

260A - Homework 1

Problem 1.

(i) Show that ℓ^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, is a Banach space.

(ii) Prove that $\ell^\infty = (\ell^1)^*$, but $(\ell^\infty)^* \neq \ell^1$.

Proof. (i) Let $a = (a^{(n)})$ and $b = (b^{(n)})$ be in ℓ^p , $1 < p < \infty$. We have by Hölder's inequality for any complex λ

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|a + \lambda b\|_p^p &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a^{(n)} + \lambda b^{(n)}|^p \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a^{(n)} + \lambda b^{(n)}| \cdot |a^{(n)} + \lambda b^{(n)}|^{p-1} \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a^{(n)}| \cdot |a^{(n)} + \lambda b^{(n)}|^{p-1} + |\lambda| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b^{(n)}| \cdot |a^{(n)} + \lambda b^{(n)}|^{p-1} \\
 &\leq (\|a\|_p + |\lambda| \|b\|_p) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a^{(n)} + \lambda b^{(n)}|^{(p-1)\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
 &= (\|a\|_p + |\lambda| \|b\|_p) \|a + \lambda b\|_p^{p-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

Which shows that $\|a + \lambda b\|_p \leq \|a\|_p + |\lambda| \|b\|_p < \infty$. This shows both that ℓ^p , $1 < p < \infty$, is a vector space (as linear combinations of elements of ℓ^p have finite p -norm) and that the p -norm satisfies the triangle inequality (take $\lambda = 1$).

ℓ^1 is a vector space and the $\|\cdot\|_1$ norm satisfies the triangle inequality thanks to the triangle inequality on \mathbb{C} :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|a + \lambda b\|_1 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a^{(n)} + \lambda b^{(n)}| \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a^{(n)}| + |\lambda| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b^{(n)}| \\
 &= \|a\|_1 + |\lambda| \|b\|_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $a, b \in \ell^\infty$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\|a + \lambda b\|_\infty = \sup_{n \geq 1} |a^{(n)} + \lambda b^{(n)}| \leq \sup_{n \geq 1} (|a^{(n)}| + |\lambda| |b^{(n)}|) \leq \sup_{n \geq 1} |a^{(n)}| + |\lambda| \sup_{n \geq 1} |b^{(n)}| = \|a\|_\infty + |\lambda| \|b\|_\infty.$$

We then have that ℓ^p is a normed complex vector space. We now need to show completeness. First let's treat the case of $p < \infty$. Suppose that $\{a_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in ℓ^p (here $a_i^{(j)}$ is the

j -th entry in the i -th element of the sequence). Since this sequence is Cauchy we have that for any $\epsilon > 0$ we can find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for all $m, n > N$

$$\|a_m - a_n\|_p < \epsilon \iff \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_m^{(k)} - a_n^{(k)}|^p < \epsilon^p.$$

Since each term in the above sum is nonnegative, we must have that $|a_m^{(k)} - a_n^{(k)}| < \epsilon$ for each k . In particular, we have that for any fixed k , $\{a_n^{(k)}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence of complex numbers. Since \mathbb{C} is complete, we have that $a_n^{(k)} \rightarrow a^{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let a be the sequence of complex numbers whose k -th entry is built from our original Cauchy sequence by $a^{(k)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{(k)}$. Our plan is to show that $a_n \rightarrow a$ in ℓ^p and that a is in ℓ^p . Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Then for some N we have that $\|a_m - a_n\|_p < \epsilon$ for all $m, n > N$. Our trick is to pass to a finite sum and then take limits in a particular order. For any $L > 0$ and m, n sufficiently large we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^L |a_m^{(k)} - a_n^{(k)}|^p \leq \|a_m - a_n\|_p^p < \epsilon^p.$$

Now the right-hand side does not depend on m , so taking $m \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^L |a^{(k)} - a_n^{(k)}|^p < \epsilon^p.$$

Then we take $L \rightarrow \infty$ which gives $\|a - a_n\|_p < \epsilon$, so $a_n \rightarrow a$ in ℓ^p . We can use this to show that a is in ℓ^p since for all n

$$\|a\|_p \leq \|a - a_n\|_p + \|a_n\|_p.$$

For n large enough the first term on the right is bounded by ϵ and the second term is finite since each a_n is in ℓ^p . Thus, ℓ^p is complete, and therefore, a Banach space for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Now let $p = \infty$. If $\{a_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in ℓ^∞ then for $\epsilon > 0$ and m, n sufficiently large we have that $\sup_{k \geq 0} |a_m^{(k)} - a_n^{(k)}| < \epsilon$. Just like in the finite p case, this implies that for any fixed k , $\{a_n^{(k)}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence of complex numbers, so we can speak of the entrywise limit a . Also similar to the finite p case we have that for L large

$$\sup_{1 \leq k \leq L} |a_m^{(k)} - a_n^{(k)}| \leq \|a_m - a_n\|_\infty < \epsilon.$$

Sending m to infinity gives $\sup_{1 \leq k \leq L} |a^{(k)} - a_n^{(k)}| < \epsilon$ and then sending L to infinity gives $\|a - a_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. The argument used in the $p < \infty$ case also shows that $a \in \ell^\infty$.

- (ii) First we'll show that $(\ell^1)^* = \ell^\infty$ (i.e., they are isometrically isomorphic). Let $\varphi : \ell^\infty \rightarrow (\ell^1)^*$ be the map that sends $b \in \ell^\infty$ to T_b , where $T_b(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a^{(k)} b^{(k)}$. That φ is linear is obvious. By Hölder's inequality we have that

$$|T_b(a)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a^{(k)}| |b^{(k)}| \leq \|a\|_1 \cdot \|b\|_\infty,$$

This shows that T_b is bounded, and therefore continuous, so the image of φ indeed lives in $(\ell^1)^*$. In particular, this shows that $\|\varphi(b)\| \leq \|b\|_\infty$ (so φ is a continuous map of vector spaces). To show that φ is an isometry, we need the reverse inequality.

