

MS-E1600 - Probability theory, 2019/III

Problem set 2

Lien Tran - 510299

January 20, 2019

1. Truncation and conditioning.

- (a) Let ν be a measure on (S, \mathcal{S}) and let $B \in \mathcal{S}$. Show that also $A \rightarrow \nu[A \cap B]$ defines a measure on (S, \mathcal{S}) .

Proof. In order to show that $A \rightarrow \nu[A \cap B]$ defines a measure on (S, \mathcal{S}) , we need to check if $A \rightarrow \nu[A \cap B]$ satisfy the measure definition

- i. Measure of an empty set

$$\emptyset \rightarrow \nu[\emptyset \cap B] = \nu[\emptyset] = 0$$

- ii. (Close under union of countably many sets) Assume $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{S}$ are disjoint sets,

$$\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right) \rightarrow \nu \left[\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right) \cap B \right] = \nu \left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (E_j \cap B) \right] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu[E_j \cap B]$$

which shows that $A \rightarrow \nu[A \cap B]$ defines a measure on (S, \mathcal{S}) . □

- (b) Let P be a probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , and let B be an event such that $P[B] > 0$. Show that the conditional probability $A \rightarrow P[A|B] := \frac{P[A \cap B]}{P[B]}$ is a probability measure.

Proof. Similarly, we will check if $A \rightarrow P[A|B] := \frac{P[A \cap B]}{P[B]}$ satisfies the necessary condition of a probability measure.

- i.

$$\emptyset \rightarrow P[\emptyset|B] := \frac{P[\emptyset \cap B]}{P[B]} = \frac{P[\emptyset]}{P[B]} = \frac{0}{P[B]} = 0.$$

ii. Assume $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{S}$ are disjoint,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right) \rightarrow P[\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right) | B] &:= \frac{P[\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right) \cap B]}{P[B]} \\ &= \frac{P[\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (E_j \cap B)]}{P[B]} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P[(E_j \cap B)]}{P[B]} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{P[(E_j \cap B)]}{P[B]}. \end{aligned}$$

iii. Measure of the whole space

$$\Omega \rightarrow P[\Omega | B] := \frac{P[\Omega \cap B]}{P[B]} = \frac{P[B]}{P[B]} = 1,$$

which show that the conditional probability $A \rightarrow P[A | B] := \frac{P[A \cap B]}{P[B]}$ is a probability measure. \square

2. Indicators of sets. The indicator function of a set $A \subset S$ is the function $1_A : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$1_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(a) Let \mathcal{S} be a sigma-algebra on S . Show that the indicator function 1_A of $A \subset S$ is a \mathcal{S} measurable function if and only if A is a \mathcal{S} -measurable set.

Proof. " \implies " Suppose that the indicator function 1_A of $A \subset S$ is a \mathcal{S} measurable function \square

(b) Show that $1_{A \cap B} = 1_A 1_B$.

Proof. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{A \cap B}(x) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \cap B, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ 1_A(x) 1_B(x) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \text{ and } x \in B \text{ or } x \in A \cap B \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which show $1_{A \cap B} = 1_A 1_B$. \square

(c) When is it true that $1_{A \cup B} = 1_A + 1_B$?

Proof. We observe that

$$1_{A \cup B}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \cup B, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$1_A(x) + 1_B(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \text{ or } x \in B \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin A \text{ and } x \notin B. \end{cases}$$

In order to have $1_{A \cup B} = 1_A + 1_B$, we require $A \cap B = \emptyset$. In other words, A and B are disjoint. \square

3. The sigma-algebra generated by a random variable. Let Y be a real-valued random variable defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$.

- (a) Show that the σ -algebra $\sigma(Y)$ generated by the random variable Y coincides with the σ -algebra $\sigma(Y^{-1}(\mathcal{B}))$ generated by the collection of events $Y^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) = \{Y^{-1}(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}$.

Proof. Inclusion $\sigma(Y) \subset \sigma(Y^{-1}(\mathcal{B}))$. $\sigma(Y)$ is a collection of

By assumption, Y is a real-valued random variable defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$, thus Y is \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B} -measurable. \square

- (b) Show that we in fact have the equality $\sigma(Y) = Y^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$.
(c) Show that for any Borel function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the random variable $h(Y)$ is $\sigma(Y)$ -measurable.

4. Limsup and liminf.

- (a) Show that $\liminf_n x_n \leq \limsup_n x_n$.

Proof. Define $x_m^+ := \sup(x_n)_{n=m}^\infty$ and $x_m^- := \inf(x_n)_{n=m}^\infty$, thus we have $x_m^+ \leq x_m^-$ \square

- (b) For any $A \subset S$, denote by $1_A : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the indicator function of A as in Exercise 2.2. Show that for all $s \in S$

$$\limsup_n 1_{A_n}(s) = 1_{\limsup_n A_n}(s) \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_n 1_{A_n}(s) = 1_{\liminf_n A_n}(s)$$

- (c) Use (a) and (b) to conclude that $\liminf_n A_n \leq \limsup_n A_n$.

5. Let $U : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a random variable on some probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) following the uniform distribution on the unit interval, so that $P[\{\omega \in \Omega \mid a < U(\omega) < b\}] = b - a$ whenever $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$. Define $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$X(\omega) = \inf\{z \in \mathbb{R} \mid F(z) \geq U(\omega)\}.$$

- (a) Show that for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $X(\omega) \leq c$ if and only if $U(\omega) \leq F(c)$.
- (b) Using (a), show that for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $X^{-1}((-\infty, c]) \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (c) Conclude that X is a \mathcal{F} -measurable random variable.
- (d) Using (a) and the distribution of U , show that $P[X \leq c] = F(c)$, i.e., the cumulative distribution function of the random variable X is F .