

Tut 3: Logistic Regression

Jan 2024

LR with numeric inputs $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$ only:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_p x_p))}$$

LR with a K -level ($K \geq 2$) categorical input / qualitative predictor X_i :

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(\beta_0 + \dots + \beta_i^{(2)} x_{i.\text{level}2} + \dots + \beta_i^{(K)} x_{i.\text{level}K} + \dots))}$$

where $x_{i.\text{level}k} = \begin{cases} 1, & x_i = \text{level } k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, k = 2, \dots, K.$

$$\begin{aligned} Odds &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = 1)}{\mathbb{P}(Y = 0)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = 1)}{1 - \mathbb{P}(Y = 1)} = \frac{\frac{\exp(\dots)}{\exp(\dots)+1}}{1 - \frac{\exp(\dots)}{\exp(\dots)+1}} \\ &= \frac{\exp(\dots)}{\exp(\dots) + 1 - \exp(\dots)} = \exp(\dots) = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_p x_p). \end{aligned}$$

Let $k = 2, \dots, K$. Odds Ratio for numeric value:

$$OR = \frac{Odds(Y = 1|X_i = b)}{Odds(Y = 1|X_i = a)} = \frac{\exp(\dots + \beta_i \cdot b + \dots)}{\exp(\dots + \beta_i \cdot a + \dots)} = \exp(\beta_i(b - a)).$$

Odds Ratio for “one-hot-encoded” categorical value:

$$OR = \frac{Odds(Y = 1|x_{i.\text{level}k} = 1)}{Odds(Y = 1|x_{i.\text{level}k} = 0)} = \frac{\exp(\dots + \beta_i^{(k)} \cdot 1 + \dots)}{\exp(\dots + \beta_i^{(k)} \cdot 0 + \dots)} = \exp(\beta_i^{(k)}).$$

- (a) On average, what fraction of people with an odds of 0.37 of defaulting on their credit card payment will default? [Answer: 27%]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Solution. } \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X)}{1 - \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X)} &= 0.37 \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X) = \frac{0.37}{1 + 0.37} = 0.270073 \\ \therefore \text{fraction/probability} &= 27\% \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Suppose that an individual has a 16% chance of defaulting on her credit card payment. What are the odds that she will default? [Answer: 19%]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Solution. odds} &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X)}{1 - \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X)} = \frac{0.16}{1 - 0.16} = 0.190048 \\ \therefore \text{odds} &= 19\%. \end{aligned}$$

- The following table shows the results from logistic regression for ISLR **Weekly** dataset, which contains weekly returns of stock market (1 for up; 0 for down), based on predictors Lag1 until Lag5 and Volume.

	Coefficient	Std. error	Z-statistic	P-value
Intercept	0.2669	0.0859	3.11	0.0019
Lag1	-0.0413	0.0264	-1.56	0.1181
Lag2	0.0584	0.0269	2.18	0.0296
Lag3	-0.0161	0.0267	-0.60	0.5469
Lag4	-0.0278	0.0265	-1.05	0.2937
Lag5	-0.0145	0.0264	-0.55	0.5833
Volume	-0.0227	0.0369	-0.62	0.5377

- (a) Discuss how each predictor affects the weekly returns of stock market.

Solution. The predictors **Lag1**, **Lag3**, **Lag4**, **Lag5** and volume (with a **negative** coefficient, β_i) have a negative coefficients. When either one increases, the probability for weekly returns of stock market to increase is **lower**.

Lag2 has a **positive** coefficient of 0.0584. Hence, when **Lag2** increases, the probability for weekly returns of stock market to increase is **higher**.

Mathematical derivation for the case $\beta_i < 0$: Let C be a constant, b and a be the values of the predictor X_i (one of the **Lag1** to volume) and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \boxed{b > a} &\Rightarrow \beta_i b < \beta_i a \\
 &\Rightarrow -\beta_i b > -\beta_i a \\
 &\Rightarrow -\beta_i b + C > -\beta_i a + C \\
 &\Rightarrow \exp(-\beta_i b + C) > \exp(-\beta_i a + C) \\
 &\Rightarrow 1 + \exp(-\beta_i b + C) > 1 + \exp(-\beta_i a + C) \\
 &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\beta_i b + C)} < \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\beta_i a + C)} \\
 &\Rightarrow \boxed{P(Y = 1|X_i = b) < P(Y = 1|X_i = a)}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $P(Y = 1|X_i = x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(\beta_i x + \text{other fixed values}))}$.

□

- (b) With significance level of 5%, write a reduced model for predicting the returns.

Solution. Only **Lag2** is significant (p -value = 0.0296 smaller than $\alpha = 0.05$). The model is

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X) = \frac{e^{0.2669 + 0.0584(\text{Lag2})}}{1 + e^{0.2669 + 0.0584(\text{Lag2})}}.$$

□

3. Suppose we collect data for a group of students in a class with variables X_1 = hours studied, X_2 = previous GPA, Y = receive an A (1 for yes). We fit a logistic regression and produce estimated coefficient, $\hat{\beta}_0 = -6$, $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.05$ and $\hat{\beta}_2 = 1$.

