CSE550 Final Project Writeup Paxos State Machine Design

Paxos State Machine Design and Implementation

Liangyu Zhao liangyu@cs.washington.edu

December 14th, 2021

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	CSE 452 DsLabs	3
3	Design	3
	3.1 Assumptions 3.2 Alive or Dead 3.2.1 Ping 3.3 Deterministic State Machine 3.3.1 Up-to-date or Stale 3.3.2 Recovering 3.3.3 Garbage Collection	3 4 4 4 5
	3.4 Leader Election	5 5 6 6 6 7 7
4	4.5.1 PaxosServer.Slots 4.5.2 Application 4.5.3 PaxosServer.Leader 4.5.4 PaxosServer.Acceptor 4.6 PaxosClient 4.7 Concurrency	7 8 8 8 8 10 10 10 11 11 11 11
5	Deployment	12
6	Test	12
7	7.1 Copy and Serialization	12 12 13 13
Ω	Video Presentation	1 2

1 Introduction

Name: Liangyu Zhao

This project is an implementation of Paxos state machine from TCP networking to Paxos protocols. Besides standard Paxos algorithm, the implementation adopts two efficiency improvements described in the video by Diego Ongaro: (1) electing leader as the sole proposer, and (2) skipping PrepareRequests once the initial one is accepted. Besides efficiency, the implementation can also handle temporary server failure to: (1) continue processing client requests when minority of servers fail, and (2) recover failed server to the latest state.

2 CSE 452 DsLabs

The design of the framework including the layout of Node, Application, Message, and Timeout is borrowed from the DsLabs by Ellis Michael. While the framework of Michael gives author ideas how to layout different elements, the implementation by Michael was only intended to run distributed systems lab assignments on one machine. Thus, the actual implementation to run a Paxos system truly distributed is done by author himself in this project.

Many of the designs of Paxos like batched commands and garbage collection are from the DsLabs assignments done by author when he was taking the course CSE 452 at the University of Washington. The previous implementation is modified to work in a truly distributed environment. In addition, ideas like "up-to-date state" and "Recover message" are newly designed and implemented in this project.

3 Design

3.1 Assumptions

The design makes the following assumptions:

- (I) The design assumes a non-Byzantine scenario.
- (II) The number of servers in a Paxos group is fixed.
- (III) A server only fails by being unable to send or receive any message to or from any other parties.
- (IV) A server recovered from a failure is in the state right before the failure.
- (V) A client will submit a new request only after the previous request got replied by some server.

In essence, the assumptions (III) and (IV) forbid that following scenarios:

- Server/client a can receive message from server/client b but b cannot receive message from a.
- Server/client a can communicate with server/client c but server/client b cannot communicate with c.
- Server a has gone from state n to n+1 before experiencing a failure. After recovered from the failure, server a is back to state n or any earlier state.

The assumptions are reasonable by the nature of TCP and non-volatile computer memory.

3.2 Alive or Dead

Assumption (III) effectively restricts a server into two conditions:

- **Alive:** The server is available in the network. An alive server is reachable from all other alive servers/clients, and all other alive servers/clients are reachable from the server.
- **Dead:** The server is unavailable in the network. A dead server is unreachable from all other servers/clients, and all other servers/clients are unreachable from the server.

Name: Liangyu Zhao

Note that the perception of a server being "alive" or "dead" may be different by individual servers. An alive server is perceived as alive by every other alive server but not the dead ones. A dead server with network failure perceives every other server as dead but itself as alive.

3.2.1 Ping

Every server in the Paxos group is broadcasting a Ping Message every PingTimeout¹. The Ping serves two purposes:

- If a server a has not received any Message from another server b for two PingTimeouts, then b is determined dead by server a.
- The Ping Message contains the sender's knowledge about which Slot each server has executed in the Paxos group. This can help other servers garbage collect executed commands, which will be further discussed in §3.3.3.

