Nonlinear partial dyserential equation Exercise 2.

1. Let $12p < \infty$. Assume that $u \in W^{1/p}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution to the p-Laplace equation $-\text{div}(|Du|^{p-2}Du) = 0$ in Ω , that is

JIDul^{P-2} Du. D4 dx = 0 jor every 4 € 6°(12).

Show that

IDu 1^{p-2} Du. Dv dx = 0 for every $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ Does the same result hold true under assumption $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$

Froof
for every $v \in W_0^{1/p}(\Omega)$, by depinition, there exists, smooth functions $v_j \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $v_j \to v$ in $W^{1/p}(\Omega)$ as $j \to \infty$. By assumption, we have $\int |Du|^{p-2} Du$, Dv_j dx = 0, $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

By Hölder mequality we have

| [(| Dul P-2 Du. Dv - | Dul P-2 Du. Dvj.) dx |

 $\frac{1}{2} \int |Du|^{p-2} |Du| |Dv - Dv_j| dx = \int |Du|^{p-1} |Dv - Dv_j| dx$

4 Marshell skupeter ([IDul Pdx) 1-4 ([IDv-Dvj. | P) dx

= $\|Du\|^{P-1}$ $(\int |Dv-Dv_j|^P)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \longrightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$

The same result holds true under assumption $u \in W_{loc}^{'1P}(\Omega)$ if and only if every point has a neighbourhood where u is a weak solution. to the P-Laplace equation in Ω .

a. Assume that u is a weak solution to p-Laplace equation in R and u e W"(R") Show that u=0

Proof .

From Sobolev spaces, we have the pact that the standard Sobolev space and the Sobolev space with zero boundary value coincide in the whole space, i.e., $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

By result from problem 1, we get 0= | IDul P-2 Du. Du dx = 1 IDul P-2 IDul dx

= IlDuldx = 0

=> Du = 0 almost every where in Rn, which implies us a constant about every where in Rn. Since a vanishes on the boundary of Rn, u must me have zero value abmost everywhere in R",

П.

8. Assume that g∈ W^{1P}(Ω), u∈ W^{1P}(Ω) with v-g∈ Wo^{1P}(Q) and consider the variational integral

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} |Du|^p dx$$

Show that

If and only If

"=) "Assume $I(u) = \inf \{I(v) : v \in W^{1p}(\Omega), v - g \in W_0^{1p}(\Omega)\}$. Let $\Omega \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and E > 0, by Lagrange method of variation, we have

$$0 = \frac{\partial I(u + \varepsilon u)}{\partial \varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \frac{1}{\rho} \int \frac{\partial |D(u + \varepsilon u)|^{\rho}}{\partial \varepsilon} dx \Big|_{\varepsilon=0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{P} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(D_{j} \left(u + \varepsilon Q \right) \right)^{2} \right) \frac{P_{2}}{2} dx \bigg|_{\varepsilon=0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{P} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2 \frac{P}{2} D_{i}(u+\varepsilon \Psi) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (D_{i}(u+\varepsilon \Psi))^{2} \right)^{\frac{P-2}{2}} D_{i} \Psi dx \Big|_{\varepsilon=0}$$

" \Leftarrow " Assume $u \in W^{AP}(\Omega)$ with $u - v \in W^{AP}(\Omega)$, where $v \in W^{AP}(\Omega)$, $v - g \in W_0^{AP}(\Omega)$.

we have
$$0 = \int |Du|^{p-2} Du \cdot D(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{v}) dx = \int (|Du|^{p} - |Du| Du \cdot D\mathbf{v}) dx$$

$$\leq \int \frac{(P-1)}{P} |Du|^p dx + \int \frac{|Dv|^p}{P} dx$$
 (Hölder's inequality

