

1 The Gram Matrix

Definition 1.1. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be vectors in \mathbb{R}^d . Define the associated $n \times n$ **Gram Matrix**, G , by

$$G_{i,j} = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle.$$

Remark 1.1. If we let V be the matrix whose columns are v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n , then we can write $G = V^t V$. This will come in handy when proving things about the Gram matrix.

Lemma 1.1. *The Gram matrix is symmetric and positive semi-definite.*

Proof. The symmetry of G follows from the symmetry of the inner product. Alternatively,

$$G^t = (V^t V)^t = V^t (V^{tt}) = V^t V = G.$$

Let x be any vector in \mathbb{R}^n . We then have

$$x^t G x = x^t V^t V x = \langle Vx, Vx \rangle = \|Vx\|^2 \geq 0,$$

so G is positive semi-definite. □

Lemma 1.2. *The rank of the Gram matrix is the dimension of the space spanned by v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n in \mathbb{R}^d .*

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and suppose $Vx = 0$. Then $Gx = V^t Vx = 0$ as well, so $\ker V \subseteq \ker G$. On the other hand, suppose $Gx = 0$. Multiplying on the left by x^t gives

$$x^t G x = 0 \iff x^t V^t V x = 0 \iff \|Vx\|^2 = 0 \iff Vx = 0,$$

so $\ker G \subseteq \ker V$. Since G and V have the same kernel, they also have the same rank by the rank-nullity theorem. □

2 The Rayleigh Quotient and the Min-Max Theorem

Definition 2.1. Let M be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix and let x be any nonzero vector in \mathbb{R}^n . The **Rayleigh quotient**, $R(M, x)$ is defined by

$$R(M, x) = \frac{\langle x, Mx \rangle}{\|x\|^2}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of M , repeated according to multiplicity. For any nonzero x we have*

$$R(M, x) \in [\lambda_1, \lambda_n].$$

The extreme values are obtained on the corresponding eigenvectors of M .

Proof. Since M is symmetric, there is an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n consisting of eigenvectors v_1, \dots, v_n corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$. Write x in this basis as $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i v_i$. It's easy to see that $R(M, cx) = R(M, x)$ for any nonzero constant c , so we can take x to have unit norm for convenience, $\sum \xi_i^2 = 1$. The Rayleigh quotient is then given by

$$R(M, x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \xi_i^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \xi_i^2.$$

From here it's clear that $R(M, x)$ is minimized when $\xi_i^2 = \delta_{1,i}$ and maximized when $\xi_i^2 = \delta_{n,i}$ and that these bounds are realized when x is the appropriate eigenvector. \square

Remark 2.1. Since the eigenvectors of M are mutually orthogonal, we can use the Rayleigh quotient to order the eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_i = \inf_{x \perp v_j, j < i} R(M, x).$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be a symmetric $n \times n$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$. The eigenvalues are given by the expression*

$$\lambda_k = \min_{U: \dim U = k} \max_{x \in U \setminus \{0\}} R(M, x) = \max_{U: \dim U = n-k+1} \min_{x \in U \setminus \{0\}} R(M, x).$$

Proof. Let v_1, \dots, v_n be the eigenvectors associated to the (ordered) eigenvalues of M . For any k , the space spanned by u_k, \dots, u_n has dimension $n - k + 1$. If U is a subspace of dimension k , then these subspaces must have nontrivial intersection. There is then some nonzero vector $v = \sum_{i=k}^n c_i v_i$ in this intersection whose Rayleigh quotient is given by

$$R(M, v) = \frac{\sum_{i=k}^n \lambda_i c_i^2}{\sum_{i=k}^n c_i^2} \geq \lambda_k.$$

This holds for all v in this intersection, so for any U of dimension k we have

$$\max_{v \in U \setminus \{0\}} R(M, v) \geq \lambda_k.$$

Note that this maximum is attained since $R(M, v)$ is continuous in v and its values are determined by those v with norm 1, which form a compact set. Since this is true for all U of dimension k , we can take the infimum over all such U .

$$\inf_{\dim U = k} \max_{v \in U \setminus \{0\}} R(M, v) \geq \lambda_k.$$

Consider the space $U = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$. For any $v = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i$ in here we have

$$R(M, v) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i c_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^k c_i^2} \leq \lambda_k.$$

In particular, this inequality is saturated when $v = v_k$. The infimum is then attained and we have the equality

$$\min_{\dim U = k} \max_{v \in U \setminus \{0\}} R(M, v) = \lambda_k.$$

The same idea shows the max-min equality. The vectors v_1, \dots, v_k span a space of dimension k , so any subspace U with dimension $n - k + 1$ must intersect it nontrivially. Any $v = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i$ in this intersection satisfies

$$R(M, v) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i c_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^k c_i^2} \leq \lambda_k \implies \min_{v \in U \setminus \{0\}} R(M, v) \leq \lambda_k.$$

In particular, when $U = \text{span}\{v_k, \dots, v_n\}$ we have equality. We can then take the maximum over all U with dimension $n - k + 1$ to obtain

$$\max_{\dim U = n - k + 1} \min_{v \in U \setminus \{0\}} R(M, v) = \lambda_k.$$

