How to tell if a FLOSS project is doomed to FAIL

(This was originally written by *Tom 'spot' Callaway*¹ and is used here under the *CC BY SA 3.0*² license. The work *How you know your Free or Open Source Software Project is doomed to FAIL (or at least, held back fro])m success)*³ originally appeared at this URL:)

http://spot.livejournal.com/308370.html

This was inspired by my recent efforts to look at *Chromium*⁴, but these are just some of the red flags I generally have observed over the years written down.

Size

- The source code is more than 100 MB. [+5 points of FAIL]
- If the source code also exceeds 100 MB when it is compressed [+5 points of FAIL]

Source Control

- There is no publicly available source control (e.g. cvs, svn, bzr, git) [+10 points of FAIL]
- There is publicly available source control, but:
- There is no web viewer for it [+5 points of FAIL]
- There is no documentation on how to use it for new users [+5 points of FAIL]
- You've written your own source control for this code [+30 points of FAIL]
- You don't actually use the existing source control [+50 points of FAIL]

Building From Source

- There is no documentation on how to build from source [+20 points of FAIL]
- If documentation exists on how to build from source, but it doesn't work [+10 points of FAIL]
- Your source is configured with a handwritten shell script [+10 points of FAIL]
- Your source is configured editing flat text config files [+20 points of FAIL]
- Your source is configured by editing code header files manually [+30 points of FAIL]
- Your source isn't configurable [+50 points of FAIL]
- Your source builds using something that isn't GNU Make [+10 points of FAIL]
- Your source only builds with third-party proprietary build tools [+50 points of FAIL]

¹ http://fedoraproject.org/wiki/User:Spot

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/

³ http://spot.livejournal.com/308370.html

⁴ http://code.google.com/chromium/

You've written your own build tool for this code [+100 points of FAIL]

Bundling

- Your source only comes with other code projects that it depends on [+20 points of FAIL]
- If your source code cannot be built without first building the bundled code bits [+10 points of FAIL]
- If you have modified those other bundled code bits [+40 points of FAIL]

Libraries

- Your code only builds static libraries [+20 points of FAIL]
- Your code can build shared libraries, but only unversioned ones [+20 points of FAIL]
- Your source does not try to use system libraries if present [+20 points of FAIL]

System Install

- Your code tries to install into /opt or /usr/local [+10 points of FAIL]
- Your code has no "make install" [+20 points of FAIL]
- Your code doesn't work outside of the source directory [+30 points of FAIL]

Code Oddities

- Your code uses Windows line breaks ("DOS format" files) [+5 points of FAIL]
- Your code depends on specific compiler feature functionality [+20 points of FAIL]
- Your code depends on specific compiler bugs [+50 points of FAIL]
- Your code depends on Microsoft Visual Anything [+100 points of FAIL]

Communication

- Your project does not announce releases on a mailing list [+5 points of FAIL]
- Your project does not have a mailing list [+10 points of FAIL]
- Your project does not have a bug tracker [+20 points of FAIL]
- Your project does not have a website [+50 points of FAIL]
- Your project is sourceforge vaporware [+100 points of FAIL]

Releases

- Your project does not do sanely versioned releases (Major, Minor) [+10 points of FAIL]
- Your project does not do versioned releases [+20 points of FAIL]
- Your project does not do releases [+50 points of FAIL]
- Your project only does releases as attachments in web forum posts [+100 points of FAIL]

- Your releases are only in .zip format [+5 points of FAIL]
- Your releases are only in OSX .zip format [+10 points of FAIL]
- Your releases are only in .rar format [+20 points of FAIL]
- Your releases are only in .arj format [+50 points of FAIL]
- Your releases are only in an encapsulation format that you invented. [+100 points of FAIL]
- Your release does not unpack into a versioned top-level directory (e.g. glibc-2.4.2/) [+10 points of FAIL]
- Your release does not unpack into a top-level directory (e.g. glibc/) [+25 points of FAIL]
- Your release unpacks into an absurd number of directories (e.g. home/johndoe/glibc-svn/tarball/glibc/src/) [+50 points of FAIL]

History

- Your code is a fork of another project [+10 points of FAIL]
- Your primary developers were not involved with the parent project [+50 points of FAIL]
- Until open sourcing it, your code was proprietary for:
- 1-2 years [+10 points of FAIL]
- 3-5 years [+20 points of FAIL]
- 6-10 years [+30 points of FAIL]
- 10+ years [+50 points of FAIL]

Licensing

- Your code does not have per-file licensing [+10 points of FAIL]
- Your code contains inherent license incompatibilities [+20 points of FAIL]
- Your code does not have any notice of licensing intent [+30 points of FAIL]
- Your code doesn't include a copy of the license text [+50 points of FAIL]
- Your code doesn't have a license [+100 points of FAIL]

Documentation

- Your code doesn't have a changelog [+10 points of FAIL]
- Your code doesn't have any documentation [+20 points of FAIL]
- Your website doesn't have any documentation [+30 points of FAIL]

FAIL METER

· 0 points of FAIL: Perfect! All signs point to success!

Chapter 12. How to tell if a FLOSS project is doomed to FAIL

- 5-25 points of FAIL: You're probably doing okay, but you could be better.
- 30-60 points of FAIL: Babies cry when your code is downloaded
- 65-90 points of FAIL: Kittens die when your code is downloaded
- 95-130 points of FAIL: HONK HONK. THE FAILBOAT HAS ARRIVED!
- 135+ points of FAIL: So much fail, your code should have its own reality TV show.