Liam Hardiman February 22, 2019

## 260B - Homework 1

**Problem 1.** Define the Sobolev space  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $s \geq 0$  to be the set of all functions  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

$$||u||_{H^s}^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 (1+|\xi|^2)^s d\xi < \infty.$$

(a) Show that  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a Hlibert space when equipped with the scalar product

$$(u,v)_{H^s} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int \widehat{u}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{v}(\xi)} (1+|\xi|^2)^s d\xi.$$

*Proof.* Denote  $\langle \xi \rangle := (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$  (apparently this is sometimes called the "Japanese bracket" of  $\xi$ ).

It's clear that the alleged inner product is linear, conjugate symmetric, and positive definite (since the Fourier transform is an isometry from  $L^2$  to itself). That it is well-defined follows from Hölder's inequality:

$$|(u,v)| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int |\widehat{u}(\xi)| |\widehat{v}(\xi)| \cdot \langle x \rangle^{2s} d\xi$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int (|\widehat{u}(\xi)| \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^s) \cdot (|\widehat{v}(\xi)| \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^s) d\xi$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \|\widehat{u}(\xi) \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^s\|_{L^2} \cdot \|\widehat{v}(\xi) \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^s\|_{L^2}$$

$$= \|u\|_{H^s} \cdot \|v\|_{H^s}$$

$$< \infty.$$

The interesting part is showing that this space is complete with respect to this norm. Suppose that  $u_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then for  $\epsilon > 0$  and m, n sufficiently large we have

$$\epsilon \ge \|u_n - u_m\|_{H^s}^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int |\widehat{u_n - u_m}(\xi)|^2 \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} d\xi$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int |\widehat{u_n}(\xi) \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^s - \widehat{u_m}(\xi) \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^s|^2 d\xi.$$

So the sequence  $\widehat{u_n}(\xi) \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^s$  is Cauchy in  $L^2$ . Since  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is complete,  $\widehat{u_n}(\xi) \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^s$  converges to some  $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . By Hölder's inequality  $v(\xi) \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^{-s}$  is also in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , so it has a well-defined inverse Fourier transform.

We claim that  $u_n$  converges to  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(v(\xi) \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^{-s})$  in  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . It was designed for this purpose after all.

$$||u_n - \mathcal{F}^{-1}(v(\xi) \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^{-s})||_{H^s}^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int |\widehat{u_n}(\xi) - v(\xi) \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^{-s}|^2 \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} d\xi$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int |\widehat{u_n}(\xi) \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^s - v(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$
$$\to 0.$$

That  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(v(\xi) \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^{-s})$  is in  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  follows immediately from v being in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Thus,  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is complete.

(b) When  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is compact we define

$$H^s(K) = \{ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) : \operatorname{supp}(u) \subseteq K \}.$$

Show that  $H^s(K)$  is a closed linear subspace of  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and hence also a Hilbert space. Show that the inclusion map  $H^s(K) \to H^t(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is compact if  $s > t \ge 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u_n$  be a convergent sequence in  $H^s(K)$ . By part (a) we know that  $u_n$  converges to some u in  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (and in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ). To show that u indeed lives in  $H^s(K)$ , we need to show that its support is contained in K. If u's support wasn't contained in K then it would have nonzero integral outside of K just like all of the  $u_n$ 's. Let's do a computation.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus K} |u(x)|^2 dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus K} |u(x) - u_n(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus K} |u_n(x)|^2 dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus K} |u(x) - u_n(x)|^2 dx.$$

Taking the limit on both sides and using the fact that  $u_n$  converges to u in  $L^2$  shows that u isn't supported outside of K, so u lives in  $H^s(K)$  and the space is closed.

