

270A - Homework 3

Problem 1. Show that if X and Y are independent, integer-valued random variables, then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mathbb{P}[X + Y = n] = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{P}[X = m] \cdot \mathbb{P}[Y = n - m].$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[X + Y = n] &= \mathbb{P}[Y = n - X] \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{P}[Y = n - m, X = m] \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{P}[Y = n - m] \cdot \mathbb{P}[X = m], \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from the independence of X and Y . □

Problem 3. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent random variables that satisfy

$$\frac{\text{Var}[X_i]}{i} \rightarrow 0$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Prove that

$$\frac{S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n]}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in probability.}$$

Proof. By Chebyshev's inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left[\left| \frac{S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n]}{n} \right| > \epsilon \right] &\leq \frac{\text{Var}[(S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n])/n]}{\epsilon^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2 \epsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}[X_i] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n \epsilon^2} \cdot \max_{i \leq n} \text{Var}[X_i] \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Problem 4.

(a) Show that

$$d(X, Y) = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{|X - Y|}{1 + |X - Y|} \right]$$

defines a metric on the set of random variables.

Proof. It's clear that d is symmetric. Since $\frac{|X-Y|}{1+|X-Y|}$ is nonnegative, its expectation is zero if and only if $|X - Y|$ is zero almost surely, which happens if and only if $X = Y$ almost surely. This just leaves the triangle inequality.

Consider the function $f(t) = \frac{t}{1+t} = 1 - \frac{1}{1+t}$. Since $\frac{1}{1+t}$ is clearly decreasing, we have that f is increasing for $t \geq 0$. For any three random variables X , Y , and Z , we then have

$$\begin{aligned} d(X, Z) &= f(|X - Z|) \\ &\leq f(|X - Y| + |Y - Z|) \\ &= \frac{|X - Y|}{1 + |X - Y| + |Y - Z|} + \frac{|Y - Z|}{1 + |X - Y| + |Y - Z|} \\ &\leq \frac{|X - Y|}{1 + |X - Y|} + \frac{|Y - Z|}{1 + |Y - Z|} \\ &= d(X, Y) + d(Y, Z). \end{aligned}$$

□

(b) Show that $d(X_n, X) \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability.

Proof. Suppose that $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and let $E_n = \{|X_n - X| > \epsilon\}$. For sufficiently large n , we have that $\mathbb{P}[E_n] < \epsilon$. Let's bound the expectation, using the fact that $\frac{t}{1+t} \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} d(X_n, X) &= \int_{E_n} \frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} d\mathbb{P} + \int_{E_n^c} \frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} d\mathbb{P} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}[E_n] \cdot 1 + \mathbb{P}[E_n^c] \cdot \epsilon \\ &\leq 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that X_n doesn't converge to X in probability. Then for some ϵ , there are infinitely many n such that $\mathbb{P}[|X_n - X| > \epsilon] > \epsilon$. Since $t \mapsto \frac{t}{1+t}$ is increasing, for such an n we have (with E_n defined as above)

$$\begin{aligned} d(X_n, X) &\geq \int_{E_n} \frac{|X_n - X|}{1 + |X_n - X|} d\mathbb{P} \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}[E_n] \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} \\ &\geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{1 + \epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

so $d(X_n, X)$ doesn't go to zero.

□

Problem 5. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent $\text{Ber}(p_n)$ random variables.

(a) Show that $X_n \rightarrow 0$ in probability if and only if $p_n \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. We then have

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_n| > \epsilon] = \mathbb{P}[X_n = 1] = p_n.$$

We then have that $\mathbb{P}[|X_n| > \epsilon] \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $p_n \rightarrow 0$. □

(b) Show that $X_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s. if and only if $\sum p_n < \infty$.

