proper legal oversight is maintained in child welfare proceedings, preserving the rights of children and parents while fostering fairness and justice.



Chapter 22. Testimony and Pressure



Introduction

In the realm of legal proceedings involving children, it is of paramount importance to uphold their rights, well-being, and dignity. Recent concerns raised by their family regarding the conduct of child protection

Finnish social workers have brought to light deeply troubling and ethically questionable practices. Child protection Finnish social workers exerting undue pressure on Sossudie to testify against her parents and manipulating her to provide testimonies for their benefit is a matter of great concern. Such actions not only violate the rights of the child but also undermine the integrity of the legal system itself. This chapter delves into the necessity of safeguarding the rights of children and conducting legal processes with the utmost sensitivity and respect for those rights.

The Importance of Protecting Children's Rights

Protecting the rights of children is paramount within any legal framework, and this extends to legal proceedings related to child welfare. Children, being vulnerable members of society, must be afforded special considerations to guarantee their safety and well-being. In the context of legal proceedings, it is imperative to uphold their rights and swiftly address any actions that may compromise these rights.

Coercing or manipulating a child to testify against their parents is a severe violation of ethical standards and legal principles. Such actions not only undermine the fundamental principles of fairness and justice but also contradict the core tenet of prioritizing the child's best interests. Children should not be used as pawns in legal battles, and their

testimonies should be obtained in a manner that is free from undue influence or pressure.

Ensuring a child-friendly legal environment, where their rights are protected, requires a careful balance between investigating potential concerns and respecting the child's emotional and psychological well-being. Any deviation from this balance risks perpetuating injustice and compromising the integrity of legal proceedings. Upholding the rights of children not only safeguards their immediate interests but also contributes to the overall legitimacy and effectiveness of the child protection system.

The Ethical and Legal Concerns

Undue pressure on a child to testify in favor of child protection Finnish social workers and against her parents represents a clear violation of ethical and legal standards. This manipulation not only infringes upon the child's right to make independent decisions but also poses a significant threat to her emotional well-being and psychological development. It is crucial to safeguard children from any form of undue influence and pressure that may result in distorted testimonies.

Children, as vulnerable individuals, require a protective legal environment that ensures their rights are upheld and their voices are heard without coercion. Any attempt to manipulate a child's testimony compromises the integrity of legal proceedings and jeopardizes the pursuit of justice. It is

imperative to prioritize the child's well-being, emotional stability, and right to express herself authentically when involving them in legal matters.

A commitment to ethical conduct and adherence to legal principles is essential in child protection cases. Upholding the child's rights to a fair and unbiased legal process is not only a legal obligation but also a moral imperative to safeguard the welfare and best interests of the child.

The Integrity of the Legal System

The foundation of a robust legal system rests upon principles of fairness, transparency, and the protection of the rights of all parties engaged in legal proceedings. Instances of

unethical practices, such as pressuring a child to testify against her parents, not only violate the fundamental principles of justice but also corrode the credibility of the entire legal system. Upholding the rights of the child, parents, and all individuals involved is paramount to maintaining the public's trust in the legal process.

Unethical actions, particularly those involving coercion of a child, compromise the integrity of legal proceedings and cast doubt on the objectivity and impartiality of the legal system. It is imperative to address such breaches promptly, ensuring that legal processes are conducted with the utmost respect for ethical standards. Protecting the rights of all parties involved not only upholds the principles of justice but also reinforces

public confidence in the fairness and reliability of the legal system.

Safeguarding the integrity of legal proceedings is a collective responsibility that requires a commitment to ethical conduct, transparency, and respect for the rights of everyone involved. By upholding these principles, the legal system can fulfill its role in delivering justice while maintaining the trust and confidence of the broader society.

Immediate Action and Investigation


It is imperative that this matter is investigated thoroughly and promptly to safeguard the rights and well-being of Sossudie. The legal process involving children must be conducted with the utmost

sensitivity and respect for their rights. Coercion or manipulation of a child to testify against her parents not only violates her rights but also undermines the integrity of the legal system. Swift action is necessary to rectify this situation and ensure that justice is served while protecting the child's rights.

Conclusion

Protecting the rights of children in legal proceedings is a fundamental principle that must be upheld. Concerns about child protection Finnish social workers exerting undue pressure on Sossudie to testify against her parents and manipulating her to provide testimonies for their benefit raise serious ethical and legal concerns. These actions not only violate the rights of the child but also

compromise the integrity of the legal system itself. It is essential that this matter is thoroughly investigated and addressed to ensure the protection of the child's rights and the upholding of the principles of justice and fairness in legal proceedings.



Chapter 23. Selfish Finnish social workers



Introduction

In the face of powerful child protection Finnish social workers who seem to operate outside the bounds of the law, while acting in the name of the state and claiming to act in the best interests of children, many helpless

families and children have been left with no choice but to resort to appeals, pleas, and cries for help. In their desperation, they find themselves confronting a system that appears to prioritize its own interests over the welfare of the very individuals it is meant to protect.

This distressing situation often leaves them feeling unheard and their pleas for justice and fairness futile. It is essential for the cause of justice and human rights that mechanisms are put in place to ensure that those entrusted with the responsibility of safeguarding children do so with the utmost integrity, transparency, and adherence to the rule of law, thus safeguarding the rights and dignity of families and children who depend on the system for their well-being.

The Vulnerable in Desperate Circumstances

The families and children who seek assistance from the legal system are typically in distressing and vulnerable circumstances, reaching out for help in times of dire need. However, their encounters with the social system, particularly child protection Finnish social workers, reveal a disconcerting power dynamic. These workers, entrusted with safeguarding the best interests of children, are perceived to wield authority in ways that appear arbitrary, unaccountable, and sometimes even malevolent. The unchecked power, authority, and, at times, narcissistic behavior of Finnish social workers contribute to a pronounced power imbalance. This

imbalance leaves families and children feeling disempowered in the face of a system that should ideally be their pillar of support and protection.

The existing power dynamics create an environment where families and children find themselves navigating a system that lacks transparency and accountability. The perceived arbitrariness and unchallengeable authority of Finnish social workers further compound the vulnerabilities of those seeking assistance. It becomes imperative to address these imbalances, ensuring that the child protection system operates with fairness, empathy, and a genuine commitment to the welfare of families and children. Re-establishing a more equitable power dynamic is essential for fostering trust and creating a system that genuinely serves

the best interests of those it is designed to protect.

Selfish Interests in the Child Protection Industry

The child protection industry, ostensibly established to safeguard the welfare of children and families, is increasingly marred by a concerning trend of selfish interests, particularly within the realm of private companies involved in this sector. What was once a noble pursuit to ensure the well-being of vulnerable children has, in certain instances, transformed into a profit-driven business, creating a disturbing misalignment with the original purpose of child protection.

Finnish social workers, often viewed as guardians of the welfare of children, have, in some cases, succumbed to selfish interests that compromise the integrity of the child protection system. Private companies operating within this industry may prioritize financial gains over the genuine well-being of children and families. The pursuit of profit can overshadow the ethical obligations inherent in child protection, leading to decisions that serve the interests of these companies rather than prioritizing the best interests of the children involved.

The selfishness exhibited by some Finnish social workers and their collaborators manifests in various ways. Financial incentives tied to the number of children placed in foster care institutions may inadvertently incentivize the separation of

families, as more placements can translate into increased profits for private entities. This shift in focus from the welfare of children to financial gains erodes the foundational principles of child protection, transforming it into a business where the well-being of children becomes secondary to monetary interests.

