

# CBGL: Fast Monte Carlo Passive Global Localisation for 2D LIDAR Sensors

Alexandros Filotheou

**Abstract**—Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

**Index Terms**—global localisation, 2D LIDAR, monte carlo, scan-to-map-scan matching

## I. INTRODUCTION

**Problem P.** Let the unknown pose of an immobile 2D range sensor whose angular range is  $\lambda$  be  $p(x, y, \theta)$  with respect to the reference frame of map  $M$ . Let the range sensor measure range scan  $S_R$ . The objective is the estimation of  $p$  given  $M$ ,  $\lambda$ , and  $S_R$ .

## II. DEFINITIONS

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha_i : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{I} = \langle 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \rangle$ , denote a set of  $n$  elements,  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  denote an ordered set,  $\mathcal{A}_\uparrow$  the set  $\mathcal{A}$  ordered in ascending order, the bracket notation  $\mathcal{A}[\mathbb{I}] = \mathcal{A}$  denote indexing, and  $\mathcal{A}_{k:l}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq l$ , denote set  $\{\mathcal{A}[k], \mathcal{A}[k+1], \dots, \mathcal{A}[l]\}$ .

**Definition I. Range scan captured from a 2D LIDAR sensor.**—A conventional 2D LIDAR sensor provides a finite number of ranges, i.e. distances to objects within its range, on a horizontal cross-section of its environment, at regular angular and temporal intervals, over a defined angular range [1]. A range scan  $\mathcal{S}$ , consisting of  $N_s$  rays over an angular range  $\lambda$ , is an ordered map  $\mathcal{S} : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\Theta = \{\theta_n \in [-\frac{\lambda}{2}, +\frac{\lambda}{2}] : \theta_n = -\frac{\lambda}{2} + \lambda \frac{n}{N_s}, n = 0, 1, \dots, N_s-1\}$ . Angles  $\theta_n$  are expressed relative to the sensor's heading, in the sensor's frame of reference.

**Definition II. Map-scan.**—A map-scan is a virtual scan that encapsulates the same pieces of information as a scan derived from a physical sensor. Only their underlying operating principle is different due to the fact the map-scan refers to distances to the boundaries of a point-set, referred to as the map, rather than within a real environment. A map-scan  $S_V^M(\hat{p})$  is derived by means of locating intersections of rays emanating from the estimate of the sensor's pose estimate  $\hat{p}$  and the boundaries of the map  $M$ .




Fig. 1: CBGL disperses pose hypotheses within the map and ranks them ascendingly according to the value of the CAER metric, producing a rank-field which may be used to estimate the pose of a 2D LIDAR sensor accurately, and quickly due to the low complexity of the CAER metric. Top: a map of an environment, the pose of a panoramic 2D LIDAR sensor (magenta), and the corresponding CAER rank field below them. Bottom: distribution of CAER values by location and orientation error of all sensor pose hypotheses corresponding to the rank field above, for estimate distances up to 2.0 m. CBGL leverages the relationships of (a) proportionality between the pose estimate error and the value of the CAER metric for pose estimates in a neighbourhood of the origin, and (b) lack of disproportionality outside of that neighbourhood

**Definition III. CAER as metric.**—Let  $S_p$  and  $S_q$  be two range scans, equal in angular range  $\lambda$  and size  $N_s$ . The value of the Cumulative Absolute Error per Ray (CAER) metric  $\psi \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  between  $S_p$  and  $S_q$  is given by

$$\psi(S_p, S_q) \triangleq \sum_{n=0}^{N_s-1} |S_p[n] - S_q[n]| \quad (1)$$

**Definition IV. CAER as field.**—A  $\psi$ -field on map  $M$   $f_\psi^M : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [-\pi, +\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is a mapping of 3D pose configurations  $\hat{p}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{\theta})$  to CAER values (def. III) such that if  $\psi(S_R, S_V^M(\hat{p})) = c$  then  $f_\psi^M(\hat{p}) = c$ . In other words a CAER field is produced by computing the value of the CAER metric between a range scan  $S_R$  (def. I) and a map-

scan  $\mathcal{S}_V^M(\hat{p})$  captured from pose configuration  $\hat{p}$  within map  $M$  (def. II).