$$= \frac{1}{P} \frac{\left(\frac{P-1}{P}\right)}{P} \frac{1}{P} \frac{1}{P$$

H. Assume that Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $g \in W^{1p}(\Omega)$. Show that there exists $u \in W^{1p}(\Omega)$ with $u-g \in W_0^{1p}(\Omega)$, which satisfies $I(u) = \text{ang } I(v) : v \in W^{1p}(\Omega)$, $v-g \in W_0^{1p}(\Omega)$. A Consider the variational integral from problem 3. I(w) = 1 | Duldx, 14PKB =7 I(u) >0, thus it is bounded from below in W 1,P (s2) By the definition of infimum, it implies that there exists a minimizing sequence $U_k \in W_0^{1/2}(\Omega)$, $u_k - g \in W_0^{1/p}(\Omega)$, k = 1, 2, ..., such that lim $I(u_k) = \inf_{v \in W^{1/p}(\Omega)} I(v) = m$ $v \in W^{1/p}(\Omega)$ $v = g \in W_0^{1/p}(\Omega)$ The existance of the limit $\lim_{k \to \infty} I(u_k)$ implies the sequence $(I(u_k))$ is bounded, $(I(u_k)) \leq M$. k=1,2,..., for some constant $M \leq \infty$. 27 By Poincaré inequality, we get 4 (1 + c(p) diam (s2))] 1 Duk-g 1 dx $\leq (1 + c(p) \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)^p) 2^{p-1} (\int |Du_k|^p dx + \int |Dg|^p dx) \frac{p}{p}$ For every k=1,2,..., This shows that (uk-g) is a bounded sequence in Wolfer 3). By reflexivity of Wolf (52), 12p (10, there exists a subsequence (u - g) and a function $u \in W^{1/2}(\Omega)$ with $u-g \in W^{1/2}_{\bullet}(\Omega)$, such that $u \to u$ weakly in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ and D_{i} $u_{kj} \to D_{i}$ u_{i} , i=1,...,n weakly in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ as $j \to \infty$. By lower remicontinuity of L^{p} -norm with respect to weak convergence, We have:

Is I Dul Pok & liming of I Duki I Pok = lim of I Duki Pok Since $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $u-g \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we have $m \leq \frac{1}{p} |Du|^p dx \leq \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{p} |Du|^p dx = m$ which simplies $\frac{1}{p} |Du|^p dx = m$.

П.

5. Show that the solution of theperious problem is unique a) By using the PDE. Proof: For a sake of contradiction, assume u, us are minimizers of I(v), where u, 1, 2 ∈ W'1 (2) with 4-g ∈ Wo'1 (2) and 12-g ∈ Wo'1 (52). Assume u, + u, that is |(x ∈ \(\Omega\) : u, (x) + u, (x) | > 0. By Poincaré's inequality we have 0 < 1 | | u, - u2 | dx & c diam (12) 1 | | Duy - Duz | dx which implies | dx € \(\Omega\) : Dy (x) + Duz (x) } >0 Let $v = u_1 - u_2 = (u_1 - g) - (u_2 - g) \in {}^{1/2}(\Omega)$, thus we can use vas a test function (from problem 1) 0 = | | Du1 | P-2 Du2. Dvdx - | | Du2 | P-2 Du2. Dv dx = Parapater and a contamination $= \int (|Du_1|^{p-2} Du_1 - |Du_2|^{p-2} Du_2) \cdot (Du_1 - Du_2) dx > 0$ 0>0 gives the contradiction => b) By using the variational integral: Let $V = \frac{u_1 + u_2}{\alpha}$ By strict convexity of $\xi \mapsto |\xi|^p$, we get $\left|\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_1 + \xi_2\right)\right|^p \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(|\xi_1|^p + |\xi_2|^p\right)$ whenever SI, Sa & RM, Si + Sx. Thus 1 | | Dr | dx = 1 | 1 (Du, + Du) dx $\leq \frac{1}{P} \int_{a}^{1} \left(|Dy|^{P} + |Dy|^{P} \right) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{1} |Dy| dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{1} |Dy|^{P} dx$ $= \frac{1}{2}m + \frac{1}{2}m = m$ which shows that $V = \frac{u_1 + u_2}{2}$ is minimizer of I(w), hence it is a contradict to the fact that up and up are minimizers