270B - Homework 1

Problem 1. Let X_i be i.i.d. random variables each having the Poisson distribution with mean 1, and consider $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that if k = k(n) is such that $(k-n)/\sqrt{n} \to x$ as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\sqrt{2\pi n}\mathbb{P}[S_n=k]\to \exp(-x^2/2).$$

Proof. First we claim that S_n has Poisson distribution with mean n. To see this, observe that by independence we have

$$\varphi_{S_n}(t) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{it(X_1 + \dots + X_n)}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{itX_1}\right] \cdots \mathbb{E}\left[e^{itX_n}\right] = \varphi_1(t) \cdots \varphi_n(t), \tag{1}$$

where φ_j is the characteristic function of X_j . Now if the random variable X has Poisson distribution with intensity λ , its characteristic function is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{itX}\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{itk} \cdot \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} = \exp(\lambda(e^{it} - 1)).$$

Using this, we see that

$$\varphi_{S_n}(t) = \exp(e^{it} - 1)^n = \exp(n(e^{it} - 1)),$$

which is the characteristic function of the Poisson with intensity λ . Since a distribution is determined by its characteristic function, we conclude that S_n has Poisson distribution with intensity λ .

We use Stirling's approximation.

$$\sqrt{2\pi n}\mathbb{P}[S_n = k] = \sqrt{2\pi n} \frac{n^k e^{-n}}{k!} \sim \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^k \cdot e^{k-n}.$$

Taking the logarithm of both sides gives

$$\log(\sqrt{2\pi n}\mathbb{P}[S_n = k]) \sim \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{n}{k} - k\log\frac{k}{n} + (k-n). \tag{2}$$

We'll need to look at the asymptotic behavior of a few quantities to deal with this expression. Since $\frac{k-n}{\sqrt{n}} \to x$, we must have that $k \sim n$, so the first term in (2) vanishes as $n \to \infty$. Since $k \sim n$, we can Taylor expand the logarithm in the middle term.

$$k\log\frac{k}{n} = k\log\left(1 + \frac{k-n}{n}\right) = k\left(\frac{k-n}{n} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k-n}{n}\right)^2 + O\left(\left(\frac{k-n}{n}\right)^3\right)\right). \tag{3}$$

We have that $\frac{k-n}{\sqrt{n}} = x + o(1)$. From this it follows that

$$k = n + \sqrt{n}(x + o(1)), \quad \frac{k - n}{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(x + o(1)).$$

Substituting these above gives

$$k \log \frac{k}{n} = (n + \sqrt{n}(x + o(1))) \left(\frac{x + o(1)}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(x + o(1))^2}{n} + O(n^{-3/2}) \right)$$
$$= \sqrt{n}(x + o(1)) + \frac{1}{2}(x + o(1))^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(x + o(1))^3}{\sqrt{n}} + O(n^{-1/2}).$$

Finally, plugging this all back into (2) gives

$$\log(\sqrt{2\pi n}\mathbb{P}[S_n = k]) \sim -\frac{1}{2}(x + o(1))^2 + O(n^{-1/2}),$$

which limits to $\exp(-x^2/2)$ as desired.

Problem 2. Find an example of random variables X_n with densities f_n so that X_n converges weakly to the uniform distribution on [0,1] but $f_n(x)$ dos not converge to 1 for any $x \in [0,1]$.

Solution. Let $f_{n,k}(x)$ be a typewriter sequence weighted to have integral 1, that is

$$f_{n,k}(x) = \frac{1}{1 - 2^{-n}} \mathbb{1}_{[0,1] \setminus [k \cdot 2^{-n}, (k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}]}(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \ k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1.$$

Intuitively, $f_{n,k}$ is a flat line of height $\frac{1}{1-2^{-n}}$ over [0,1] except for a gap at $[k \cdot 2^{-n}, (k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}]$, where it is zero. Since this gap slides along the unit interval indefinitely, $f_{n,k}$ does not converge pointwise anywhere. Now for any φ bounded and continuous we have

$$|\mathbb{E}_{n,k}[\varphi] - \mathbb{E}[\varphi]| = \int_0^1 \varphi(x) (f_{n,k}(x) - 1) dx \le ||\varphi||_{\infty} \cdot 2^{-n} \to 0,$$

so X_n converges weakly to the uniform distribution on [0,1].

Problem 3. Let X_i be i.i.d. random variables each having exponential distribution with mean 1, and consider $M_n = \max_{i \le n} X_i$. Show that $M_n - \log n$ converges weakly to the standard Gumbel distribution, i.e. the distribution with cumulative distribution function $F(x) = \exp(-e^{-x})$.

