# **Chapter 15: Object-Oriented Programming (OOP)**

## 15.6 Inheritance and The Rule of 3 (5)

copy constructor, copy assignment operator and destructor (move constructor, move assignment operator)

How does inheritance interact with dynamic memory allocation (the use of new and delete)? For example, if a base-class uses dynamic memory allocation and <u>redefines the copy constructor and the copy assignment operator</u>, how does that affect the implementation of the derived-class?

The answer depends on the nature of the derived-class.

- If the derived-class does not allocate dynamic memory, you need not take any action.
- If the derived-class does allocate dynamic memory, additional actions required!

Let's look at the latter case. Consider a base-class <code>DoubleArray</code> that manages a dynamic double array and a derived-class <code>DoubleArrayID</code> that inherits the base-class and adds a random ID to each element (the <code>DoubleArrayID</code> has a dynamic <code>int</code> array).

The base-class DoubleArray follows what we have learned before, and we utilize the nullptr to help us check the status of the pointer for the double array.

The nullptr is a keyword that can be used at all places where NULL is expected<sup>1</sup>. Like NULL, nullptr is implicitly convertible and comparable to any pointer type. Unlike NULL, it is not implicitly convertible or comparable to integral types.

#### DoubleArray.h

```
#ifndef DOUBLE_ARRAY_H
#define DOUBLE_ARRAY_H
#include <iostream>

class DoubleArray {
public:
    DoubleArray() = default;
    DoubleArray(unsigned in_size, double val = 0.0);
    DoubleArray(const DoubleArray& rhs); //copy constructor
    // copy assignment operator
    DoubleArray& operator=(const DoubleArray& rhs);
    virtual void print(std::ostream&) const;
    virtual ~DoubleArray();

protected:
    double* raw_data = nullptr;
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Details on nullptr https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/understanding-nullptr-c/

```
unsigned array_size = 0;
};

std::ostream& operator << (std::ostream&, const DoubleArray&);
#endif</pre>
```

# DoubleArray.cpp

```
#include "DoubleArray.h"
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
DoubleArray::DoubleArray(unsigned in size, double val) :
array size(in size) {
   raw data = new double[array size];
   for (unsigned index = 0; index != array size; ++index)
       raw data[index] = val;
}
DoubleArray::DoubleArray(const DoubleArray& rhs) {
   array size = rhs.array size;
   raw data = new double[array size];
   for (unsigned index = 0; index != array size; ++index)
       raw data[index] = rhs.raw data[index];
}
DoubleArray& DoubleArray::operator = (const DoubleArray& rhs) {
   if (this == &rhs)
       return *this;
   if (raw data != nullptr)
       delete[] raw data;
   array size = rhs.array size;
   raw data = new double[array size];
   for (unsigned index = 0; index != array_size; ++index)
       raw data[index] = rhs.raw data[index];
   return *this;
void DoubleArray::print(ostream& os) const {
```

```
os << "array size: " << array size << ", {";
   if(array size > 0){
     for (unsigned index = 0; index < array size - 1; ++index) {</pre>
        os << raw data[index] << ", ";
     os << raw data[array_size - 1] << "}" << endl;
   else os << " }" << endl;
}
DoubleArray::~DoubleArray() {
   if (raw data != nullptr) {
       delete[] raw data;
       std::cout << "delete[] in destructor!" << std::endl;</pre>
   }
}
ostream& operator << (ostream& os, const DoubleArray& a) {</pre>
   a.print(os);
   return os;
}
```

We can test the base-class through the following client code:

## TestDoubleArray.cpp

```
#include "DoubleArray.h"
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main() {
    DoubleArray da0;
    DoubleArray da1(3);
    DoubleArray da2(6, 0.1);
    DoubleArray da3 = da1;
    DoubleArray da4;
    da4 = da2;
    cout << da0 << da1 << da2 << da3 << da4;
    return 0;
}</pre>
```