□

3 The Cauchy Interlacing Theorem

Theorem 3.1. Suppose A is an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$. Let B be an $m \times m$ principal submatrix of A , i.e. a matrix obtained from A by deleting its i -th row and i -th column for some collection of i 's. If B has eigenvalues $\beta_1 \leq \dots \leq \beta_m$ then

$$\lambda_k \leq \beta_k \leq \lambda_{n+k-m}.$$

In particular, if $m = n - 1$, then

$$\lambda_1 \leq \beta_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \beta_2 \leq \dots \leq \beta_{n-1} \leq \lambda_n.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can rearrange the rows and columns of A so that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} B & X^t \\ X & Z \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $(n - m) \times (n - m)$ matrices X and Z . Let u_1, \dots, u_n be the (ordered) eigenvectors of A and let v_1, \dots, v_m be the (ordered) eigenvectors of B . For any $1 \leq k \leq m$, define the subspaces

$$U = \text{span}\{u_k, \dots, u_n\}, \quad V = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}, \quad \tilde{V} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n : v \in V \right\}.$$

The space U has dimension $n - k + 1$ and \tilde{V} has dimension k , so these spaces must intersect nontrivially. There is then some $\tilde{v} \in U \cap \tilde{V}$ corresponding to some $v \in V$. This v satisfies

$$\tilde{v}^t A \tilde{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & X^t \\ X & Z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = v^t B v.$$

As in our proof of the min-max theorem, $\lambda_k = \min_{x \in U} \frac{x^t A x}{x^t x}$ and $\beta_k = \max_{x \in V} \frac{x^t B x}{x^t x}$. This gives

$$\lambda_k \leq \frac{\tilde{v}^t A \tilde{v}}{\tilde{v}^t \tilde{v}} = \frac{v^t B v}{v^t v} \leq \beta_k.$$

We use the same idea for the other inequality. Define the spaces

$$U = \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_{n+k-m}\}, \quad V = \text{span}\{v_k, \dots, v_m\}, \quad \tilde{V} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n : v \in V \right\}.$$

The space U has dimension $n+k-m$ and \tilde{V} has dimension $m-k+1$, so they must intersect nontrivially. That is, there is some $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V} \cap U$ corresponding to some $v \in V$. We again have by the min-max theorem

$$\lambda_{n+k-m} = \max_{x \in U} \frac{x^t A x}{x^t x} \geq \frac{\tilde{v}^t A \tilde{v}}{\tilde{v}^t \tilde{v}} = \frac{v^t B v}{v^t v} \geq \min_{x \in V} \frac{x^t B x}{x^t x} = \beta_k.$$

□

4 Gelfand's Formula

Lemma 4.1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ have spectral radius $\rho(A)$. Then $\rho(A) < 1$ if and only if $A^k \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, if $\rho(A) > 1$, then $\|A^k\| \rightarrow \infty$ for any choice of norm on $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.*

Proof. Suppose $A^k \rightarrow 0$. We then have for any eigenvalue-eigenvector pair (λ, v) ,

$$0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A^k v = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^k v.$$

We must then have $|\lambda| < 1$. Since this holds for any eigenvalue of A , we must have $\rho(A) < 1$. □

Theorem 4.2 (Gelfand's formula). *If A is any $n \times n$ matrix and $\|\cdot\|$ is any norm on $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, then*

$$\rho(A) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A^k\|^{1/k},$$

where $\rho(A) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A\}$ is the spectral radius of A .

Proof. The eigenvalues of A^k are simply the eigenvalues of A raised to the k -th power, so

$$\rho(A)^k = \rho(A^k) \leq \|A^k\| \implies \rho(A) \leq \|A^k\|^{1/k}.$$

□

5 The Perron-Frobenius Theorem

Definition 5.1. We say that a matrix is **elementwise nonnegative (positive)** if each of its entries is nonnegative (positive). We also write $A \geq_e B$ if $A - B$ is elementwise nonnegative.

Lemma 5.1. *A matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is elementwise nonnegative if $Ax \geq_e 0$ for all $x \geq_e 0$.*

Proof. If $A, x \geq_e 0$, then the entries of Ax are sums of nonnegative numbers, so $Ax \geq_e 0$. Conversely, if $Ax \geq_e 0$ for all $x \geq_e 0$, then $Ae_i \geq_e 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, where e_i is the vector in \mathbb{R}^n with a 1 in the i -th slot and a zero everywhere else. Since Ae_i is the i -th column of A , we have that each column of A is elementwise nonnegative, so $A \geq_e 0$. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $A \succ_e 0$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. If $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are unequal and $u \geq_e v$, then $Au \succ_e Av$. There is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $Au \succ_e (1 + \epsilon)Av$.*

Proof. The i -th entry of $A(u - v)$ is given by

$$[A(u - v)]_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i,j}(u_j - v_j) \geq \min_{i,j} A_{i,j} \sum_{j=1}^n (u_j - v_j) > 0.$$

This holds for all i , so we have $Au \succ_e Av$. Since $A(u - v)$ is elementwise positive, we can perturb it by some small amount and keep it elementwise positive. There is then some $\epsilon > 0$ so that $A(u - v) - \epsilon Av \succ_e 0$, which proves the second part. \square