Proof. For any n and t we have

$$\mathbb{P}[M_n - \log n \le t] = \mathbb{P}[M_n \le \log n + t] = \mathbb{P}[X_i \le \log n + t, \ 1 \le i \le n].$$

Since the X_i are i.i.d. we can split this into a product

$$\mathbb{P}[M_n - \log n \le t] = (\mathbb{P}[X_i \le \log_n + t])^n$$

$$= (1 - \exp(-\log n - t))^n$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{e^{-t}}{n}\right)^n$$

$$\to \exp(-e^{-t}), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Problem 4. Let X_n be random variables and c be a constant. Prove that weak convergence of X_n to c is equivalent to convergence of X_n to c in probability.

Proof. Convergence in probability always implies weak convergence to the same limit, so we only need to show that weak convergence to c implies convergence to c in probability. The distribution function F(t) of the random variable that is constantly c is the shifted Heaviside function F(t) = H(t - c). The only point of discontinuity of F is at t = a. By the definition of weak convergence, if F_n is the distribution function of X_n , we have that $F_n(x) \to F(x)$ for all $x \neq c$. For any $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_n - c| < \epsilon] = F_n(c + \epsilon) - F_n(c - \epsilon) \to F(c + \epsilon) - F(c - \epsilon) = 1,$$

so $X_n \to c$ in probability.

Problem 5. Consider the following statement:

if $X_n \to X$ weakly and $Y_n \to Y$ weakly then $X_n + Y_n \to X + Y$ weakly.

(a) Find an example showing that this statement if false in general.

Solution. (I talked to Thomas Beardsley and Xiaowen Zhu about this one.) Let X_n be a sequence of i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ random variables. Set $Y_{2k} = X_{2k}$, but set $Y_{2k+1} = -X_{2k+1}$. Since the sequence of X_n 's are i.i.d., X_n converges weakly to a standard normal random variable. Similarly, since the negative of a standard normal is a standard normal, Y_n also converges weakly to a standard normal. However, the sequence $X_n + Y_n$ doesn't converge weakly at all since every odd term is identically zero and every even term has distribution $\mathcal{N}(0,4)$.

(b) Prove that if Y is a constant, then the statement is true.

Proof. We'll show pointwise convergence of the characteristic functions.

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left[e^{it(X_n + Y_n)} - e^{it(X + c)} \right] \right| = \left| \mathbb{E} \left[e^{it(X_n + Y_n)} - e^{it(X_n + c)} + e^{it(X_n + c)} - e^{it(X + c)} \right] \right|$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \left| e^{itY_n} - e^{itc} \right| + e^{itc} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{itX_n} - e^{itX} \right].$$

$$(4)$$

Let's look at the first term. We split the region of integration like so

$$\mathbb{E}\left|e^{itY_n} - e^{itc}\right| \cdot \mathbb{1}_{|Y_n - c| \ge \epsilon} + \mathbb{E}\left|e^{itY_n} - e^{itc}\right| \cdot \mathbb{1}_{|Y_n - c| < \epsilon}.$$

Since weak convergence to a constant is equivalent to convergence in probability to a constant, the measure of the first region of integration goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, so the first term vanishes. Continuity of the exponential makes the second term small in ϵ . Consequently, the first term on the last line of (4) can be made smaller than ϵ for n large. The second term goes to zero since $X_n \to X$ weakly. Since the characteristic functions converge pointwise, we have weak convergence.

(c) Prove that if X_n and Y_n are independent then the statement is true.

Proof. We compute the characteristic functions and use independence to split the product.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{it(X_n+Y_n)}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{itX_n}\right] \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[e^{itY_n}\right]$$
$$\to \mathbb{E}\left[e^{itX}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[e^{itY}\right].$$

Since the characteristic functions converge pointwise, we have weak convergence.

Problem 6.

(a) Prove the following implication: if $X_n \to X$ weakly, $Y_n \ge 0$ and $Y_n \to c$ weakly where c is a constant, then $X_n Y_n \to c X$.

Proof. For any $0 < \epsilon < c$ we split the probability

$$\mathbb{P}[X_n Y_n \le t] = \mathbb{P}[X_n Y_n \le t, |Y_n - c| < \epsilon] + \mathbb{P}[X_n Y_n \le t, |Y_n - c| \ge \epsilon].$$

Since weak convergence to a constant is equivalent to convergence to that constant in probability, the second term vanishes for n large. Now we also have

$$\mathbb{P}[X_n Y_n \le t, |Y_n - c| < \epsilon] \le \mathbb{P}[X_n \le \frac{t}{c - \epsilon}, |Y_n - c| < \epsilon].$$

Taking the $\liminf_{n\to\infty}$ on both sides, we have that $\liminf_n n \to \infty \mathbb{P}[X_n Y_n \le t] \le \mathbb{P}[X \le t/(c-\epsilon)]$ at all points of continuity of the distribution of X. Similarly,

$$\mathbb{P}[X_n Y_n \le t, |Y_n - c| < \epsilon] \ge \mathbb{P}[X_n \ge \frac{t}{c + \epsilon}, |Y_n - c| < \epsilon].$$

Taking the $\limsup_{n\to\infty}$ on both sides, we have that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}[X_n Y_n \leq t] \geq \mathbb{P}[X \leq t/(c+\epsilon)]$ at all points of continuity of the distribution of X. We conclude that $X_n Y_n \to cX$ weakly.

