

Mathematical Methods for Optimization

In this project we solve the 1–norm regression problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|A \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_1. \quad (1)$$

In this problem, the matrix $A \in \mathcal{R}^{m \times n}$ and vector $b \in \mathcal{R}^m$ are given, with $m > n$. Our work is to find the optimal solution vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}^n$ that minimizes the 1-norm $\|A \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_1$. For any given vector $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_m)^\top$, its 1-norm is defined as

$$\|\mathbf{y}\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^m |y_j|.$$

The problem (1) can be recast as a linear program as

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}} \quad & \mathbf{e}^\top (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}, \quad \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{e} = (1, \dots, 1)^\top \in \mathcal{R}^m$. This linear program, in turn, has a dual

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \quad & \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{y} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A^\top \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} \\ & -\mathbf{e} \leq \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{e} \end{aligned}$$

While problem (1) is equivalent to a linear program, it is typically much more efficient to solve it with a specialized simplex-type method. Below we discuss such a method, under the **Non-degeneracy assumptions**:

- The matrix $A(\mathcal{B}, :)$ is invertible for every index set $\mathcal{B} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ with exactly n indexes.
- There does not exist an index set \mathcal{B} with more than n indexes such that $A(\mathcal{B}, :) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}(\mathcal{B})$.

Under these assumptions, there exists a unique index set $\mathcal{B}^{\text{opt}} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ with n indexes such that $\mathbf{x}^{\text{opt}} = A(\mathcal{B}^{\text{opt}}, :)^{-1} \mathbf{b}(\mathcal{B}^{\text{opt}})$ solves the problem (1).

To describe an algorithm for solving problem (1), we start with any given index set $\mathcal{B} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ with n indexes. Let $\bar{\mathcal{B}} = \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \mathcal{B}$ be the complement set of \mathcal{B} . Choosing $\mathbf{x} = A(\mathcal{B}, :)^{-1} \mathbf{b}(\mathcal{B})$, we reach objective value $\|A(\bar{\mathcal{B}}, :)^{\top} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}(\bar{\mathcal{B}})\|_1$ in problem (1). Below we explain a procedure to update \mathcal{B} in a fashion similar to the simplex method to reach a lower objective value in problem (1). Just like simplex method, we then repeat this procedure until we eventually reach the optimal index set \mathcal{B}^{opt} and therefore the optimal solution \mathbf{x}^{opt} .

Define

$$\mathbf{x} = A(\mathcal{B}, :)^{-1} \mathbf{b}(\mathcal{B}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{h} = A \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}.$$

It follows that $\mathbf{h}(\bar{\mathcal{B}}) = A(\bar{\mathcal{B}}, :)^{\top} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}(\bar{\mathcal{B}})$. By the non-degeneracy assumptions none of the components in $\mathbf{h}(\bar{\mathcal{B}}, :)$ is exactly zero. Now define $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}^m$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}(\bar{\mathcal{B}}) &= \text{sign}(\mathbf{h}(\bar{\mathcal{B}})), \\ \mathbf{y}(\mathcal{B}) &= -A(\mathcal{B}, :)^{-\top} A(\bar{\mathcal{B}}, :)^{\top} \mathbf{y}(\bar{\mathcal{B}}), \end{aligned}$$

where **sign** is the sign function, so $\mathbf{y}(\bar{\mathcal{B}})$ contains the signs of the $\mathbf{h}(\bar{\mathcal{B}})$ components. The components of $|\mathbf{y}(\bar{\mathcal{B}})|$ are all 1.

If all components of $|\mathbf{y}(\mathcal{B})|$ are less than or equal to 1, then \mathbf{y} is a feasible solution to the dual problem, and by the equilibrium conditions \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are optimal solutions to problem (1) and the dual, respectively.

If, on the other hand, some components of $|\mathbf{y}(\mathcal{B})|$ are greater than 1, then \mathbf{y} is not dual feasible, and now we proceed to reduce the objective value in problem (1) as follows.

Choose an index $j_s \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $|y_{j_s}| > 1$ (j_s is the s -th entry in \mathcal{B} .) Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}(\bar{\mathcal{B}}) &= -(\text{sign}(y_{j_s})) (\mathbf{y}(\bar{\mathcal{B}})) .* (A(\bar{\mathcal{B}}, :)^{\top} A(\mathcal{B}, :)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_s), \\ r &= \underset{j}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{|h_j|}{t_j}, \quad | \quad j \in \bar{\mathcal{B}} \quad \text{and} \quad t_j > 0, \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{e}_s is the vector which is 0 everywhere except the s -th entry, which is 1. In other words, $A(\mathcal{B}, :)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_s$ is the s -th column of $A(\mathcal{B}, :)^{-1}$. Then the new index set is

$$\mathcal{B}^{\text{new}} = \mathcal{B} \setminus \{j_s\} \cup \{r\}.$$

The new solution $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = A(\hat{\mathcal{B}}, :)^{-1} \mathbf{b}(\hat{\mathcal{B}})$ will lead to a reduced objective value in problem (1). Notice that j_s is the s -th entry in \mathcal{B} , while r refers to r -th row of A that is currently indexed in $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$. You need to test your code carefully for the correct indexing in \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}^{new} .

Our job in this project is to develop the above idea into a simplex-type algorithm for solving problem (1) under the non-degeneracy assumptions.

Note that the Phase I calculations for this problem consists of picking up any initial index set \mathcal{B} with n indexes and computing $M = A(\mathcal{B}, :)^{-1}$.

You do not need to use the Simplex tableau, but you will need to use the Sherman-Morrison formula to update the inverse matrix M .

You should turn in a .m file OneNormLPxxx.m which contains a matlab function of the form

```
function [data, info] = OneNormLP(A,b)
```

to solve a given 1-norm regression problem in (1). Here xxx is your student id. On output (case sensitive):

- If `info.run = Failure`, then
 - `info.msg`: Explain where and how the failure occurred (failure due to arithmetic exceptions or degeneracy)
- If `info.run = Success`
 - `data.obj` = the optimal objective value
 - `data.x` = optimal solution as column vector.
 - `data.loop` = # of iterations to solve for optimal solution.

Due 23:59PM, Monday, Nov. 22, 2021 on gradescope.