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and let $E_n = \{|X_n| > \epsilon\} = \{X_n = 1\}$. We then have

$$\sum \mathbb{P}[E_n] = \sum p_n < \infty.$$

By Borel-Cantelli, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[\limsup E_n] = \mathbb{P}[X_n = 1 \text{ infinitely often}] = 0.$$

Taking complements gives

$$1 = \mathbb{P}[\liminf E_n^c] = \mathbb{P}[X_n = 0 \text{ eventually}],$$

so $X_n \rightarrow 0$ almost surely. On the other hand, if $\sum p_n = \infty$, then since the X_j 's are independent, Borel-Cantelli says that

$$\mathbb{P}[\limsup E_n] = \mathbb{P}[X_n = 1 \text{ infinitely often}] = 1.$$

Since $X_n = 1$ infinitely often, X_n doesn't converge to zero almost surely. □

Problem 6. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of random variables on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ where Ω is a countable set and $\mathcal{F} = 2^\Omega$. Show that $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability implies $X_n \rightarrow X$ a.s.

Proof. Suppose X_n didn't converge to X almost surely. Then since Ω is a discrete space, there is some singleton $\{\omega\} \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mathbb{P}[\{\omega\}] > 0$ (we'll abuse notation and write $\mathbb{P}[\omega] > 0$) and $X_n(\omega)$ doesn't converge to $X(\omega)$. We can then find $\epsilon > 0$ so that for infinitely many n , $|X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| > \epsilon$. But then X_n can't converge to X in probability since

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_n - X| > \epsilon] \geq \mathbb{P}[\omega] > 0,$$

for infinitely many n . □

Problem 7. Show that for any sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots there exists a sequence of positive real numbers c_1, c_2, \dots such that $c_n X_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

Proof. Since X_n takes values in the real numbers, we have that $\mathbb{P}[|X_n| > t] \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. There is then some b_n so that $\mathbb{P}[|X_n| > b_n] < 2^{-n}$. Now let $c_n = \frac{1}{n \cdot b_n}$. For any $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\sum \mathbb{P}[|c_n X_n| > \epsilon] = \sum \mathbb{P}[|X_n| > \epsilon \cdot n b_n].$$

For n sufficiently large, $\epsilon n \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{P}[|X_n| > \epsilon n b_n] \leq \mathbb{P}[|X_n| > b_n] < 2^{-n}$. The tail of the above series is then summable, and we have

$$\mathbb{P}[\limsup\{|c_n X_n| > \epsilon\}] = 0$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$, so $c_n X_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s. □

Problem 8. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent random variables (from $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ to \mathbb{R}). Show that $\sup_n X_n < \infty$ a.s. if and only if there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sum_n \mathbb{P}[X_n > M] < \infty.$$

Proof. Suppose there exists a real M so that the above sum is finite. Then by Borel-Cantelli we have that $\mathbb{P}[X_n > M \text{ infinitely often}] = 0$, or $\mathbb{P}[X_n \leq M \text{ eventually}] = 1$. For any $\omega \in \Omega$, there is some N_ω so that $X_n(\omega) \leq M$ for all $n > N_\omega$. We then have that

$$X_n(\omega) \leq \max\{X_1(\omega), X_2(\omega), \dots, X_{N_\omega}(\omega), M\}.$$

Since this holds for a.e. ω , we have that $\sup_n X_n < \infty$ a.s.

Conversely, suppose that for every M we have $\sum \mathbb{P}[X_n > M] = +\infty$. Since the X_n 's are independent, Borel-Cantelli tells us that $\mathbb{P}[X_n > M \text{ infinitely often}] = 1$. For almost any $\omega \in \Omega$ and for any real number M , there are infinitely many n such that $X_n(\omega) > M$. Consequently, $\sup_n X_n(\omega)$ is infinite for almost every ω . □

Problem 9. Let $X_0 = 1$ and define X_n inductively by choosing X_{n+1} uniformly at random from the interval $[0, X_n]$. Prove that

$$\frac{\log X_n}{n} \rightarrow c$$

a.s. and find the value of c .

Solution. Let U_1, U_2, \dots be iid $\text{Unif}[0, 1]$ random variables. We claim that X_n and $U_1 \cdot U_2 \cdots U_n$ have the same distribution. This is clearly true for X_1 , so suppose that X_n and $U_1 \cdots U_n$ have the same distribution and consider X_{n+1} . □