Furthermore, collaboration between Finnish social workers and private companies introduces a potential conflict of interest, where the drive for profit may compromise the objectivity and impartiality that should characterize child protection decisions. Such selfish interests not only undermine the original purpose of child protection but also erode public trust in the system. A child protection industry driven by financial gains rather than genuine concern for the welfare

of children represents a deviation from the moral and ethical principles that should guide this crucial sector. Addressing these selfish interests is imperative to restore the integrity of the child protection system and ensure that its primary focus remains the well-being of the children it is meant to serve.

The Imperative of Justice and Human Rights

The pillars of justice and human rights form the bedrock of any civilized society, embodying the principles of fairness, equality, and protection for the vulnerable. Safeguarding the well-being of individuals, particularly children, is a sacred duty that demands unwavering commitment to integrity and transparency. When those

entrusted with this crucial responsibility deviate from the rule of law and operate without oversight, the consequences are profound, constituting a direct infringement on the rights and dignity of families and children.

A society's commitment to justice and human rights is tested when those in positions of authority fail to uphold these principles. In such instances, not only do individual lives suffer the consequences, but the very essence of justice is compromised. The erosion of foundational values undermines the fabric of society, creating a rift between those entrusted with protection and the vulnerable populations they are meant to serve. Restoring faith in justice and human rights necessitates a renewed dedication to accountability, transparency, and an

unwavering commitment to upholding the dignity and rights of every individual, especially the most vulnerable among us.

Lack of Transparency and Accountability


In our specific case, the principles of transparency and accountability take on heightened significance. Unfortunately, the existing system lacks adequate mechanisms to ensure that the actions of Finnish social workers and the broader social services system undergo proper scrutiny and oversight. The current state of affairs leaves these rights vulnerable to potential abuse and unchecked arbitrary actions by Finnish social workers.

The absence of robust oversight mechanisms contributes to an environment where the actions of Finnish social workers can lack accountability. Without transparent processes and accountability measures, families are left without the reassurance that their rights will be upheld and that the actions of Finnish social workers will be subject to impartial evaluation.

Conclusion

The cries for justice and fairness from helpless families and children who find themselves contending with a seemingly unchecked social services system are a poignant reminder of the imperative of justice and human rights. It is essential that those entrusted with the responsibility of

safeguarding children do so with integrity, transparency, and a strict adherence to the rule of law. Due to a lack of such a mechanism, the system does not operate with the welfare of families and children as the paramount consideration. On the contrary, they maintains private interests of these Finnish social workers and their abnormal motivations.



Chapter 24. True Motives



Introduction

The welfare and well-being of children are paramount in child protection and welfare services. While some of the child protection Finnish social workers are dedicated professionals committed to safeguarding the best interests of children, there are instances

where certain child protection Finnish social workers may prioritize their own interests or engage in unethical behavior, potentially at the expense of the child's well-being. This chapter examines the importance of addressing unethical behavior in child welfare and the need for thorough investigations when such behavior is identified.

Child Welfare Professionals and Their Crucial Role

Child welfare professionals, including Finnish social workers, are entrusted with the vital responsibility of ensuring the welfare, safety, and development of children. Their role is to provide a supportive and nurturing environment for children who may

be facing difficult circumstances. This responsibility demands the highest ethical standards and a commitment to acting in the child's best interests.

The Presence of Unethical Behavior

Instances of unethical behavior within the child protection system, while not representative of the majority, are nonetheless concerning. In certain cases, child protection Finnish social workers may deviate from their ethical obligations, placing their own interests above the paramount concern for the well-being of the children they are entrusted to protect. This deviation from ethical standards has the potential to compromise the very essence of child welfare.

One troubling scenario involves collaborations between Finnish social workers and foster care families for personal gain. While foster care can provide a necessary and supportive environment for children in need, collaborations driven by personal interests can introduce conflicts that undermine the integrity of child protection decisions. Financial incentives or other personal benefits tied to fostering may sway decisions, leading to the inappropriate placement of children in situations that may not align with their best interests.

These actions, motivated by personal gain, create a breach of trust within the child protection system. The collaborative relationship between Finnish social workers and foster care families should be grounded in the shared commitment to the welfare of

children, free from ulterior motives. Instances where personal interests take precedence over the principles of child protection highlight the need for robust oversight, ethical training, and accountability measures within the child welfare profession. It is crucial to address such deviations promptly to maintain public trust and ensure that the child protection system remains dedicated to the well-being of the children it serves.

Some examples of potential unethical behavior by Finnish social workers:

Lack of Transparency: Finnish social workers withholding information or not being transparent about their actions and decisions, leading to confusion and mistrust.

Breach of Confidentiality: Sharing confidential information about clients without their consent, violating their right to privacy.

Bias and Discrimination: Allowing personal biases or discriminatory attitudes to influence decisions, potentially leading to unequal treatment based on factors such as race, gender, or socioeconomic status.

Collaboration for Personal Gain: Engaging in collaborations with foster care institutions or other entities for personal financial gain, compromising the primary focus on the best interests of the child.

Manipulation of Testimonies: Pressuring children or family members to provide testimonies that align with the social worker's agenda, rather than reflecting the actual situation.

Lack of Cultural Competence: Failing to understand and respect diverse cultural backgrounds, which may result in insensitive or inappropriate interventions.

Inadequate Assessments: Conducting hasty or inadequate assessments that do not consider the unique circumstances and needs of the child and family.

Authoritarian Approach: Adopting an authoritarian approach without allowing families to actively participate in decisions that affect them, undermining their autonomy.

Failure to Uphold Legal Rights: Disregarding legal rights and due process, resulting in unjust decisions and potential harm to families.

Inappropriate Collaboration with Foster Care Families: Collaborating with foster

care families in ways that compromise the impartiality of decision-making or prioritize personal interests.

Thorough Investigations as a Necessity

Identifying and addressing unethical behavior in child welfare is crucial to maintain the integrity of the system and protect the rights and well-being of children. Thorough investigations are necessary to ensure that any instances of unethical behavior are properly assessed, and those responsible are held accountable for their actions. Investigations should be conducted transparently, impartially, and in accordance with established legal and ethical processes.

Safeguarding the Child's Best Interests

The paramount goal of child welfare is to safeguard the best interests of the child, a principle that should be unwavering and immune to the personal preferences or attitudes of child welfare professionals. It is imperative that child welfare professionals consistently adhere to the highest ethical standards, ensuring that their actions are solely guided by the well-being and rights of the child. The determination of the child's best interests should be rooted in objective assessments, considering the unique circumstances and needs of each child.


Ethical behavior within the child welfare system is crucial to maintaining the integrity of its mission. Any deviation from ethical standards introduces the risk of

compromising the welfare of the child, potentially leading to decisions that do not align with their best interests. The best interests of the child should be a collective commitment, transcending individual biases and personal preferences. It is incumbent upon child welfare professionals to recognize and rectify any instances where ethical considerations may be overshadowed, ensuring that their actions consistently prioritize the well-being, safety, and developmental needs of the children under their care.

Conclusion

Child welfare professionals play a vital role in safeguarding the well-being of children, and the majority of these professionals are

dedicated and committed to the welfare of children. However, it is essential to recognize and address instances of unethical behavior, as the welfare of children is at stake. Thorough investigations are necessary to ensure that those responsible for unethical actions are held accountable and to preserve the integrity of the child welfare system.



Chapter 25. Dishonesty



Introduction

Child protection Finnish social workers play a vital role in child welfare, tasked with making decisions that are in the best interests of children and their families. However, when Finnish social workers repeatedly engage in dishonesty, it raises significant concerns about their approach to

their work. This chapter examines the importance of professionalism, objectivity, and ethical conduct in the field of child welfare and underscores the consequences of presuming dishonesty without substantiated proof. Maintaining trust and credibility between Finnish social workers and the families they serve is essential to ensure that the child's best interests are genuinely protected.