(b) Let Z_n be a random vector uniformly distributed on the unit Euclidean sphere of radius \sqrt{n} in \mathbb{R}^n . Prove that the distribution of the first coordinate of Z_n converges weakly to the standard normal distribution.

Proof. Let X_n be a standard normal random vector and let $Z_n = X_n \cdot \sqrt{n}/\|X_n\|_2$. $X_n/\|X_n\|_2$ has norm 1, so Z_n is definitely valued on the \sqrt{n} -sphere. Since X_n is rotation invariant in distribution, so is Z_n , so we conclude that Z_n is uniformly distributed on the \sqrt{n} -sphere.

First we claim that $\sqrt{n}/\|X_n\| \xrightarrow{w} 1$. By Chebyshev we have that (a squared standard normal has mean 1, variance 2 and the components of X are independent)

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{\|X_n\|^2}{n} - 1\right| > \epsilon\right] = \mathbb{P}[\|X_n\|^2 - n\| > n\epsilon]$$

$$\leq \frac{4n}{n^2\epsilon^2} \to 0.$$

We conclude that $||X_n||^2/n$ converges to 1 in probability. If $f \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} c \neq 0$, then $1/f \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 1/c$, so $n/||X_n||^2$ converges to 1 in probability, and therefore weakly. Since $x \mapsto \sqrt{x}$ is continuous, $\sqrt{n}/||X_n|| \xrightarrow{w} 1$.

Now the first component of Z_n has distribution $g_n\sqrt{n}/\|X_n\|$, where g_n is a standard normal random variable. g_n converges to a standard normal weakly and we've shown that $\sqrt{n}/\|X_n\| \xrightarrow{w} 1$, so by part (a), the first component of Z_n converges weakly to a standard normal.

Problem 8. Prov that if ϕ is a characteristic function of some random variable, then $\text{Re}(\phi)$ and $|\phi|^2$ are too.

Proof. Suppose ϕ_X is the characteristic function of the random variable X and let ζ take values ± 1 with probability 1/2 independent of X. We then have

$$\phi_{X\zeta} = \mathbb{E}[e^{itX\zeta}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{itX} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\zeta=1} + e^{-itX} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\zeta=-1}] = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_X + \overline{\phi_X}) = \operatorname{Re}(\phi_X).$$

We conclude that $\operatorname{Re}(\phi_X)$ is the characteristic function of $X\zeta$.

Let the random variable Y have the same distribution as -X independent of X. We then have

$$\phi_{XY} = \mathbb{E}[e^{itXY}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{itX}]\mathbb{E}[e^{-itX}] = |\phi_X|^2.$$

We conclude that $|\phi_X|^2$ is the characteristic function of XY.

Problem 9. Let X be a random variable with characteristic function ϕ . Prove that for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}[X=a] = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{T}^{-T} e^{-ita} \phi(t) dt.$$

Problem 11. Consider independent random variables X_k such that X_k takes values $\pm k$ with probability $k^{-2}/2$ each and values ± 1 with probability $(1-k^{-2})/2$ each. Show that although $\text{Var}[S_n]/n \to 2$, S_n/\sqrt{n} does not converge to $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ weakly. Why does this not contradict the Lindeberg-Feller central limit theorem?

Proof. If S_n/\sqrt{n} converged to $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ weakly then its moments would converge to those of a standard normal. Let's look at the fourth moment of S_n/\sqrt{n} . Since each X_k has mean zero and they're mutually independent, the only nonvanishing terms in the sum will have the form $X_i^2 X_j^2$ for some i, j (not

necessarily distinct).

$$\mathbb{E}[(S_n/\sqrt{n})^4] = \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^n X_k^4 + \binom{n}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} X_i^2 X_j^2\right]$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_k^4]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(k^2 + (1 - 1/k^2)\right)$$

$$= \Theta(n) \to \infty.$$

But $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ has finite moments of all orders. We conclude that S_n/\sqrt{n} does not converge weakly to $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

The problem is that this sequence of random variables does not satisfy the Lindeberg condition:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[X_k^2 \cdot \mathbb{1}_{|X_k| > \sqrt{n}\epsilon}] \to 0$$

for all ϵ . As n grows, the last term contributes $\Theta(n)$ to this sum, so when divided by n the result is $\omega(1)$, which doesn't go to zero.