

# Foundations of Statistical Inference

J. Berestycki & D. Sejdinovic

Department of Statistics  
University of Oxford

MT 2019

## Lecture 4 - Consequences of the Cramér-Rao Lower Bound. Searching for a MVUE. Rao-Blackwell Theorem.

# Rao-Blackwell

The CRLB may not be achievable but will still wish to search for an MVUE. Sufficiency plays an important role in the search for a MVUE.

## Theorem (Rao-Blackwell Theorem (RBT) (GJJ 2.5.2))

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample of observations from  $f(x; \theta)$ . Suppose that  $T$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  and that  $\hat{\theta}$  is any unbiased estimator for  $\theta$ .

Define a new estimator  $\hat{\theta}_T = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta} \mid T]$ . Then

1.  $\hat{\theta}_T$  is a function of  $T$  alone;
2.  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_T] = \theta$ ;
3.  $\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_T) \leq \text{Var}(\hat{\theta})$ .

**Comment** This says that estimators may be improved if we take advantage of sufficient statistics. Also when looking for MVUE we can restrict ourselves to functions of sufficient statistics.

# Proof

1.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\theta}_T = \mathbb{E}_X[\hat{\theta} \mid T = t] &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \hat{\theta}(x) f(x \mid t, \theta) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \hat{\theta}(x) f(x \mid t) dx\end{aligned}$$

2.  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_T] = \mathbb{E}_T[\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta} \mid T]] = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}] = \theta$  (by law of total expectation)

3. Using the law of total variance

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) &= \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta} \mid T]) + \mathbb{E}_T[\text{Var}(\hat{\theta} \mid T)] \\ &= \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_T) + \mathbb{E}_T[\text{Var}(\hat{\theta} \mid T)] \\ \Rightarrow \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) &\geq \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_T)\end{aligned}$$

## Example 12

Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a random sample from  $\text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$ .

It is easy to see that  $\hat{\theta} = X_1$  is unbiased for  $\theta$ . Also, we have seen before that  $T = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

We can use RBT to construct an estimator with smaller variance

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X_1 \mid T = t] &= P(X_1 = 1 \mid T = t) = \frac{P(X_1 = 1, \sum_{i=1}^N X_i = t)}{P(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i = t)} \\ &= \frac{P(X_1 = 1, \sum_{i=2}^N X_i = t-1)}{{}^N C_t \theta^t (1-\theta)^{N-t}} \\ &= \frac{\theta \cdot {}^{N-1} C_{t-1} \theta^{t-1} (1-\theta)^{N-t}}{{}^N C_t \theta^t (1-\theta)^{N-t}} \\ &= \frac{t}{N}\end{aligned}$$

## Corollary (4)

*If an MVUE  $\hat{\theta}$  for  $\theta$  exists, then there is a function  $\hat{\theta}_T$  of the sufficient statistic  $T$  for  $\theta$  which is an MVUE.*

**Proof** If  $\hat{\theta}$  is a MVUE and  $T$  is sufficient then by RBT we can construct  $\hat{\theta}_T$ . Which implies  $\hat{\theta}_T$  is a function of  $T$  alone, is unbiased and variance no larger than  $\hat{\theta}$ . Hence is also a MVUE.

**Comment** This says that we can restrict our search for a MVUE to those based on (minimal) sufficient statistics.

# Completeness

## Definition (Complete Sufficient Statistics)

Let  $T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . The statistic  $T$  is **complete** if, whenever  $h(T)$  is a function of  $T$  for which  $\mathbb{E}[h(T)] = 0$  for all  $\theta$ , then  $h(T) \equiv 0$  almost everywhere.

Complete =  $\theta$  can be estimated on the basis of  $T$ : the distributions corresponding to different values of the parameters are distinct.

## Lemma (4)

*Suppose  $T$  is a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ , and  $g(T)$  unbiased for  $\theta$ , so  $\mathbb{E}[g(T)] = \theta$ . Then  $g(T)$  is the unique function of  $T$  which is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ .*

**Proof** If there were two such unbiased estimators  $g_1(T), g_2(T)$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[g_1(T) - g_2(T)] = \theta - \theta = 0$  for all  $\theta$ , so  $g_1(T) = g_2(T)$  almost everywhere.

**Question** If we have an unbiased estimator what are the sufficient conditions for it to be MVUE?

### Lemma (5)

*If an MVUE for  $\theta$  exists and  $T$  is a complete and sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ , and suppose  $h = h(T)$  is unbiased for  $\theta$ , then  $h(T)$  is a MVUE.*

This Lemma combines the results of Corollary 4 and Lemma 4.

**Proof** If an MVUE exists then there is a function of  $T$  which is an MVUE, by the RB Corollary 4. But  $h(T)$  is the only function of  $T$  which is unbiased for  $\theta$  (from Lemma 4). So  $h$  must be the function of  $T$  which an MVUE.



**Question** Finally, how can we construct a MVUE?

### Theorem (Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem)

*Let  $T$  be a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ , and let  $\hat{\theta}$  be an unbiased estimator for  $\theta$ , then the unbiased estimator  $\hat{\theta}_T = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta} \mid T]$  has the smallest variance among all unbiased estimators of  $\theta$ . That is,*

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_T) \leq \text{Var}(\tilde{\theta})$$

*for all unbiased estimators  $\tilde{\theta}$ .*

**Comment** This theorem says that if we can find any unbiased estimator and a complete sufficient statistic  $T$  then we can construct a MVUE.

# Proof

Suppose  $\tilde{\theta}$  exists with  $\text{Var}(\tilde{\theta}) < \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_T)$ .

Then by RBT we can construct  $\tilde{\theta}_T = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta} \mid T]$  such that

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{\theta}_T) \leq \text{Var}(\tilde{\theta}) < \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_T)$$

But  $\tilde{\theta}_T$  and  $\hat{\theta}_T$  are both unbiased and  $T$  is complete, so by Lemma 4 we have  $\tilde{\theta}_T = \hat{\theta}_T$  and

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{\theta}_T) = \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_T)$$

which is a contradiction.