The Role of Child Protection Finnish social workers

Child protection Finnish social workers are entrusted with the important responsibility of evaluating and making decisions in the best interests of children and their families. Their role demands objectivity,

professionalism, and a commitment to ethical conduct to ensure that their actions are truly in the best interest of the child. When Finnish social workers engage in dishonesty, it compromises their credibility and calls into question the integrity of their decisions.

Child protection Finnish social workers who repeatedly and persistently engage in dishonesty raise significant concerns about their approach to their work. While their role is to evaluate and make decisions based on the best interests of the child, their credibility is compromised when they resort to falsehoods. It's concerning that they might assume that the child's parents are dishonest without concrete evidence, as this not only reflects a lack of objectivity but also raises questions about their judgment and decision-making processes. In cases involving children

and families, it is essential for Finnish social workers to maintain a high level of professionalism, objectivity, and ethical conduct to ensure that their actions are truly in the best interest of the child.

In a recent transcript of telephone call, the social worker Katja Viherlaakso wrote that I said that Sossudie is “Finlandized”. Considering that Finnish social workers, who worked with us falsified and fabricated repeatedly and continuously, I can only say that, this is again completely fabricated put of malicious intention.

Importance of Professionalism and Objectivity

In cases involving children and families, it is essential for Finnish social workers to maintain a high level of professionalism and objectivity. Their decisions should be based on facts, evidence, and a thorough assessment of the situation. Objectivity ensures that Finnish social workers approach each case without preconceived notions or biases, allowing for fair and just outcomes.

Trust and Credibility

Dishonesty within the realm of child protection not only jeopardizes the integrity of the system but also undermines the essential trust between Finnish social workers and the families they are meant to support. The collaborative partnership that should exist between families and child


protection Finnish social workers relies heavily on open communication, transparency, and a shared commitment to the welfare of the child.

When Finnish social workers engage in dishonest practices, whether by manipulating facts, or fabricating evidence, it creates an atmosphere of suspicion and discord. Families rightfully expect Finnish social workers to uphold the highest standards of honesty and integrity as they navigate the complexities of child protection issues.

Conclusion

- . When Finnish social workers engage in dishonesty without substantiated proof, it

compromises their credibility and raises concerns about their decision-making processes. Upholding the trust and credibility between Finnish social workers and the families they serve is essential to ensure that the child's best interests are genuinely protected. In child welfare cases, a commitment to objectivity, fairness, and ethical conduct must guide Finnish social workers' actions, with a focus on the well-being and best interests of the child.



Chapter 26. Wrong Cooperation Claim



Introduction

Cooperation between child protection Finnish social workers and parents is a critical aspect of resolving family issues and ensuring the well-being of children. Effective cooperation requires a balanced and collaborative effort from both sides. While

Finnish social workers often expect parents to cooperate, it is equally important to acknowledge instances where child protection Finnish social workers do not fully cooperate with parents.

This chapter explores the necessity of balanced cooperation, emphasizing that effective collaboration requires transparency, open communication, and a commitment to the child's best interests, with both parties working together to create a supportive and nurturing environment for the child's growth and development.

The Importance of Collaboration

Collaboration between child protection Finnish social workers and parents is

essential to navigate complex family issues and provide the best environment for children. Effective cooperation involves sharing information, concerns, and working together to ensure the child's safety, well-being, and development.

Instances of Social Worker Misconduct

In instances where accusations of non-cooperation are directed towards parents, it is crucial to recognize that cooperation is a two-way street. Regrettably, there are cases where child protection Finnish social workers themselves may exhibit behaviors that hinder effective collaboration with parents. Manipulative tactics, including pressuring a child to testify against their parents, isolating the child from their family, or

limiting contact and visits, can have severe repercussions on the child's well-being and disrupt the collaborative process.

Engaging in coercive tactics, such as pressuring a child to testify against their parents, not only violates the principles of fairness and justice but also damages the trust necessary for cooperation. Isolating a child from their family further strains the already delicate relationships, making it challenging to establish an environment conducive to mutual understanding and collaboration. Restricting contact and visits can deprive the child of vital emotional support and familial connections, potentially exacerbating their distress.

For genuine cooperation to occur, it is imperative that child protection Finnish

social workers approach their role with empathy, respect, and a commitment to building trust with parents. By fostering open communication and avoiding manipulative strategies, both parties can contribute to a more collaborative and ethical child protection process that truly prioritizes the best interests of the child.

Balanced and Transparent Cooperation

Cooperation in the context of child protection necessitates a foundation built on transparency and open communication. For the collaborative efforts between child protection Finnish social workers and parents to be effective, both parties must actively engage in a process that values the rights and well-being of everyone involved,

with a primary focus on the child's best interests.

Transparency plays a crucial role in establishing a cooperative environment. When both Finnish social workers and parents are forthcoming about information, decisions, and intentions, it fosters trust and understanding. An open flow of communication ensures that all relevant details are considered, allowing for a comprehensive evaluation of the child's situation.

Furthermore, a balanced approach to cooperation is essential. It acknowledges the rights of both child protection Finnish social workers and parents, preventing any party from being unjustly sidelined. The child's best interests must remain at the forefront,

and decisions should be made collaboratively, taking into account the unique circumstances of each case.

By promoting transparency, open communication, and a balanced approach to cooperation, child protection agencies can build stronger, more constructive relationships with parents. This, in turn, facilitates a more effective and ethical child protection process that truly prioritizes the well-being of the child.

A Commitment to the Child's Best Interests

The ultimate goal of cooperation between Finnish social workers and parents should be the child's well-being and development. It is

crucial that both parties set aside personal biases, focus on the child's needs, and work collaboratively to create a nurturing environment. Open dialogue and a willingness to consider the perspectives of both Finnish social workers and parents can lead to better outcomes for the child.

Supportive and Nurturing Environment

In fostering a cooperative effort between child protection Finnish social workers and parents, the aim should be to establish a supportive and nurturing environment that prioritizes the child's growth, emotional well-being, and overall development. Unfortunately, instances arise where Finnish social workers may display narcissistic and arrogant tendencies, hindering the

collaborative process and impeding the creation of a positive environment for the child.


The lack of acknowledgment of mistakes and errors on the part of Finnish social workers can contribute to an adversarial dynamic, preventing the development of trust between Finnish social workers and parents. Admitting mistakes is an integral aspect of effective collaboration, as it demonstrates humility, transparency, and a genuine commitment to the well-being of the child. When Finnish social workers adopt a narcissistic or arrogant stance, it creates a power imbalance that undermines the collaborative spirit necessary for successful child protection efforts.

Building a cooperative relationship requires Finnish social workers to approach parents with empathy, understanding, and a recognition of their shared goal – the welfare of the child. Acknowledging mistakes, learning from them, and maintaining open communication are fundamental aspects of a healthy collaboration that places the child's best interests at the forefront.

Conclusion

Cooperation between child protection Finnish social workers and parents is pivotal in resolving family issues and safeguarding the well-being of children. Effective collaboration requires a balanced, transparent, and respectful effort from both parties. While there are instances where

parents may not fully cooperate, it is equally important to recognize cases of Finnish social workers not fully cooperating with parents. By prioritizing the child's best interests, practicing open communication, and committing to a nurturing environment, Finnish social workers and parents can work together to ensure that the child's well-being remains the central focus. In doing so, they can navigate challenges and create a positive, supportive environment for the child's growth and development.



Chapter 27. Unlawful Conduct



Introduction

There exists a reasonable suspicion that some child protection Finnish social workers are engaging in a range of unethical and potentially unlawful activities. These activities represent significant breaches of

professional conduct and legal responsibilities, which in turn undermine the trust and integrity of the child protection system.

This chapter examines key points related to these allegations, including the abuse of duty, collaboration for profit, persecution and retribution, and the misguiding, cheating, and bribing of children. These practices, if substantiated, must be investigated through established legal and ethical processes to ensure fairness, justice, and accountability.

