

TRP vesting escrow

Table of contents

_
/ 4
A 7
- 1

•	1. Project Brie	f	3
	2. Finding Sev	verity breakdown	4
•	3. Summary o	f findings	5
4	4. Conclusion		5
!	5. Findings re _l	port	6
		Redundant argument	6
Informational		Gas optimization	6
	The function claim() doesn't return value claimed	6	
	No check if amount to be vested is bigger than O	7	
	Inline block.timestamp	7	
	8. Appendix C	. Tests	8

1. Project Brief



Title	Description
Client	Lido
Project name	TRP vesting escrow
Timeline	24-01-2023 - 27-01-2023
Initial commit	dfe7bde8911382525819O48b3beda524b2c3a3bf
Final commit	69dd13adcd9c5a88da8c134b2212O9ccdedO4121

Short Overview

Token reward program (TRP) escrow contracts should allow transparent on-chain distribution and vesting of the token rewards for the Lido DAO contributors.

Project Scope

The audit covered the following files:







2. Finding Severity breakdown

All vulnerabilities discovered during the audit are classified based on its potential severity and has the following classification:

Severity	Description
Critical	Bugs leading to assets theft, fund access locking, or any other loss funds to be transferred to any party.
High	Bugs that can trigger a contract failure. Further recovery is possible only by manual modification of the contract state or replacement.
Medium	Bugs that can break the intended contract logic or expose it to DoS attacks, but do not cause direct loss funds.
Informational	Bugs that do not have a significant immediate impact and could be easily fixed.

Based on the feedback received from the Customer regarding the list of findings discovered by the Contractor, they are assigned the following statuses:

Status	Description
Fixed	Recommended fixes have been made to the project code and no longer affect its security.
Acknowledged	The Customer is aware of the finding. Recommendations for the finding are planned to be resolved in the future.

3. Summary of findings



Severity	# of Findings
Critical	0
High	0
Medium	0
Informational	5

4. Conclusion



Commit with all fixes: 69dd13adcd9c5a88da8c134b221209ccded04121

No critical, high or medium severity issues were found.

5 informational severity issue were found, 4 out of 5 issues were fixed, 1 acknowledged.

Deployment

File name	Contract deployed on mainnet
VestingEscrow.sol	Ox484FDO4c598AO9536ODF89bF85AB34c37127AA39
VestingEscrowFactory.sol	OxDA1DF6442aFD2EC36aBEa91O29794B9b2156ADDO
VotingAdapter.sol	OxCFda8aBOAE5F4Fa335O6F9C5165OB89OE4871Cc1

Informational

Redundant argument

Acknowledged

Description

In all contracts in the function <u>recover_erc20</u> argument amount can be removed. The function can transfer all balances like in the function <u>recover_ether</u>.

Recommendation

Argument amount can be removed in the function recover_erc20, but this will add one additional external call to the token of function balance0f.

Client's comments

amount arg is crucial due to the existence of the "non-canonical" ERC2O tokens (https://github.com/d-xo/weird-erc2O). For some implementations of the ERC2O token.transfer(recipient, token.balanceOf(this)) might always fail. Nothing to fix or improve here.

Gas optimization

Fixed at c6de73

Description

There are some items in the <u>VestingEscrow</u> contract:

- 1. In the function <u>initialize</u>, there is a read of variable <u>self.token</u> after write
- 2. In the function recover_erc20 global variable self. token is read twice (here).

Recommendation

Here and here replace self. token with ERC20(token).

The function claim() doesn't return value claimed

Fixed at <u>def810</u>

Description

At the line contracts/VestingEscrow.vy#L179.

The function claim() transfers claimable amount of tokens to the beneficiary, but claimable can be smaller than amount parameter. If the recipient is a contract, it may be useful to return claimable value.

Recommendation

Consider returning claimable value in the function claim().

Description

In the function deploy_vesting_contract() at the line contracts/VestingEscrowFactory.vy#L89.

The function parameter amount is not checked to be bigger than 0. Therefore, it is possible to create escrows with no tokens.

Recommendation

It is recommended to check if amount > 0.

Inline block.timestamp

Fixed at <u>aa55fb</u>

Description

Internal function parameter time is never passed(<u>unclaimed</u> and <u>locked</u>).

Recommendation

Default value block. timestamp can be used instead of variable to optimize gas.



8. Appendix C. Tests



Tests result

131 passed, 26 warnings in 88.09s (0:01:28)

Tests coverage

Contracts

Contract	Coverage
VestingEscrow	88.9%
VestingEscrowFactory	100.0%
VotingAdapter	O%

Functions

Function	Coverage
VestingEscrowcheck_sender_is_owner	100.0%
VestingEscrowcheck_sender_is_owner_or_manager	100.0%
VestingEscrowcheck_sender_is_recipient	100.0%
VestingEscrowcheck_voting_adapter_is_set	100.0%
VestingEscrowlocked	100.0%
VestingEscrowtotal_vested_at	100.0%
VestingEscrowunclaimed	100.0%
VestingEscrow.revoke_all	93.8%
VestingEscrow.recover_erc2O	91.7%
VestingEscrow.initialize	87.5%
VestingEscrow.revoke_unvested	87.5%
VestingEscrow.claim	75.0%
VestingEscrow.recover_ether	75.0%

Function	Coverage
VestingEscrowsafe_send_ether	37.5%
VestingEscrowFactory.deploy_vesting_contract	100.0%



