

## 2D Shear: Analyses of Least-Squares Objective Functions

### Velocity Objective

Given a target simulation's end state  $\mathbf{U}(T)$ , consider an objective functional given by

$$H[\mathbf{u}(T)] = (\mathbf{u}(T) - \mathbf{U}(T)) \cdot (\mathbf{u}(T) - \mathbf{U}(T)) = (\mathbf{u}(T) - \mathbf{U}(T))^2$$

To determine the adjoint problem's initial ( $t = T$ ) state, we take

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{u}(T)} &= \lim_{\delta \mathbf{u}(T) \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{H[\mathbf{u}(T) + \delta \mathbf{u}(T)] - H[\mathbf{u}(T)]}{\delta \mathbf{u}(T)} \right] \\ &= \lim_{\delta \mathbf{u}(T) \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{(\mathbf{u}(T) + \delta \mathbf{u}(T) - \mathbf{U}(T))^2 - (\mathbf{u}(T) - \mathbf{U}(T))^2}{\delta \mathbf{u}(T)} \right] \\ &= \lim_{\delta \mathbf{u}(T) \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{2\delta \mathbf{u}(T)(\mathbf{u}(T) - \mathbf{U}(T))}{\delta \mathbf{u}(T)} \right] \\ &= 2(\mathbf{u}(T) - \mathbf{U}(T)) \end{aligned}$$

**Note:** as we take  $T \rightarrow 0$ , we have that  $\mathbf{u}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{u}(T)$  and  $\mathbf{U}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(T)$ . From this, it follows that the adjoint system is initialized as

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}(T) = 2(\mathbf{u}(0) - \mathbf{U}(0)) \quad \rightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}(0)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{u}(0)} \rightarrow 2(\mathbf{u}(0) - \mathbf{U}(0))$$

Which is the shortest direction to the optimized state in  $L_2$  space.

## Vorticity Objective

Next, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= -\nabla \times \mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \nabla^\perp \cdot \mathbf{u} = \partial_x v - \partial_y u \\ W &= -\nabla \times \mathbf{U} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \nabla^\perp \cdot \mathbf{U} = \partial_x V - \partial_y U\end{aligned}$$

and consider an objective functional given by

$$\begin{aligned}H[\mathbf{u}(T)] &= (\omega(T) - W(T))^2 \\ &= (\partial_x v(T) - \partial_y u(T) - W(T))^2\end{aligned}$$

**Note:** if we take

$$\frac{\delta H}{\omega(T)} = 2(\omega(T) - W(T)),$$

we recover the same result as before. It's more interesting to consider

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta H}{\delta u(T)} &= \lim_{\delta u(T) \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{(\partial_x v(T) - \partial_y u(T) - \partial_y \delta u(T) - W(T))^2 - (\partial_x v(T) - \partial_y u(T) - W(T))^2}{\delta u(T)} \right] \\ &= \lim_{\delta u(T) \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{-\partial_y \delta u(T) (\partial_x v(T) - \partial_y u(T) - W(T))}{\delta u(T)} \right]\end{aligned}$$

integrating by parts gives

$$= \lim_{\delta u(T) \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{-\partial_y \left[ \delta u(T) (\partial_x v(T) - \partial_y u(T) - W(T)) \right] + \delta u(T) \partial_y \left[ \partial_x v(T) - \partial_y u(T) - W(T) \right]}{\delta u(T)} \right]$$

The first term in the numerator cancels when impenetrable boundary conditions are employed, leaving

$$\begin{aligned}&= \lim_{\delta u(T) \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{\delta u(T) \partial_y \left[ \partial_x v(T) - \partial_y u(T) - W(T) \right]}{\delta u(T)} \right] \\ &= \partial_y \left[ \omega(T) - W(T) \right]\end{aligned}$$

Applying the same process to  $\frac{\delta H}{\delta v(T)}$ , we find

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{u}(T)} = \nabla^\perp \left[ \omega(T) - W(T) \right]$$