The welfare of children and families should always be the central concern in any child protection system, and any unethical or illegal behavior within the system must be addressed to safeguard the rights and well-being of all involved parties.

Abuse of Duty

Child protection Finnish social workers have a legal and ethical duty to act in accordance with the law, uphold due process, and treat all individuals and families fairly and without discrimination. Any abuse of this duty is a clear breach of professional responsibility.

When child protection Finnish social workers fail to adhere to these foundational principles, they not only violate their ethical obligations but also compromise the very integrity of the child protection system. Actions such as biased decision-making, arbitrary removal of children, or disregard for due process rights should be thoroughly examined to uphold the rule of law and fairness.

Collaboration for Profit

The fact that child protection Finnish social workers collaborate with foster care businesses for financial gain, such as through bribery or bonuses, raise a grave concern about potential corruption within the child protection system.

The collaboration for profit within child protection systems not only erodes the impartiality of decision-making but also gives rise to conflicts of interest that jeopardize the well-being of children. When financial motives become intertwined with the process of safeguarding children, the fundamental principle of prioritizing their best interests becomes compromised. The focus on profit-driven collaboration can divert attention

from the genuine needs and rights of children, introducing a concerning dynamic that challenges the integrity of child protection decisions and places vulnerable individuals at the mercy of conflicting agendas.

Investigating such allegations is essential to ensure that child protection decisions are made solely in the best interests of the child and are not tainted by financial incentives.

Persecution and Retribution

Child protection Finnish social workers persecuting a family due to perceived non-cooperation or other unjustified reasons, based on personal bias or retribution, are engaging in practices that violate the principles of fairness and justice. Child

protection decisions should be based on evidence and the best interests of the child, rather than punitive actions against families. Allegations of persecution and retribution should be thoroughly examined to ensure that child protection is carried out impartially and ethically.

Misguiding, Cheating, and Bribing


The misguiding, cheating, or bribing of a child to act against their family or family rules disrupts family life and can harm the child's well-being. Such actions undermine the trust that children should have in Finnish social workers and the child protection system. Ensuring that children are not manipulated or coerced is fundamental to the ethical and legal

standards that govern child protection. Allegations of these practices should be investigated to protect the rights and well-being of children.


Conclusion

The welfare of children and families should always be the central concern in any child protection system. Child protection Finnish social workers play a vital role in ensuring the safety and well-being of children, and they must do so with the utmost integrity and adherence to ethical and legal standards. Allegations of unethical or illegal behavior within the system must be taken seriously and investigated through established legal and ethical processes. By upholding the principles of fairness, justice, and

accountability, the child protection system can regain the trust and confidence of the public while safeguarding the rights and well-being of all involved parties.



Chapter 28. Criminal Tactics



Introduction

Within the realm of child protection, child protection Finnish social workers play a pivotal role in ensuring the well-being of children and families. While the majority of Finnish social workers diligently pursue

their responsibilities with empathy and integrity, it has come to light that some practitioners in Finland have been employing a set of questionable and recurring tactics. These tactics have raised significant ethical and legal concerns, as they not only challenge the principles of justice, fairness, and due process but also contravene the fundamental rights and dignity of children and their parents.

Isolating the Child

A deeply troubling practice observed among some Finnish social workers is the initial isolation of the child from their parents. This isolation tactic creates a disorienting and intimidating environment, rendering the

child more susceptible to external influences, often without any legal or factual basis.

Brainwashing

Equally disconcerting is the practice of manipulation and brainwashing employed by some Finnish social workers. They have been known to instill negative sentiments in the child against their own parents, further deteriorating the child's trust and attachment to their family.

Collaboration for Medication

A particularly alarming tactic involves collaboration with other professionals to administer psychiatric medication to the

child. This practice, ostensibly to calm the child, is conducted without the appropriate medical evaluation, infringing upon the child's physical and psychological well-being.

Limiting Contact

Child protection Finnish social workers, in their misguided approach, have resorted to a concerning practice of deliberately limiting contact and communication between the child and their parents. This intentional isolation not only disrupts the fundamental familial bond but also poses a significant threat to a child's emotional and psychological development.

The importance of maintaining a strong connection with parents is paramount in

fostering a child's sense of security and well-being. Deliberate restrictions on communication deprive the child of the emotional support and guidance that parental figures provide. This isolation can lead to feelings of abandonment, confusion, and emotional distress for the child, hindering their healthy development.

Furthermore, limiting contact between children and their parents goes against the principles of family-centered care and the recognition of the vital role parents play in a child's life. It is essential for child protection Finnish social workers to reconsider their approach, prioritizing the preservation and enhancement of the parent-child relationship to ensure the child's holistic well-being. Open communication and collaboration with parents should be promoted as integral

components of any effective child protection strategy.

Providing Financial Incentives

In an alarming departure from ethical standards, some Finnish social workers have resorted to providing a weekly allowance to the child involved in the child protection process. While this allowance is provided by the government, emphasizing such an allowance to attract the child forms a tactic of keeping and calming the child. This financial incentive not only raises serious ethical concerns but also manipulates the child's loyalties, potentially compromising the integrity of the entire child protection process.

Financial inducements create a skewed power dynamic, where the child may feel beholden to the Finnish social workers providing financial support. Such practices undermine the impartiality and objectivity required in child protection proceedings, as the child may be swayed by the prospect of continued financial benefits.

This unethical behavior not only jeopardizes the credibility of the child protection system but also raises questions about the motivations and intentions of the Finnish social workers involved. To ensure a fair and just child protection process, it is imperative to eliminate any practices that introduce undue influences, especially those that exploit the vulnerability of the child for personal gain.

False Promises

False promises of increased freedom from family and societal rules are used to entice and confuse the child. This tactic exploits the child's vulnerability and fosters dependency on the Finnish social workers.

Pressure for Testimony

Finnish social workers have been observed exerting undue pressure on the child to testify in their favor. This includes coercing the child into making statements that help conceal any potential wrongdoing on the part of the Finnish social workers, thereby undermining the principles of truth and justice.

Discrediting Parental Appeals

One particularly distressing tactic employed within the child protection system involves the discrediting of parental appeals. When concerned parents express worries about the removal or well-being of their child, Finnish social workers often dismiss their appeals, asserting that there is nothing new presented. This dismissive approach not only robs parents of their fundamental right to be heard but also infringes upon the child's right to a family life. Such disregard for parental concerns perpetuates an environment where valid grievances are ignored, undermining the principles of transparency, justice, and fair treatment within the child protection framework.


Misusing Helsinki City Logo

A further disturbing tactic is the misuse of the Helsinki City logo in communications, as Finnish social workers seek to wield the apparent authority of the state. This deceptive practice conceals potential private agendas and malicious intentions, further eroding trust in the child protection system.

Conclusion

The recurring tactics employed by some Finnish social workers not only raise serious ethical and legal questions but also undermine the trust and integrity of the child protection system. It is imperative that these practices be scrutinized and addressed within

the framework of Finnish law, which generally prioritizes the preservation of family connections and adherence to legal norms. These controversies underscore the need for increased accountability, transparency, and ethical conduct within the social work profession, as the welfare and rights of children and their parents should remain paramount.



Chapter 29. Hypocritical Child Protection



Introduction

In Finland, a nation known for its strong democratic values and commitment to the rule of law, a disconcerting reality has emerged. The legal system, which should ideally stand as a paragon of justice and fairness, is increasingly tainted by corruption

and tyranny. This situation has not only eroded the trust of citizens in the integrity and effectiveness of the legal system but also poses a grave threat to the very foundations of democratic societies. The rule of law, a fundamental tenet of democracy, is being put to the test, as the principles of consistency, impartiality, and accountability appear to be faltering in the Finnish legal system.

