

The LLL Algorithm

Motivation

The rows of the following matrices form bases for lattices in \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} -168 & 602 & 58 \\ 157 & -564 & -57 \\ 594 & -2134 & -219 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 6 & -4 \\ 9 & 4 & 1 \\ -1 & 8 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The rows of X and the rows of Y actually span the *same* lattice. Intuitively, the rows of X seem to be a “worse” basis for L than those of Y . Here we make precise the notion of a “nice” basis and introduce a polynomial-time algorithm that transforms a “bad” basis into a “good” one.

Basis Reduction and the LLL Algorithm [1], [2]

A basis is “nice” if its vectors are short and orthogonal to one another. The Gram-Schmidt process transforms a given basis into an orthogonal basis, but when working in a lattice L , this Gram-Schmidt basis need not live in L .

Definition 1. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be an ordered basis for a lattice L in \mathbb{R}^n , and let x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* be its Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization (GSO):

$$x_i^* = x_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij} x_j^*, \quad \text{where } \mu_{ij} = \frac{x_i \cdot x_j^*}{x_j^* \cdot x_j^*}, \text{ and } x_1^* = x_1.$$

Let α be a real number with $\frac{1}{4} < \alpha < 1$. We say that the basis x_1, \dots, x_n is **α -reduced** if it satisfies

(I) (size condition) $|\mu_{ij}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for all $1 \leq j < i \leq n$,

(II) (Lovász condition) $|x_i^*|^2 \geq (\alpha - \mu_{i,i-1}^2) |x_{i-1}^*|^2$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$.

In the Gram-Schmidt process we build x_i^* , the projection of x_i onto $\text{span}\{x_1^*, \dots, x_{i-1}^*\}^\perp$, by subtracting each $\mu_{ij} x_j^*$ from x_i . Since μ_{ij} need not be an integer, this vector generally won't be an element of L . If we instead subtract off the integer multiple of x_j closest to μ_{ij} then we stay in L and end up nearly orthogonal to x_j . Condition (I) then says that the closest integer to μ_{ij} is zero: x_i is already nearly orthogonal to x_j for each j .

Condition (II) states that while the GSO vectors may get shorter, they do not decrease in length too quickly. In particular, if $\beta = \frac{1}{\alpha-1/4}$ then repeatedly applying conditions (I) and (II) gives the estimate

$$|x_1| = |x_1^*| \leq \beta^{(n-1)/2} \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i^*|.$$

The LLL algorithm, named after its creators, Arjen Lenstra, Hendrik Lenstra Jr., and László Lovász, takes a basis x_1, \dots, x_n for a lattice $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and returns an α -reduced basis y_1, \dots, y_n for L . The algorithm, which runs in time polynomial in n and $\log \max(|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|)$, proceeds as follows.

1. Copy the basis elements x_1, \dots, x_n into y_1, \dots, y_n .
2. For each vector y_i , $2 \leq i \leq n$ do the following:
 - (a) Reduce y_i with the previous basis vectors, y_j , $j < i$: $y_i \leftarrow y_i - \lceil \mu_{ij} \rceil y_j$.
 - (b) If y_i does not satisfy the Lovász condition, then swap y_i and y_{i-1} and return to step 2(a).
3. Return the reduced basis y_1, \dots, y_n .

An Application: Finding Small Roots of Polynomials mod M [3], [4]

Suppose we're given $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and we know that it has a “small” root modulo $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ that we want to find, x_0 . The root is small in the sense that $|x_0| < X$ for some specified integer X . Approximating roots of polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ is easy, but we might not have $f(x_0) = 0$ unless all of the coefficients of $f(x)$ are small. The idea is to use the LLL algorithm to build a polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ that has the same root x_0 modulo M , but whose coefficients are small enough that $g(x_0) = 0$ as well.

Write $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_dx^d$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consider the matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & MX & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & MX^{d-1} & 0 \\ a_0 & a_1X & \dots & a_{d-1}X^{d-1} & a_dX^d \end{bmatrix}.$$

Any vector in the lattice spanned by the rows of B is of the form $(b_0, b_1X, \dots, b_dX^d)$, $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can identify such a vector with a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ via $(b_0, b_1X, b_2X^2, \dots, b_dX^d) \mapsto b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_dX^d$. Under this identification, every vector in the lattice generated by B corresponds to a polynomial $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $F(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$, since this is true for every row of B .

Running the LLL algorithm on the rows of B will give a reduced basis for this lattice. Let $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be the first element in this reduced basis. If $X < 2^{-1/2}(d+1)^{-1/d}M^{2/d(d+1)}$, then $g(x_0) = 0$ and we can use numerical methods to find x_0 .

References

- [1] A. K. Lenstra, H. W. Lenstra Jr., and L. Lovász. “Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients”. In: *Mathematische Annalen* 261 (1982), pp. 515–534.
- [2] J. Hoffstein, J. Pipher, and J. Silverman. “An Introduction to Mathematical Cryptography”. In: Springer-Verlag New York, 2014. Chap. 7.
- [3] D. Coppersmith. “Finding a small root of a univariate modular equation”. In: *Eurocrypt 1996: Advances in Cryptology*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 1070. Springer, 1996, pp. 155–165.
- [4] S. Galbraith. *Mathematics of Public Key Cryptography*. 2018. Chap. 19. URL: <https://www.math.auckland.ac.nz/~sgal018/crypto-book/main.pdf>.