```
array size: 0, { }
array size: 3, {0, 0, 0}
array size: 6, {0.1, 0.1, 0.1, 0.1, 0.1, 0.1}
array size: 3, {0, 0, 0}
array size: 6, {0.1, 0.1, 0.1, 0.1, 0.1, 0.1}
delete[] in destructor!
delete[] in destructor!
delete[] in destructor!
delete[] in destructor!
```

**Remark:** in the implementation of the assignment operator and destructor, we utilize the nature of nullprt to help us check the status of raw\_data pointer and to take further actions if it is not a nullptr.

# Derived-class Using new for Member

# **Copy Constructor and the Copy Assignment Operator**

For the copy constructor and the copy assignment operators of the derived-class, the following are what to pay attention to:

- Make copy assignment non-virtual, take the parameter by const&, and return by non-const&
- A copy operation should copy
- Make copy assignment safe for self-assignment

If the derived-class <code>DoubleArrayID</code> DOES also allocate dynamic memory, then there are a couple of new tricks to learn.

## DoubleArrayID.h

```
#ifndef DOUBLE_ARRAYID_H
#define DOUBLE_ARRAYID_H
#include "DoubleArray.h"

class DoubleArrayID : public DoubleArray{
public:
    DoubleArrayID() = default;
    DoubleArrayID(unsigned in_size, double val = 0.0);
    DoubleArrayID(const DoubleArrayID& rhs);
    DoubleArrayID& operator=(const DoubleArrayID& rhs);
    void print(std::ostream&) const;
    ~DoubleArrayID();

protected:
    int* randID = nullptr;
```

```
};
#endif
```

#### **Derived-class Destructor**

When a derived-class destructor finishes, it automatically calls the base-class destructor<sup>2</sup>.

```
DoubleArrayID::~DoubleArrayID() {
   if (randID != nullptr)
        delete[] randID;
}
```

## **Derived-class Constructor**

#### **Derived-class Copy Constructor**

The DoubleArrayID copy constructor invokes the DoubleArray copy constructor to handle the DoubleArray part of the data.

Q1: What happens if you don't invoke the DoubleArray copy constructor?
A1: It will call the DoubleArray default constructor. (shallow copy)

 $<sup>^2 \ \</sup>underline{https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/order-constructor-destructor-call-c/}$ 

- **Q2:** Note that the member initializer list passes a DoubleArrayID reference to a DoubleArray copy constructor. Is it ok?
- **A2:** Yes, since upcasting with reference or pointer is always ok. In other words, DoubleArrayID is-a DoubleArray.

#### Derived-class's Copy Assignment Operator

```
DoubleArrayID& DoubleArrayID::operator = (const DoubleArrayID&
rhs) {
   if (this == &rhs)
        return *this;
   this->DoubleArray::operator=(rhs); // copy base portion
   if (randID != nullptr)
        delete[] randID;
   randID = new int[array_size];
   for (unsigned index = 0; index != array_size; ++index)
        randID[index] = rhs.randID[index];
   return *this;
}
```

Q: Instead of DoubleArray::operator=(rhs), can you do \*this = rhs?

A: No, the compiler will use DoubleArrayID::operator=(rhs) and create a recursive call (infinite loop!).

Let us now put together the DoubleArrayID implementation:

## DoubleArrayID.cpp

```
#include "DoubleArrayID.h"
#include <iostream>
#include <ctime> // random seed
#include <cstdlib> //random pick
using namespace std;

DoubleArrayID::DoubleArrayID(unsigned in_size, double val) :
DoubleArray(in_size, val) {
   randID = new int[array_size];
   srand(time(0)); // random seed
   for (unsigned index = 0; index != array_size; ++index)
```

