Day 3: Bayesian Distributional Regression

Exercise 1 (Motorcyle Data)

- Load the data set mcycle from the R package MASS and make yourself familiar with the data set using the corresponding help page given in the package (type ?mcycle). Explore the relationship between the variable accel and times in a scatterplot.
- Now estimate the two following models:
 - A Gaussian mean regression model with accel as dependent variable and times as continuous covariate with nonlinear effect.
 - A location-scale model where you also model the log-variance of the error term as a nonlinear function of times.
- Compare the two models based on the DIC and visualize the estimated effects.

Exercise 2 (Munich Rent Index)

Many cities and communities in Germany establish rent indices in order to provide the tenant and the landlord with a market review for the typical rent for the area and for given characteristics of the flat. The dataset rent.dat provides information collected for the rental guide in Munich with the following variables.

Variable	Explanation
rent	net rent per month (in Euro)
rentsqm	net rent per month per square meter (in Euro)
area	living area in square meters
yearc	year of construction
district	district the flat is located in
location	quality of location according to an expert assessment
	1 = average location
	2 = good location
	3 = top location

Often, it is assumed that (conditionally on covariates) the log-rents are normally distributed with homoscedastic errors. In this exercise, we investigate whether other distributional assumptions work better. To compare the different models, the dataset rent_test.dat contains hold-out data for out of sample comparison.

- Estimate a mean Gaussian mean regression with nonlinear effects of area and yearc, linear effects of location and a random effect for district as a baseline and evaluate the hold-out log-likelihood.
- Prediction competition: Now try out different distributional regression specifications and evaluate them also via the log-likelihood on the hold-out data. The best out of sample log-likelihood wins the competition.