3.3 Deterministic State Machine

The project implements a Paxos state machine. The state transition is caused by executing a command submitted by a client. Because the state transition is deterministic, the state of a server can be described as an ordered list of commands executed by the server. In our design, the list is made of Slots filled with commands. The decision of filling which command into which Slot is decided through Paxos algorithm. A Slot can be executed if and only if (1) all previous Slots are executed and (2) the majority of the servers have consensus on which command to be filled into the Slot. We say a server is at state n if it has executed the nth Slot and has not yet executed the (n+1)st Slot.

3.3.1 Up-to-date or Stale

Because of some failure, a server may be stuck at state n, while other servers have already got to state n+d. Once the server is recovered from the failure, by assumption (IV), it is now still at state n. Even though PrepareReply and AcceptRequest can sync the server with the latest state, if d is too large, it is infeasible for PrepareReply or AcceptRequest to help the server catch up. A constant MAX_NUM_OF_COMMAND_PER_MESSAGE² is defined such that if $d > \text{MAX_NUM_OF_COMMAND_PER_MESSAGE}$, then the server is "stale"; otherwise, if $d \leq \text{MAX_NUM_OF_COMMAND_PER_MESSAGE}$, then the server is found to be stale, it is excluded from the Paxos group until some other server has helped it catch up to be up-to-date.

Note that the perception of a server being "up-to-date" or "stale" may be different by individual servers. Because each server may have a different latest state number as far as it has known, server a may be perceived as up-to-date by server b but not by server c.

3.3.2 Recovering

When a server is stale, other servers will try to recover it to the latest state. To do this, another server will send a Recover message to the stale server containing the commands it has missed. The number of commands in one Recover message is limited by MAX_NUM_OF_COMMAND_PER_MESSAGE, so if the stale server has missed too many commands, these commands will be divided into batches and send to the stale server one by one.

Sending the Recover message requires the sender to copy a large number of commands, which is an expensive operation. Thus, any alive server is responsible to recover exactly one other alive server. The responsibility is decided by forming all non-leader alive servers as a ring by their addresses. Each non-leader alive server is responsible for the next higher addressed non-leader alive server. The reason why leader is not involved in this ring is to ensure the liveness of the leader. Also, the leader election rule (3.1) introduced later guarantees that leader is always up-to-date, so it does not need to be recovered. However, one exception is when leader is

¹currently set to 100ms.

²currently set to 10k.

the only one up-to-date among all alive servers. In such case, the leader will begin to recover the next higher addressed alive server.

3.3.3 Garbage Collection

Name: Liangyu Zhao

An implication of assumption (IV) is that if every server has executed the nth Slot, then any info about nth Slot is no longer needed. In fact, the only reason why we need to remember what is the command chosen for a Slot is to inform the servers who does not know. Thus, in order to save memory space, if a server finds out every one has executed the nth Slot, it will then garbage collect any Slots from the 1st to nth one still in memory.

3.4 Leader Election

The design uses a leader election mechanism to ensure liveness. Only the leader can process the requests from clients, send PrepareRequests, send AcceptRequests, and reply to clients with results. There is exactly one leader recognized by the majority of servers if the majority of the servers are alive. In particular, server a is recognized as the leader by server b if and only if

a has the highest address among all servers that are alive and up-to-date by b's perception. (3.1)

If a server finds itself satisfying (3.1) by its perception, then it promotes itself as the leader. Because of periodical Ping, aliveness and staleness are frequently syncing among all alive servers. It is unlikely to have two leaders among all alive servers for any time period longer than PingTimeout.

3.5 Paxos Protocol

The design follows the framework given in Lamport's paper but with some improvements. The complete life cycle of a command follows the following requests/replies:

- PaxosRequest: The client submits the command in a PaxosRequest. The client broadcasts the PaxosRequest to every server in the Paxos group.
- PrepareRequest: After receiving the PaxosRequest, the leader will broadcast a PrepareRequest to every other server in the Paxos group.
- PrepareReply: After receiving the PrepareRequest from the leader, a server will send a PrepareReply back to leader saying either accepting the proposal or rejecting the proposal.
- AcceptRequest: If the leader has majority of the servers accepting the proposal in PrepareReply, it will broadcast an AcceptRequest with the client command.
- AcceptReply: After receiving the AcceptRequest from the leader, a server will similarly send an AcceptReply back to leader saying either accepting or rejecting.
- PaxosReply: If the leader has majority of the servers accepting in AcceptReply, it will execute the client's command and send the client PaxosReply with the result.