- (a) Estimate the probability that a student who studied for 40 hours with previous GPA of 3.5 gets an A in the class. [Answer: 0.3775]

Solution. For $X = (40, 3.5)$,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X) = \frac{e^{-6 + 0.05X_1 + X_2}}{1 + e^{-6 + 0.05X_1 + X_2}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(-6 + 0.05(40) + 3.5)}} = 0.3775.$$

□

- (b) How many hours would the student in (a) need to study to have 50% chance of getting an A in the class? [Answer: 50]

Solution.

$$0.5 = \frac{e^{-6 + 0.05X_1 + 3.5}}{1 + e^{-6 + 0.05X_1 + 3.5}} \Rightarrow X_1 = 50 \text{ hours}$$

□

4. Suppose that the **Default** dataset is depending on four predictors, **Balance**, **Income**, **Student** and **City**. The results from logistic regression is shown below.

	Coefficient	Std. error	Z-statistic	P-value
Intercept	-10.8690	0.4923	-22.08	< 0.0001
Balance	0.0057	0.0002	24.74	< 0.0001
Income	0.0030	0.0082	0.37	0.7115
Student [Yes]	-0.6468	0.2362	-2.74	0.0062
City_B	0.1274	0.0136	10.52	0.0003
City_C	0.0331	0.0087	5.64	0.0011

- (a) Compare the odds and probability of default between a customer with balance 10,000 and 5,000.

Solution.

$$\frac{e^{0.0057(10000)}}{e^{0.0057(5000)}} = 2.3845 \times 10^{12}.$$

The odds of default for a customer with balance 10,000 is 2.3845×10^{12} times of the odds of default for a customer with balance 5,000. Hence, the probability of default for the customer with balance 10,000 will be higher. \square

- (b) Compare the odds and probability of default between a student and a non-student.

Solution.

$$e^{-0.6468} = 0.5237$$

The odds of default for a student is 0.5237 times of the odds of default for a non-student. Hence, the probability of default for a student will be lower. \square

- (c) Compare the odds and probability of default among different cities. [Hint: To “compare” two odds, the best way is to find the odds ratio.]

Solution. For City B vs City A:

$$e^{0.1274} = 1.1359$$

The odds of default for City B is 1.1359 times of the odds of default for City A. Hence, the probability of default for City B will be higher.

For City C vs City A:

$$e^{0.0331} = 1.0337$$

The odds of default for City C is 1.0337 times of the odds of default for City A. Hence, the probability of default for City C will be higher.

For City B vs City C:

$$\frac{e^{0.1274}}{e^{0.0331}} = 1.0989$$

The odds of default for City B is 1.0989 times of the odds of default for City C. Hence, the probability of default for City B will be higher.

Comparing all cities, the probability of underprice:

$$\text{City A} < \text{City C} < \text{City B}$$

\square

5. (Final Exam Jan 2023, Q2) In a study of the Australian New South Wales Electricity Market, prices are not fixed and are affected by demand and supply of the market. They are set every five minutes. Suppose the collected data D has three attributes **day**, **period**, **transfer** and one output **class** described below:

- $X_1 = \text{day}$: day of the week, 1–7;
- $X_2 = \text{period}$: time of the measurement, 1–48, in half hour intervals over 24 hours. It is normalised to between 0 and 1;
- $X_3 = \text{transfer}$: the scheduled electricity transfer between two Australian states, normalised between 0 and 1; and

- $Y = \text{class}$: the change of the price (UP=1 or DOWN=0).

- (a) When a logistic regression model is trained with the data, the analysis result below is generated.

```
Call:
glm(formula = class ~ day + period + transfer,
     family = binomial, data = d.f[idx, ])

Deviance Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-1.5100  -1.0588  -0.8236   1.2279   1.8320

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept)  0.207724    0.053076   3.914 9.09e-05 ***
day          -0.054251    0.005844  -9.284 < 2e-16 ***
period       0.956151    0.039873  23.980 < 2e-16 ***
transfer     -1.569139    0.077992 -20.119 < 2e-16 ***
---
Signif:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Null deviance: 43258  on 31716  degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 42079  on 31713  degrees of freedom
AIC: 42087
```

- i. Write down the mathematical expression of the logistic regression model for the three attributes and the output **class**. (4 marks)

Solution. The mathematical expression of the logistic regression model is

$$P(Y = 1|X_1, X_2, X_3) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(0.20772 - 0.05425X_1 + 0.95615X_2 - 1.56914X_3))}$$

[4 marks]

Average: 3.32 / 4 marks in Jan 2023; 10% below 2 marks. □

- ii. Calculate the conditional probability of UP when the day is 2, the period is 0.042553 and the transfer is 0.414912 based on the logistic regression model. (6 marks)

Solution. First, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^T \mathbf{x} &= 0.207724 - 0.054251 \times 2 + 0.956151 \times 0.042553 \\ &\quad - 1.569139 \times 0.414912 = -0.5111455 \end{aligned}$$

[4 marks]

The probability of UP is

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y = 1|X_1 = 2, X_2 = 0.042553, X_3 = 0.414912) \\ = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(0.5111455)} = 0.374925 \end{aligned}$$

[2 marks]

Average: 5.60 / 6 marks in Jan 2023; 3% below 3 marks. □

- iii. Calculate the odds ratio for the electricity price going UP to the price going DOWN given the day 2 is changed to day 4. (4 marks)

Solution.

$$\frac{\text{odds}(\text{day} = 4)}{\text{odds}(\text{day} = 2)} = \exp(-0.054251 * (4 - 2)) = \exp(-0.108502) = 0.8971771$$

[4 marks]

Average: 2.32 / 4 marks in Jan 2023; 38% below 2 marks. □