Tutorial 1 Basic C and Control Flow - Q1

State the data type of each of the following:

a. **'1'**

g. **1870943465324L**

b. **23**

h. **1.234F**

c. **0.0**

i. **-564**

d. '\040'

j. **0177**

e. **0x92**

<. **0XfF4**

f. '\a'

. 0xaaBB76L

Q1: Suggested Answer

a. **'1'** : character

b. 23 : decimal integer

c. **0.0** : floating-point

d. '\040' : ASCII code in octal for the space, i.e. ' '

e. **0x92** : hexadecimal integer

f. '\a' : character (output: alarm escape sequence)

g. **1870943465324L** : decimal long integer

h. **1.234F** : floating-point

i. **-564** : negative decimal integer

j. **0177** : octal integer, starts with '0'

k. **OXfF4** : hexadecimal integer

I. **OxaaBB76L** : hexadecimal long integer

Q1: Suggested Answer

- 1. About 1(d) '\040': why and how should one use such a character constant?
- 2. Not all ASCII characters (see an ASCII table) can be keyed in from the keyboard like 'A', 'B', ..., 'Z', 'a', 'b', ..., 'z', '0', '1', '2', ..., '9', '!', '@', ..., For example, the FF, Form Feed character (see an ASCII table) is an invisible control character.
- 3. Fortunately, the escape sequence of '\ooo', where o represents one octal digit, can be used to assign the FF character to a character variable like

```
char ch
ch = '\014';
```

Other ways in doing the same assignment are

```
ch = '\xC'; /* using hexadecimal character */
ch = 12; /* using decimal integer */
ch = 014; /* using octal integer */
ch = 0xC; /* using hexadecimal integer */
```

ASCII Table

	0	1 2	2 3	3 4	4 .	5	6	7 8	8	9
0	nul	soh	stx	etx	eot	enq	ack	bel	bs	ht
1	lf	vt	ff	cr	so	si	dle	dcl	dc2	dc3
2	dc4	nak	syn	etb	can	em	sub	esc	fs	gs
3	rs	us	sp	!	**	#	\$	୧୦	&	7
4	()	*	+	,	_		/	0	1
5	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	:	;
6	<	=	>	?	@	А	В	С	D	E
7	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0
8	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	V	M	Х	Y
9	Z	[\]	^	_	'	а	b	С
10	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	1	m
11	n	0	р	đ	r	S	t	u	V	W
12	Х	У	Z	{		}	~	del		

- (a) What will the following program output? (refer to an ASCII table)
- (b) What will happen if the format specifier of the second printf is changed to **%d**?
- (c) What will be the result if **0x** in the third printf is removed?
- (d) What if the first **0** in the fourth printf is deleted?

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
   printf("%c", 'A');
   printf("%c", 65);
   printf("%c", 0x41);
   printf("%c", 0101);
   return 0;
```

Q2: Suggested Answer

(a) The output will be: AAAA

The constants in all the printf are the various forms of the alphabet 'A' (the character itself, the decimal form, the hexadecimal & the octal forms of the ASCII code for 'A' respectively.)

- (b) If the format specifier of the second printf is changed to %d, the output will be: A 65 A A
- (c) if **0x** in the third printf is removed, the '**)'** will be printed instead, because 41 (decimal) is the ASCII code for the left parenthesis.
- (d) If the **0** of the fourth printf is deleted, the value of 101 will be interpreted as the decimal ASCII code and it is the ASCII code for **e**.

Assume x and y are integer variables. What will happen if one of the following statements is executed?

```
(a) scanf("%d %d", &x, &y);
```

- (b) scanf("%d %d", x, y);
- (c) scanf("%d/%d", &x, &y);

Q3: Suggested Answer

(a) The program will wait for the input of two integers separated by one space (or one **white space**, i.e. the space, tab or newline character), e.g. 23 45.

(b) This is an errorous statement by omitting the '&', i.e. the address operator. The program will terminate abnormally.

(c) The program will wait for the input of two integers separated by one '/', e.g. 23/45.

The output of the following code is not zero. Why?