3.5.1 Batched Commands

The design runs a multi-instance Paxos algorithm in a batched fashion. Suppose the leader is at state n. A single instance of Paxos algorithm will decide the commands from (n+1)st to (n+1+d)th Slot. Typically, the commands are the pendingCommands as far as the leader has received right before sending the AcceptRequest. Until this instance of Paxos succeeds or be abandoned, the leader will not initiate another instance. In the meantime, all newly received commands from clients enter pendingCommands, which will be decided during the next instance.

All commands received by the leader are organized in three data structures:

- Name: Liangyu Zhao
 - executed: This is an ordered list of commands that majority of the servers have reached consensus on and hence executed. While the number of commands in executed may decrease as a result of garbage collection described in $\S 3.3.3$, the data structure keeps track of the Slot numbers of the commands. If the Slot number of the last command is n-1, then the server is at state $n.^3$
 - uncertain: This is an ordered set of commands, but unlike executed, these are the proposed commands for Slots immediately after the end of executed that no majority of the servers have reached consensus on. Suppose the last Slot of executed is numbered n-1, and uncertain has size d, then the commands in uncertain are the proposed commands for Slots n to n-1+d.
 - pendingCommands: This is an unordered set of commands. When previous batch has been proposed but not yet settled, all newly received commands will be collected in pendingCommands to be proposed during the next Paxos instance.

3.5.2 PrepareRequest & PrepareReply

PrepareRequest PrepareRequest contains a proposal number: <num,address>. The address is always equal to the leader/sender. This ensures that every leader sends a distinct proposal number. The comparison between any two proposal numbers trivially follows lexicographical order. When a leader sends PrepareRequest, it will adjust the num field to ensure this is the highest proposal number as far as it has known.

PrepareReply When received PrepareRequest, the server will firstly check whether the sender is indeed the leader and up-to-date by the server's perception. If any of these two requirements fails, the server will simply ignore this PrepareRequest. If both requirements are satisfied, the server will compare the proposal number against the highest one as far as it knows. There are two cases:

- The received proposal number is higher than the highest one. The server will accept this proposal. In Lamport's paper, the acceptor is required to respond with the value v of the previous highest-numbered proposal. In our case, the value v is the combination of executed + uncertain.
- The received proposal number is lower than the highest one. The server simply rejects the proposal by replying with its maxProposalNum, which is higher than the received proposal number in this case.

3.5.3 Skip PrepareRequest

After a PrepareRequest is accepted by majority of the acceptors, an optimization is to allow the leader issuing multiple rounds of AcceptRequests without PrepareRequests until some AcceptRequest is rejected by some acceptor. The AcceptRequest number is in the form <num,address,round>, where the first two fields are from the accepted proposal number, and round is the round number of the AcceptRequest.

One way to think about why we can skip the PrepareRequest is that when the leader at state n issues a PrepareRequest, the leader is issuing a proposal number for Slots n to ∞ . Thus, subsequent AcceptRequests are simply giving values to Slots that have already passed the phase 1 in Lamport's paper.

3.5.4 AcceptRequest & AcceptReply

AcceptRequest When received PrepareReply, the leader will check the maxProposalNum field. If it is higher than its proposal number, then the leader will initiate a new PrepareRequest. Otherwise, the leader considers the proposal accepted. After majority of the acceptors reply with proposal accepted, the leader then sync executed + uncertain to the PrepareReply with highest maxAcceptedNum. Note that since the first two fields of maxAcceptedNum are borrowed from the corresponding proposal number. The highest maxAcceptedNum is guaranteed to come from the highest-numbered proposal.

