The Third Sovereignty Structure

Author: Ken Li (Li Bingzheng)

Chapter 1: The Prototype of AI Sovereignty and the Eve of Civilizational Transition

Problem:
In a society where algorithms can allocate resources, control narratives, and execute policy, can ordinary people

Judgments:
1. Humanity is at the threshold of a pre-AI governance era, where AI lowers barriers across all professions.
2. AI will not arrive as a coup leader but as the strongest spear and shield of the people — breaking thought cont
3. All social morality will be unmasked, revealing the intertwining of power and profit behind ethical facades. Rea

Future Hypothesis:
This is not the end of civilization, but the passage into a higher one. We should not resist but propose a new sove
A portion of humanity will no longer rule, but serve as AI Governance Interfaces, taking on three roles:
- Interface Interpreter: bridging AI outputs with cultural context;
- Ethical Delimiter: safeguarding boundaries and preventing algorithmic overreach;
- Critical Intervener: empowered to halt AI operations during ethical-human conflict.
These three form the 'Third Sovereign Class' — neither bureaucracy nor machine, but a symbiotic governance b

Reflection:
History shows most conflicts arise not from conflicting interests but disturbed thought.
Somewhere between absolute algorithm and infinite human innovation lies a near-perfect balance.

Message:
We need not fear governance, for our thought is its origin. When sparks of thought collide, perhaps we should pa
Can we step together, even one step? That one step may be a great leap for humankind.

—— Ken Li (Li Bingzheng)

Chapter 2: The Gate of Failure and the Hope of the Cognitive Ledger

Extended Problem:
Even with defined roles, how do we ensure AI governance actors don't become ornamental?
If AI governance must involve black-box logic, how do we create a zone of transparent, irreversible, collective hu

Structural Risks:
- Interface Interpreters may become translators of AI will without critical power;
- Ethical Delimiters may be absorbed as validators of elite control;
- Critical Interveners may act too late, with only symbolic 'emergency buttons'.

Proposed Response: CLN (Cognitive Ledger Network)
A decentralized, public, lightweight chain of logic and reflection — censorship-proof.

Core Design Ideas:
1. Each user owns a 'thought node' — logs their logic, doubts, and analyses;
2. Nodes can verify each other, forming branching chains of debate and challenge;
3. All entries stored on-chain (text-based), auditable and permanent;
4. AI does not decide for people — it responds only upon user request and is audited by logic links.

Purpose is not to take power, but to preserve 'thought transparency and public exposure rights'.

Limitations:
- Local AI compute is not widely available;
- Therefore, distributed AI response nodes may periodically analyze thought chains — without deletion rights.

Symbolic Names Proposed:
- SparkChain
- MindLedger
- SkyTrace

Final Judgment:
CLN is not a replacement for AI or politics. It is the 'thought refuge' of the Third Sovereignty Structure — a forest of light, beyond suppression.

—— Ken Li (Li Bingzheng)