```
randID[index] = rand()%100;
}
DoubleArrayID::DoubleArrayID(const DoubleArrayID& rhs) :
                                                DoubleArray(rhs) {
   randID = new int[array size];
   for (unsigned index = 0; index != array size; ++index)
       randID[index] = rhs.randID[index];
}
DoubleArrayID& DoubleArrayID::operator = (const DoubleArrayID&
rhs) {
   if (this == &rhs)
       return *this;
   DoubleArray::operator=(rhs); // copy base portion
   if (randID != nullptr)
      delete[] randID;
   randID = new int[array size];
   for (unsigned index = 0; index != array_size; ++index)
       randID[index] = rhs.randID[index];
   return *this;
}
void DoubleArrayID::print(ostream& os) const {
   DoubleArray::print(os);
   os << ":: {";
   if(array size > 0){
       for (unsigned index = 0; index < array size - 1; ++index) {</pre>
          os << randID[index] << ", ";</pre>
       os << randID[array size - 1] << "}" << endl;
   } else os << " }" << endl;</pre>
}
DoubleArrayID::~DoubleArrayID() {
   if (randID != nullptr) {
      delete[] randID;
      cout << "\tdelete[] in subclass destructor" << endl;</pre>
   }
```

}

And we can test the derived-class through the following client code:

#### <u>TestDoubleArrayID.cpp</u>

```
#include "DoubleArray.h"
#include "DoubleArrayID.h"
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main() {
    DoubleArrayID dar0;
    DoubleArrayID dar1(3, 0.1);
    DoubleArrayID dar2(6, 0.5);
    DoubleArrayID dar3 = new DoubleArrayID(dar1);
    DoubleArrayID dar4;
    dar4 = dar2;

    cout << dar0 << dar1 << dar2 << *dar3 << dar4;
    delete dar3;
    return 0;
}</pre>
```

# **Move Constructor and the Move Assignment Operator**

For the move constructor and the move assignment operators of the derived-class, the following are what to pay attention to<sup>3</sup>:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Guidelines for copy and move <a href="https://www.modernescpp.com/index.php/c-core-guidelines-copy-and-move-ph/">https://www.modernescpp.com/index.php/c-core-guidelines-copy-and-move-ph/</a>

#### **Object-Oriented Programming Language**

05/19/2022

- Make move assignment non-virtual, take the parameter by &&, and return by non-const&
- A move operation should move and leave its source in a valid state
- Make move assignment safe for self-assignment

#### The std::move() function

The std::move function should be used when implementing the move constructor to move the information in base classes or composed objects to their new destination object<sup>4</sup>. The static\_cast is also utilized to convert derived class to based class<sup>5</sup>.

## DoubleArray.h

```
class DoubleArray {
public:
    // ...
    DoubleArray(DoubleArray && source); // move constructor
    DoubleArray& operator=(DoubleArray && source);
    // ...
};
```

## DoubleArray.cpp

```
// Move Constructor
DoubleArray::DoubleArray(DoubleArray && source)
    : raw_data( source.raw_data ) {
    cout << "Base-Class Move Constructor" << endl;
    source.raw_data = nullptr;
}

// Move Assignment Operator
DoubleArray& DoubleArray::operator=(DoubleArray && source) {
    if(this != &source) {
        delete[] this->raw_data;
        this->raw_data = source.raw_data;
        source.raw_data = nullptr;
    }
    cout << "Base-class Move Assignment" << endl;</pre>
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>rules</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Move constructor and move assignment operator:

http://www.icce.rug.nl/documents/cplusplus/cplusplus13.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Static\_cast https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/static\_cast-in-c-type-casting-operators/#:~:text=Static%20Cast%3A%20This%20is%20the,For%20e.g.

```
return *this;
}
```

### DoubleArrayID.h

```
class DoubleArrayID : public DoubleArray{
public:
    // ...
    DoubleArrayID(DoubleArrayID && source);
    DoubleArrayID& operator=(DoubleArrayID && source);
    // ...
};
```

