

## 233A - Final

---

**1.4.6** Let  $Y$  be a subspace of a topological space  $X$ . Show that  $Y$  is irreducible if and only if the closure of  $Y$  in  $X$  is irreducible.

*Proof.* First suppose that  $Y$  is irreducible. If  $\overline{Y}$  (the closure of  $Y$  in  $X$ ) were reducible, then we could write  $\overline{Y} = \tilde{F}_1 \cup \tilde{F}_2$ , where  $\tilde{F}_1$  and  $\tilde{F}_2$  are nonempty (relatively) closed subsets of  $\overline{Y}$ . In particular, this means that we can write  $\overline{Y} \subseteq F_1 \cup F_2$ , where  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are closed in  $X$  and  $Y$  is not entirely contained in either  $F_1$  or  $F_2$ . If  $Y$  is contained in say  $F_1$ , then  $\overline{Y} \subseteq \overline{F_1} = F_1$ , which contradicts the reducibility of  $\overline{Y}$ , so  $Y$  isn't contained in  $F_1$ . By symmetry,  $Y$  is not contained in  $F_2$  either. But we have

$$Y \subseteq \overline{Y} \subseteq F_1 \cup F_2.$$

This shows that  $Y$  is contained in the union of closed (in  $X$ ) subsets, but is contained in neither set individually, contradicting the irreducibility of  $Y$ . We conclude that  $\overline{Y}$  is also irreducible.

Conversely, suppose that  $\overline{Y}$  is irreducible but  $Y$  is reducible. Then  $Y \subseteq F_1 \cup F_2$ , where  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are closed in  $X$  and  $Y$  is contained in neither  $F_1$  nor  $F_2$ . When we take the closure of both sides of this inclusion we get

$$\overline{Y} \subseteq \overline{F_1 \cup F_2} = \overline{F_1} \cup \overline{F_2} = F_1 \cup F_2.$$

Since  $\overline{Y}$  is irreducible, it must be contained in  $F_1$  or  $F_2$ , say  $F_1$ . But then  $Y \subseteq \overline{Y} \subseteq F_1$ , contradicting our assumption about  $Y$  not being contained in  $F_1$ . We conclude that  $Y$  is irreducible.  $\square$

**2.6.13** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be prevarieties with affine open covers  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_j\}$ , respectively. Construct the product prevariety  $X \times Y$  by gluing the affine varieties  $U_i \times V_j$  together. Moreover, show that there are projection morphisms  $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  and  $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  satisfying the usual universal property for products.

*Proof.* The affine varieties  $U_i \times V_j$  (as the product of two affine varieties is an affine variety) form a finite affine open cover for  $X \times Y$  as a topological space. The idea now is to glue the sets  $U_i \times V_j$  and  $U_k \times V_l$  along the identity morphism on the intersection  $(U_i \cap U_k) \times (V_j \cap V_l)$ . Let  $f_{ijkl} : U_i \times V_j \rightarrow U_k \times V_l$  be the identity morphism on the intersection. Then we clearly have that  $f_{ijkl} = (f_{klij})^{-1}$  and the cocycle condition holds on triple intersections.

Let  $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  and  $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  be the usual set-theoretic projection maps. As maps of topological spaces they are certainly continuous. We just have to show that they are morphisms.  $\square$

**3.5.5** Let  $V$  be the vector space over  $k$  of homogeneous degree-2 polynomials in three variables  $x_0, x_1, x_2$  and let  $\mathbb{P}(V) \cong \mathbb{P}^5$  be its projectivization.

- (i) Show that the space of conics in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  can be identified with an open subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{P}^5$ . What geometric objects can be associated to the points in  $\mathbb{P}^5 \setminus U$ ?
- (ii) Show that it is a linear condition in  $\mathbb{P}^5$  for the conics to pass through a given point in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . If  $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$  is a point, show that there is a linear subspace  $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$  such that the conics passing through  $P$  are exactly those in  $U \cap L$ . What happens in  $\mathbb{P}^5 \setminus U$ ?
- (iii) Prove that there is a unique conic through any five points in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , as long as no three of them lie on a line. What happens if three of them do lie on a line?

**4.6.10** Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  be an affine variety, and let  $Y_1, Y_2 \subsetneq X$  be irreducible, closed subsets, no-one contained in the other. Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the blow-up of  $X$  at the (possibly non-radical) ideal  $I(Y_1) + I(Y_2)$ . Then the strict transforms of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  on  $\tilde{X}$  are disjoint.