```
{ ......
  double A = 373737.0;
  double B;

B = A * A * A + 0.37/A - A * A * A - 0.37/A;
  printf(" The value of B is %f.\n", B);
}
```

Q4: Suggested Answer

- B is assigned a value which is mathematically zero. But on most machines, this value will not be zero, showing that even the double precision is not sufficient.
- When a very large number (A * A * A) is added to a very small number (0.37/A), the result is an approximation of the real sum.
 In this case the approximation is the very large number that we started with.
- Thus the subtraction gets the result down to zero, and the final value assigned to B is just -0.37/A. This effect is called roundoff error.
- Beware, after thousands of successive operations, the <u>total</u> roundoff error can be ridiculously high if care is not taken.
- This limitation is present in all programming languages, not just C.

Given the following declarations and initial assignments:

evaluate the following expressions independently, i.e. all variables start with the same set of initial values. Show any conversions which take place and the type of result.

(b)
$$m/j*j$$

(c)
$$(f + 10) * 20$$

(g)
$$m = n = --j;$$

$$(j) j = i + f$$

Q5: Suggested Answer

- (a) m * j / j = 5 * 2 / 2 = 5 (integer)
- (b) m/j*j=5/2*2=(5/2)*2=2*2=4 (integer)
- (c) (f + 10) * 20 = (1.2 + 10.0) * 20 = 11.2 * 20.0 = 224.0 (float)
- (d) (i++) * n = 2 * 5 = 10 (integer); after this i takes value of 3
- (e) same as (d)
- (f) -12L*(g-f) = -12L*(3.4-1.2) = -12.*2.2 = -26.4 (float)
- (g) m = n = --j ==> m = (n = (--j)) ==> m = (n = 1) ==> n = 1; j is 1 too
- (h) (int) g * 10 = 3 * 10 = 30 (integer)
- (i) (int) (g * 10) = (int) (3.4 * 10.) = (int) 34.0 = 34 (integer)
- (j) j = i + f: (float) i + f = 2.0 + 1.3 = 3.2 => j = (int) 3.2 = 3

Which of the following are acceptable case constant expressions? Assume the convention that upper case is used for defining a constant, e.g.

```
#define SVALUE 10
```

and other identifiers are variables.

(a) case 76: (b) case number*2:

(c) case SVALUE*2: (d) case 80.1:

Q6: Suggested Answer

(a) valid because 76 is an integer constant.

(b) invalid because number is a variable.

(c) valid if SVALUE is an integer constant.

(d) invalid because it is not an integer.

In some computer games it is necessary to introduce a delay to slow the computer down. Assume that you are running the following program on a computer which uses 16 bits to represent an integer. How can the delay be (a) shortened, (b) made a thousand times longer, (c) made variable after compilation?

```
#include <stdio.h>
#define DLENGTH 32000
int main() {
   int count;
   for (count = -DLENGTH; count <= DLENGTH; count++)
       ; /* this is a NULL statement which does nothing */
```

Q7: Suggested Answer

(a) change the constant definition in #define to a lower value;

(b) add an outer for loop:

```
for (t = 1; t <= 1000; t++)

for (count = -DLENGTH; ....)
;
```

(c) instead of using the constant DLENGTH, use a variable which will hold a user specified value.

Are the following code segments the same?

- a) if $(x != 0 \&\& 2/x != 1) \{ \}$
- b) if $(2/x != 1 \&\& x != 0) \{ \}$

Q8: Suggested Answer

- No. When x is zero, in (a) the condition evaluates to false (0) while in (b) it causes an illegal division by zero.
- This is called short circuit behavior of logical operators that skips evaluating parts of a (if/while/...) condition when able. In case of a logical operation on two operands, the first operand is evaluated (to true or false) and if there is a verdict (i.e. first operand is false when using &&, first operand is true when using ||), the second operand is not evaluated.

Write a section of C program to interchange the values of two integer variables. Is there a way of solving this problem without using a third variable?

Q9: Suggested Answer

Assume the declaration:

```
int first, second, temp;
```

The three assignment statements needed are:

```
temp = first;
first = second;
second = temp;
```

Alternatively, if we want to use only two variables:

```
first -= second;
second += first;
first = second - first;
```