³The Slot number starts from 0, so the Slot numbered n-1 is the nth Slot.

The leader then sends AcceptRequest. The leader appends all pendingCommands to the end of uncertain it got from PrepareReply. The AcceptRequest contains the new executed + uncertain representing the chosen values of leader. The AcceptRequest number <num,address,round> is described in $\S 3.5.3$.

AcceptReply If the acceptor has not received a higher-numbered proposal, it syncs with the AcceptRequest's executed + uncertain and reply with acceptance; if the acceptor has received a higher-numbered proposal, it simply reply with the higher maxProposalNum to indicate a rejection.

Reply Client After AcceptRequest is accepted by the majority of the acceptors, the leader then execute all commands in uncertain, since these values have the consensus of majority. The execution results are sent to the clients in PaxosReply.

3.6 Lock Service

Name: Liangyu Zhao

The lock service in this implementation supports three operations: lock, unlock, and query. The design supports lock and unlock multiple locks at the same time. Suppose client submits a request to lock a set of locks U, then the rule of lock is as followed:

- (a) If the client is currently holding some lock not in U, then the lock request fails;
- (b) If any lock in U is locked by some other client right now, then the lock request fails;
- (c) Otherwise, the lock request succeeds.

Suppose client submits a request to unlock a set of locks V, then the rule of unlock is as followed:

- (a) If any lock in V is locked by some other client right now, then the unlock request fails;
- (b) Otherwise, the unlock request succeeds.

The query request always succeeds, and it returns the locks currently held by the client.

3.6.1 Deadlock

This design effectively eliminates the possibility of a deadlock. The deadlock situation is when client a holds lock 1 and wants to acquire lock 2, while client b holds lock 2 and wants to acquire lock 1. In our design, a client is not allowed to acquire new lock when he or she has already held some lock. When client a or b wants to acquire both lock 1 and 2, he or she can only submit one lock request to acquire both locks in one transaction. Whoever has his or her request executed first got both lock 1 and 2.

4 Implementation

4.1 Overview

There are two parties in the implementation: PaxosServer and PaxosClient. Each party is implemented as a Java subclass of Node. Especially, PaxosServer and PaxosClient contain handler methods to be called when (1) a message is received from either client or other Paxos server, or (2) a timeout set previously is triggered. The implementation of Node manages all the network I/O and timeouts. It asynchronously call the handlers in PaxosServer and PaxosClient when the corresponding events are triggered.

4.2 Message

Name: Liangyu Zhao

Message is a Java interface implemented by all requests, replies, and additional messages like Ping and Recover. The communication between any two Nodes is the exchange of Messages. The Message interface is also a subinterface of Serializable, Logged, and Copyable. Because Message needs to be serialized and deserialized in network I/O, Serializable is necessary. Logged is for log purpose. Copyable requires any class implementing Message to have a immutableCopy() method, which returns a copy of the Message with a promise not to modify anything in the copy in the future. The necessity of Copyable will be discussed in §4.7.1 under section Concurrency.

4.3 Timeout

Timeout is a Java interface. It contains a single method timeoutLengthMillis(), which tells Node what time in future to call the Timeout's handler in PaxosServer or PaxosClient. The Timeout implementation serves two purposes: (1) to periodically perform some operations like Pinging other servers, and (2) to resend some request in case the request is dropped due to network failure or some other reasons.

4.4 Node

The design logic of Node is to handle all the network I/O and make asynchronous calls. Thus, its subclasses PaxosServer and PaxosClient can focus on the Paxos protocol. When a Message arrives at Node, it will call its subclass' handler method through Java reflection. In particular, for a Message with class MessageClass, it will call a method with signature handleMessageClass(MessageClass, Address). The MessageClass parameter is the message, and the Address parameter is the address of sender. For example, if Node receives a PrepareRequest, it will call the method handlePrepareRequest(PrepareRequest, Address). Similarly, for Timeout, if a Timeout is triggered, the Node will call a method with signature onTimeoutClass(TimeoutClass). It is the responsibility of Node's subclasses to implement such methods for all Messages and Timeouts that are possible for Node to receive and trigger.

