

## Math 274 - Homework 2

---

**Problem 1.** Let  $v_1 = (x_1, y_1), \dots, v_n = (x_n, y_n)$  be  $n$  vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ , where each  $x_i$  and each  $y_i$  is a positive integer that does not exceed  $\frac{2^{n/2}}{10\sqrt{n}}$ . Show that there exist two disjoint nonempty subsets  $I, J \subseteq [n]$  such that  $\sum_{i \in I} v_i = \sum_{j \in J} v_j$ .

*Proof.* Consider the random sum  $V = (X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i v_i$  where each  $\epsilon_i$  is an iid Bernoulli random variable with success probability  $1/2$ . We'll show that a sizeable proportion of the possible  $V$  live in an axis-aligned box centered about the mean of  $V$ . If the size of this box is smaller than the number of assignments of the  $\epsilon_i$ 's that make  $V$  land in this box, then there must be two assignments of the  $\epsilon_i$ 's that give the same realization of  $V$ .

Since  $X$  is a sum of independent scaled Bernoulli random variables, its variance is easily computed:

$$\text{Var}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \cdot \text{Var}[\epsilon_i] \leq \frac{2^n}{400}.$$

Note that the  $y$ -coordinate has the same variance. Now by Chebyshev we have

$$\Pr \left[ \left| X - \frac{2^n}{400} \right| \leq t \right] \geq 1 - \frac{\text{Var}[X]}{t^2},$$

and the same inequality holds for  $Y$ . If we choose  $t$  so that both of these probabilities are at least  $3/4$ , then  $V$  will leave in an axis-aligned square centered at  $\mathbb{E}[V]$  with width  $2t$  with probability at least  $1/2$ . Choosing  $t = 2^{n/2}/10$  does precisely this. The resulting box contains  $\sim 2^n/25$  integer points as well as at least  $2^{n-1}$  possible realizations of  $V$ . Since there are more realizations than integer points in this box, two realizations must land on the same integer point. In particular, there are some *nonempty* and *distinct*  $I', J' \subseteq [n]$  such that  $\sum_{i \in I'} v_i = \sum_{j \in J'} v_j$ . Setting  $I = I' \setminus J'$  and  $J = J' \setminus I'$  gives us the desired *disjoint* sets.  $\square$

**Problem 2.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices and let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Consider the following game on the edge set of  $G$ . There are two players, Alice and Bob, who alternate turns (starting with Alice) occupying perviously unoccupied edges in  $E$  until there are no more edges to claim. Let  $G_A = (V, E_A)$  be the spanning subgraph of  $G$  where  $E_A$  is the set of edges occupied by Alice at the game's conclusion. Alice wins if and only if for every vertex  $v \in V$ , its degree in  $G_A$  is at least an  $\alpha$ -fraction of its original degree in  $G$ .

Show that for large enough  $n$ , if  $G$  has minimum degree at least  $\log^2 n$ , then Alice has a winning strategy for  $\alpha \geq 1/3 - \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* Consider the following randomized strategy for Alice. She opens by taking any random edge in  $E$ . On each of her subsequent turns, she examines the edge  $\{x, y\}$  that Bob just claimed. She flips a fair

coin – claiming a random unclaimed edge incident to  $x$  if it comes up heads and incident to  $y$  if tails. If neither  $x$  nor  $y$  has any unclaimed incident edges, Alice simply picks up a random unclaimed edge in  $E$ .

If Alice wins with this strategy with positive probability, then any realization of winning coin flips corresponds to a *deterministically* winning strategy. Now for any vertex  $v$  in  $V$ , let  $d_A(v)$  denote its degree in  $G_A$  and  $d(v)$  its degree in  $G$ . The expected value of  $d_A(v)$  is at least  $\frac{1}{3}d(v)$  since, on average, Alice claims an edge incident to  $v$  at least half as often as Bob does. By Chernoff, for any  $\epsilon < 1$  and any vertex  $v$  we have

$$\Pr[d_1(v) < (1 - \epsilon)d(v)/3] \leq e^{-\epsilon^2 d(v)/6} \leq n^{-\frac{\epsilon^2}{6} \log n}.$$

