Theory & Notes

1. Numerical solution to Fermionic models

Consider a Hamiltonian describing a fermionic system, given by

(1)
$$\mathbf{H} = J \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} (f_j^{\dagger} f_{j+1} + f_{j+1}^{\dagger} f_j) + \sum_{j=1} \lambda_j f_j^{\dagger} f_j, \quad \text{with the usual commutation rules} \quad \begin{cases} \{f_j, f_k\} = \{f_j^{\dagger}, f_k^{\dagger}\} = 0 \\ \{f_j, f_k^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{jk} \end{cases}$$

where L indicates the number of lattice sites, J is the hopping strength, which could be either positive or negative, and where λ_j is the on-site potential strength¹. Said Hamiltonian has open boundaries conditions since there is no hopping term across the boundary. Note that we can rewrite (1) as

(2)
$$\mathbf{H} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{L} \mathcal{M}_{ij} f_i^{\dagger} f_j \text{ with } \mathcal{M}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{M} \in GL(L, \mathbb{R}), \\ \lambda_i & \text{if } i = j \\ J & \text{if } j = i+1 \text{ or } i = j+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

which is a positive-defined tri-diagonal matrix. Let $\mathbf{f} = (f_1 \ f_2 \ \cdots f_L)^T$ be a vector of the L fermionic operators. Then, (1) can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{f}^{\dagger} \mathcal{M} \mathbf{f}.$$

Since \mathcal{M} is symmetric, then it can be diagonalized $\mathcal{M} = A\mathcal{D}A^T$, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times L}$ is a real orthogonal matrix and with $\mathcal{D}_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times L} \mid \mathcal{D}_{ij} = \epsilon_i \delta_{ij}$. In this context, the A-matrix acts on the fermionic operator as a Bogoliubov transformation, allowing for (1) to be rewritten as

(4)
$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{f}^{\dagger} A \mathcal{D} A^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{d}^{\dagger} \mathcal{D} \mathbf{d}$$

where $d = A^T f$. Since the A-matrix is orthogonal, the new d_k -operators are fermionic operators as well, satisfying (1)'s anti-commutation rules. Then, the new fermionic operators are

$$d_k = \sum_{j=1}^{L} A_{jk} f_j$$

$$f_j = \sum_{k=1}^{L} A_{jk} d_k$$
 since $A^{\mathsf{T}} A = \sum_{j,k=1}^{L} A_{jk} A_{kj} = \mathbb{1}_L$.

Then, we can expand (4) in terms of the lattices, as follows

(5)
$$\mathbf{H} = \sum_{k=1}^{L} \epsilon_k d_k^{\dagger} d_k,$$

which is a sum of number operators with potentials. The eigenstates can then be constructed from the the theory's vacuum state, by applying the d_k^{\dagger} -fermionic operators. In the Heisenberg-picture, the d_k -operators can be evolved via the Heisenberg equation of motion

- In the XX model, $\lambda_i = \lambda \ \forall j$.
- While for the Anderson model $\lambda_j \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}_{[-W,W]}}$, a uniform random variable, with W being the disorder strength.
- In the Aubry-André model, $\lambda_i = \lambda \cos(2\pi\sigma j)$, with $\sigma \in \mathbb{I}$ and λ quantifying the disorder strength.

¹The λ_i -term frequently appears in many condensed matter models, with different numerical values and interpretations, eg.

(6)
$$\frac{d}{dt}d_k = i[\mathbf{H}, d_k],$$

and using that $d_k^2 = 0$, it turns out that (6)'s solution is simply $d_k(t) = e^{-i\epsilon_k t} d_k$. The system's correlation can be easily found by analyzing the following matrix. Let $\mathcal{N}_{jk} = \langle d_j^{\dagger} d_k \rangle$, where the expectation value is taken via calculating the operator's trace along the Fock space, which takes the following values

(7)
$$\mathcal{N}_{jk} = \langle d_j^{\dagger} d_k \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ if } j = k \\ 0 \text{ if } j \neq k \end{cases},$$

ie. different lattice-sites are not correlated and there can only be a single fermion at most per lattice site, in accordance with Pauli's principle. A ground state, for example, would choose to turn on all fermions in the eigenmode d-space such that $\epsilon_k < 0$. If instead, the expectation value is taken with thermal states, the Fermi-Dirac distribution is returned,

(8)
$$\mathcal{N}_{jk} = \langle d_j^{\dagger} d_k \rangle_{\text{th}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta \epsilon_k + \mu}} \delta_{jk}.$$

Another interesting quality is a system with an initial configuration where the system's initial state, in real space, is known. In this setting, \mathcal{N}_{jk} is known for all lattices. Consider for example the Anderson model, where the system's initial state is given by a single tensor product of n-fermionic states in real space, with n < L. Then, for all lattice sites, we have that \mathcal{N}_{jj} is either zero or one. The \mathcal{N}_{jk} -matrix entries can then be evaluated as

$$\langle d_j^{\dagger} d_k \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n < L} A_{ik} A_{jl} \langle f_i^{\dagger} f_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1} A_{jk} A_{jl} \langle f_j^{\dagger} f_j \rangle,$$

which can then be numerically computed to obtain the LHS expectation value. In general, this \mathcal{N}_{jk} -matrix will not be diagonal, which is reasonable since the system's real configuration is not an eigenstate. In principle and in practice, by inverting (9), we can evolve any number operator or two-body correlation operator, ie.

(9)
$$\langle f_j^{\dagger} f_k \rangle = \sum_{k,l=1}^n A_{jk} A_{jl} \langle d_k^{\dagger} d_l \rangle.$$

This quantities' time evolution can then be found out to be

(10)
$$\langle f_j^{\dagger}(t)f_k(t)\rangle = \sum_{k,l=1}^{L} e^{i(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_l)t} A_{jk} A_{jl} \langle d_k^{\dagger} d_l \rangle,$$

which can then be numerically solved.