



# What is the name of an algebraic structure which is both a tensor space and a module.

Asked yesterday   Active yesterday   Viewed 50 times



0



I am studying a set of high-dimensional arrays of complex numbers, which is both a tensor space (defined with the notion of addition and complex scalar product) and a module (defined with the same notion of addition and the notion of the product with a ring element). What is the formal name of this kind of structure? Is there some literature that discusses this structure in more detail?

I think I can even embed a subset of this structure in it. The subset has a topology and is locally homeomorphic to a small open set of the unnamed structure, and has properties analogous to a canonical manifold. Since the unnamed structure is a module, can I call the constrained set a generalized manifold? Since the unnamed structure is also a vector space (i.e., a tensor space), the subset is also a canonical manifold.

What is the name of this topological subset? The topological subset is established over a new commutative algebra called T-Algebra. The commutative t-algebra is both a finite-dimensional commutative ring and a vector space. I leave the URL of the t-algebra as follows if somebody wants to know more about the algebra.

<https://github.com/liaoliang2020/talgebra>

Thanks for any help.

abstract-algebra

terminology

manifolds

Share   Cite   Edit   Rollback   Delete

Flag

edited yesterday



J. W. Tanner

54.3k

3

31

69

asked yesterday



Liang Liao

31

3



I'm confused why one would distinguish between "with a complex scalar product" and "a  $\mathbb{C}$  module." Is your ring a  $\mathbb{C}$  algebra, so that this thing is just a module over a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra? – [rschwieb](#) 23 hours ago



"tensor space" doesn't look like a proper algebraic structure to me, just a particular shorthand for a particular construction of a vector space based on a vector space  $V$ . Algebraically it looks like it is simply a vector space. – [rschwieb](#) 23 hours ago

The above-mentioned module  $G$  over  $C$  is equipped with a scalar product, then is a vector space (or the so-called tensor space in my case). The module  $G$  over the ring  $C$  can be conveniently considered as a set of fixed-sized matrices with entries from  $C$ . On the other hand, my ring  $C$  is also a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra because  $C$  is equipped with a product with complex numbers, addition, and multiplication. A module  $G$  over the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $C$  is also a complex vector space. Due to this versatility of  $G$ , I prefer to think the  $C$ -module  $G$  might deserve a unique name. – [Liang Liao](#) 13 hours ago

One can say that the "tensor space" is a vector space. However, the vector space is equipped with the notion of multi-mode multiplication with a proper complex matrix. Therefore, I prefer to call this vector space a tensor space. The term "tensor space" is not the core issue of my question. It's okay to

reduce the term to "vector space" but my question still remains the same. – [Liang Liao](#) 13 hours ago 

A vector space over complex numbers is also a module over the complex numbers since the notion of module generalizes the notion of vector space. The above-mentioned structure  $G$  is a module over a complex algebra  $C$  and at the same time a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The structure  $G$  can not be characterized by the beginning sentence of this paragraph. I hope to make this issue clearer. –


[Liang Liao](#) 13 hours ago 

▲ I've never heard the terms "multi mode multiplication" or "proper matrix" so I can't really follow your description. Does the  $\mathbb{C}$  scalar operation match that of the image of  $\mathbb{C}$  in the algebra? – [rschwieb](#) 13 hours ago

1 ▲ I'm trying to eliminate the possibility it is just a module, or at worst maybe a bimodule. – [rschwieb](#) 13 hours ago

Let's forget the terms multi-mode multiplications, which is something talked about by engineers. The term "tensor" is not the issue. Replace "tensor" with "vector" if it makes things clearer. I am not sure the meaning of "the  $\mathbb{C}$  scalar operation match the image of  $\mathbb{C}$  is the algebra". However, the algebra  $C$  is closed with the  $\mathbb{C}$  scalar multiplication, since  $C$  is a complex algebra and,  $C \neq \mathbb{C}$ . –

[Liang Liao](#) 12 hours ago 

▲ From what you said above,  $C$  is isomorphic to  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  for some  $n$ . You say  $G$  is a  $C$  module. But  $C$  contains a copy of  $\mathbb{C}$  (constant diagonal matrices). I'm asking if your "complex scalar product on  $G$ " is no different than taking the constant diagonal matrix from  $C$  and using the module action to multiply. – [rschwieb](#) 1 hour ago 

The multiplication on  $C$  is defined via circular convolution rather than the usual matrix multiplication. To make things clearer via a concrete example, please see another post on this issue. [math.stackexchange.com/questions/4022580/...](https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/4022580/...) It is different than taking the constant diagonal matrix from  $C$  and using the module action to multiply. By definition of my algebra  $C$ , the constant diagonal matrix has no particular meaning to  $C$ . The algebra  $C$  has no connection to  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . –

[Liang Liao](#) 28 mins ago 

The identity of  $C$  is not in the form of a const diagonal matrix. The identity is in the form of a matrix (more accurately order- $n$  array) whose only non-zero entity is the inception scalar entry, with other entries zeros. I call an array in this form an inception array. It is no different than taking a constant inception array from  $C$  and using the module action to multiply. I have discussed the isomorphism of  $C$  in equation (2.4) of my paper with URL [arxiv.org/pdf/2011.00307.pdf](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2011.00307.pdf) – [Liang Liao](#) 1 min ago [Edit](#)