A union bound then gives

$$\Pr[d_1(v) < (1 - \epsilon)d(v)/3 \text{ for some } v] \leq n^{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{6} \log n}.$$

Alice loses if she claims less than an  $\alpha$ -fraction of the neighbors of any vertex  $v$  and solving the equation  $1 - \epsilon = 3\alpha$  for  $\alpha$  lets us conclude that she wins with positive probability when  $\alpha = 1/3 - \epsilon$ .  $\square$

**Problem 3.** Prove that for every constant  $d$ , there exists a constant  $C$  so that for any given graph  $G$  with  $|V(G)| = n$  (where  $n$  is arbitrarily large) and  $\Delta(G) \leq d$ , there exists an injective function  $f : V \rightarrow [Cn]$  such that the quantities  $\{|f(u) - f(v)| : uv \in E(G)\}$  are all distinct.

*Proof.* Choose  $f$  uniformly at random among all injections  $V \rightarrow [Cn]$  and for any pair of edges  $uv$  and  $xy$  in  $E$ , define  $A_{uv,xy}$  to be the event that  $|f(u) - f(v)| = |f(x) - f(y)|$ . Furthermore, let  $N(uv, xy)$  denote the set of (unordered) pairs of edges, one of which is equal to either  $uv$  or  $xy$ . We claim that for any pair of edges  $uv, xy$  and any  $J \subseteq \binom{E}{2} \setminus N(uv, xy)$ , we have

$$\Pr \left[ A_{uv,xy} \mid \bigcap_{(u'v', x'y') \in J} A_{u'v', x'y'}^c \right] \leq \Pr[A_{uv,xy}].$$

Indeed, set  $E_J$  to be the event being conditioned on in the above expression for ease of notation. We have

$$\Pr[A_{uv,xy} \mid E_J] = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Pr \left[ |f(u) - f(v)| = |f(x) - f(y)| = j \mid E_J \right].$$

Informally, since  $f$  is an injection, each  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$  can be realized as a distance  $|f(u) - f(v)|$  only so many times (depending on  $j$ ). Each  $A_{u'v', x'y'}^c$  hidden behind  $E_J$  represents a pair of edges, disjoint from  $uv, xy$  attaining two different distances under  $f$ . Since  $u'v'$  and  $x'y'$  attain different distances under  $f$ , it is more likely that at least one of them is  $j$ , and consequently, it is less likely that  $uv$  and  $xy$  are both separated by a distance of  $j$  under  $f$ . Thus, the above quantity is at most  $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Pr[|f(u) - f(v)| = |f(x) - f(y)| = j] = \Pr[A_{uv,xy}]$ .

We have then established that the  $A_{uv,xy}$  and  $N(uv, xy)$  form a negative dependency graph. Now  $|N(uv, xy)|$  is the number of edge pairs, one of which is equal to  $uv$  or  $xy$ . There are at most  $2(m-1) \leq$

$n\Delta - 2$  such pairs. Let's bound  $\Pr[A_{uv,xy}]$  from above. If  $uv$  and  $xy$  have a vertex in common, they are less likely to satisfy  $|f(u) - f(v)| = |f(x) - f(y)|$  than if they had no vertex in common. We then suppose that  $uv$  and  $xy$  share no vertex. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr[A_{uv,xy}] &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Pr \left[ |f(u) - f(v)| = |f(x) - f(y)| = j \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{4 \cdot \frac{(n-j)^2}{2} \cdot \frac{(cn-4)}{(n-4)} (n-4)!}{\binom{cn}{n} n!} \\ &\sim \frac{2}{n^4} \cdot \frac{\binom{cn-4}{n-4}}{\binom{cn}{n}} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n-j)^2 \\ &\sim \frac{2}{3nc^4}.\end{aligned}$$

Call this (asymptotic) upper bound  $p$ . Since  $ep(n\Delta - 1) \leq \frac{2e\Delta}{3c^4}$ , we may simply choose  $c$  large enough so that this quantity is less than 1 and apply the Lovász local lemma to conclude that the distances  $|f(u) - f(v)|$  are all distinct with positive probability (and large enough  $n$ ).  $\square$

**Problem 4.** Prove that for  $n$  sufficiently large, any set  $A$  of  $n$  distinct integers contains two disjoint subsets  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  satisfying  $|B_1| = |B_2| > 0.33n$ , where each of the sets  $B_i$  is sum-free.