4.4.1 ArrayBlockingSetQueue

ArrayBlockingQueue is a data structure implemented for producer-consumer queues. It is a subclass of ArrayBlockingQueue in Java standard library. A BlockingQueue, defined by Java standard library, is a queue that gives producer/consumer an option to wait until it is possible to enqueue/dequeue an element. ArrayBlockingQueue is a BlockingQueue with limited capacity, and ArrayBlockingSetQueue additionally ensures that all elements in ArrayBlockingQueue are distinct. The ArrayBlockingSetQueue data structure serves two purposes:

- Used by ConnectionPool as outboundPackages queue to store any Messages submitted by Node but not yet send out by any one of the SendTask threads of ConnectionPool. In such scenario, Node is the producer, and SendTask threads are the consumers.
- Provided to the constructor of ThreadPoolExecutor as an internal structure to store Message handling tasks submitted but not yet executed by thread pool.

The main reason why we need ArrayBlockingSetQueue instead of provided ArrayBlockingQueue is to ensure the queues have distinct elements. After all, it is undesirable for ConnectionPool to send duplicated Messages or for ThreadPoolExecutor to handle duplicated Messages. In addition, for ConnectionPool, the ArrayBlockingSetQueue implements an additional feature to discard the oldest Message when enqueueing a new Message to a full queue. The underlying logic is that the info of old Message may already be out-of-date. It is more reasonable to keep the new Message in queue during network congestion.

4.4.2 ConnectionPool

ConnectionPool is implemented for Node to handle network I/O. When Node wants to send some message to another Node or Node has accepted an incoming connection through listening, it creates a ConnectionPool dedicated to the network communication between it and the other Node. If a Node is communicating with ten other

Nodes, then it maintains ten ConnectionPools.

Name: Liangyu Zhao

ConnectionPool usually maintains a number of TCP connections. Each connection has a dedicated SendTask thread and a ReceiveTask thread:

SendTask The SendTask thread continuously "poll"s Packages from the outboundPackages queue. Each Package is a wrapper of one Message. The queue is a ArrayBlockingSetQueue, and its "poll" method lets the caller thread to dequeue an element if available or wait up to a specific timeout. In SendTask, this timeout is a constant TEST_ALIVE_INTERVAL⁴. If the "poll" timeouts, SendTask will send a special TestAlive Message. The reason why SendTask does this is to ensure that the SendTask sends a message through the connection every TEST_ALIVE_INTERVAL. Thus, if a connection fails, the ConnectionPool of both sides can quickly discover the failure by setting SO_TIMEOUT.

SendTask sends the Package using the object serialization provided by Java standard library. The Package is firstly written to an ObjectOutputStream, which outputs to a BufferedOutputStream and then to the socket OutputStream. The reason why we use a BufferedOutputStream in the middle is due to a finding that using a BufferedInput/OutputStream between ObjectInput/OutputStream and socket Input/OutputStream brings order of magnitude increase in network I/O efficiency⁵. SendTask flushes ObjectOutputStream every time it writes a Package to ensure timely delivery.

A special case of SendTask happens when the connection is the first connection created by the Node. In such case, the other side of the connection only knows the IP address of this Node but not the port number. This brings a problem since all Nodes are addressed by the combination of IPv4 address and listening port number. Thus, in such case, the very first two bytes written by SendTask will be the listening port number of this Node. In the meantime, when a Node accepts a new incoming connection, it will read the very first two bytes as the port number of the creator of connection.

ReceiveTask The ReceiveTask thread continuously read from the connection. Like SendTask, it uses a ObjectInputStream \leftarrow BufferedInputStream \leftarrow SocketInputStream design. Every time ReceiveTask reads a Message, it calls a handler from Node, which will assign a work thread to call the corresponding Message handler in Node's subclass. Thus, ReceiveTask does not need to wait until the Message is handled. It can immediately get back to reading new Message from the connection. As mentioned in SendTask, ReceiveTask sets the SO_TIMEOUT to a constant TEST_ALIVE_TIMEOUT⁶. If the read operation of ReceiveTask waits more than TEST_ALIVE_TIMEOUT, it determines that the connection fails and then orderly close the connection. Closing the connection causes corresponding SendTask to experience write failure and thus terminates SendTask thread.