### DoubleArrayID.cpp

```
DoubleArrayID::DoubleArrayID (DoubleArrayID && source)
   : DoubleArray(std::move(source)), randID(source.randID){
   cout << "Derived-Class Move Constructor" << endl;</pre>
   source.randID = nullptr;
}
// Move Assignment Operator
DoubleArrayID& DoubleArrayID::operator=(DoubleArrayID && source) {
   if(this != &source){
       // use base-class' move assignment
       static cast<DoubleArray &>(*this) = std::move(source);
       // (DoubleArray &) (*this) = std::move (source); // c-style
       delete[] this->randID;
       this->randID = source.randID;
       source.randID = nullptr;
   }
   cout << "Derived-class Move Assignment" << endl;</pre>
   return *this;
}
```

#### TestDoubleArrayIDMoveCon.cpp

```
#include "DoubleArray.h"
#include "DoubleArrayID.h"
#include <iostream>
#include <vector>
using namespace std;
```

```
int main(){
   vector<DoubleArrayID> vec;
   vec.reserve(2); // reserve space for 2

   // Inserting Object of Move Class
   cout << "push_back to vec:" << endl;
   vec.push_back(DoubleArrayID(3, 0.1));
   return 0;
}</pre>
```

#### <u>TestDoubleArrayIDMoveAss.cpp</u>

```
#include "DoubleArray.h"
#include "DoubleArrayID.h"
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

DoubleArrayID create() {
   return DoubleArrayID(3, 0.5);
}

int main() {
   DoubleArrayID m;
   cout << "before call to create()" << endl;
   m = create();
   cout << "before returning from main" << endl;
   return 0;
}</pre>
```

```
before call to create()
Base-class Move Assignment
Derived-class Move Assignment
before returning from main
delete[] in subclass destructor
delete[] in destructor!
```

## **Summary**

When both the base-class and the derived-class allocate dynamic memory, <u>the derived-class</u> <u>destructor</u>, <u>copy/move constructor</u>, <u>and copy/move assignment operator all must use their base-class counterparts to handle the base-class component.</u>

- For a virtual destructor, it is done automatically.
- For a copy constructor, it is accomplished by <u>invoking the base-class' copy constructor in</u> the member initialization list, or else the default constructor is invoked automatically.
- For the copy assignment operator, it is accomplished by <u>using the scope-resolution operator</u> in an explicit call of the base-class' copy assignment operator.
- For the move constructor and move assignment operator, they are similar to the copy. However, there is the std::move function and static cast that is required.

#### The Need for Virtual Destructor for Base-class

Consider the following client code with some print-out message when we invoke the destructors:

## <u>UseVirtualDestructor.cpp</u>

```
#include "DoubleArray.h"
#include "DoubleArrayID.h"
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main() {
    DoubleArray* dar = new DoubleArrayID(6, 0.4);
    cout << *dar;
    delete dar;
    return 0;
}</pre>
```

#### Output:

```
array size: 6, {0.4, 0.4, 0.4, 0.4, 0.4, 0.4}
:: {78, 58, 42, 31, 62, 98}
delete[] in subclass destructor
delete[] in destructor!
```

The code uses delete to free the objects allocated by new illustrates why the base-class should have a virtual destructor.

## **Object-Oriented Programming Language**

05/19/2022

- If the destructors are not virtual, then just the destructor corresponding to the pointer type is called. This means that only the DoubleArray destructor would be called, even if the pointer pointed to a DoubleArrayID object.

```
array size: 6, {0.4, 0.4, 0.4, 0.4, 0.4, 0.4} :: {0, 30, 55, 12, 14, 40} delete[] in destructor!
```

- If the destructors are virtual, the destructor corresponding to the object type is called. So, if a pointer points to a DoubleArrayID object, the DoubleArrayID destructor is called. When a DoubleArrayID destructor finishes, it automatically calls the base-class destructor<sup>7</sup>.
- Thus, <u>virtual destructors</u> ensure that the correct sequence of destructor calls is followed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> You need to make base-class destructor virtual. https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/virtual-destructor/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Order of destructor chaining in C++ https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/order-constructor-destructor-call-c/