

# Homomorphic Sensing: Sparsity and Noise

Liangzu Peng, Boshi Wang, and Manolis C. Tsakiris School of Information Science and Technology, Shanghai Tech University

⊠ penglz, wangbsh, mtsakiris@shanghaitech.edu.cn

# Homomorphic Sensing

 $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ 

### **Unlabeled Sensing**

 $y = \Pi A x, \quad \Pi \in \mathcal{S}_m, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (1)

 $S_m$ : the set of  $m \times m$  permutation matrices Assume the existence of a solution  $x^*$  to (1):

 $y = \Pi^* A x^*$ , for some  $\Pi^* \in \mathcal{S}_m$ 

Question. When is  $x^*$  unique? [1, 2, 3]

## Unlabeled Sensing with Missing Entries

$$y = SAx, \quad S \in \mathcal{S}_{r,m}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 (2)

 $S_{r,m}$ : the set of  $r \times m$  selection matrice Assume the existence of a solution  $x^*$  to (2):

$$y = S^*Ax^*$$
, for some  $S^* \in \mathcal{S}_{r,m}$ 

Question. When is  $x^*$  unique? [1, 4]

#### Real Phase Retrieval

$$y = BAx, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}_m, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 (3)

 $\mathcal{B}_m$ : the set of  $m \times m$  sign matrices Assume the existence of a solution  $x^*$  to (3):

$$y = B^*Ax^*$$
, for some  $B^* \in \mathcal{B}_m$ 

Question. When is  $x^*$  unique up to sign? [5]

#### Generalization: Homomorphic Sensing

$$y = TAx, \quad T \in \mathcal{T}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 (4)

 $\mathcal{T}$ : a finite set of  $r \times m$  arbitrary matrices Assume the existence of a solution  $x^*$  to (4):

$$y = T^*Ax^*$$
, for some  $T^* \in \mathcal{T}$ 

Question. When is  $x^*$  unique? [6, 7, 8]

# Sparse Homomorphic Sensing

 $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|x\|_0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad y = TAx, \quad T \in \mathcal{T}$  (5)

 $x^*$ : a k-sparse solution to (5).

## Theory: Uniqueness Guarantee

Rank Constraint.

$$rank(T) \le 2k, \ \forall \ T \in \mathcal{T}$$

Quasi-variety Constraint.

$$\dim(\mathcal{Y}_{T_1,T_2} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{T}_1,\mathcal{T}_2}) \leq m-k, \ \forall T_1,T_2 \in \mathcal{T},$$

where  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{T}_1,\mathcal{T}_2}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{T}_1,\mathcal{T}_2}$  are defined as

$$\mathcal{Y}_{T_1,T_2} = \{ u \in \mathbb{C}^m : \operatorname{rank}[T_1 u, T_2 u] \le 1 \}$$
  
$$\mathcal{Z}_{T_1,T_2} = \{ u \in \mathbb{C}^m : T_1 u = T_2 u \}$$

**Theorem 1.** Sparse homomorphic sensing (5) admits  $x^*$  as the unique solution for  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  generic, as long as the rank constraint and quasi-variety constraint are satisfied.

#### Example: Unlabeled Compressed Sensing

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|x\|_0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad y = \Pi A x, \quad \Pi \in \mathcal{S}_m \quad (6)$$

Corollary 1. Unlabeled compressed sensing (6) admits  $x^*$  as the unique solution for  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  generic, as long as  $m \geq 2k$ .

#### Example: Sparse Real Phase Retrieval

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|x\|_0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad y = BAx, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}_m \quad (7)$$

Corollary 2 ([9, 10]). Sparse real phase retrieval (7) admits  $\pm x^*$  as the unique solution for  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  generic, as long as  $m \geq 2k$ .

# Noisy Homomorphic Sensing

$$(\hat{x}, \hat{T}) \in \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, T \in \mathcal{T}}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \|\overline{y} - TAx\|_2 \tag{8}$$

where  $\overline{y} = y + \epsilon = T^*Ax^* + \epsilon$ 

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \{ T \in \mathcal{T} : y \in R(TA) \}$$

where R(TA) is the range space of TA

**Theorem 2.** Suppose i) homomorphic sensing (4) admits a unique solution  $x^*$ , ii)  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}$  or

$$\|\epsilon\|_{2} < \|y\|_{2} \Big(1 - \max_{T \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \mathcal{T}_{1}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{y^{\top} T A x}{\|y\|_{2} \|T A x\|_{2}}\Big),$$

then  $\hat{x} - x^* = (\hat{T}A)^{\dagger} \epsilon$ , and in particular

$$\left\|\hat{x} - x^*\right\|_2 \le \sigma((\hat{T}A)^\dagger) \left\|\epsilon\right\|_2.$$

#### References

- [1] J. Unnikrishnan, S. Haghighatshoar, and M. Vetterli. Unlabeled sensing with random linear measurements. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 64(5):3237–3253, 2018.
- [2] I. Dokmanic. Permutations unlabeled beyond sampling unknown. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 26(6):823–827, 2019.
- [3] M. C. Tsakiris, L. Peng, A. Conca, L. Kneip, Y. Shi, and H. Choi. An algebraic-geometric approach for linear regression without correspondences. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 66(8):5130–5144, 2020.
- [4] Deguang Han, Fusheng Lv, and Wenchang Sun. Recovery of signals from unordered partial frame coefficients. Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis, 44(1):38 58, 2018.
- [5] Radu Balan, Pete Casazza, and Dan Edidin. On signal reconstruction without phase. Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis, 20(3):345 356, 2006.
- [6] Manolis C. Tsakiris. Eigenspace conditions for homomorphic sensing. Technical report, arXiv:1812.07966v1 [math.CO], 2018.
- [7] M. C. Tsakiris. Determinantal conditions for homomorphic sensing. Technical report, arXiv:1812.07966v6 [math.CO], 2020
- [8] M. C. Tsakiris and L. Peng. Homomorphic sensing. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2019.
- [9] Mehmet Akcakaya and Vahid Tarokh. New conditions for sparse phase retrieval. Technical report, arXiv:1310.1351v2 [cs.IT], 2014.
- [10] Yang Wang and Zhiqiang Xu. Phase retrieval for sparse signals. Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis, 37(3):531 544, 2014.

# Algorithm & Experiments

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|y - Ax\|_1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|x\|_0 \le k. \tag{9}$$

### Unlabeled Compressed Sensing Algorithm

Initialization.  $x^{(0)} = 0$ 

Iterative Step.

$$x^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \operatorname{Proj}_{\mathcal{K}}(x^{(t)} - \mu \cdot \operatorname{subg}(x^{(t)}))$$

$$J \leftarrow \text{the support } \{i : x_i^{(t+1)} \neq 0\} \text{ of } x^{(t+1)}$$

$$x_J^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\operatorname{min}} \|y - A_J x\|_1$$

Notation.

• subg $(x^{(t)})$ : subgradient of  $||y - Ax||_1$  at  $x^{(t)}$ 

### Experiments