ConnectionCreationTask Besides the SendTask thread and ReceiveTask thread for each TCP connection in ConnectionPool, the ConnectionPool also has a long-lived ConnectionCreationTask thread running from the construction of ConnectionPool to its closure. ConnectionCreationTask does only one task: to create new TCP connection when the number of connections is lower than constant MIN_NUM_OF_CONNECTIONS⁷ or outboundPackages is full. If none of these two criteria is met, then the thread enters wait. The thread is notifyed every time a connection is closed or outboundPackages is full.

Besides some network advantages of concurrent TCP connections, there are two particular reasons why we need multiple connections in ConnectionPool:

• When two Nodes want to connect to each other at the same time, there will be two TCP connections created. If we do not allow multiple connections, then dropping which one would be a problem.

⁴current set to 500ms.

⁵https://stackoverflow.com/questions/23506651/why-is-inputstream-readobject-taking-so-much-of-time-reading-the-serialized-

⁶currently set to $3 \times \text{TEST_ALIVE_INTERVAL} = 1500 \text{ms}$.

⁷currently set to 10.

• The sending and receiving involve object serialization and deserialization. These operations are expensive, so by having multiple SendTask and ReceiveTask threads, one can parallel the workload to increase network I/O efficiency.

However, creating too many connections also brings problems. Thus, we limit the number of connections to be less than or equal to a constant $MAX_NUM_OF_CONNECTIONS^8$.

4.5 PaxosServer

Name: Liangyu Zhao

PaxosServer has the implementation of all Paxos protocol. In particular, it contains the callback handlers for the requests and replies described in $\S 3.5$. In this section, we will be talking about the implementation of parts in PaxosServer.

4.5.1 PaxosServer.Slots

PaxosServer.Slots is the underlying data structure of executed mentioned in $\S 3.5.1$. PaxosServer.Slots uses ArrayDeque to store commands in executed, and it keeps the Slot number of the very first command in ArrayDeque. Thus, it knows the Slot number of every command in ArrayDeque even if commands before the first one has been garbage collected. Every time PaxosServer executes a command, it is enqueued into the ArrayDeque of PaxosServer.Slots. If the PaxosServer discovers every server has executed some Slot, then any command before and in the Slot will be removed from ArrayDeque. Note that by design, if a PaxosServer has executed the command in Slot n, then it has executed all commands from Slot 0 to n.

4.5.2 Application

Application is where the service logic implemented. In our implementation, Application is a Java interface, which provides a method Result execute(Command). Each request received from the client contains a Command to be executed by the Application, and the returned Result will be in the reply to client. In this project, the lock service described in §3.6 is implemented as a LockApplication.

AMOApplication AMO stands for "at most once". AMOApplication is a wrapper over Application. By assumption (V), the client can assign a strictly increasing sequenceNum for each command he or she submits. Once AMOApplication has let the Application execute a command from a client with sequenceNum x, then it ensures that any command submitted by the same client with sequenceNum less than or equal to x cannot be executed by Application. In particular, AMOApplication stores results of the latest commands submitted by all clients. Thus, when PaxosServer receives a command Application has already executed, AMOApplication can prevent PaxosServer from going through the Paxos algorithm for this command again and directly reply the client with result if the command is the latest one. Note that if the command is not the latest one, by assumption (V), the client has already got the result of the command.

4.5.3 PaxosServer.Leader

PaxosServer.Leader is a data structure to maintain the leader state when the PaxosServer is the leader of the Paxos group. For example, PaxosServer.Leader records the pendingCommands mentioned in $\S 3.5.1$ and addresses of the servers who has not yet replied PrepareRequest or AcceptRequest.