*Proof.* Let  $q$  be a prime much larger than the largest element of  $A$ , say congruent to 1 modulo 6, so  $q = 6k+1$  for some  $k$ . Notice that the interval  $S_1 = \{2k+1, 2k+2, \dots, 4k\}$  is sum-free modulo  $q$ , as is the union of intervals  $S_2 = \{k+1, \dots, 2k-1\} \cup \{4k+1, \dots, 5k\}$ . Consider the transformation  $T : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  where  $a \mapsto ax + y \pmod{q}$  where  $x$  and  $y$  are nonzero integers modulo  $q$  chosen independently at random. Notice that for any  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ , and any distinct  $a_1, a_2$  in  $A$ , the events  $\{T(a_1) = u\}$  and  $\{T(a_2) = v\}$  are independent. Indeed, these events each occur with probability  $1/q$  and

$$\Pr[a_1x + y \equiv u, a_2x + y \equiv v] = \Pr \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 1 \\ a_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right] = \frac{1}{q^2},$$

since the above matrix has rank 2 (so there is a *unique* pair  $x, y$  solving the corresponding system).

Now  $B \subseteq A$  is sum-free if  $T[B] \subseteq (S_1 + y)$ . Indeed, if  $b_1 + b_2 = b_3$  for  $b_1, b_2, b_3$  in  $B$ , then  $b_i x = s_i$  for some  $s_i$  in  $S_1$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $s_1 + s_2 = s_3$ , contradicting the fact that  $S_1$  is sum-free. The same holds for  $S_2$ . Now if we can show that the expected number of  $a_i$  such that  $T(a_i) \in (S_1 + y)$  is at least  $n/3$  (and that the same holds for  $S_2$ ), the independence of the events  $\{T(a_i) \in (S_1 + y)\}$  and  $\{T(a_j) \in (S_2 + y)\}$  for distinct  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  will guarantee the existence of disjoint sum-free subsets  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , both of size around  $1/3$ .

For any interval  $I$  of length  $\epsilon n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ , the expected size of  $T[A] \cap I$  is  $\epsilon n^2/q$ . If we write  $|T[A] \cap I| = \sum_{a \in A} X_{a,I}$ , where  $X_{a,I}$  indicates whether  $T(a) \in I$ , then we have

$$\text{Var}\left[|T[A] \cap I|\right] = \sum_{a \in A} \text{Var}[X_{a,I}] = n \cdot \frac{\epsilon n^2}{q} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon n^2}{q}\right),$$

since the  $X_{a,I}$  are independent by our construction of  $T$  from *two* random sources  $x$  and  $y$ . By Chebyshev's inequality, we have

$$\Pr\left[||T[A] \cap I| - \epsilon^2 n/q| \geq \epsilon^2 n/q\right] = o(1),$$

so for  $n$  sufficiently large, a  $1 - o(1)$  fraction of the intervals of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  contain the expected number of elements of  $T[A]$ . Consequently,  $|T[A] \cap (S_1 + y)|$  and  $|T[A] \cap (S_2 + y)|$  are both simultaneously near their expected sizes, say equal to  $0.33n$ .  $\square$

**Problem 5.** Prove that there exists an absolute constant  $c > 0$  such that for any tournament  $T = (V, E)$  on  $n$  vertices, there are two disjoint subsets  $A, B \subseteq V$  such that  $e(A, B) - e(B, A) \geq cn^{3/2}$ .

*Proof.* I think the idea here is to choose  $A$  and  $B$  in some random way and then show that  $\mathbb{E}[(e(A, B) - e(B, A))^2] \geq cn^3$ . There would then be some realization of  $A$  and  $B$  for which  $(e(A, B) - e(B, A))^2$  is at least  $cn^3$  and we'd be done. I wasn't able to come up with a way to get a variance with order higher than  $n^2$ , unfortunately.