**Definition V. Rank field.**—Let  $f_\psi^M$  be a  $\psi$ -field on map  $M$  and  $\mathcal{P} = \{\hat{p}_i\}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{I} = \langle 0, 1, \dots, |\mathcal{P}| - 1 \rangle$ , be a set of 3D pose configurations within map  $M$ , such that  $f_\psi^M(\mathcal{P}) = \Psi$ . Let  $\mathbb{I}^*$  be the set of indices such that  $\Psi[\mathbb{I}^*] = \Psi_\uparrow$ . A  $r$ -field on map  $M$   $f_r^M : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [-\pi, +\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  is a mapping of 3D pose configurations  $\mathcal{P}$  to non-negative integers such that if  $f_\psi^M(\mathcal{P}) = \Psi$  then  $f_r^M(\mathcal{P}) = \mathbb{I}^*$  (equivalently:  $f_r^M(\mathcal{P}[\mathbb{I}^*]) = \mathbb{I}$ ). In other words a rank field maps the elements of pose estimate set  $\{\hat{p}_i\}$  to the ranks  $\mathbb{I}^*$  of their corresponding CAER values in hierarchy  $\Psi_\uparrow$ .

**Definition VI. Field densities.**—The locational and angular density,  $d_l$  and  $d_\alpha$  respectively, of a  $\psi$ - or  $r$ -field express, correspondingly, the number of pose estimates per unit area of space and  $2\pi$  rad.

### III. RELATED WORK

#### IV. THE CBGL METHOD

The proposed method's foundations rest on observation O:

**Observation O.** It may be observed that there are conditions such that hypothesis H stands true.

**Hypothesis H.** There exists  $\bar{\delta} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that conjecture

**Conjecture C.** Let the unknown pose of a 2D range sensor measuring range scan  $\mathcal{S}_R$  (def. I) be  $p(x, y, \theta)$  with respect to the reference frame of map  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a set of pose hypotheses within the free (i.e. traversable) space of  $M$ :  $\mathcal{H} = \{\hat{p}_i(\hat{x}_i, \hat{y}_i, \hat{\theta}_i)\} \subseteq \text{free}(M)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, |\mathcal{H}| - 1$ ;  $\mathbb{S}$  be the set of map-scans (def. II) of  $M$  from pose hypotheses  $\mathcal{H}$ :  $\mathbb{S} = \{\mathcal{S}_V^M(\hat{p}_i)\}$ ; and  $\Psi$  be the set of CAERs (def. III) between  $\mathcal{S}_R$  and the elements of  $\mathbb{S}$ :  $\Psi = \{\psi(\mathcal{S}_R, \mathcal{S}_V^M(\hat{p}_i))\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{\hat{p}_i \in \mathcal{H} : \|\mathbf{p} - \hat{p}_i\|_2 < \delta_0 \text{ and } \psi(\mathcal{S}_R, \mathcal{S}_V^M(\hat{p}_i)) < \psi_0\}$ . Without loss of generality there exist  $\delta_0, \bar{\delta}, \psi_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 &< \bar{\delta} \\ \|\mathbf{p} - \hat{p}_V\|_2 &< \delta_0 \\ \psi(\mathcal{S}_R, \mathcal{S}_V^M(\hat{p}_V)) &< \psi_0 \end{aligned}$$

are simultaneously true for all  $\hat{p}_V \in \mathcal{V}$ , for which

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\mathbf{p}, \hat{p}_V) &< \psi(\mathbf{p}, \hat{p}) \Leftrightarrow \\ \|\mathbf{p} - \hat{p}_V\|_2 &< \|\mathbf{p} - \hat{p}\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\hat{p} \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{V} : \|\mathbf{p} - \hat{p}\|_2 \geq \delta_0$ .

**Remark I.** The composition of  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{W}$ , where  $\mathcal{X} = \{\hat{p} \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{V} : \|\mathbf{p} - \hat{p}\|_2 \geq \delta_0\}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = \{\hat{p} \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{V} : \|\mathbf{p} - \hat{p}\|_2 < \delta_0\}$ . With respect to set  $\mathcal{W}$  ... ??

Figure 2 depicts a configuration which yields observation O, and where therefore hypothesis H is true.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec




Fig. 2:




Fig. 3: This figure shall reside under section *Limitations* ??




Fig. 4:




Fig. 5:

vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim.

Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetur.

Suspendisse vel felis. Ut lorem lorem, interdum eu, tincidunt sit amet, laoreet vitae, arcu. Aenean faucibus pede eu ante. Praesent enim elit, rutrum at, molestie non, nonummy vel, nisl. Ut lectus eros, malesuada sit amet, fermentum eu, sodales cursus, magna. Donec eu purus. Quisque vehicula, urna sed ultricies auctor, pede lorem egestas dui, et convallis elit erat sed nulla. Donec luctus. Curabitur et nunc. Aliquam dolor odio, commodo pretium, ultricies non, pharetra in, velit. Integer arcu est, nonummy in, fermentum faucibus, egestas vel, odio.

