

# Facilitating the calibration of complex quantum photonic circuits with machine learning assisted gate set tomography

By

LIAM DALGARNO



University of  
BRISTOL

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

A dissertation submitted to the University of Bristol in accordance with the requirements of the degree of MASTER OF ENGINEERING in the Faculty of Engineering.

JANUARY 4, 2021

Word count: 938



# Declaration

This dissertation is submitted to the University of Bristol in accordance with the requirements of the degree of MEng in the Faculty of Engineering. It has not been submitted for any other degree or diploma of any examining body. Except where specifically acknowledged, it is all the work of the Author.

SIGNED: ..... DATE: .....



# Abstract

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetur.

Suspendisse vel felis. Ut lorem lorem, interdum eu, tincidunt sit amet, laoreet vitae, arcu. Aenean faucibus pede eu ante. Praesent enim elit, rutrum at, molestie non, nonummy vel, nisl. Ut lectus eros, malesuada sit amet, fermentum eu, sodales cursus, magna. Donec eu purus. Quisque vehicula, urna sed ultricies auctor, pede lorem egestas dui, et convallis elit erat sed nulla. Donec luctus. Curabitur et nunc. Aliquam dolor odio, commodo pretium, ultricies non, pharetra in, velit. Integer arcu est, nonummy in, fermentum faucibus, egestas vel, odio.

Sed commodo posuere pede. Mauris ut est. Ut quis purus. Sed ac odio. Sed vehicula hendrerit sem. Duis non odio. Morbi ut dui. Sed accumsan risus eget odio. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Pellentesque non elit. Fusce sed justo eu urna porta tincidunt. Mauris felis odio, sollicitudin sed, volutpat a, ornare ac, erat. Morbi quis dolor. Donec pellentesque, erat ac sagittis semper, nunc dui lobortis purus, quis congue purus metus ultricies tellus. Proin et quam. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Praesent sapien turpis, fermentum vel, eleifend faucibus, vehicula eu, lacus.



# Acknowledgements

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.





# Contents

Section	Page
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xi
List of Acronyms	xiii
Todo list	xv
<b>1 Notes</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Tomography . . . . .	1
1.1.1 Operator-Sum Representation . . . . .	1
1.1.2 State Tomography . . . . .	1
1.1.3 Process Tomography . . . . .	2
<b>Bibliography</b>	



# List of Figures



# List of Tables



# List of Acronyms





# Todo list

TODO: Clean up these notes and put into a Technical Background chapter. . . . .	1
TODO: Expand this more . . . . .	1



# Chapter 1: Notes

## 1.1 Tomography

Notes taken from [1], only reworded and trimmed down for my benefit.

TODO: Clean up these notes and put into a Technical Background chapter.

### 1.1.1 Operator-Sum Representation

Quantum operations can be represented in the *operator-sum representation*. Consider a state  $\rho$  coupled with the environment  $\rho_{\text{env}}$  which is transformed by  $U$ . The final state  $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$  of the system is then

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \text{tr}_{\text{env}} \left( U(\rho \otimes \rho_{\text{env}}) U^\dagger \right) \quad (1.1)$$

where we trace out the environment to obtain the state of the system alone. To rewrite this in operator form, we let  $\rho_{\text{env}}$  be a pure state  $|e_0\rangle\langle e_0|$  with orthonormal basis  $|e_k\rangle$ . Then, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_k \langle e_k | U \left[ \rho \otimes |e_0\rangle\langle e_0| \right] U^\dagger | e_k \rangle \quad (1.2)$$

$$= \sum_k E_k \rho E_k^\dagger \quad (1.3)$$

Where  $E_k = \langle e_k | U | e_0 \rangle$ , and we omit the identities in  $I \otimes |e_k\rangle$ . See this answer for why. The operators  $E_k$  are known as *operation elements*. This form is incredibly useful for tomography, which is covered in the following sections.

TODO: Expand this more

### 1.1.2 State Tomography

In the classical world, characterising the dynamics of a system is trivial and known as *system identification*. The general idea is that we wish to know how the system behaves with respect to any input, thus uniquely identifying it. In the quantum world, the analogue of this is called *quantum process tomography*. To understand process tomography, we must first understand *quantum state tomography*.

State tomography is the procedure of determining an unknown quantum state. This is harder than it sounds: if we're given an unknown state  $\rho$ , we can't just measure the state and recover it immediately way since measurement will *disturb* the original state. In fact, *there is no quantum measurement which can distinguish non-orthogonal states with certainty*. However, if we have an *ensemble* of the same quantum state  $\rho$ , then it's possible to estimate  $\rho$ .