The Rule of Law in Democratic Societies

The rule of law is a bedrock principle in any democratic society. It signifies that laws are not just arbitrary tools of the state, but rather a set of rules consistently and impartially applied to all citizens. Under the rule of law, no individual, organization, or institution should be above the law, and everyone is

entitled to a fair and just legal process. These principles provide a framework that safeguards the rights and freedoms of citizens while holding authorities accountable for their actions.

A Disturbing Disparity

However, the reality within the Finnish legal system has exposed a disheartening gap between these noble principles and actual practice. Corruption and tyranny within the ranks of certain child protection Finnish social workers and authorities have cast a shadow over the legal landscape. Allegations of abuse of power, manipulation of the legal process, and exploitation of vulnerable individuals have become increasingly evident. This has led to a profound loss of faith in the

system's ability to uphold the rights and interests of its citizens. It is imperative to address these issues promptly and effectively to restore the integrity of the legal system.

The Erosion of Trust

Corruption and tyranny within the legal system have eroded the trust that citizens place in the institutions responsible for their protection and justice. When those in positions of power and authority are suspected of acting unlawfully, it undermines the very essence of democracy. A loss of faith in the legal system can result in reluctance to seek justice, widespread disillusionment, and a society in which lawlessness prevails. It is essential to address these concerns not only for the sake of individual rights but also to


uphold the democratic principles upon which Finnish society is built.

Conclusion

The apparent corruption and tyranny within the Finnish legal system present a disconcerting challenge to the core democratic values that the nation upholds. The erosion of trust in the integrity and effectiveness of the legal system is a matter of profound concern, raising questions about the system's ability to safeguard justice and protect the rights of individuals.

The corruption and tyranny within the legal system not only undermine the public's faith in the rule of law but also compromise the fundamental principles that form the bedrock

of a democratic society. A transparent, accountable, and impartial legal system is essential for upholding the rights and freedoms of citizens and ensuring that justice prevails.



Chapter 30. Unjustified Child Removals



Introduction

The alarming reality of tens of thousands of children forcibly removed from their homes, schools, playgrounds, and even Obstetrics and Gynecology hospitals each year, often without a solid and justifiable foundation, constitutes a deeply troubling and grave concern. These actions, when undertaken without a clear and compelling rationale,

extract a heavy toll on the lives of innocent children, their families, and the very fabric of social trust. Such practices have the potential to inflict lasting damage, not only by disrupting the lives of these young individuals but also by tearing apart families and undermining the trust that communities place in child welfare systems. It is imperative that child protection agencies and authorities operate with unwavering commitment to fairness, transparency, and adherence to legal principles to ensure that the welfare of children remains the foremost concern, and that families and society as a whole can have faith in the just operation of the child welfare system.

The Human Toll of Unjustified Removals

The forced removal of children, as explored in this comprehensive narrative, reveals the profound consequences that reverberate through every facet of a child's life. From the disruption of their daily routines to the abrupt severance of crucial relationships, such actions inflict deep emotional and psychological wounds. Innocent children, torn from their homes, schools, and healthcare facilities, are thrust into unfamiliar environments, often marked by trauma and uncertainty. The profound impacts extend beyond the immediate separation, casting a long shadow over the lives of these young individuals.

This trauma is not confined to the children alone; it resonates within their families, creating a ripple effect of anguish and distress. Loved ones grapple not only with the heartbreak of losing a child but also with the arduous battle to reclaim custody.

The narrative unfolding within these pages underscores the urgent need to address the systemic issues that permit such traumatic separations. It is a call to action for reform, compassion, and a reevaluation of the principles guiding child protection policies to ensure the well-being of children and families prevails over unjust and destructive practices.

Subverting Social Reliability

The erosion of trust in child protection agencies and authorities stems from actions lacking clear and justifiable rationales, compromising the fundamental principles that communities expect these institutions to uphold. Communities entrust child welfare systems with the responsibility of safeguarding the most vulnerable members, expecting them to operate with integrity and transparency. However, when trust is undermined, the consequences are profound. It discourages individuals from seeking assistance when needed, fostering an environment where people fear arbitrary violations of their rights. This breakdown in trust not only weakens the effectiveness of child protection efforts but also erodes the social fabric, hindering the collaborative

efforts necessary for a harmonious and just society.

Maintaining this trust requires a concerted effort to address the root causes of the breach, implement corrective measures, and communicate transparently with the community. Child protection agencies must prioritize ethical conduct, clear communication, and justifiable actions to regain the confidence of the public they serve.

Operational Imperatives for Child Protection Agencies


It is crucial for child protection agencies and authorities to prioritize fairness, transparency, and adherence to legal principles in their actions. Every decision to

remove a child from their family must be based on compelling evidence and a clear, justifiable rationale. Child protection Finnish social workers and authorities should act with the utmost professionalism, empathy, and commitment to the well-being of children, placing their welfare as the foremost concern. This requires comprehensive assessments, regular reviews, and constant attention to the child's best interests.

Conclusion

The unjustified removal of children from their homes, schools, and healthcare facilities is a deeply distressing issue that has serious consequences for children, families, and the broader community. Child protection agencies and authorities have a duty to

operate with fairness, transparency, and adherence to legal principles to protect the welfare of children and uphold the trust of the society they serve. In doing so, they can ensure that the child welfare system remains a beacon of hope, providing support and protection for those who need it while preserving the essential principles of justice and human rights.



Chapter 31. Breaching Rights and Benefits



Introduction

The legal system and state laws play a fundamental role in safeguarding the rights and benefits of individuals within a society. This system is designed to provide a framework for justice, ensuring that rights

are protected, and grievances are addressed through established legal processes. However, there are troubling instances where certain individuals, such as child protection Finnish social workers, operate outside the bounds of the law, infringing upon legal rights and benefits. These actions bear an uncomfortable resemblance to authoritarian and dictatorial regimes characterized by severe repression, a lack of democracy, and often extreme ideologies. In such cases, it is of utmost importance to take these occurrences seriously and initiate thorough investigations to ensure that justice is served.

The Legal System: A Pillar of Justice

The legal system is a cornerstone of any just and democratic society. It provides a

structured framework to administer justice and protect the rights and benefits of individuals. Its principles ensure that no one is above the law and that disputes are resolved fairly and impartially. This system is designed to prevent the abuse of power and protect citizens from any unjust infringements on their rights and benefits.

Finnish social workers and the Boundaries of the Law

Child protection Finnish social workers play a vital role in child protection and social welfare, but their actions should always adhere to the legal boundaries set by the state. In some cases, however, there have been allegations of Finnish social workers operating outside the legal framework,

infringing upon the rights and benefits of individuals and families. Such actions can lead to severe consequences, including the unwarranted separation of families or the deprivation of fundamental rights.

The Disturbing Resemblance to Fascism

Fascism is a historical ideology characterized by authoritarianism, extreme repression, and a lack of democratic principles. When actions by individuals or institutions within a democratic society mirror the characteristics of such oppressive ideologies, it is a matter of grave concern. It raises questions about the erosion of democratic values and the potential for unchecked power and abuse.


Taking the Occurrences Seriously

Instances where Finnish social workers or other entities operate outside the law, infringing upon rights and benefits, should not be taken lightly. These situations warrant thorough investigations and accountability measures to ensure that justice is served and that individuals and families are protected from unwarranted infringements on their rights.

Conclusion

The role of state laws and the legal system in upholding the rights and benefits of individuals within a society is paramount. These systems are designed to provide justice, protection, and a framework to address

grievances. When individuals, such as Finnish social workers, operate outside the bounds of the law, it raises significant concerns and parallels to authoritarian regimes. Such occurrences must be addressed with the utmost seriousness, as they threaten the very principles upon which a just and democratic society is founded. It is our collective responsibility to ensure that the legal system operates as intended, protecting the rights and benefits of all its citizens.