4.5.4 PaxosServer.Acceptor

PaxosServer.Acceptor is a data structure to maintain the acceptor state. It is maintained at all time since every server is always an acceptor even if it has been elected as the leader. It has only two fields maxProposalNum and maxAcceptNum.

 $^{^8}$ currently set to $4 \times MIN_NUM_OF_CONNECTIONS = 40$

4.6 PaxosClient

Name: Liangyu Zhao

PaxosClient has the implementation of a client to submit PaxosRequest to PaxosServers. It follows assumption (V), and assign strictly increasing sequenceNum to commands it submits. If a request is not replied for time period longer than ClientTimeout⁹, then a ClientTimeout will be triggered, and PaxosClient will resend the PaxosRequest.

4.7 Concurrency

The implementation uses multiple tools of Java to handle concurrency issues. For PaxosServer and PaoxsClient, because only the handler methods for Messages and Timeouts will be called, these methods use synchronized keyword to ensure proper locking and unlocking.

For data structures such as Map and counters in Node and ConnectionPool, the implementation conveniently uses ConcurrentHashMap, AtomicLong, and LongAdder from Java standard library to ensure thread safety.

For ArrayBlockingSetQueue, since it is a subclass of ArrayBlockingQueue, the implementation uses Java reflection to access the parent's ReentrantLock. In every method, ArrayBlockingSetQueue locks the parent's ReentrantLock and release it at the end of the method. Because ReentrantLock can be locked by the same thread multiple times, superclass' methods are unaffected when ArrayBlockingSetQueue calls them while holding the lock.

4.7.1 Copyable

As mentioned in $\S4.2$, Copyable is an interface to force Message to provide an immutable copy of itself. To see why Copyable is necessary, consider a scenario when PaxosServer wants to send a Message. PaxosServer calls the send method in Node, who simply enqueues the Message to an underlying queue and then returns. The SendTask thread of some ConnectionPool will later dequeues the Message and perform network I/O. This implementation requires the Message to be unmodified while it is in the queue; otherwise, the Message eventually send is different from what PaxosServer intended. However, the Message usually contains some internal state of PaxosServer like executed that may be changed by PaxosServer thread right away. It is also undesirable to make PaxosServer thread blocked until the SendTask finishes network I/O. Thus, the solution is to let the send method in Node call the immutableCopy() to enqueue an immutable copy of the Message.

4.7.2 Thread Pools

The implementation uses multiple ThreadPoolExecutors from Java standard library to realize a thread pool design. In addition, the implementation uses ScheduledThreadPoolExecutor to realize the triggering of Timeouts in future. Thread pools are carefully tuned to ensure high throughput of the system.

PaxosServer For Message handling tasks, PaxosServer uses a ThreadPoolExecutor with thread pool size fixed to a constant MESSAGE_HANDLER_EXECUTOR_NUM_OF_THREAD¹⁰ and ArrayBlockingSetQueue as the workQueue of ThreadPoolExecutor with fixed capacity MESSAGE_HANDLER_EXECUTOR_QUEUE_SIZE¹¹. The reason why PaxosServer uses a small fixed size thread pool is that all Message handler methods are marked by synchronized Java keyword, so the locking forbids PaxosServer from having many threads working together. As for fixed capacity workQueue, when there are too many Messages waiting to be handled, the design allows PaxosServer to simply ignore some Messages until workload spike passes. Because unlimited workQueue never ignores or rejects a Message handling task, it may affect the responsiveness of PaxosServer, when more and more Messages are built up in the queue. Under some test situation, the queue can get even long enough to take all of JVM heap memory.

⁹currently set at 100ms

¹⁰currently set to 5.

¹¹currently set to 100.