#### Algorithm I: CBGL

**Input:**  $S_R, M, |\mathcal{H}|, \nabla$

**Output:**  $\hat{p}$

```

1:  $\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \{ \}$ 
2: for  $i \leftarrow 0, 1, \dots, |\mathcal{H}| - 1$  do
3:    $\hat{p}_i \leftarrow \text{rand}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{\theta}) : (x, y) \in \text{free}(M)$ 
4:    $\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \{\mathcal{H}, \hat{p}_i\}$ 
5: end for
6:  $\mathcal{H}_1 \leftarrow \text{bottom\_n\_poses}(S_R, M, \mathcal{H}, \nabla)$  (Alg. II)
7:  $\mathcal{H}_2 \leftarrow \{ \}$ 
8: for  $k \leftarrow 0, 1, \dots, |\mathcal{H}_1| - 1$  do
9:    $\hat{h}' \leftarrow \text{sm2}(S_R, M, \mathcal{H}_1[k])$  (Alg. III or e.g. x1 [4])
10:   $\mathcal{H}_2 \leftarrow \{\mathcal{H}_2, \hat{h}'\}$ 
11: end for
12:  $\hat{p} \leftarrow \text{bottom\_n\_poses}(S_R, M, \mathcal{H}, 1)$ 
13: return  $\hat{p}$ 

```

Algorithm II: bottom_n_poses	Algorithm III: sm2
<b>Input:</b> $\mathcal{S}_R, M, \mathcal{H}, \nabla$ <b>Output:</b> $\mathcal{H}_\nabla$ <pre> 1: <math>\Psi \leftarrow \{ \}</math> 2: <b>for</b> <math>h \leftarrow 0, 1, \dots,  \mathcal{H}  - 1</math> <b>do</b> 3:   <math>\mathcal{S}_V^h \leftarrow \text{scan\_map}(M, \mathcal{H}[h])</math> 4:   <math>\psi \leftarrow 0</math> 5:   <b>for</b> <math>n \leftarrow 0, 1, \dots,  \mathcal{S}_R  - 1</math> <b>do</b> 6:     <math>\psi \leftarrow \psi +  \mathcal{S}_R[n] - \mathcal{S}_V^h[n] </math> (Eq. (1)) 7:   <b>end for</b> 8:   <math>\Psi \leftarrow \{\Psi, \psi\}</math> 9: <b>end for</b> 10: <math>[\Psi_\uparrow, \mathbb{I}^*] \leftarrow \text{sort}(\Psi, \text{asc})</math> 11: <math>\mathcal{H}_\nabla \leftarrow \{ \}</math> 12: <b>for</b> <math>h \leftarrow 0, 1, \dots, \nabla - 1</math> <b>do</b> 13:   <math>\mathcal{H}_\nabla \leftarrow \{\mathcal{H}_\nabla, \mathcal{H}[\mathbb{I}^*[h]]\}</math> 14: <b>end for</b> 15: <b>return</b> <math>\mathcal{H}_\nabla</math> </pre>	<b>Input:</b> $\mathcal{S}_R, M, \hat{p}$ <b>Output:</b> $\hat{p}'$ <pre> 1: <math>\mathcal{S}_V \leftarrow \text{scan\_map}(M, \hat{p})</math> 2: <math>\Delta p \leftarrow \text{scan-match}(\mathcal{S}_R, \mathcal{S}_V)</math> (e.g. ICP [2], FSM [3]) 3: <math>\hat{p}' \leftarrow \hat{p} + \Delta p</math> 4: <b>return</b> <math>\hat{p}'</math> </pre>
<p>V. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION</p> <p>VI. CHARACTERISATION &amp; LIMITATIONS</p> <p>VII. CONCLUSION</p> <p>REFERENCES</p> <p>[1] M. Cooper, J. Raquet, and R. Patton, "Range Information Characterization of the Hokuyo UST-20LX LIDAR Sensor," <i>Photonics</i>, 2018.</p> <p>[2] I. Vizzo, T. Guadagnino, B. Mersch, L. Wiesmann, J. Behley, and C. Stachniss, "Kiss-icp: In defense of point-to-point icp simple, accurate, and robust registration if done the right way," <i>IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters</i>, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 1029–1036, 2023.</p> <p>[3] A. Filotheou, G. D. Sergiadis, and A. G. Dimitriou, "Fsm: Correspondenceless scan-matching of panoramic 2d range scans," in <i>2022 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)</i>, 2022, pp. 6968–6975.</p> <p>[4] A. Filotheou, A. L. Symeonidis, G. D. Sergiadis, and A. G. Dimitriou, "Correspondenceless scan-to-map-scan matching of 2d panoramic range scans," <i>Array</i>, vol. 18, p. 100288, 2023. [Online]. Available: <a href="https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590005623000139">https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590005623000139</a></p>	