Chapter 32. Breach of Human Rights



Introduction

The breach of human rights under the guise of maintaining human rights, especially in the context of children's rights, represents a deeply troubling paradox and a violation of the very principles that human rights are

meant to uphold. Human rights, including children's rights, are designed to protect individuals from abuse, discrimination, and harm. When these rights are purportedly safeguarded but are instead infringed upon, it undermines the essence of human rights and erodes the trust that society places in the institutions and authorities responsible for upholding these fundamental principles.

Protection vs. Abuse

Human rights are a safeguard against abuse and discrimination. They are meant to ensure that individuals are protected from harm and are treated with fairness and dignity. However, when rights are claimed to be protected but are, in fact, violated, a fundamental contradiction arises. This

contradiction shakes the very foundation of human rights and leads to the erosion of trust in the system meant to enforce and protect these rights.

Manipulation and Deception

The disconcerting practice of violating human rights while ostensibly advocating for their protection frequently relies on manipulation and deception. Within the realm of children's rights, this manipulation may take the form of actions that disrupt families, needlessly separate children from their parents, or compromise their overall well-being—all purportedly in the name of safeguarding their rights. This manipulation distorts the true essence of human rights,

resulting in outcomes that starkly deviate from their intended purpose.

In this disturbing scenario, the very entities entrusted with upholding and protecting human rights become perpetrators of abuse, wielding their authority to justify actions that inflict harm rather than provide protection. This deceptive approach not only undermines the credibility of human rights but also perpetuates an environment where those vulnerable to such abuses find themselves further marginalized and victimized. It underscores the urgent need for transparency, accountability, and a genuine commitment to the principles of human rights to rectify these egregious violations and protect the well-being of those the system is meant to serve.

Double Standards

The paradoxical situation where human rights are violated under the guise of upholding them introduces a troubling double standard into society. This duality fosters a system where specific individuals or groups may find their rights denied, while others enjoy privileged treatment. Such inequities and injustices breed division within society, eroding the very principles of equality and fairness that human rights aim to establish. The consequence is a fractured and unjust social fabric, highlighting the need for a consistent and unbiased application of human rights to foster a truly equitable and just society.

Diminishing Public Faith

The breach of human rights, camouflaged under the pretense of protection, deals a severe blow to the trust people place in institutions and authorities designated to uphold these fundamental rights. The perception that rights are selectively enforced or manipulated for hidden agendas erodes public confidence in the system. Individuals begin to question the sincerity of those entrusted with safeguarding their rights, which, in turn, undermines the foundation of the rule of law and the integrity of the human rights framework.

The corrosive impact of such breaches goes beyond mere skepticism, sowing seeds of doubt and skepticism in the minds of the populace. The erosion of trust in institutions

responsible for protecting human rights can lead to a breakdown in social cohesion and the legitimacy of governance. It is imperative to address these breaches transparently and rectify the system to restore faith in the principles of human rights and ensure that they genuinely serve as a protective shield for all, without discrimination or manipulation.

Impact on Vulnerable Populations


Children, as one of the most vulnerable segments of society, are particularly susceptible to rights violations under the guise of protection. Their well-being, development, and future prospects are intricately tied to the consistent application of their rights. Breaching these rights not only harms individual children but also

undermines the potential of future generations.

Holding Accountable and Ensuring Transparency

Those responsible for breaches of human rights under the guise of protection must be held accountable for their actions. It is imperative to vigilantly monitor the actions of individuals, institutions, and governments to ensure that they are genuinely upholding human rights, particularly the rights of children. When breaches occur, they must be addressed swiftly and impartially to preserve the integrity of human rights principles and protect the well-being of all individuals, especially the most vulnerable among us.

In conclusion, it is essential that the court takes a stand against such breaches of human rights and does not assist in concealing illegal and unethical practices. Upholding the true principles of human rights is paramount to creating a just, equitable, and fair society that protects the rights and dignity of all its members.



Chapter 33. Harvesting from Illegal Acts



Introduction

Illegal acts cannot generate legal results. It underscores the imperative that actions taken outside the bounds of legality should not be employed to validate or legitimize legal outcomes. This principle is of paramount

importance, particularly when examining the unjustified removal of a child from a family, as it highlights the necessity of adhering to established legal norms, factual evidence, and due procedure.

Unjustified Removal of a Child

In their case, the distressing decision to remove Sossudie from their family unfolded in the wake of a significant event—the passing of her grandmother and the subsequent funeral. It is crucial to recognize that a child's emotional response to such a loss is a natural and expected part of the grieving process. Grief and emotional distress are common reactions in the face of bereavement, and it is essential not to misconstrue these responses as indicators of

mental abuse or endangerment. Unfortunately, the child protection Finnish social workers handling their case opted to interpret the child's grief as evidence of mental abuse, lacking both factual basis and adherence to due process. This starkly illustrates the need for a more nuanced and empathetic understanding of children's emotional experiences during challenging times, ensuring that interventions are grounded in genuine concern for their well-being rather than rash assumptions.

Fabrication and Legal Consequences

The removal of the child was grounded in the fabrication of a story by Finnish social workers. Fabrication not only contradicts the principles of truth and integrity but

fundamentally violates the principle that "illegal acts cannot generate legal results." Any legal action, particularly one as momentous as separating a child from her family, must be predicated on factual evidence, adherence to legal procedures, and the due process of law.

The unfounded fabrication of the mental abuse claim stands as a blatant violation of both the child's and her parents' rights, perpetuating needless emotional and psychological distress. This egregious act, driven by ulterior motives, undermines the very foundations of justice, fairness, and the rule of law. The use of baseless accusations to influence legal outcomes not only jeopardizes the well-being of the child but also erodes the integrity of the legal system. It is imperative that such actions be exposed and condemned,

emphasizing the importance of upholding ethical standards and safeguarding the rights of individuals involved in child protection cases.

The Role of Legal Oversight


The fundamental principle that "illegal acts cannot generate legal results" underscores the importance of robust legal oversight in cases involving child protection. In the current scenario, it is imperative to initiate an impartial legal review to meticulously examine the validity of the claims put forth by Finnish social workers. The glaring absence of such critical legal scrutiny allows the unwarranted removal of the child to progress unchecked, devoid of proper justification or adherence to due process.

Establishing a mechanism for independent legal assessment becomes crucial to rectify any potential miscarriage of justice, ensuring that decisions are based on factual evidence and adhere to the principles of legality and fairness.

Conclusion

In their case, the removal of Sossudie from their family, based on the fabrication of a mental abuse claim, it is evident that legal standards and the rights of the child and parents were disregarded. This situation raises serious concerns about the integrity of the child protection system and the potential ramifications of deviating from established legal norms. It is essential that the principles of justice, fairness, and the rule of law be

upheld, and that actions taken outside of these principles should never be employed to generate legal results.



Chapter 34. Hostage and Ransom



Introduction

The imposition of financial burdens on biological parents in cases where a child has been removed from the family, especially when such removal appears unjustified or lacking due process, can indeed feel like a

heavy and unjustified burden. Such fees or payments can be perceived as akin to a ransom demanded from parents who have effectively lost custody of their child.

In situations where there are concerns about the fairness and transparency of child protection processes, it is crucial for authorities to ensure that financial obligations placed on parents are grounded in legality and equity, and that they do not disproportionately burden families who may already be struggling with the emotional and psychological toll of separation from their child.

The rights and welfare of both the child and the parents should always be the foremost concern in such cases, and financial considerations should be secondary to

ensuring that justice and the best interests of the child are upheld.