If we represent the state of the system using its density matrix  $\rho$ , we may expand  $\rho$  as

$$\rho = \frac{\text{tr}(\rho) I + \text{tr}(X\rho) X + \text{tr}(Y\rho) Y + \text{tr}(Z\rho) Z}{2} \quad (1.4)$$

Note that  $\text{tr}(Z\rho)$  can be interpreted as the *expectation* of the observable  $Z$ . Therefore, to estimate  $\text{tr}(Z\rho)$ , we measure the observable  $Z$   $m$ -times to obtain outcomes  $z_1, \dots, z_m$  and calculate

$$\text{tr}(Z\rho) \approx \frac{1}{m} \sum_i^m z_i \quad (1.5)$$

In general, this estimate is approximately a Gaussian with mean  $\text{tr}(Z\rho)$  and standard deviation  $\Delta(Z)/\sqrt{m}$ , where  $\Delta(Z)$  is the standard deviation of a single measurement. We can apply this same

method to estimate  $\text{tr}(X\rho)X$  and  $\text{tr}(Y\rho)Y$ ; with a large enough sample size we obtain a good estimate for  $\rho$ . Additionally, since density matrices have unit trace, we know that

$$\text{tr}(\rho)I = I \quad (1.6)$$

This process can be generalised to a density matrix on  $n$  qubits as

$$\rho = \sum_{\vec{v}} \frac{\text{tr}(\sigma_{v_1} \otimes \sigma_{v_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{v_n}) \sigma_{v_1} \otimes \sigma_{v_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{v_n}}{2^n} \quad (1.7)$$

where  $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  with entries  $v_i$  chosen from the set  $0, 1, 2, 3$ , i.e. each  $\sigma_{v_i}$  is a particular Pauli matrix.

### 1.1.3 Process Tomography

To extend this notion to quantum process tomography is actually quite easy from a theoretical point of view. If the state space of the system has  $d$  dimensions ( $d = 2$  for a single qubit), then we choose  $d^2$  pure quantum states  $|\psi_d\rangle, \dots, |\psi_{d^2}\rangle$ . The corresponding density matrices  $|\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1|, \dots, |\psi_{d^2}\rangle\langle\psi_{d^2}|$  for these states should form a *basis set* for the space of possible density matrices.

Now, for each state  $|\psi_j\rangle$ , we prepare the system in that state and then subject it to the process  $\mathcal{E}$ . Afterwards, we use state tomography to determine the output state  $\mathcal{E}(|\psi_j\rangle\langle\psi_j|)$ . Theoretically, this is all that we need to do, since the matrices  $|\psi_j\rangle\langle\psi_j|$  form a basis set, so any other possible density matrices can be represented as a linear combination of the basis set. e.g.,

$$\mathcal{E}(|\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi| + |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|) = \mathcal{E}(|\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|) + \mathcal{E}(|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|) \quad (1.8)$$

However, in practice, it's not that simple since operators are just a 'theoretical tool'. We only have access to measurable quantities. We can instead describe  $\mathcal{E}$  using *operator-sum representation*, where our goal is to determine a set of operation elements  $\{E_i\}$  for  $\mathcal{E}$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_i E_i \rho E_i^\dagger \quad (1.9)$$

To obtain these operators from measurements, it is convenient to use a different formulation of  $\mathcal{E}$  from a *fixed* set of operators  $\tilde{E}_i$ . These fixed operators will form a basis set for the operators of that state space, so that

$$E_i = \sum_m e_{im} \tilde{E}_m \quad (1.10)$$

for some set of complex numbers  $e_{im}$ . We can rewrite Equation 1.9 as

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_{mn} \tilde{E}_m \rho \tilde{E}_n^\dagger \chi_{mn} \quad (1.11)$$

where each  $\tilde{E}_i$  is a fixed operator, and  $\chi$  is a complex matrix known as the *chi matrix representation*.  $\chi$  is defined as

$$\chi_{mn} = \sum_i e_{im} e_{in}^* \quad (1.12)$$

Here, we have simply combined the complex numbers  $e_{im}$  into a single matrix. By definition, this is a positive Hermitian matrix. This shows that we can determine  $\mathcal{E}$  entirely from  $\chi$ , once the set of operators has been fixed.