Intuitively, I wanted two random sets of roughly equal size, so for each element in  $V$ , we include it in  $A$  with probability  $p$ , in  $B$  also with probability  $p$ , and neither with probability  $1 - 2p$ . Since  $e(A, B)$  and  $e(B, A)$  have the same distribution, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[(e(A, B) - e(B, A))^2] = 2\mathbb{E}[e(A, B)^2] - 2\mathbb{E}[e(A, B)e(B, A)].$$

For each edge  $e$  we let  $X_e$  be the variable that indicates whether  $e$  crosses from  $A$  to  $B$ . We similarly let  $\tilde{X}_e$  indicate whether  $e$  crosses from  $B$  to  $A$ . We have

$$\mathbb{E}[e(A, B)^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{e \in E} X_e\right)^2\right] = \binom{n}{2} p^2 + \sum_{e \neq f} \mathbb{E}[X_e X_f].$$

If the edges  $e$  and  $f$  share no common vertex, then  $\mathbb{E}[X_e X_f] = p^4$ . If both are in- or out-edges to a common vertex, then  $\mathbb{E}[X_e X_f] = p^3$ . If one is an in-edge and one is an out-edge, then  $\mathbb{E}[X_e X_f] = 0$ . Now we compute

$$\mathbb{E}[e(A, B)e(B, A)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{e \in E} X_e\right)\left(\sum_{f \in E} \tilde{X}_f\right)\right] = \sum_{e, f \in E} \mathbb{E}[X_e \tilde{X}_f].$$

If  $e = f$  then we clearly have  $\mathbb{E}[X_e \tilde{X}_f] = 0$ . If they don't share a vertex, then we get  $p^4$ . When they're both in- or out-edges of some vertex then we get zero, and if one is an in-edge and the other an out-edge, we get  $p^3$ . Let  $S_+$  be the set of all edge pairs that are out-edges to some common vertex,  $S_-$  to be the

pairs that form in-edges, and  $S_{\pm}$  the pairs where one is an in-edge and the other an out-edge. Since the contributions due to edge pairs without common vertices cancel with each other in our expressions for  $\mathbb{E}[e(A, B)^2]$  and  $\mathbb{E}[e(A, B)e(B, A)]$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[(e(A, B) - e(B, A))^2] = p^2 \binom{n}{2} + 2p^3 (|S_-| + |S_+| - |S_{\pm}|).$$

We can exactly calculate the expression in parentheses above by summing over each vertex ( $d^+(v)$  and  $d^-(v)$  are the out- and in- degrees of  $v$ , respectively)

$$\begin{aligned} |S_-| + |S_+| - |S_{\pm}| &= \sum_{v \in V} \left[ \binom{d^-(v)}{2} + \binom{d^+(v)}{2} - d^-(v)d^+(v) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} (d^+(v) - d^-(v))^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} (d^-(v) + d^+(v)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} (d^+(v) - d^-(v))^2 - \binom{n}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Our second moment is then

$$\mathbb{E}[(e(A, B) - e(B, A))^2] = \binom{n}{2} (p^2 - 2p^3) + p^3 \sum_{v \in V} (d^+(v) - d^-(v))^2.$$

By considering the case of a regular tournament (wherein  $d^+(v) = d^-(v)$  for all  $v \in V$ ), we see that the above quantity can't be made larger than  $\Theta(n^2)$  in general. Interestingly, if we set  $p = 1/2$  and consider a regular tournament, we obtain a variance of zero, which concludes a circuitous proof for the fact that  $e(A, A^c) - e(A^c, A) = 0$  for any subset  $A$  in this case.

I must have the wrong experiment for choosing  $A$  and  $B$ . This regular tournament example tells me that we can't do something as simple as take  $B = A^c$ . I think setting  $p = 1/3$  above chooses disjoint subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $V$  uniformly at random and this still doesn't work.  $\square$