Financial Burdens: A Heavy and Unjustified Load

When a child is removed from their biological family, it is a painful and distressing experience for all involved. In such cases, imposing financial burdens on parents can be perceived as an additional and unwarranted hardship. This is particularly true when the removal itself appears unjustified or lacks due process. It can feel as though parents are being asked to pay a price for the loss of their child, a situation that is emotionally taxing and deeply distressing.

Akin to a Ransom

The financial obligations placed on parents in these circumstances can be seen as similar to a ransom. It's as if parents are being asked to pay a fee for the return of their child, even when the removal is carried out without proper justification or fairness. This comparison highlights the need for scrutiny and accountability in the financial aspects of child protection cases.

Legal and Equity Considerations

Financial obligations placed on parents must be grounded in legality and equity. This means that fees or payments should be based on justifiable costs or support measures rather than punitive or arbitrary fines. Such

financial obligations should not disproportionately burden families who may already be grappling with the emotional and psychological consequences of losing custody of their child.

Prioritizing the Rights and Welfare of Children and Parents


In child protection cases, the rights and welfare of both the child and the parents should be of paramount concern. The primary focus should always be on ensuring the best interests of the child and providing a fair and transparent process for parents to address any concerns or disputes related to the removal. Financial considerations should come secondary to these priorities.

Conclusion

Imposing financial burdens on biological parents in child protection cases requires careful consideration and adherence to principles of legality, fairness, and equity. In situations where removal appears unjustified or lacks due process, such financial obligations can be perceived as unjust and burdensome. The rights and welfare of both the child and the parents should remain the primary concern, and financial aspects should be handled with transparency and accountability.

Ultimately, the goal should be to ensure that justice and the best interests of the child are upheld while minimizing the additional

emotional and financial distress experienced by the parents.



Chapter 35. Social Worker Accountability



Introduction

Profound concern and dismay have arisen regarding the conduct and practices of certain child protection Finnish social workers. It has become abundantly clear that a troubling combination of ignorance,

illiteracy, inadequate education, narcissism, authoritarianism, and even elements of fascism have infiltrated their approach to their responsibilities. These concerning traits and unethical practices not only call into question their competence but also make them liable and deserving of blame for their actions. As individuals entrusted with the welfare and well-being of vulnerable members of society, Finnish social workers have a moral and legal obligation to uphold the highest standards of professionalism, empathy, and ethical conduct. This chapter explores the importance of these standards and the need for accountability in addressing the concerns surrounding the conduct of certain Finnish social workers.

The Role and Responsibility of Finnish social workers

Child protection Finnish social workers play a crucial role in society, tasked with protecting and assisting vulnerable individuals, particularly children and families in need. Their responsibilities are not just a matter of employment; they carry significant moral and legal obligations. These obligations include upholding the highest standards of professionalism, ethics, and empathy in their interactions with the people they serve. Finnish social workers are expected to exercise their authority with sensitivity, respect for individual rights, and a commitment to ethical conduct.

Challenging Traits and Unethical Practices

It is disheartening to observe instances where Finnish social workers exhibit concerning traits and engage in unethical practices. Ignorance, illiteracy, and inadequate education can result in decisions and actions that lack a sound understanding of the complex issues involved in child protection and welfare.

Narcissism and authoritarianism can lead to the abuse of power, where the welfare of children and families is sidelined in favor of personal preferences or prejudices. Elements of fascism, marked by authoritarianism and extreme ideologies, have no place in a system designed to safeguard the rights and well-being of the most vulnerable.

These traits not only jeopardize the well-being of children but also erode the trust and confidence that communities place in child protection services. To address this, comprehensive and ongoing education and training programs are essential to equip Finnish social workers with the knowledge and skills needed for ethical and effective practice. Additionally, mechanisms for oversight, accountability, and regular evaluations can help identify and rectify instances of unethical behavior.

Moreover, fostering a culture of empathy, cultural competence, and collaboration within the child protection system is crucial. Finnish social workers should prioritize the best interests of children and families over personal biases, working collaboratively with diverse communities to ensure cultural

sensitivity and inclusivity. By upholding ethical standards and promoting a compassionate approach, child protection services can regain public trust and fulfill their vital role in safeguarding the welfare of vulnerable individuals.

The Importance of Accountability

Accountability is a fundamental pillar of any just and equitable society. When individuals entrusted with the welfare and well-being of others fail to meet the ethical and professional standards required of them, accountability becomes essential. It is through accountability that injustices can be rectified, and individuals can be held responsible for their actions. In the case of Finnish social workers, accountability

ensures that the welfare of children and families remains the central concern.


However, the issue of accountability becomes more complex when there are allegations of collusion and profit-driven motives within the child protection system. In such cases, the traditional pathways of accountability may face challenges, as those involved may attempt to shield themselves from scrutiny. Achieving accountability in these situations requires a thorough and transparent investigation, uncovering the truth behind the reported misconduct and ensuring that those responsible are held to account. This process not only serves justice in individual cases but also contributes to addressing systemic issues that may compromise the integrity of the child protection system. Ultimately, accountability is the linchpin

that upholds the principles of justice, transparency, and ethical conduct within the realm of child welfare.

Conclusion

The concerns surrounding the conduct and practices of certain Finnish social workers are not to be taken lightly. Child protection Finnish social workers, as individuals entrusted with the welfare and well-being of vulnerable members of society, have a moral and legal obligation to uphold the highest standards of professionalism, empathy, and ethical conduct. Ignorance, illiteracy, inadequate education, narcissism, authoritarianism, and elements of fascism have no place in the child protection and welfare system. Accountability is essential to

address these concerns, hold those responsible accountable for their actions, and reaffirm the principles of justice and ethics that underpin our society. It is our sincere hope that this court will take these issues seriously and ensure that the conduct of Finnish social workers aligns with the highest ethical and professional standards.



Chapter 36. Ethics and Legal Responsibility



Introduction

Child protection Finnish social workers are entrusted with the solemn responsibility of protecting and assisting vulnerable individuals within society. They serve as guardians of those who may be in distress or

need, and their actions are expected to be guided by strict ethical standards and unwavering adherence to the law. However, there are instances when Finnish social workers appear to operate without regard for these ethical standards or legal responsibilities. Such actions undermine public trust and call into question the integrity of the child protection system, which relies on the professionalism, ethics, and commitment to the law demonstrated by Finnish social workers in their crucial roles.

In this chapter, we will explore the importance of upholding ethical standards and legal obligations in the work of child protection Finnish social workers, as well as the necessity of investigating and holding accountable those who breach these essential principles.

Breach of Ethical Standards

The breach of ethical standards not only jeopardizes individual cases but also undermines the credibility of the entire child protection system. Families facing challenging situations should feel confident that Finnish social workers will act ethically, ensuring that their rights are protected and their well-being is prioritized. A breakdown in trust may deter families from seeking assistance when needed, leading to a lack of early intervention and support.

Furthermore, when public trust erodes, it can impede effective collaboration between child protection agencies and communities. A cooperative relationship is essential for identifying and addressing child welfare

issues promptly. The reluctance of individuals and communities to engage with Finnish social workers may result in critical information being withheld, hindering the ability to provide timely and appropriate interventions. Rebuilding public trust in the child protection system necessitates a commitment to transparency, accountability, and ethical conduct from Finnish social workers and the institutions they represent.

The Importance of Ethical Standards and Legal Obligations

Ethical standards and legal obligations serve as essential safeguards for vulnerable individuals. They ensure that Finnish social workers make decisions and take actions that are just, fair, and in the best interests of those

they are meant to protect. Without these standards, there is a risk that personal biases, subjective judgments, or even negligence could guide decision-making, potentially resulting in unjust or harmful outcomes.