Now to show that the inclusion  $H^s(K) \to H^t(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is compact for  $s > t \geq 0$ . To this end, let  $u_j \in H^s(K)$  be a bounded sequence, say with  $||u_j||_{H^s(K)} \leq 1$ . We claim that the  $\widehat{u_j}$ 's are smooth. To see this, we expand the exponential into its power series.

$$\widehat{u_j}(\xi) = \int_K u(x)e^{-ix\cdot\xi} dx$$

$$= \int_K u(x) \left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-ix\cdot\xi)^n}{n!}\right) dx$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \int_K u(x) \frac{(-ix\cdot\xi)^n}{n!} dx.$$

The interchange of summation and integration is justified since K is compact and the power series of the exponential converges uniformly on compact sets. The  $x \cdot \xi$  in the integrand can be expanded to show that the above sum is a series of polynomials. The theory of power series then shows that since the Fourier transform is defined everywhere and is given by this power series, it is smooth.

Our plan is to apply the Arzela-Ascoli theorem to the sequence  $\hat{u_j}$ . Let's show that this sequence is uniformly bounded. We use Parseval's theorem and the fact that the  $u_j$ 's are compactly supported.

$$|\widehat{u}_{j}(\xi)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u_{j}(x)e^{-ix\cdot\xi} dx \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_{K} u_{j}(x)e^{-ix\cdot\xi} dx \right|$$

$$\leq \|u_{j}\|_{L^{2}(K)} \cdot \|e^{-ix\cdot\xi}\|_{L^{2}(K)}$$

$$= C_{K} \|\widehat{u}_{j}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}$$

$$\leq C_{K} \|u_{j}\|_{H^{s}(K)}.$$

Since  $||u_j||_{H^s(K)} \leq 1$ , the Fourier transforms are uniformly bounded. The same argument shows that the partial derivatives of the  $\widehat{u_j}$ 's are uniformly bounded, which means that the  $\widehat{u_j}$ 's are Lipschitz continuous with the same Lipschitz constant. Consequently, the  $\widehat{u_j}$ 's are equicontinuous on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem,  $\widehat{u_j}$  has a uniformly convergent subsequence on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $F_k$  be the closed ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with radius k. We get a uniformly convergent subsequence on  $F_1$  and from this we can extract a further subsequence that converges uniformly on  $F_2$ , and so on. Taking the diagonal entries from these subsequences gives a subsequence,  $\widehat{u_{jk}}$ , that converges pointwise on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

Finally, we'll show that the corresponding subsequence  $u_{j_k}$  converges in  $H^t(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Problem 2.** Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be Banach spaces and let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(B_1, B_2)$ . Prove that if T is compact then  $||Tu_n||_{B_2} \to 0$  for every sequence  $u_n \in B_1$  such that  $u_n \to 0$  in the weak topology  $\sigma(B_1, B_1^*)$ . prove the converse when  $B_1$  is reflexive and  $B_1^*$  is separable.

*Proof.* Our plan is to show that any subsequence of  $Tu_n$  has a further subsequence converging to zero. To this end, let  $Tu_{n_j}$  be a subsequence of  $Tu_n$ . Since  $u_n \to 0$ , we also have that  $u_{n_j} \to 0$ . By the uniform boundedness principle,  $u_{n_j}$  is strongly bounded. Since T is compact,  $Tu_{n_j}$  has a strongly convergent subsequence,  $Tu_{n_{j_k}}$ . This strongly convergent subsequence is also weakly convergent and we

can compute its limit. For any continuous linear functional  $\eta \in B_2^*$  we have by the weak convergence of  $u_n$  to zero

$$\langle Tu_{n_{j_{k}}}, \eta \rangle_{2} = \langle u_{n_{j_{k}}}, T^{*}\eta \rangle_{1} \to 0.$$

So  $Tu_{n_{j_k}} \to 0$ . Since  $Tu_{n_{j_k}}$  converges weakly and strongly, the limits must be the same. We conclude that  $Tu_{n_{j_k}} \to 0$  strongly. Thus, any subsequence of  $Tu_n$  contains a further subsequence strongly converging to zero, so  $Tu_n \to 0$ .

Conversely suppose that  $B_1$  is reflexive,  $B_1^*$  is separable, and that for every sequence  $u_n \in B_1$  with  $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$  we also have that  $Tu_n \to 0$ .