Now, let  $p_j, 1 \leq j \leq d^2$  be a set of matrices which form an independent basis for the the space of  $d \times d$  matrices. We can determine each  $\mathcal{E}(\rho_j)$  by state tomography, and then express this as a linear combination of the basis states,

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho_j) = \sum_k \lambda_{jk} \rho_k \quad (1.13)$$

Since we know  $\mathcal{E}(\rho_j)$  via state tomography, we can determine  $\lambda_{jk}$  by linear algebra. i.e.  $\mathcal{E}(\rho_j)$  is a known matrix and each  $\rho_k$  is known, so we simply need to solve standard linear equations for each  $\lambda_{jk}$ . Furthermore, we can write this as

$$\tilde{E}_m \rho_j \tilde{E}_n^\dagger = \sum_k \beta_{jk}^{mn} \rho_k \quad (1.14)$$

where  $\beta_{jk}^{mn}$  are complex numbers determined by standard linear algebra algorithms given the operators  $\tilde{E}_m$ ,  $\tilde{E}_n$ , and  $\rho_j$ . Note that the  $m$  and  $n$  come from the fixed operators in Equation 1.11. If we combine the previous two equations with Equation 1.11, we get

$$\sum_k \sum_{mn} \chi_{mn} \beta_{jk}^{mn} \rho_k = \sum_k \lambda_{jk} \rho_k \quad (1.15)$$

From the linear independence of  $\rho_k$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{mn} \beta_{jk}^{mn} \chi_{mn} = \lambda_{jk} \quad (1.16)$$

Equation 1.16 is a necessary condition for  $\chi$  to give the correct quantum operation  $\mathcal{E}$ .

We can think of  $\chi$  and  $\lambda$  as vectors, and  $\beta$  as a  $d^4 \times d^4$  matrix. Here,  $\beta$  has columns indexed by  $mn$  and rows indexed by  $jk$ . Now, suppose that  $\beta$  has an inverse  $\kappa$ .  $\chi$  is then completely defined by

$$\chi_{mn} = \sum_{jk} \kappa_{jk}^{mn} \lambda_{jk} \quad (1.17)$$

Which we can write more clearly in matrix form as

$$\vec{\chi} = \kappa \vec{\lambda} \quad (1.18)$$

Fortunately, once we know  $\chi$ , we immediately know the operator-sum representation of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Suppose that some unitary matrix  $U^\dagger$  diagonalises  $\chi$ . Then, we can construct  $E_i$  using

$$\chi_{mn} = \sum_{xy} U_{mx} d_x \delta_{xy} U_{ny}^* \quad (1.19)$$

$$E_i = \sqrt{d_i} \sum_j U_{ji} \tilde{E}_j \quad (1.20)$$

In summary:  $\lambda$  is determined using state tomography, which then determines  $\chi$  via  $\vec{\chi} = \kappa \vec{\lambda}$ . We can then use  $\chi$  to completely determine each  $E_i$ .

In general  $\chi$  will contain  $d^4 - d^2$  independent parameters. A linear map from  $d \times d$  complex matrices to  $d \times d$  matrices is described by  $d^4$  independent parameters.  $d^2$  comes from the constraint that  $\rho$  must remain Hermitian with unit trace. For a single qubit, we'll have  $2^4 - 2^2 = 12$  parameters, whilst two qubits will have  $4^4 - 4^2 = 240$  parameters!

The above process looks pretty complex. Fortunately, in the case of a single qubit, we can pick specific fixed operators  $\tilde{E}_i$  to simplify the process massively. We select

$$\tilde{E}_0 = I \quad (1.21)$$

$$\tilde{E}_1 = X \quad (1.22)$$

$$\tilde{E}_2 = -iY \quad (1.23)$$

$$\tilde{E}_3 = Z \quad (1.24)$$

For our basis set  $\rho_j$ , we can prepare the input states  $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |+\rangle = (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}, |-\rangle = (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ . We determine

$$\rho'_1 = \mathcal{E}(|0\rangle\langle 0|) \quad (1.25)$$

$$\rho'_4 = \mathcal{E}(|1\rangle\langle 1|) \quad (1.26)$$

$$\rho'_2 = \mathcal{E}(|1\rangle\langle 0|) = \mathcal{E}(|+\rangle\langle +|) - i\mathcal{E}(|-\rangle\langle -|) - (1-i)(\rho'_1 + \rho'_4)/2 \quad (1.27)$$

$$\rho'_3 = \mathcal{E}(|0\rangle\langle 1|) = \mathcal{E}(|+\rangle\langle +|) + i\mathcal{E}(|-\rangle\langle -|) - (1+i)(\rho'_1 + \rho'_4)/2 \quad (1.28)$$

because  $|0\rangle\langle 0|, |1\rangle\langle 1|, |1\rangle\langle 0|, |0\rangle\langle 1|$  form a basis for the set of  $d \times d$  matrices.



# Bibliography

- [1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information: 10th Anniversary Edition*. USA: Cambridge University Press, tenth ed., 2011.