Thorough Investigations and Accountability


Instances of child protection Finnish social workers breaching ethical standards and legal responsibilities should not be taken lightly. Thorough investigations are necessary to ascertain the facts and determine the extent of the breach. When wrongdoing is confirmed, appropriate measures must be taken to hold those responsible accountable for their actions. This not only serves as a form of justice for

affected individuals and families but also reinforces the importance of adhering to ethical standards and the law.

Conclusion

The role of Finnish social workers is a critical one in the protection and well-being of vulnerable individuals, particularly children. Their work is expected to be guided by strict ethical guidelines and adherence to the law. When these professionals operate without regard for ethical standards or legal responsibilities, it not only erodes public trust but also raises questions about the integrity of the child protection system. The rights and well-being of individuals depend on the ethical and legal actions of Finnish social workers, making it essential to

investigate and hold accountable those who breach these standards. The integrity of child protection services relies on the professionalism, ethics, and commitment to the law demonstrated by Finnish social workers in their crucial roles.



Chapter 37. International Obligations



Introduction

It is with deep concern that we address the recurring disregard for international legal obligations in child protection by the nation of Finland. The failure to consistently fulfill these obligations is a disheartening

development that jeopardizes the welfare and rights of children, both within and beyond the nation's borders. International legal commitments, particularly in child protection, are established to ensure that children receive the care and protection they rightfully deserve, regardless of their nationality or personal circumstances. It is paramount that every nation, including Finland, upholds these obligations to provide a secure and nurturing environment for all children. In this chapter, we implore the court to recognize the gravity of this situation and take the necessary steps to ensure that Finland complies with its international legal obligations in the realm of child protection.

The Importance of International Legal Obligations

Finland, as a signatory to international legal frameworks such as the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), is obligated to uphold and prioritize the best interests of the child in all actions affecting them. The CRC emphasizes that institutions, services, and facilities responsible for the care and protection of children must adhere to established standards. In addition to international obligations, Finnish legislation, including the Health Care Act and the Patient Act, underscores the importance of parental consent in healthcare decisions for minors.

Failure to meet these obligations not only impacts the individual cases at hand but also

has broader implications for the global effort to safeguard children's rights. It sets a precedent that may undermine the effectiveness of international legal frameworks designed to protect children universally. It is imperative for nations, including Finland, to fulfill their commitments to ensure that the rights and well-being of children are prioritized and protected, fostering a world where every child can grow and thrive in a safe and nurturing environment.

A Commitment to Democratic Values and the Rule of Law

Respecting international legal obligations is a manifestation of a nation's commitment to democratic values and the rule of law.

Democracy implies more than just majority rule; it encompasses the protection of minority rights, individual freedoms, and the equitable treatment of all citizens, including the most vulnerable – children. Failing to adhere to international obligations compromises this commitment and jeopardizes the principles upon which a democratic society is founded.

Transparency and Accountability


A transparent and accountable child protection system is essential to ensure that the rights and well-being of children are upheld. Accountability mechanisms, such as effective oversight, reporting, and independent review, are necessary to hold states like Finland responsible for their

international legal obligations. These mechanisms are the means by which a nation can be measured against its commitments and its progress in safeguarding the rights and interests of children.


Conclusion

The recurring disregard for international legal obligations in child protection by Finland is a matter of grave concern that demands attention and action. These obligations exist to guarantee the well-being of children, regardless of their nationality or personal circumstances. It is imperative that every nation, including Finland, upholds these obligations to create a secure and nurturing environment for all children. To address these concerns, it is essential that we

approach them with diligence, a deep commitment to child welfare, and a dedication to democratic values and the rule of law. By promoting transparency and accountability in child protection, we can ensure that the rights and well-being of children are upheld, thus fulfilling the international legal obligations that bind us as a global community.



Chapter 38. Betrayal of Justice



Introduction

In the purported halls of justice in Helsinki, a tale of betrayal unfolds as the Administrative Courts failed in their duty to protect the fundamental rights of the Johnson family. The Helsinki Administrative

Court and Supreme Administrative Court of Finland, institutions entrusted with upholding legality and justice, stand accused of making decisions against the pleas, complaints, and appeals filed by the victims regarding the arbitrary removal of their children by child protection Finnish social workers. The Finnish justice system did not only fail to maintain justice but also conspired with authorities, perpetuating racial discrimination. Many child protection victims fight for the return of their children becomes a call for people to unite and legally resist such arbitrary judicial practices.

The Saga of Injustice

In the very same way, thousands of child protection victims' ordeal began with the

traumatic removal of their children by child protection Finnish social workers, actions the family contends were arbitrary and lacking any factual, legal, or procedural basis. The subsequent appeals and complaints filed by the families, imploring the courts to intervene and return their children without delay, were met with shocking indifference. Their cases are significant examples. We don't think these Courts dare to publicize their decisions if they know what shame means.

Failure of Administrative Courts

The Helsinki Administrative Court, designated to evaluate the legality of administrative decisions, seemingly abdicated its responsibility. Instead of

scrutinizing the actions of Finnish social workers with impartiality, the court allowed a flawed decision to prevail, perpetuating an egregious miscarriage of justice. The Supreme Administrative Court, the final bastion of justice, echoed the lower court's failings by reaffirming the flawed judgment.

Racial Discrimination and Complicity

The racial discriminatory undertones allegedly prevalent within these courtrooms compound the tragedy. This is not merely a case of individual judicial missteps; it is indicative of a broader issue—administrative courts seemingly conspiring with authorities and potentially sharing a racial discriminatory attitude. Such complicity not only erodes trust in the justice system but

also perpetuates an environment where arbitrary actions can thrive.

A Call for Legal Resistance


The stories of child protection victims are not merely isolated incidents but poignant reminders of the broader challenges within the system. These narratives serve as a call to arms, urging people to unite in a collective legal resistance against a compromised system that causes irreparable harm to families. It is an urgent plea for action, encouraging individuals to challenge arbitrary judicial practices and demand accountability from those entrusted with upholding justice. The fight for justice transcends individual cases, encompassing a shared struggle against systemic issues that

undermine the very principles meant to protect families and children. By uniting in this legal resistance, individuals can contribute to a larger movement aimed at fostering a fair and just child protection system.

Conclusion

The shame of the Helsinki Administrative Court and Supreme Administrative Court of Finland in the child protection victims' cases represents a profound betrayal of justice. This illuminates the systemic failures, conspiracies, and racial discriminatory undertones within the administrative courts. The victims' fight transcends their personal struggle; it is a

plea for justice for every family subjected to arbitrary actions by a justice system that appears to have lost its way. The call for legal resistance is a call for systemic reform to ensure that the pillars of justice stand strong, unbiased, and resolute in protecting the rights of all citizens.



Recommended Readings

1. Ikonen, Leena (2023). Kadotetut Lapset - Hulluuden Historiaa Kirjaamassa. Finland: Kustannusliike Vahtikoiras.
2. Li, Xingan (2023). Fangs Behind Mask: How Authoritarian Practice in Finnish Child Protection Tramples on Humanity. Finland: Informyth Press.
3. Li, Xingan (2023). Finland State Scam: Child Protection as A Business Chain. Canada: Justice Press.
4. Li, Xingan (2023). Shattered Innocence: Uncovering the Devastating Effects of Unjust Foster Care. Canada: Justice Press.
5. Li, Xingan (2023). Lastensuojelu tai Lapsikauppa. Finland: Informyth Press.
6. Li, Xingan (2023). Child Protection as Business Chain: A Case Study. Finland: Informyth Press.

7. Li, Xingan (2023). Collective Perjury. Finland: Informyth Press.
8. McLachlan, Warren (2015). The Dark Side of Finland. Australia.
9. Qiao, Shuiqing (2023). Child Hostage in Contemporary Finland. Justice Press, Canada.

