MIDTERM 1 PROGRAMMING ASSIGNMENT

LIAM DUNGAN

\mathbf{A}_1 :

For matrix A_1 , method (a) proved far more accurate. Method (a) shows a relative error of roughly 2.8% while method (b) shows an error between 20-40%. However, the results did improve when tested using a higher number of randomly generated vectors y_i . The results of 5 different test cases are shown below.

```
cond(A): 12.7741935484
cond(A) method a:
                         12.4160902151
                                            error: 2.80333417461 %
cond(A) method b:
                         9.58165040344
                                            error: 24.9921306801 %
nwk-148-162:desktop liamdungan$ python midterm.py
         cond(A): 12.7741935484
                                           error: 2.80333417461 % error: 22.5447008266 %
cond(A) method a:
                         12.4160902151
                         9.89428982989
cond(A) method b:
nwk-148-162:desktop liamdungan$ python midterm.py
         cond(A): 12.7741935484
cond(A) method a: 12.4160902151
cond(A) method b: 5.78666485836
                                            error: 2.80333417461 %
                                           error: 54.7003508563 %
nwk-148-162:desktop liamdungan$ python midterm.py
         cond(A): 12.7741935484
cond(A) method a: 12.4160902151
                                            error: 2.80333417461 %
                                           error: 34.8823078686 %
cond(A) method b:
                         8.31826002711
nwk-148-162:desktop liamdungan$ python midterm.py
         cond(A): 12.7741935484
                                           error: 2.80333417461 % error: 36.5968911251 %
cond(A) method a:
                     12.4160902151
cond(A) method b:
                         8.09923584338
nwk-148-162:desktop liamdungan$ python midterm.py
```

\mathbf{A}_2 :

Similarly, for matrix A_2 , method (a) was more accurate. This method shows a relative error of roughly 2.0% while method (b) shows a relative error between 20-40%. The results of 5 different test cases are shown below.

 $Date \hbox{: Nov. 5, 2017.}$

```
cond(A): 4016285.00021

cond(A) method a: 3936928.52587 error: 1.97586760753 %

cond(A) method b: 2735196.19297 error: 31.8973580603 %

Inwk-148-162:desktop liamdungan$ python midterm.py

cond(A): 4016285.00021

cond(A) method a: 3936928.52587 error: 1.97586760753 %

cond(A) method b: 2835171.03207 error: 29.4081213879 %

inwk-148-162:desktop liamdungan$ python midterm.py

cond(A): 4016285.00021

cond(A) method a: 3936928.52587 error: 1.97586760753 %

cond(A) method b: 3052935.59527 error: 23.9860817867 %

Inwk-148-162:desktop liamdungan$ python midterm.py

cond(A): 4016285.00021

cond(A) method a: 3936928.52587 error: 1.97586760753 %

cond(A) method b: 2345283.10366 error: 41.6056603668 %

Inwk-148-162:desktop liamdungan$ python midterm.py

cond(A): 4016285.00021

cond(A) method a: 3936928.52587 error: 1.97586760753 %

cond(A) method b: 3085835.0787 error: 23.1669296741 %

nwk-148-162:desktop liamdungan$ _
```

```
#Liam Dungan
#Nov. 5, 2017
#CS 323 — midterm 1 programming assignment
    import numpy as np
from scipy.linalg import lu
     def Forward_Substitution(A,b,x):
         m=A.shape[0]
         n=A.shape[1]
12
         if(m!=n):
         20
             x[j] = b[j]/A[j,j]
              for i in range(j+1,n):
                  if( abs(-1. - A[i,j]*x[j]) >= abs(b[i] - A[i,j]*x[j]) ): b[i]=-1.
23
24
                  b[i] = b[i] - A[i,j]*x[j]
27
28
29
    def getCond(A1):
#----METHOD-A--
30
         P,L,U = lu(A1,permute_l = False)
         Lt = np.transpose(L)
         Ut = np.transpose(U)
36
```

```
38
         c=np.array([1.,1.,1.])
         v=np.zeros(3)
         Forward_Substitution(Ut,c,v)
         y_a = np.linalg.solve(Lt,v)
44
         z_a = np.linalg.solve(A1,y_a)
         ratio_a = np.linalg.norm(z_a)/np.linalg.norm(y_a)
50
         Y = np.array([np.random.rand(3,1),
             np.random.rand(3,1),
             np.random.rand(3,1),
             np.random.rand(3,1),
             np.random.rand(3,1)])
         ratio_b = ( np.linalg.norm(np.linalg.solve(A1,Y[0]) ) / np.linalg.norm(Y[0]) )
         for i in range(5):
             z_norm = np.linalg.norm( np.linalg.solve(A1,Y[i]) )
60
             y_norm = np.linalg.norm(Y[i])
62
             if( (z_norm/y_norm) > ratio_b):
                 ratio_b = (z_norm/y_norm)
68
         n=A1.shape[1]
        normA = 0
for i in range(0,n):
70
             vectorNorm = np.linalg.norm(A1[:,i],1)
             if(vectorNorm > normA):
    normA = vectorNorm
         actualCond = np.linalg.cond(A1,1)
```

```
print "\n \t cond(A):", actualCond

#Estimate condition number
cond_methodA = normA * ratio_a
cond_methodB = normA * ratio_b

errorA = (abs(cond_methodA - actualCond)/actualCond) *100
errorB = (abs(cond_methodB - actualCond)/actualCond) *100

print "cond(A) method a:\t",cond_methodA,"\t error: ", errorA,"%"
print "cond(A) method b:\t",cond_methodB,"\t error: ", errorB,"%"

def main():
    #test matrices
    #A1 = np.array([[-10.,7.,0.],[5.,-1.,5.],[-3.,2.,6.]])
    A2 = np.array([[92.,66.,25.],[-73.,78.,24.],[-80.,37.,10.]])
getCond(A2)

if __name__ == "__main__":
    main()
```