Node The implementation of Node uses two thread pools for its tasks: a ThreadPoolExecutor with an unlimited thread pool size and a ScheduledThreadPoolExecutor with thread pool size fixed to 5. Node uses ThreadPoolExecutor to finish tasks like logging, ConnectionPool garbage collection, and adding incoming connection to ConnectionPool when accepted from listening port. The ThreadPoolExecutor is also passed to ConnectionPool to create threads like SendTask and ReceiveTask. The threads of Node are largely independent and equally important, so there is no need to tune ThreadPoolExecutor in Node. ScheduledThreadPoolExecutor is used to handle all Timeouts and periodical logging. It has a small size thread pool because what it does is simply assigning the work to ThreadPoolExecutor when it is time.

5 Deployment

Name: Liangyu Zhao

The project is implemented in Java 8 and tested in ARM version Ubuntu 20.04.3 LTS virtual machines. Besides Java standard library, the project also uses Apache Commons Lang 3.12.0 and Lombok 1.18.12.

To properly run the project, PaxosServer and PaxosClient require a config file with IPv4 addresses of all PaxosServers. For example, to setup a Paxos group of 3 servers on local machine listening to port 2000, 3000, and 4000 respectively, one needs to create a server_ips.config file:

```
127.0.0.1:2000
127.0.0.1:3000
127.0.0.1:4000
```

The commands to run PaxosServers are:

```
java -jar paxos_server.jar 127.0.0.1:2000 server_ips.config
java -jar paxos_server.jar 127.0.0.1:3000 server_ips.config
java -jar paxos_server.jar 127.0.0.1:4000 server_ips.config
```

The command to run a PaxosClient using port 5000 on local machine is:

```
java -jar paxos_client.jar 127.0.0.1:5000 server_ips.config
```

6 Test

The Paxos protocol of this project directly uses JUnit tests of DsLabs for testing. Besides, the project also includes a TestClient to test in distributed runtime environment. The TestClient works by continuously generating random LockCommands. It executes the commands on local LockApplication while sending the commands to Paxos group. It is expected that the results returned by the Paxos group should be identical to the results returned by TestClient executing commands locally. Similar to PaxosServer and PaxosClient, the command to run TestClient using port 5000 is:

```
java -jar test_client.jar 127.0.0.1:5000 server_ips.config
```

7 Future Improvements

Due to the limited time of this project, there are some improvements to the project considered but not implemented by the author.

7.1 Copy and Serialization

The workflow of Node sending a Message is to (1) obtain an immutable copy of the Message, (2) enqueue the immutable copy to queue, (3) dequeue the copy, and then (4) serialize it to send through socket's OutputStream. However, serializing the Message has already copied the object in some sense. An improvement is to directly serialize the Message into bytes and enqueue the bytes into the queue. Thus, the SendTask thread can simply

Name: Liangyu Zhao

dequeue the bytes and send through socket's OutputStream. However, the problem unsolved is that the object serialization can only been done by creating an ObjectOutputStream. Every time an ObjectOutputStream is created, it writes an initial header to underlying OutputStream. When the ObjectInputStream tries to describing the stream of bytes, it only expects the initial header at the very beginning. If every object contains an initial header, the ObjectInputStream will throw Exceptions.

7.2 Concurrency of PaxosServer

The current implementation of PaxosServer uses Java synchronized keyword to ensure thread safety. This is the most naive design, which only allows threads to finish their works one by one. A more desirable and complicated design is to add locks for individual data structures. One may also use read/write locks to enhance parallelism.

7.3 Write Commands to Disk

In $\S 3.3.3$, we mentioned that if all servers have executed the command in Slot n, then the command is garbage collected and removed from memory. Thus, the PaxosServer can continuously process commands without running out of memory. In some cases, when some server is down for too long, the number of commands in alive servers is continuously built up. To prevent running out of memory, the current design stops the servers from processing new commands if the number of commands in memory exceeds some point. At that time, only if the failed server is recovered, the commands in servers can be garbage collected and then processing new commands. In such situation, one may want the alive servers to continue processing commands while storing the commands in disk. When the failed server is alive again, the other servers can read commands from disk to help the failed server recover. Furthermore, one may want all the commands written to disk for the purpose of logging or debugging.

8 Video Presentation

Google Slides