Since $\|b\|_\infty = \sup_{k \geq 1} |b^{(k)}|$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find a natural number N so that $|b^{(N)}| > \|b\|_\infty - \epsilon$. Consequently, if we let e_n be the sequence in ℓ^1 whose n -th entry is 1 and whose other entries are 0, we have that we can always find N so that $|T_b(e_N)| = |b^{(N)}| > \|b\|_\infty - \epsilon$. Since ϵ was arbitrary and $\|e_n\|_1 = 1$, we have that $\|T_b\|_\infty \geq \|b\|_\infty$. Thus, $\|\varphi(b)\| = \|b\|_\infty$ and φ is an isometry.

Since isometries are injective, it remains to show that φ is surjective. Let T be a functional in $(\ell^1)^*$. For any $a \in \ell^1$ we have that $a = \sum_{k=1}^\infty a^{(k)} e_k$ where $\sum |a^{(k)}| < \infty$ and e_k is as it was above. Since $a = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^N a^{(k)} e_k$, continuity of T tells us that

$$T(a) = T\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty a^{(k)} e_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty a^{(k)} T(e_k).$$

Since continuity is equivalent to boundedness, we have that $|T(e_k)| < M < \infty$ for some M . Thus, T is the image of the bounded sequence sequence $(T(e_1), T(e_2), \dots)$ under φ , so φ is surjective. φ is then a surjective isometry $\ell^\infty \rightarrow (\ell^1)^*$.

Now let's show that $(\ell^\infty)^* \neq \ell^1$. Let S be the subspace of ℓ^∞ consisting of all convergent sequences and let $T : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the map that sends a convergent sequence to its limit. T is clearly linear and it's bounded since

$$|T(a)| = \left| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a^{(k)} \right| \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a^{(k)}| \leq \sup_{k \geq 1} |a^{(k)}| = \|a\|_\infty.$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, T extends to a continuous linear functional \tilde{T} on all of ℓ^∞ that agrees with T on S .

If $\tilde{T}(a)$ could be written $\tilde{T}(a) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty a^{(k)} b^{(k)}$ for some $b \in \ell^1$, then for all n we would have $b^{(n)} = \tilde{T}(e_n) = T(e_n) = 0$. But then b would be the zero sequence and \tilde{T} is the zero functional, which is nonsense since $\tilde{T}(1, 1, \dots) = T(1, 1, \dots) = 1$. We conclude that \tilde{T} does not have the form required for $(\ell^\infty)^* = \ell^1$.

□

Problem 2 Prove that if Z is a subspace of a normed linear space X , and $y \in X$ has distance d from Z , then there exists $\Lambda \in X^*$ such that $\|\Lambda\| \leq 1$, $\Lambda(y) = d$ and $\Lambda(z) = 0$ for all $z \in Z$.

Proof. Consider the subspace $Y = Z \oplus ky$ of X , where k the field over which X is defined. This sum is indeed direct since y is not in Z . Define the function $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(z + \alpha y) = \alpha d$. f is linear since

$$\begin{aligned} f[\gamma(z + \alpha y) + (w + \beta y)] &= f[(w + \gamma z) + (\beta + \gamma\alpha)y] \\ &= (\beta + \gamma\alpha)d \\ &= \gamma f(z + \alpha y) + f(w + \beta y). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $|f(z + \alpha y)| \leq \|z + \alpha y\|$. Intuitively, this is because $|f(z + \alpha y)|$ is the distance from $z + \alpha y$ to Z , which is at most $\|z + \alpha y\|$, since $0 \in Z$. Rigorously, since $0 \in Z$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(z + \alpha y)| &= |\alpha \cdot d| \\
&= |\alpha| \cdot \inf_{w \in Z} \|y - w\| \\
&= \inf_{w \in Z} \|\alpha y + z - w\| \\
&\leq \|\alpha y + z - 0\| \\
&= \|\alpha y + z\|.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, f extends to a continuous (as $|f(x)| < \|x\|$ on Y) linear function Λ on all of X that also satisfies $|\Lambda(x)| \leq \|x\|$. This gives $\|\Lambda\| \leq 1$. Furthermore, since Λ agrees with f on Y , we have that $\Lambda(y) = f(y) = d$ and $\Lambda(z) = f(z) = f(z + 0y) = 0$ for all $z \in Z$. \square

Problem 3. Show that linear combinations of functions of the form

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \frac{1}{t - z}, \quad \text{Im}(z) \neq 0$$

are dense in the space of continuous functions on \mathbb{R} which tend to zero at infinity.

Proof. Let W be the the set of linear combinations of functions of the given form. We'd like to apply Stone-Weierstrass, but unfortunately, W isn't a subalgebra of $C_{(0)}(\mathbb{R})$ since it isn't closed under multiplication. Our plan is to make ourselves a sub-algebra.

By the spanning criterion we have that the closure of W in $C_{(0)}(\mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$\overline{W} = \bigcap_{\substack{T \in C_{(0)}(\mathbb{R})^* \\ T|_W = 0}} \ker T.$$

Now by Riesz-Markov-Kakutani, we have that the dual space, $C_{(0)}(\mathbb{R})^*$, is the set of all complex Radon measures on \mathbb{R} . It then suffices to show that for any $\mu \in C_{(0)}(\mathbb{R})^*$ that satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi \, d\mu = 0$ for all $\varphi \in W$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f \, d\mu = 0$ for all $f \in C_{(0)}(\mathbb{R})$. \square